Prefatory Note

The attached document represents the most complete and accurate version available based on original copies culled from the files of the FOMC Secretariat at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. This electronic document was created through a comprehensive digitization process which included identifying the best-preserved paper copies, scanning those copies, ¹ and then making the scanned versions text-searchable. ² Though a stringent quality assurance process was employed, some imperfections may remain.

Please note that some material may have been redacted from this document if that material was received on a confidential basis. Redacted material is indicated by occasional gaps in the text or by gray boxes around non-text content. All redacted passages are exempt from disclosure under applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

¹ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).

² A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

SUPPLEMENT

CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

March 16, 1973

By the Staff
Board of Governors
of the Federal Reserve System

The Domestic Economy

Seasonally adjusted private housing starts declined slightly in February to an annual rate of 2.44 million units from an upward revised rate of 2.50 million in January. Regionally, only the Northeast showed a rise in February. Building permits declined further in February but continued at a high level. The average rate of starts in January and February was above the 2.40 million annual rate in the fourth quarter but March starts are likely to be down. If so, the average for the first quarter may be close to that in the fourth quarter.

PRIVATE HOUSING STARTS AND PERMITS

	February 1973 (Thousands	Percent o	hange from:
	of Units) 1/	January 1973	February 1972
Starts 2/	2,444	- 2	- 4
1-family	1,361	- 6	+ 6
2- or more-family	1,083	+ 3	-14
Northeast	355	+ 3	+32
North Central	578	- 5	+11
South	1,080	- 1	- 8
West	431	- 5	-26
Permits	2,155	- 3	+ 5
1-family	1,069	+ 1	+11
2- or more-family	1,086	- 6	- 1

^{1/} Seasonally adjusted annual rates; preliminary.

^{2/} Apart from starts, mobile home shipments for domestic use in January--the latest month for which data are available--were at a record seasonally adjusted annual rate of 677 thousand units--up 21 percent from December, and 22 percent above a year earlier.

New homes sold by merchant builders in January were at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 735,000. This was little changed from the advanced December rate and only moderately below the record pace reached in last year's fourth quarter. Also, with buyers concentrating on the larger and better equipped units, the January rate was accompanied by a further rise in the median price of new homes sold—to \$30,400 or nearly \$2,000 more than the median price of unsold units still in builders' inventories. At the same time, however, such inventories continued upward and equaled nearly 7 months' supply at the January rate of sales—a new high for the series which began in 1963.

NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOMES SOLD AND FOR SALE

	Homes Sold <u>1</u> /	Homes for Sale <u>2</u> /	-	rice of domes for Sale
	(Thousan	ds of units)	(Thousands	of dollars)
<u>1971</u>				
QIV	682	284	25.5	25.9
<u>1972</u>				
QIII QIV (r)	717 760	386 404	27.9 29.0	27.1 28.3
October (r) November (r) December (r)	831 711 737	394 401 404	28.9 28.9 29.7	27.6 27.8 28.3
1973				
January (p)	735	420	30.4	28.5

^{1/} SAAR.

^{2/} SA, end of period.

p - Preliminary r - Revised.

Agriculture. Intended 1973 crop plantings are generally higher than 1972 plantings and are at about the levels for which USDA had hoped. Expected spring wheat and soybean plantings are on target. Corn planting intentions--7 percent higher than last year's acreage--fall about 2 percent short of the 1973 feed-grain program target.

PROSPECTIVE CROP PLANTINGS FOR 1973 IN 35 STATES, SURVEYED (Million acres)

Crop	Target ^a 1973	Indicated ^b 1973	1973 as percent of 1972
Corn	72.7	71.6	107.2
All Feed Grain	•-	121.7	105.6
Spring Wheat	15.9	15.3	120.5
All Wheat ^c	58.7	58.2	106.0 ^d
Soybeans	53.5	53.8	114.5
Cotton	**	13.1	93.4

National target adjusted downward to show acreage targets for 35 states.

CORRECTION: Page III-20. In line 3 insert "at an annual rate of" before "about 4-1/2 percent."

b Farmers intentions as of March 1, 1973.

c Includes winter wheat already planted.

Since a greater proportion of the 1973 wheat crop will probably be harvested as grain, production of grain should be about 12 percent above 1972.

The Domestic Financial Situation.

Corporate profits.

The Bureau of Economic Analysis has released preliminary estimates of corporate earnings for the final quarter of 1972. Total corporate book profits in the fourth quarter grew by over \$6 billion, a 23 percent increase over a year ago. Almost three quarters of this increase is accounted for by the earnings of domestic nonfinancial corporations, which are 28 percent larger than a year ago. If book profits are adjusted to eliminate inventory profits, both of these rates of increase are about two percentage points less. An additional \$1 billion represents the increased earnings of financial institutions, while profits originating in the rest of the world rose by about \$.4 billion, a decline of 7 percent from the final quarter of 1971 when a substantial repatriation of foreign exchange earnings occurred.

Preliminary fourth quarter data from the Federal Trade

Commission indicate that there was substantial growth in the profits
of durable goods industries, particularly in the primary metals and
motor vehicles sectors. For durables, as well as for manufacturing as
a whole, increases in profit margins contributed more to this growth
in earnings than did the increase in sales. The opposite was true in
the case of many nondurable goods industries, but major exceptions were
petroleum and a group which includes textiles, leather and apparel
among other industries.

While there has been a modest increase in the effective tax rate since the final quarter of 1971, the tax rate for 1972 as a whole is less than that for 1971. For 1972 tax payments and profits reflect greater allowances for depreciation under the ADR, and tax payments reflect the investment tax credit as well as tax offsets carried forward from previous years' write-offs and losses. Dividends are virtually unchanged from the third quarter; normally far less volatile than profits, they have also been prevented from increasing as rapidly as they might otherwise have by the control program of the Committee on Interest and Dividends. Thus with both undistributed profits and depreciation allowances increasing, cash flow was 17 percent larger than it was in the final quarter of 1971, the same rate of increase as that for the year as a whole.

