Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

900 G STREET, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

TELEPHONE: FACSIMILE: +1-202-636-5502

Direct Dial Number +1-202-636-5578

BY E-APPS

March 17, 2022

E-mail Address

adam.cohen@stblaw.com

Re: Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Application by U.S. Bancorp to Acquire MUFG Union Bank, N.A.

Chris Wangen Assistant Vice President, Supervision, Regulation, and Credit Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis 90 Hennepin Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55401

Dear Ms. Wangen:

On behalf of U.S. Bancorp (the "<u>Applicant</u>"), Minneapolis, Minnesota, enclosed please find a supplemental response (the "<u>Response Submission</u>") to the letter dated January 13, 2022, from the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis ("<u>Reserve Bank</u>") requesting additional information on the application by the Applicant to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of MUFG Union Bank, National Association ("<u>Union Bank</u>") pursuant to Section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Each item or question which is being supplemented is repeated in the Response Submission, followed by U.S. Bancorp's response or by reference to an exhibit in which the response is contained.

The Response Submission is divided into a non-confidential portion and a confidential portion. For the confidential portion, which has been marked "Confidential Treatment Requested," U.S. Bancorp respectfully requests confidential treatment pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), and the Board's regulations thereunder, 12 C.F.R. Part 261 (collectively, "FOIA"), on the grounds that the information contained in the confidential portion has been actually and customarily kept confidential by the Applicant and, where relevant, Union Bank and this information is being provided to the Board and the Reserve Bank under an assurance and expectation of privacy.¹ Disclosure of this information would reveal to competitors the internal strategies, transactions, and competitive position of the Applicant and, where relevant, Union Bank at a competitive disadvantage with respect to competitors who do not

¹ Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media, 139 S. Ct. 2356, 2363 and 2366 (2019).

publicly reveal such information. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the confidential portion not be made available for public inspection or copying. In addition, we request that any memoranda, notes, or other writings of any kind whatsoever by an employee, agent, or other person under the control of the Board or the Reserve Bank that incorporate, include, or relate to any of the matters referred to in the confidential portion not be made part of any public record and not be disclosed to any person.

In the event of a FOIA request, we respectfully request notice of such request, as well as a reasonable period of time to respond prior to any release of materials by Board staff or Reserve Bank staff. This request for notice and an opportunity to respond also extends in the case of any part of the confidential portion (including any such memoranda, notes, or other writings by Board staff or Reserve Bank staff) being the subject of a FOIA request or a request or demand for disclosure by any governmental agency, Congressional office or committee, court, or grand jury.

* * *

If you have any questions regarding this Application, please contact me, Lee Meyerson or Spencer Sloan.

Very truly yours,

Adam J. Cohen

Adam J. Cohen

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Alison M. Thro Board – Legal

> Ms. Linda Anderson Reserve Bank

Ms. Erin Grace Ms. Shannon Mulligan U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division

Ms. Patricia Roberts OCC

Mr. Marcus Owens African American Leadership Forum

Ms. Elise Buik United Way of Greater Los Angeles

Ms. Stephanie Klasky-Gamer LA Family Housing

- Mr. Jeffrey S. Lesk New Partners Community Solar Corp.
- Ms. Colleen Padilla Southern Oregon Regional Economic Development, Inc.
- Mr. Ismael Guerrero Mercy Housing, Inc.
- Ms. Laura Archuleta Jamboree Housing Corporation
- Ms. Dee Anne Everson United Way of Jackson County
- Mr. Phillip Chen Assembly Member, Fifty-Fifth District, Assembly California Legislature
- Mr. Oliver L. Baines, III Central Valley NMTC LLC
- Mr. Lenwood V. Long, Sr. African American Alliance of CDFI CEOs
- Mr. Dave Glaser MOFI
- Ms. Renay Dossman Neighborhood Development Center
- Ms. Renee Sattiewhite, CUDE, CDP AACUC
- Ms. Paulina Gonzalez-Brito California Reinvestment Coalition
- Mr. Kevin Stein California Reinvestment Coalition
- Ms. Nancy Halpern Ibrahim Esperanza Community Housing Corporation
- Ms. Evelyn Stivers Housing Leadership Council
- Mr. Richard Girling San Francisco Public Bank Coalition