CORPORATE PROFITS: PRELIMINARY FOURTH QUARTER

A1	l Corporati	Domestic Nonfinancial Corporations							
	1972-IV \$Billion	Percenta;	ge change	1972-IV \$Billion	Percentage change				
	SAAR	1971-IV	1972-111	SAAR	1971-IV	1972-III			
Profits before tax and inventory valuation									
adjustment	95.9	20.8	7.0	70.0	25.9	7.4			
Profits before tax	101.9	22.5	6.5	75.9	27.8	6 .5			
Profits tax liability	44.5	26.1	6.5	35.8	30.7	6.9			
Profits after tax	54.7	19.6	6.5	40.1	25.3	6.1			
Dividends	26.7	6.0	0.8	20.2	8.6	0.0			
Undistributed profits	30.7	35.2	12.5	19.9	47.4	13.7			
Cash flow 2/	100.2	16.9	4.8	86.4	16.9	4.1			
Effective tax rate (per cent) 3/	43.7	3.1	0.0	47.2	2.4	0.4			
Payout rate (percent) 4/	46.5	-11.4	-5.5	50.4	-13.3	-5.6			

1 6

Includes both foreign and domestic profits.

Capital consumption allowances plus undistributed profits.

Profits tax liability/Profits before tax.

Dividends/Profits after tax.

CORPORATE PROFITS: PRELIMINARY YEAR TOTALS

	All Corp	orations 1/	Domestic Nonfinanci				
	Year 1972 \$Billion	Percent change from year 1971	Year 1972 \$Billion	Percent change from year 1971			
Profits before tax and inventory valuation adjustment	88.3	12.3	64.5	15.0			
Profits before tax	94. 3	13.2	70.5	16.0			
Profits tax liability	41.3	10.7	33.1	12.6			
Profits after tax	53.1	15.7	37.4	19.5			
Dividends	26.4	3.9	20.2	3.6			
Undistributed profits	26.7	30.2	17.1	43.7			
Cash flow 2/	94.4	16.8	82.0	17.5			
Effective tax rate (percent) 3/	43.8	-2.2	47.0	-2.9			
Payout rate (percent) 4/	49.7	-10.1	54.0	-13.1			

^{1/} Includes both foreign and domestic profits.
2/ Capital consumption allowances plus undistributed profits.
3/ Profits tax liability/Profits before tax.
4/ Dividends/Profits after tax.

INTEREST RATES

		19	72	**********	1973						
		iighs		ows	Fe			. 15			
Short-Term Rates											
Federal funds (wkly. avg.)	5.38	(12/20)	3.18	(3/1)	6.21	(2/7)	7.13	(3/14)			
3-month											
Treasury bills (bid)	5.19	(12/19)	2.99	(2/11)	5.44		6.11				
Comm. paper (90-119 day)		(12/29)		(2/29)	6.13		6.75				
Banker's acceptances		(12/29)		(2/23)	6.50		625				
Euro-dollars	6.31	(12/5)	4.62	(3/8)	7.38		8.31				
CD's (prime NYC)											
Most often quoted new	5.50	(12/27)	3.50	(2/23)	6.25	(2/7)	6.75	(3/14)			
6-month											
Treasury bills (bid)	5.39	(12/29)	3.35	(1/10)	5.68		6.64				
Comm. paper (4-6 mo.)		(12/29)		(3/3)	6.13		6.88				
Federal agencies		(12/29)		(2/17)	6.08		6.82				
CD's (prime NYC)		,		•							
Most often quoted new	5.63	(12/27)	3.88	(2/23)	6.38	(2/7)	7.00	(3/14)			
1-year											
Treasury bills (bid)	5.55	(9/22)	3.57	(1/8)	5.77		6.60				
Federal agencies		(12/26)		(1/17)	6.34		7.04				
CD's (prime NYC)	3155	(//		(-, -, ,							
Most often quoted new	5.75	(12/27)	4.62	(1/19)	6.38	(2/7)	7.00	(3/14)			
Prime municipals		(12/27)		(1/12)		(2/8)	4.05				
Intermediate and Long-term											
Treasury coupon issues											
5-years	6.32	(9/14)	5.47	(1/13)	6.51		6.85				
20-years		(4/14)		(11/15)			6.93				
Corporate											
Seasoned Aaa	7 27	(4/24)	7 05	(12/7)	7.24		7.29				
Baa	_	(1/3)		(12/29)			8.02				
	0.27	(1/3)	7.05	(12/2/)	1.71		0.02				
New Issue Aan Utility	7.60	(4/21)	7.08	(3/10)	7.46	(2/7)	7.52				
Municipal											
Bond Buyer Index	,	// /103	,	(1 /10)		(0./2)	- 4				
Pour payet Index	5.54	(4/13)	4.99	(1/13)	>•16	(2/I)	5.34				
Mortgageimplicit yield											
in FNMA auction 1/	7 70	(10/16)	7 5/.	(3/20)	7 71	(2/5)	7.75	(3/5)			
	1.12	(TOLTO)	1.34	(3/20)	7.72	(4/3)	3	(3/3)			

^{1/} Yield on short-term forward commitment after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Assumes discount on 30year loan amortized over 15 years.

2/ This rate now reflects the yield on the Treasury's new 20-year, 6-3/4 per

cent bond that was auctioned on January 4.

APPENDIX A: SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES*

An increase in the strength of demands for commercial and industrial loans was reported in the three months ending February 15 by two-thirds of the banks participating in the Quarterly Survey of Changes in Bank Lending Practices. An even greater percentage of the banks, moreover, were anticipating a continuation of stronger demand over the next three months. (Table 1)

As might be expected, an across the board tightening of credit policies accompanied the growth in loan demand. A majority of the banks indicated a firmer policy regarding interest rates, accompanied, in many cases, by increases in compensating balance requirements. Standards of credit worthiness had become more stringent, and policies regarding maturities on term loans tightened appreciably. All customers came under increased scrutiny in reviewing loan applications and credit lines, though new and nonlocal service area customers received the greatest attention in executing tighter policies. The value of applicants as depositors or as a source of collateral business also received significantly greater emphasis. At the same time, there was a reduction in willingness to make term loans, reversing a persistent movement toward easing in term lending recorded in prior Surveys.

Looking at the current results more closely, nearly 70 per cent of the banks had firmer interest rate policies, while about 40 per cent of the banks had given greater weight to compensating or supporting balances. Several banks indicated in supplementary responses that because of a relatively low prime rate they were giving extra consideration to the credit worthiness of accounts and monitoring more closely new commitments made at the prime. About 40 per cent of the respondents showed firmer policies regarding new and nonlocal service area customers, and nearly 48 per cent gave more emphasis to the value of applicants as depositors or as sources of collateral business. In contrast to the previous quarter when almost one-fifth of the banks were more willing to make term loans to nonfinancial business, term lending was restricted at over one-fifth of the reporting banks. More of this tightening in term loans had occurred at banks with deposits under \$1 billion. (Table 2) Thirty per cent of the banks under \$1 billion were moderately less willing to make term loans, in contrast to thirteen per cent of the larger banks.

^{*} Prepared by Richard Puckett, Senior Economist, and Virginia Lewis, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

There were other notable divergences between larger and smaller banks. For example, banks under \$1 billion were somewhat more restrictive regarding standards of credit worthiness. They more heavily emphasized the value of loan applicants as depositors and were somewhat more restrictive in lending to finance companies. Nonetheless, 70 per cent of the smaller banks judged loan demands to be stronger versus 80 per cent of the larger -- perhaps reflecting switching from the commercial paper market by the larger banks' customers. In any case, more bigger banks anticipated heavier loan demands in the next three months -- 91 per cent as opposed to 83 per cent of their smaller counterparts.

As for geographical variations, strengthening of loan demands as well as tightening in terms and conditions of lending seemed to be widespread. However, the New York District was firmer than average, while Chicago was somewhat easier. (Table 3)

TABLE 1

GUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ (STATUS OF POLICY ON FEBRUARY 15. 1973 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER) (NUMBER OF BANKS & PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

	TOTAL	MUCH Stronger	MODERATELY STRONGER	FSSENTIALLY Unchanged	MODERATELY Weaker	MUCH Weaker
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION)	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PC
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO	125 100.0	16 12.8	82 65,6	26 20.8	1 0.8	0 0.0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	125 100.0	13 10.4	95 76.0	17 13.6	0 0.0	0 0.0
	ANSWERING QUESTION	MUCH Firmer Policy	MODERATELY Firmer Policy	FSSENTIALLY UNCHANGEO POLICY	MODERATELY Easier Policy	MUCH EASIER POLICY
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT	BANKS PCT
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:						
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125 100.0	13 10,4	86 68,8	26 20.8	0 0.0	0 0.0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	125 100.0	7 5.6	50 40.0	67 53.6	1 0.8	0 0,0
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	125 100.0	6 4.8	25 20.0	94 75.2	0 0.0	0 0.0
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125 100.0	1 0.8	23 18.4	96 76.8	5 4.0	0 0.0
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN APPLICATION	NS					
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	125 100.0	1 0.8	19 15.2	104 83.2	1 0.8	0 0.0
NEW CUSTOMERS	125 100.0	9 7.2	44 35.2	70 56.0	2 1,6	0 0.0
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125 100.0	1 0.8	17 13.6	104 83.2	3 2,4	0 0.0
NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125 100.0	9 7,2	41 32.8	73 58.4	2 1.6	0 0.0

^{1/} SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF FEBRUARY 15. 1973.

	ANSWERING QUESTION		MUCH Firmer Policy		MODERATELY Firmer Policy		ESSENTIALLY Unchanged Policy		MODERATELY Easier Policy		EAS	JCH SIER LICY
	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/												
VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS	124	100.0	9	7.3	50	40.3	65	52.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN	123	100.0	2	1.6	19	15.4	101	82.2	1	0.8	0	0.0
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES												
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125	100.0	3	2.4	42	33.6	80	64.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	125	100.0	2	1.6	25	20.0	98	78.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS	125	100.0	5	4.0	27	21.6	93	74.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	125	100.0	9	7.2	36	28.8	78	62.4	2	1.6	0	0.0
	ANSWERING QUESTION		CONSIDE LES WILL	S	MODER: LE: Wil			TIALLY Anged	MODER: Moi Wili		CONSIDE Mor Will	E
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	RANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT	BANKS	PCT
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES	125	100.0	0	0.0	28	22.4	90	72.0	7	5.6	0	0.0
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124	100.0	0	0.0	3	2.4	108	87.1	11	8.9	2	1.6
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123	100.0	2	1.6	9	7.3	99	80.5	13	10.6	0	0.0
MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	122	100.0	5	1.6	12	9.8	103	84.5	5	4.1	0	0.0
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	123	100.0	2	1.6	12	9.8	97	78.8	12	9.8	0	0.0
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPONDENT BANKS	124	100.0	0	0.0	13	10.5	106	85.5	5	4.0	0	0.0
LOANS TO BROKERS	123	100.0	3	2.4	14	11.4	99	80.5	6	4,9	1	0.8

^{2/} FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS 1/
(STATUS OF POLICY ON FEBRUARY 15. 1973. COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
(NUMBER OF BANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL BANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)

SIZE OF BANK -- TOTAL DEPOSITS IN BILLIONS

	TOTAL		MU STRO	CH NGER	MODERATELY STRONGER		ESSENTIALLY Unchanged		MODERATELY Weaker		MUCH Weaker	
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION)	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	S1 & OVER	UNDER \$1
COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO	100	100	20	7	69	63	9	30	2	0	0	0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 MONTHS	100	100	17	6	74	77	9	17	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL		MUCH Firmer		MODERATELY FIRMER		ESSENTIALLY Unchanged		MODERATELY Easier			UCH SIER
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & Over	UNDER \$1	S1 & OVER	UNDER \$1
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	100	100	13	8	63	73	24	19	0	0	0	0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	100	100	6	6	44	37	50	56	0	1	0	0
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	100	100	2	7	17	23	81	70	0	0	0	0
MATURITY OF TERM LOAMS	100	100	0	1	17	20	79	75	4	4	0	0
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN APPLICATI	ONS											
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	100	100	2	0	17	14	81	85	0	1	0	0
NEW CUSTOMERS	100	100	9	6	31	38	60	53	0	3	0	0
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	100	100	2	0	13	14	83	83	2	3	0	0
NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	100	100	7	7	30	35	61	57	2	1	0	0

^{1/} SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 54 LARGE BANKS (DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE) AND 71 SMALL BANKS (DEPOSITS OF LESS THAN \$1 BILLION) REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF FEBRUARY 15, 1973.