Chris Wangen

Ms. Gloria Bruce
East Bay Housing Organizations

- Ms. Elba Schildcrout East LA Community Corporation
- Ms. Chelsea Kirk SAJE – Strategic Actions for a Just Economy
- Ms. Hyepin Im FACE – Faith and Community Empowerment
- Ms. Heidi Pickman CAMEO – California Association for Micro Enterprise Opportunity
- Mr. Robert Herrell Consumer Federation of California
- Ms. Erika Toriz-Kurkjian Haven Neighborhood Services
- Ms. Sherri Jackson MultiCultural Real Estate Alliance for Urban Change
- Mr. Stephen Russell San Diego Housing Federation
- Mr. Andrew Matsas Community Economics, Inc.
- Mr. Michael Banner Los Angeles LDC
- Mr. Rudy Espinoza Inclusive Action for the City
- Ms. Amie Fishman Non-Profit Housing Association
- Ms. Erin McElroy Anti-Eviction Mapping Project
- Mr. Sanford Livingston Northern California Small Business Financial Development Corporation
- Ms. Sharon Kinlaw Fair Housing Council of the San Fernando Valley

- Mr. Roberto Barragan California Community Economic Development Association
- Mr. Calvin L. Holmes Chicago Community Loan Fund
- Mr. Walter Harris Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
- Ms. Faith Bautista National Asian American Coalition
- Mr. Leo Goldberg CA Community Land Trust Network
- Mr. William M. Cunningham Creative Investment Research
- Mr. Quentin D. Strode NEW Community Investments
- Ms. Lyda Eddington Westchester United Methodist Church, Los Angeles
- Mr. Michal A. Ocasio The Association Financial Development Corporations
- Ms. Debra Gore-Mann The Greenlining Institute
- Mr. Nick Weiner Committee for Better Banks
- Mr. Frank Altman Community Reinvestment Fund, USA
- Mr. Mark Stivers California Housing Partnership
- Mr. Al Pina National Minority Community Reinvestment Co-Operative
- Ms. Marcia Griffin HomeFree-USA
- Mr. John Gamboa California Community Builders

Chris Wangen

March 17, 2022

- Ms. Dina Harris National Faith Homebuyers Program
- Dr. Ruben Guerra, PhD CA Black & Latino Business CRA Council
- Mr. Marcos Morales Hogar Hispano, Inc.
- Ms. Jules Dunham Howie Director, UPC Westside CDC
- Mr. Kelvin W. Perry Black Chamber of Commerce of Greater Kansas City
- Mr. Steve Figueroa Inland Empire Latino Coalition
- Mr. Darrel Sauceda LA Latino Chamber
- Ms. Bertha Garcia Ventura CCDC
- Mr. Joey Quinto CA Journal for Filipino Americans
- Mr. Jose Antonio Ramirez Central CA Latino PAC
- Mr. Adam Briones California Community Builders

Mr. James Chosy Ms. Cristina Regojo Gedan Ms. Sarah Flowers U.S. Bancorp

SUPPLEMENT TO THE JANUARY 26, 2022

RESPONSE SUBMISSION OF U.S. BANCORP

to the

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF JANUARY 13, 2022

from the

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF MINNEAPOLIS

relating to the proposal to acquire

MUFG UNION BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Supplemental Submission in Response to the Request for Information dated January 13, 2022 ("Response Submission")¹ March 17, 2022

Each item contained in the Request for Information dated January 13, 2022 for which the January 26 Response Submission is being supplemented is repeated below, followed by U.S. Bancorp's supplemental response.