	NUMBER Answering Question		SIZE OF BANK MUCH FIRMER POLICY		TOTAL DEF Moderately Firmer Policy		POSITS IN BILLI ESSENTIALLY Unchanged Policy		ONS Moderately Easier Policy		EA	UCH SIER OLICY
	S1 &	UNDER \$1	51 & Over	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	S1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/		-				-•	31 <u>L</u> II	••	O V E K		OVER	31
VALUE AS DEPUSITOR OR Source of collateral business	100	100	6	9	35	44	59	47	0	0	0	0
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN	100	100	2	1	19	13	77	86	2	o	0	0
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES												
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:												
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	100	100	2	3	28	38	70	59	0	0	0	0
COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES	100	100	2	1	20	20	78	79	0	0	0	0
ENFORCEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS	100	100	0	7	55	51	78	72	0	C	0	0
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	100	100	6	8	24	32	70	57	0	3	0	0
	NUMBER ANSWERING QUESTION		CONSIDE LE: WIL		LE	ATELY SS LING		TIALLY	MO	RATELY RE LING		FRARLY RF Ling
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	S1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	%1 & OVER	UNDEH \$1	\$1 & OVER	UNDER \$1	SI & OVER	UNDER \$1
TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES	100	100	0	0	13	30	85	62	2	8	0	0
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	100	100	٥	0	0	4	90	85	8	10	2	1
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	100	100	Q	3	5	11	85	78	13	8	o	0
MULTI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOAMS	100	100	5	1	4	14	86	84	8	1	0	0
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS	100	100	0	3	6	13	84	74	10	10	0	0
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPONDENT BANKS	100	100	0	0	9	11	87	85	4	4	0	0
LOANS TO BROKERS	100	100	4	1	9	13	78	84	9	1	0	1

^{2/} FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

TABLE 3

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/ STATUS OF POLICY ON FEBRUARY 15: 1973 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER (NUMBER OF BANKS)

	ALL DSTS	BOS- TON	TOTAL	EW YOR		PHIL-	CLEVE-	RICH-	ATLAN- TA	CHIC-	ST. LOUIS	MINNE-	KANS. CITY	DAL- LAS	SAN Fran
STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AFTER ALLOWAN BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATION	ICE FOR														
COMPARED TO 3 MONTHS AGO	125														
MUCH STRONGER MODERATELY STRONGER ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED MODERATELY WEAKER MUCH WEAKER	16 82 26 1	1 6 1 0 0	7 9 4 0	4 5 0 0	3 4 4 0 0	1 5 0 0	0 5 5 1 0	1 9 2 0 0	1 5 4 0	1 13 1 0	1 7 1 0 0	0 2 1 0 0	2 5 2 0 0	0 5 4 0	1 11 0 0
ANTICIPATED DEMAND NEXT THREE MONTHS	125														
MUCH STRONGER MODERATELY STRONGER ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED MODERATELY WEAKER MUCH WEAKER	13 95 17 0 0	6 0 0	3 15 2 0 0	1 8 0 0	2 7 2 0 0	1 5 0 0	0 9 2 0 0	0 9 3 0	2 6 2 0 0	3 11 1 0 0	0 7 2 0 0	0 3 0 0	1 6 2 0 0	0 7 2 0 0	1 11 0 0
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES															
TERMS AND CONDITIONS															
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	13 86 26 0	1 6 1 0 0	1 18 1 0 0	0 8 1 0	1 10 0 0	1 4 1 0	2 3 6 0	1 8 3 0	3 6 1 0	2 8 5 0	1 6 2 0 0	0 3 0 0	1 6 2 0 0	0 7 2 0	0 11 2 0 0
COMPENSATING BALANCES	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	7 50 67 1 0	1 4 3 0 0	1 11 8 0	0 5 4 0	1 6 4 0	1 2 3 0 0	2 1 7 1 0	0 4 8 0	1 5 4 0	0 3 12 0 0	0 3 6 0	0 2 1 0	1 3 5 0 0	0 6 3 0	0 6 7 0

^{1/} SURVEY OF LENDING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF FEBRUARY 15. 1973.

	ALL DSTS	BOS-	TOTAL	EW YOR		PHIL- ADEL.	CLEVE-		ATLAN-			MINNE-		DAL-	SAN
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL Businesses	00,0	1011	IOIAL	C1)) 0	OISIDE	MDEL.	LAND	MOND	TA	AGO	LOUIS	APOLIS	CITY	LAS	FRAN
TERMS AND CONDITIONS															
STANDARDS OF CREDIT WORTHINESS	125														
MUCH FIRMEH POLICY MUDERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	6 25 94 0	0 4 4 0	1 6 13 0	0 2 7 0	1 4 6 0	1 1 4 0	0 3 8 0	0 0 12 0	2 6 0	1 2 12 0 0	0 1 8 0	0 0 3 0	1 3 5 0	0 2 7 0	0 1 12 0 0
MATURITY OF TERM LOANS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	23 96 5	0 3 5 0	0 10 10 0	0 5 4 0	0 5 6 0	0 2 4 0	0 0 11 0	0 1 11 0 0	1 2 7 0 0	0 0 15 0	0 1 7 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 1 7 1	0 2 6 1	0 0 11 2 0
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOAN	S														
ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	1 19 104 1 0	0 2 6 0	0 4 16 0	0 2 7 0	0 2 9 0	1 2 3 0	0 1 10 0	0 0 12 0	0 3 7 0	0 0 15 0	0 2 7 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 1 8 0	0 1 7 1 0	0 2 11 0
NEW CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	9 44 70 2 0	1 5 2 0 0	1 12 7 0	0 5 4 0	1 7 3 0	2 2 0 0	0 2 9 0	0 2 9 1 0	3 2 5 0	0 6 9 0	1 1 7 0	0 1 2 0	1 5 3 0	0 4 4 1 0	0 2 11 0
LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	1 17 104 3 0	0 0 8 0 0	0 5 15 0	0 2 7 0	0 3 8 0	1 3 2 0 0	0 1 9 1 0	0 0 11 1 0	0 3 7 0	0 0 15 0	0 2 7 0	0 0 3 0	0 1 8 0	0 1 8 0	0 1 11 1 0

	ALL OSTS	BOS-	NE TOTAL (W YOR		PHIL- ADEL.	CLEVE-	RICH-	ATLAN-	CHIC=	ST.	MINNE-	KANS. CITY	DAL- Las	SAN Fran
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES	•		,,,,,,		-,5152	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	EANO	MO110	•	AGO	20013	MFOLIS	CITY	LAS	FRAN
REVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LOANS	S														
NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUST	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	9 41 73 2 0	1 2 5 0 0	2 7 11 0 0	0 2 7 0	2 5 4 0	1 3 2 0 0	0 4 7 0	0 5 7 0	3 5 0 0	0 4 11 0	1 2 5 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	1 4 0 0	0 3 6 0	0 4 8 1 0
FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 2/															
VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS	124														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	9 50 65 0	2 3 0 0	0 9 9 2	0 3 6 0	2 6 3 0	1 2 3 0	2 6 0 0	0 6 0	0 6 4 0	0 5 10 0	1 4 4 0	0 1 2 0 0	1 2 5 0	0 • 5 0	0 5 8 0
INTENDED USE OF LOAN	123														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	19 101 1	0 4 4 0	0 3 17 0	0 2 7 0	0 1 10 0	0 1 5 0 0	0 0 11 0	0 0 12 0 0	0 4 6 0 0	2 1 12 0 0	0 0 9 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 2 5 0 0	0 2 7 0	0 1 11 1 0
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES															
TERMS AND CONDITIONS															
INTEREST RATES CHARGED	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	3 42 80 0	0 5 3 0	0 6 14 0	0 3 6 0	0 3 8 0	1 2 3 0 0	0 3 8 0	0 4 8 0	2 4 0 0	0 2 13 0	0 3 6 0	0 2 1 0 0	0 3 6 0	0 3 6 0	0 5 8 0

^{2/} FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

	ALL	BQS-		EW YO	ORK OUTSIDE	PHIL-	CLEVE-	RICH-	ATLAN- TA			MINNE-		DAL-	SAN
LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES	.	, 0	,0,,,,	•••	0013102	ADEL.	LAND	MUNU	TA	AGO	LOUIS	APOLIS	CITY	LAS	FRAN
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:															
SIZE OF COMPENSATING BALANCES	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	O	0	0	Ó
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY	25 98	3 5	, 5 1 E	3	2	0	2	2	4	. 1	0	2	1	3	2
MODERATELY EASIER POLICY	ő	ő	15 0	6	9	5 0	9	10	5 0	14	9	1 0	8 0	6	11
MUCH EASIER POLICY	0	0	0	Ö	Ō	Ö	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	ŏ
ENFORCEMENT OF Balance reguirement	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	5	0	1	O	1	0	0	o	3	0	0	0	1	o	٥
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	27	3	10	5	5	0	2	2	2	0	2	ì	ō	3	2
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY MODERATELY EASIER POLICY	93 0	5 0	9	4	5 0	6	9	10	5 0	15	7	2	8	6	11
MUCH EASIER POLICY	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0	ó	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES	125														
MUCH FIRMER POLICY	9	0	1	0	1	1	٥	1	2	•	,	۸	,	•	_
MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY	36	2	6	ĭ	5	i	š	6	i	1 5	2	5	1 3	3	g 2
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY		5	13	8	5	4	8	5	6	9	6	ī	5	5	11
MODERATELY EASIER POLICY MUCH EASIER POLICY	2	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
				Ť	•	•	·	·	•	U	v	·	U	V	V
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS															
TERM LOAMS TO BUSINESSES	125														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	28	4	4	0	4	0	1	1	3	5	ž	2	6	3	ŏ
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED Moderately more willing	90 7	3 1	16	9	7	6	10	10	7	15	6	į	3	5	11
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	ó	ō	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	9
	124			•	•	•	•	•	•	v	v	•	v	V	V
CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS	124														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	٥	٥	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING Essentially unchanged	3	0 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	108 11	í	17 1	8	9 1	5 1	10 1	11	9	14	7	3	8		9
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	2	ō	i	ŏ	i	ò	0	1 0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3 1
								-		-	-	-	-	-	-

A-11

NUMBER OF BANKS

125

	ALL DSTS	BOS-	N TOTAL	EW YOR		PHIL-	CLEVE-	RICH-	ATLAN-	CHIC-	ST.	MINNE-		DAL-	SAN
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS	B5(5	, 011	10,45		OISIDE	AUEL.	EAND	HONO	1 A	AGU	LUUIS	APOLIS	CITY	LAS	FRAN
SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	123														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	o	0	0	Q	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	99	5		0	2 8	Ů,	0	1	0	1	2 6	0 3	2 7	1	0
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	13	3	2 14 2	0 6 1	٦	0 6 0	0 9 2	1 10 1	0 7 1	1 14 0	6	3	7	8	10 3
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	10	ō	ō	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ō	ō	Ö	0	ō	0	Ö	0	3 0
MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS	122														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	2	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	12	1	2 14	0	2 8	0 6 0	1 10 0	1	2 7 0	1 12 1	0	1 2 0	1	1	1
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	103	5	14	6	8	6	10	11	7	12	0 9 0	2	1 8 0	8	11
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Ō	0	0	1
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	V	Ų	U	u	u	V	V	U	V	0	U	0	0	0	0
ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LOAMS	123														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	12	1	2	Q	2 8	٥	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	ī	ì
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	97	4	2 14 2	0 6 1	8	0 6 0	9 2	1 10 1	1 8 0	11	1 7 1	1 2 0	2 7	8	11
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	12	3	2	1	1	0	2	I	0	1 11 2	1	0	0	Ò	ì
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó
PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPONDENT BANKS	124														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	Q	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	٥	0	0	٥	^	٨
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	13	1	4	Ō	4	ī	ž	Ŏ	Ō	1	ĭ	ŏ	3	n	Ď
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	106	6	16 0	0 9 0	7	1 5 0	2 9 0	0 12	0 10 0	1 14	1 7	0	3 5	Ř	11
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	ō	ō	1	Ž
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	Ŏ	ō
LOANS TO BROKERS	123														
CONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING	3	0	1	0	1	Ó	٥	٥	0	,	0	,	۵	•	
MODERATELY LESS WILLING	14	1	3	ì	Š	2	ī	ī	Ŏ	î	ĭ	ō	ž	ž	ŏ
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED	99	6	3 15 1	1 8 0	2 7 1	2	1 9 1	1 11 0	10	12	7	0 2	2 5 0	7	11
MODERATELY MORE WILLING	6	1	1	0	i	0	1	Ō	Ö	ī	7	ō	ō	Ó	2
CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING	1	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	Ō	1	Ō	Ō	ō	ō

APPENDIX B: ESTIMATED EFFECT OF THE RECENT DEVALUATION ON THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX*

A fairly accurate estimate of the direct effects of the recent U.S. devaluation and other exchange rate changes on domestic prices paid by U.S. consumers would require the following information: (1) the expected change in price at the retail level of major types of imports resulting from the devaluation; (2) the share of imports in total domestic expenditures of the major items included in the consumer price index (CPI); (3) the relative importance in the CPI of the items that would be affected by import price changes. The difficulty with this technique is that it requires an estimation of the effect of the devaluation on prices of various categories of imports. It is not feasible to make systematic calculations along these lines without undertaking an extended study that would assemble data not available at this time.

However, an alternative rough estimate can be obtained by assuming that the expected change in import prices resulting from the devaluation will be the same for all categories of goods, and then applying this estimated price change to the share of imports in total consumer expenditures.