- 4. Provide actual and pro forma balance sheets for USB, on a parent-only and consolidated basis, and for US Bank and Union Bank on a stand-alone and pro forma basis, as of December 31, 2021, and projections for the next three years of operations, when available. The response should include:
 - a. Explanatory detailed footnotes for the debit and credit adjustments.
 - **b.** If not reflected in the explanatory footnotes, supporting analysis for the valuation of the transaction.

For the information requested by this item 4, please see <u>Confidential Exhibit A</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

5. Provide actual and pro forma income statements for USB, on a parent-only and consolidated basis, and actual for US Bank and Union Bank, on a stand-alone and pro forma basis, showing separately each principal source of revenue and expense for the period ending December 31, 2021, and projections for the next three years, when available. Your response should include relevant adjustments and explanatory detailed footnotes.

For the information requested by this item 5, please see <u>Confidential Exhibit B</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

6. Provide actual and pro forma capital calculations for USB, US Bank, and Union Bank as of December 31, 2021, when available. Also, provide projected capital calculations for the next three years of operations. Your response should include relevant adjustments and explanatory detailed footnotes.

For the information requested by this item 6, please see <u>Confidential Exhibit C</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Application to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to Section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act, dated October 6, 2021, relating to U.S. Bancorp's proposed acquisition of MUFG Union Bank, N.A. (the "<u>Application</u>").

8. Provide actual and pro forma cash flow statements for USB, on a parent-only basis, as of December 31, 2021, and projections for the next three years of operations.

For the information requested by this item 8, please see <u>Confidential Exhibit D</u> to this Response Submission.

- 9. Provide related asset quality ratios (with relevant calculations) for USB, US Bank, and Union Bank as of December 31, 2021. The asset quality analysis should include the following:
 - a. A breakdown of actual asset quality information for Union Bank as of December 31, 2020, and December 31, 2021.

The information requested by this item 9(a), which was provided in the January 26 Response Submission, is included as <u>Confidential Exhibit E</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

b. A breakdown of actual asset quality information for USB and US Bank as of December 31, 2020, and December 31, 2021.

The information requested by this item 9(b), which was provided in the January 26 Response Submission, is included as <u>Confidential Exhibit F</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

c. A breakdown of pro forma asset quality information for USB and US Bank as of December 31, 2021.

For the information requested by this item 9(c), please see <u>Confidential Exhibit G</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

d. A focus on two separate elements: (i) criticized and classified assets, comprised of the four separate categories of other assets especially mentioned ("OAEM" or special mention), substandard, doubtful, and loss (with relevant components of other real estate owned ("OREO") separately identified in each category), and (ii) nonperforming assets, comprised of the four separate categories of nonaccrual loans, restructured loans in compliance with their terms, OREO, and other repossessed assets. Also, separately provide the category of loans 90 days past-due.

For the information requested by this item 9(d), please see <u>Confidential Exhibit G</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

e. Indicate what level of (i) criticized and classified assets, (ii) nonperforming assets, and (iii) loans 90 days past-due that may be affected by the purchase accounting adjustments and other known events. To the extent not explained elsewhere, discuss the basis and justification for such adjustments.

For the information requested by this item 9(e), please see <u>Confidential Exhibit H</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

f. The amount of criticized and classified assets should be either as confirmed by relevant examiners at a recent examination or as more recently determined by the applicant's internal credit monitoring systems. Also, the breakdown provided for criticized and classified assets should encompass all such assets on the balance sheet, not just loans.

For the information requested by this item 9(f), please see <u>Confidential Exhibit G</u> to this Supplemental Response Submission.

g. The amount of criticized and classified assets should be either as confirmed by relevant examiners at a recent ex-amination or as more recently determined by the applicant's internal credit monitoring systems. Also, the breakdown provided for criticized and classified assets should encompass all such assets on the balance sheet, not just loans.

The data that have been presented in response to this Item 9 are reported on a consolidated basis using a concordance table which maps as-reported Union Bank commercial risk-rated loans to a U.S. Bank commercial loan risk rating. The loans are then further reviewed for regulatory alignment for reporting purposes. USB anticipates that all loans will be subject to USB risk rating processes upon system conversion.