For example, the estimated retail value of imports of consumer goods in 1972 was equal to about 6 percent of total final consumer expenditures, including services, and 10 percent of the total excluding services. If import prices, across the board, were to rise by 5 percent (two-thirds of the estimated 8 percent average recent appreciation of foreign currencies), then the total CPI index would rise by roughly .3 percent (6 percent x 5 percent). For goods alone the increase would be slightly higher, or roughly .5 percent (10 percent x 5 percent).

If personal consumption expenditures (PCE) in 1973 were an estimated \$800 billion, then the additional cost to the consumer would be roughly \$2-1/2 billion (\$800 billion x .3 percent).

These estimated price effects are the initial or direct effects. They do not reflect the working through into final products of higher prices of imported industrial materials and capital equipment used in the production of domestic goods. Also, in view of the current high level of domestic economic activity and the appearance of supply problems in some industries, additional pressure on U.S. prices may result from acceleration in the volume of exports and an increase in the demand for domestic goods as U.S. consumers shift from foreign goods as a result of the devaluation. In addition, to the extent that imports had been a restraining influence on domestic prices of similar products, a rise in import prices may result in a corresponding rise

in such domestic prices. These effects are difficult to quantify. But it is quite likely that these effects could be sizable, possibly equaling the direct effects indicated above.

We understand that the Department of Labor (BLS) is attempting to determine the importance of imports in those categories of goods for which they collect price information. A number of import items are specifically identified in the WPI; these make up about 1-1/2 percent of prices collected for the WPI. Most of the items are foodstuffs or crude materials -- bananas, coffee, pepper, natural rubber, tin, iron ore, etc. There are a few finished products such as foreign passenger cars, tape recorders, and radios. Except for cars, they have relatively little weight in the WPI.

In the last few months BLS has initiated work that will identify the share of imports in a number of individual CPI categories. In the past, BLS specifications of the commodities included in the CPI have been generic, i.e., by type of good, rather than by place of production. If BLS is successful in collecting this new information, it may then be possible to make a more definitive estimate as outlined in the first paragraph.

^{*}Prepared by Daniel Roxon, Senior Economist, Division of International Finance.

APPENDIX C: SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS*

The January 31 Survey of Bank Loan Commitments showed a moderation in the rate of growth of outstanding unused commitments at the 42 banks reporting the volume of such obligations. (Table 1A) Furthermore, commitment policies firmed dramatically in the face of widespread expectations of rises in takedowns over the next three months and reduced fund availability. (Tables 2 and 3)

The percentage growth in total unused commitments--3.4 per cent over the three month interval--was at a considerably lower pace than recorded in previous Surveys. 1/ This can be traced to the lowest rate of growth in two years, 1.7 per cent, in unused commitments to make C&I loans. Within the commercial and industrial category, unused commitments were marked by a substantial percentage rise in commitments to make term loans--continuing the rises noted in earlier Surveys. The total increase, though, was dampened by a more modest increase in revolving credits and confirmed lines.

The low rate of growth in the total C&I category, however, was partly offset by a vigorous rise in commitments to nonbank financial institutions and a high rate of increase in mortgage commitments. The growth in both these areas probably reflected the continued strong level of construction activity and a build-up in commitments to finance the seasonal upturn in construction in the spring.

For commitments of nonbank financial institutions, the "all other" component--for savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, and mortgage and insurance companies--showed by far the greatest growth. This was accompanied by a fast rate of increase in commitments

^{1/} After adjustments for a break in series on October 31, 1972.

^{*} Prepared by Richard Puckett, Senior Economist, and Virginia Lewis, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

for mortgage warehousing. Both components, of course, are closely linked to construction activity. Some build-up in commitments in the "all other" category may be connected with attempts to arrange for stand-by sources of funds in case of possible disintermediation.

The patterns shown for new commitments and takedowns, expirations, and cancellations were generally consistent with the movements in previous Surveys and, moreover, were not out of line with the most recent growth in unused commitments. (Tables 1B, 1C)

Given the history of appreciable rises in total unused commitments and widespread expectations of rises in takedowns over the next three months, commitment policies firmed according to 21 of the 48 respondents. (Table 2) With heavy loan demands and decreases in liquidity at commercial banks, it seems reasonable to expect further tightening of commitment policies.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS *1 (AS OF JAN. 31, 1973)

TABLE 1A UNUSED COMMITMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN BILLIONS)

	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	
	AS	OF	AS	OF !	AS	OF	AS	OF I	AS	OF	AS	OF [AS OF		AS OF	
	JAN.	31	OCT.	31	JUL.	. 31 l	APR.	. 30 l	JAN.	31	GCT.	29	JUL.	31	APR.	30
	19	73	19	72 *31	19	72		72	19	72	19	71		71	19	
	AMT	% CHG	TMA	% CHG I	AMT	& CHG I	TMA	% CHG	AMT	% CHG 1	AMT	% CHG	L_AMI	% CHG	AMT	Z CHG
NUMBER OF BANKS	42	! !	42 	; ;	42	 	42	i I	42	1 1	42	 	42	l l	42 	
UNUSED COMMITMENTS	82.0	3.4	79.4	4.6	75.9	5.3	72.1	2.5	70.3	5.0	66.9	2.9	65.1	4.7	62.1	6.4
C & I FIRMS	60.81	1.71		4.6				2.7		3.9		2.4		•	-	6.5
NONBK FINAN INSTS	15.3	7.0	14.3	2.71	13.9	6.3	13.1	1.0	13.0	6.7	12.2	4.1	11.7	3.3	11.3	3.0
REAL ESTATE MORTG	5.9	12.5	5.3	10.7	4.7	8.9	4.4	5.9	4.11	13.6	3.61	6.2	3.4	1.1	3.41	18.0
MEMO: CONSTRUCTION LDANS INCL ABOVE	4.7	16.7	4-1	8.2	3.8	8.7	3.51	8.2	3.2	9.2	2.91	1.0	2.9	5.4	2.7	18.8
LOPING LINGS ADOVE	i	i	i	i	i	i	i		i	i	i	i	i	i	i	
COMMERCIAL & INDUST	į	į	į	į	į	į	į	į		į	į	į	İ	į	į	
FIRMS	!	10.01	_ !	22 (!	!	!				!	10.0	, , ,	()	!	14.0
TERM LOANS	3.4	10.0		22.41	2.6			5.0		-6.6				-		16.9
REVOLVING CREDITS	14.9	2.01		4.1				-3.1		2.7		1.9	-			1.2 1.5
TOT: TERM & REV *2 CONFIRMED LINES	19.01 37.21	3.4		6.9 2.7		4.9 4.8				1.2 5.6		3.9 1.7		5.2		8.5
OTHER COMMITMENTS	4.61	-0.1	4.61	11.31	4.11					2.3	•	1.4		17.71		15.4
OTHER COMMITMENTS	4.0	-0.11	4.01	11.5	701	3 4 4 1	4.0	2,41	3.01	2 • 3	3011	1.44	3.01	11011	2.1	17.7
NONBANK FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS	į	İ	i	i	i	İ	i			i	i	i		i	i	
FINANCE COMPANIES	8.61	2.91	8.41	0.91	8.3	2.21	8.11	0.7	8.1	5.8	7.7	5 - 2	7.3	1.9	7.1	0.0
MTGE WAREHOUSING	2.4	8.4	2.21	0.41	2.2	8.2	2.01	5.1	1.9	2.71	1.91	5.0	1.8	10.6	1.61	-1.6
ALL CTHER	4.3	15.5	3.7	11-1	3.4	13.9	2.9	-0.8	3.0	12.2	2.6	0.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	16.0
REAL ESTATE MORTGES RESIDENTIAL	2.21	19.0	1.8	-8•1	2.0	12.0	1.8	13.5	1.6	16.6	1.3	13.7	1.2	12.3	1.0	17.7
OTHER	3.7	9.0								11.8		2.3				

^{*1} BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEFOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE.

** NOTE: MINOR INCONSISTENCIES MAY OCCUR IN FIGURES DUE TO ROUNDING. **

^{*2} THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIOUS TWO ITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT ONLY TOTALS.

^{*3} GROWTH RATES MAY BE MISLEADING SINCE A BREAK IN SERIES OCCURRED AT THIS TIME.

CUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS *1 (AS OF JAN. 31, 1973)

TABLE 1B NEW COMMITMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN BILLIONS)

	(1		. (2	2) [(3	3)	(4	4) [(5	5)	1 (6	5)	(7	7)	(8	3)
	AS	OF	AS	OF I	AS	OF	AS	OF	A S	GF 1	AS	CF !	l AS	CF !	AS	CF
	JAN.	31	OCT.	, 31	JUL.	. 31	APR.	. 30	JAN.	31	OCT.	. 29	JUL.	. 31	APR.	. 30
		73		972 *3 		972 I		72		72		71		71		71
	AMT	Z CHG	_AMT	& CHG I	AMT	2 CHG	_AMTJ	E CHG	L_AMT	% CHG	IMA	% CHG	_AMT	% CHG	AMT	% CHG
NUMBER OF BANKS	42	ļ	42		42		42		42		42		42		42	
GRAND TOTAL	i	i					1									
NEW COMMITMENTS	29.4	5.3	28.0	-23.6	36.6	35.3	27.0	15.6	23.4	10.3	21.2	-39.4	35.0	43.0	24.5	16.0
C & I FIRMS	21.8	3.1		-24.9								-38.7				17.7
NCNBK FINAN INSTS	4.8	13.3	4.3	-31.6	6.3	33.3	4.7	29.9	3.6	25.5	2.9	-48.1	5.5	37.8	4.0	6.4
REAL ESTATE MORTG	2.8	9.8	2.5	16.0	2.2	12.3	1.9	23.9	1.6	1.9	1.5	-24.9	2.1	29.7	1.6	22.9
MEMO: CONSTRUCTION	1.8	9.31	1.7	26.61	1.3	10.0	1.2	11.7	1-1	4.8	1.0	-24.2	1.41	16.41	1.2	21.8
LCANS INCL ABOVE	ļ			!	ļ		Ì			į į]		l	ļ	
COMMERCIAL & INDUST		 			!] 	i 	; 	
TERM LOANS	4.01	34.8	2.9	-17.4	3.6	61.2	2.2	10.9	2.01	-8,8	2.2	17.3	1.9	-0.1	1.9	24.5
REVOLVING CREDITS	5.4	-0.6	5.4	-1.8	5.5	11.6	4.9	11.7	4.4	17.6	3.8	-44.6	6.8	40.81	4.8	-6.7
TCT: TERM & REV *2	9.5	10.2	8.6	-7.5	9.3	26.7	7.3	12.1	6.5	5.4	6.2	-30.4	8.9	29.2	6.9	1.1
CONFIRMED LINES	10.8	-2.3	11.0	-36.91	17.5	48.3	11.8	18.7	9.91	4.8	9.5	-36.6	14.9	35.1	11.1	30.5
CTHER COMMITMENTS	1.6	2.8	1.5	10.0	1.4	8.2	1,3	-25.8	1.7	57.0	1.1	-68.9	3.5	291.1	0.9	25•4
NONBANK FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS	 	 	! 										 		! !	
FINANCE COMPANIES	2.1	11.1	1.9	-46.21	3.6	42.7	2.5	51.5	1.7	-0.4	1.7	-52.6	3.5	63.6	2.2	-4.4
MTGE WAREHOUSING	1.0				1.0	3.9	0.9	1.9	0.9	65.9	0.5	-40.1	0.9	46.2	0.6	
ALL OTHER	1.7	11.3	1.5	-9. 2	1.7	36.2	1.3	19,7	1.0	57.1	0.7	-40.3	1.1	-10.7	1.2	55.0
REAL ESTATE MORTGES	!	!														
RESIDENTIAL	1.1											-13.0	•			
OTHER	1.6	10.0	1.5	38.0	1.1	3.6	1.0	28.3	0.81	6.0	0.8	-33.9	1.2	16.7	1.0	12.0

^{*1} BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE.

^{*2} THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIOUS TWO LITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT ONLY TOTALS.

^{*3} GROWTH RATES MAY BE MISLEADING SINCE A BREAK IN SERIES OCCURRED AT THIS TIME.

^{**} NOTE: MINOR INCONSISTENCIES MAY OCCUR IN FIGURES DUE TO ROUNDING. **

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS *1 (AS OF JAN. 31, 1973)

TABLE 1C TAKEDOWNS, EXPIRATIONS, AND CANCELLATIONS #2

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN BILLIONS)

	(1)		1 (2) 1		[(3) [(4	•)	1 (5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	
	AS	13	AS	CF I	AS	CF	A.S	OF 1	AS	OF I	AS	OF	L AS		AS	
	.WAL		DCT.		JUL.	. 31	APR.	30	JAN.	31	DCT	29	JUL.		APR.	_
	19	73		9 7 2 *5		772	19	72	19	772	19	71	19	71		71
	AMT	X CHG	AMI	S CHG	TMA	X CHG I	AMT	X CHG	AMT	7 CHG	L_AMT_1	% CHG	TMA	% CHG	_	%_CHG*3
	1	j	1			1	1	1	i i j		1		1		1	
NUMBER OF BANKS	42	ļ	42		42		42		42	•	42		42		42	
TOTAL TAKEDOWNS	26.8	24.61	24.5	23.6	32.8	30.2	25.2	25.9	20.1	22.2l	19.3	22.4	32.0	33.0	20.71	0.0
C & I FIRMS	20.81	25.51														0.0
NONBK FINAN INSTS	3.81		3.9						•							0.0
REAL ESTATE MORTG	2.1	26.5								20.7						0.0
MEMC: CONSTRUCTION	1.2	19.7							,		:			29.6		0.0
LOANS INCL ABOVE	ı	į	į	Ì		ľ	i		i i					£, ¥0		•••
	1	i			i i	i i	j	Ì	i		i		i i	i	i	
COMMERCIAL & INDUST	t	١)]	i j	į,		j	i	i		i i	i	i	
FIRMS	1	١	1			i t	!	ĺ	i	i	ĺ		i	i	i	
TERM LOANS	3.61				3.2	55.91	2.11	48.3	2.1	50.0	1.8	44.0	1.7	47.0	1.6	0.0
REVOLVING CREDITS	5.1	25 -4	4.8				5.4	28.4	4.11	22.5	3.51	20.5	6.41	32.4	4.7	0.0
TOT: TERM & REV #4	8.9	31.8						31.7	6.3	27.5	5.61	25.3	8.4	34.7	6.7	6.0
CONFIRMED LINES	10.4	21.8							8.2	20.1	9.0	22.4	13.4	30.6	8.8	0.0
OTHER COMMITMENTS	1.6	25.6	1.1	18.8	1.3	23.4	1.11	21.3	1.6	30.2	1.0	22.1	3.01	45.01	0.51	0.0
NONBANK FINANCIAL	ļ.						ļ	Į	ł 1	j	i 1	i	i i	I	i i	
INSTITUTIONS	!	!				l	- 1	- 1	† 1	1	i 1			i	- 1	
FINANCE COMPANIES	1.9						2.5							31.7	2.21	0.0
MTGE WAREHOUSING	0.8	24.9					0.81								0.71	0.0
ALL CTHER	1-1	إ ١٠٥١	1.2	24.0	1.3	27.9	1.3	30.2	0.71	19.5	0.7	19.9	1.0	28 • 4	0.91	0.0
REAL ESTATE MORTGES							. !	ı		ļ			ļ	ļ	ļ	
	0.01	יי איי	1 2	1 20 7		30.0		00.4							!	
RESIDENTIAL OTHER	0.8	,	1,2				0.7							•	0.41	0.0
UINEK	1.3	26.4	0.8	19.5	0.9	24.8	1.01	28.2	0.5	17.6	0.7	23.9	1.3	36.0	0.61	0.0

^{*1} BANKS PARTICIPATING IN THE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY -- MAINLY BANKS WITH TOTAL DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE.

^{*2} FOR THIS TABLE THE PERCENTAGE CHANGE COLUMN CONTAINS THE RATIO OF TAKEDOWNS TO AVAILABLE COMMITMENTS; EXPRESSED AS A PERCENTAGE. (AVAILABLE COMMITMENTS = UNUSED COMMITMENTS FROM THE PREVIOUS QUARTER + NEW COMMITMENTS IN THE CURRENT QUARTER).

^{*3} PERCENTAGE CHANGE NOT COMPUTED FOR THIS QUARTER DUE TO THE SIZE CONSTRAINTS OF THE MATRIX.

^{*4} THE TOTAL MAY EXCEED THE SUM OF THE PREVIOUS TWO ITEMS SINCE SOME BANKS REPORT ONLY TOTALS.

^{*5} GROWTH RATES MAY BE MISLEADING SINCE A BREAK IN SERIES OCCURRED AT THIS TIME.

^{**} NOTE: MINOR INCONSISTENCIES MAY OCCUR IN FIGURES DUE TO ROUNDING. **

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS (AS OF JAN. 31, 1973)

TABLE 2: VIEWS ON COMMITMENT POLICY

	(1) JAN. 31 1973	(2) OCT. 31 1972	(3) JULY 31 1972	(4) APR. 30 1972	(5) JAN. 31 1972	(6) OCT. 29 1971	(7) JULY 31 1971	(8) APR. 30 1971
TOTAL NUMBER OF BANKS RESPONDING:	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48
UNUSED COMMITMENTS IN THE PAST THREE MONTHS HAVE:								
RISEN RAPIDLY	2	1	2	0	1	0	1	5
RISEN MODERATELY	23	24	17	20	22	25	19	25
REMAINED UNCHANGED	17	19	17 21	21	19	15	19	25 12
DECLINED MODERATELY	6	4	8	7	6	8	9	6 0
DECLINED RAPIDLY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAKEDOWNS IN THE NEXT THREE MONTHS SHOULD: RISE RAPIDLY RISE MODERATELY REMAIN UNCHANGED DECLINE MODERATELY DECLINE RAPIDLY	4 33 11 0 0	0 28 20 0	0 26 21 1 0	0 26 20 2 0	0 14 28 6 0	0 13 31 4	0 16 31 1 0	0 13 33 2 0
COMMITMENT POLICY COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS AGO IS: MUCH MORE RESTRICTIVE SOMEWHAT MORE RESTRICTIVE UNCHANGED LESS RESTRICTIVE MUCH LESS RESTRICTIVE	0 21 25 1	0 5 40 3 0	0 1 42 5 0	0 1 44 3 0	0 0 34 13 1	0 0 37 11 0	0 2 37 9	0 1 25 21 1

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF BANK LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE U.S. BANKS (AS OF JAN. 31, 1973)

TABLE 3 EXPLANATION OF CHANGES IN NEW COMMITMENT POLICY

	(1) JAN- 31 1973	(2) OCT. 31 1972	(3) JULY 31 1972	(4) APR. 30 1972	(5) JAN. 31 1972	(6) UCT. 29 1971	(7) JULY 31 1971	(8) APR. 3C 1971
INDICATED CHANGE:								
MORE RESTRICTIVE: INCREASED DEMAND REDUCED FUNDS BOTH	21 6 7 8	5 4 0 1	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 1 0	1 0 0 1
LESS RESTRICTIVE: INCREASED FUNDS DECREASED DEMAND BOTH	2 2 0 0	3 0 3 0	5 1 2 2	3 2 1 0	14 2 3 9	11 0 5	9 5 2 2	22 7 4 11