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## MONETARY POLICY ALTERNATIVES

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee
By the staff Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

## MONETARY POLICY ALTERNATIVES

## Recent developments

(1) Though showing only a very slight rise on balance over the last two months, M1 grew over the March-to-June period at a 4 percent annual rate, somewhat above the Comittee's 3 percent short-run objective. From the fourth quarter of 1981 to June, M1 is estimated to have increased at a 6.3 percent annual rate, almost 1 percentage point above the upper end of its 1982 range. Following a surge in late 1981 and early 1982 , growth in the $O C D$ component has slowed markedly on balance over the last few months, roughly in line with expectations built into the intermeeting M1 path; this slowing suggests some abatement in precautionary demands for funds.
(2) M2 growth at an 8.7 percent annual rate over March-June also was somewhat above the Committee's second-quarter path, reflecting stronger than expected expansion of its nontransaction component as well as the overshoot in M1. From the fourth quarter of 1981 to June, M2 is estimated to have increased at a 9.3 percent annual rate, just above its longer-run range. The strength in M 2 growth, accompanied by a substantial decline in its income velocity, suggests that enhanced liquidity demands affected this aggregate, as well as M1, over the first half of the year. In June, however, M2 growth slowed substantially.
(3) Bank credit growth is estimated to have slowed markedly in June, after growing at about a 9 percent annual rate over the preceding two months. Business loan growth appears to have remained fairly rapid. Bank issuance of large CDs accelerated sharply in the past two months, sustaining growth in MB-which by June was just above the Committee's

KEY MONETARY POLICY AGGREGATES (Seasonally adjusted, annual rates of growth)


Money and Credit Aggregates

| M1 | 10.7 | -2.1 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 9.3 |
| (Nontransaction component) | 9.7 | 14.5 | 6.1 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.3 |
| M3 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Bank credit | 9.3 | 8.6 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 9.53 | $7.8^{3 /}$ |

Reserve Measures ${ }^{1 /}$

|  | 2/ | 0.5 | 16.0 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 2.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonborrowed reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total reserves | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| Monetary base | 9.2 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.6 |

Memo: (millions of dollars)
Adjustment borrowing $1323 \quad 941983$
Excess reserves $274 \quad 361 \quad 292$
n.a.--not available. pe--partly estimated.

1. Growth rates of reserve measures are adjusted to remove the effects of discontinuties resulting from phased changes in reserve ratios under the Monetary Control Act.
2. Nonborrowed reserves include special borrowing and other extended credit from the Federal Reserve.
3. Measured from December-January average base.

1982 range. Businesses have continued to tap the commercial paper market for sizable volumes of new funds. Bond issuance on domestic markets in May rose to its highest level since last fall, before subsiding more recently as bond yields moved higher.
(4) Total reserves expanded at about a $3 \frac{1}{4}$ percent average annual rate in May and June; with nonborrowed reserves growing at an $8 \frac{1}{2}$ percent rate over the two months, borrowing at the discount window fell about $\$ 340$ million from the April level. Throughout the intermeeting period borrowing has remained above the initial level of $\$ 800$ million specified by the Committee, averaging $\$ 950$ million for the six weeks. Borrowing in excess of the initial level early in the period partly reflected increased needs for borrowed reserves resulting from unexpected shortfalls in reserve factors late in the week as well as apparent difficulties of some large individual institutions in gauging reserve positions. ${ }^{1 /}$ Most recently, nonborrowed paths have implied borrowing around \$1 billion, as money growth above Committee objectives has boosted the demand for total reserves relative to the path for nonborrowed reserves.
(5) The federal funds rate has averaged around $14 \frac{1}{4}$ percent during the two most recent full statement weeks, $2 /$ compared with the $14 \frac{1}{2}$ percent area prevailing at the time of the May FOMC meeting. At the same time, however, other interest rates have risen about $\frac{1}{2}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ percentage points over the intermeeting period. Publication of money stock increases in early June, along with expectations of a further rise in July, affected market sentiment, as did the approach of a period of heavy Treasury financing demands and indications that economic activity was no longer

[^1]declining. Difficulties associated with the failure of Drysdale Government Securities in mid-May and the problems of Comark in early June appear not to have affected the attainment of reserve objectives by the System. However, these episodes have fostered a heightened awareness of differences in credit risk throughout the securities markets, and some changes in quality spreads. Some smaller dealers have had to pay a higher premium for funds.
(6) The rise in U.S. interest rates has contributed to the $7 \frac{3}{4}$ increase in the weighted average value of the dollar since the May FOMC meeting. A secondary factor in the strength of the dollar has been its attractiveness as a safe-haven currency in the context of intensified hostilities in the Middle East. On Saturday, June 12, the European Monetary System realigned its currency band, devaluing the French franc and the lira and revaluing the mark and the guilder. When trading resumed on the Monday following the realignment, exchange markets were judged to be disorderly and the United States intervened for the first time since March 1981, purchasing $\$ 21$ million equivalent of marks and $\$ 9$ million equivalent of yen.

## Longer-run targets

(7) Two options that seem reasonable under current circumstances In reconsidering the monetary aggregate targets for 1982 are retention of the present ranges (shown as alternative A below) or adoption of somewhat higher ranges (shown as alternative B). ${ }^{1 /}$ The desirability of higher ranges depends in part on whether it is thought that the strong demands for liquidity of the first half of the year-reflected in declines in velocity of both M1 and M2--are likely to abate. It also depends, of course, on the degree of monetary restraint the Committee deems appropriate under current economic circumstances and on assessment of the expectational impact on interest rates and the economy of announced changes, if any, in target ranges.

|  | Alt. A | Alt. B |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| M1 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 6 |
| M2 | 6 to 9 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to $9 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| M3 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to 10 |

(8) Whether the Committee retains its current longer-run ranges, or raises them modestly as in alternative $B$, a marked slowing in growth of M1 would be required from the first-half pace of a little over 7 percent at an annual rate (as measured from QIV' 81 to QII ' 82 ). If the Comittee were to aim at 5 percent growth for the year, expansion in the second half would have to slow to around a $2 \frac{3}{4}$ percent annual rate. Second-half growth could

1/ Somewhat the same effect as raising the ranges could be obtained in the case of Ml by rebasing on the lower limit of last year's growth ranges rather than basing on the actual outcome, which would effectively raise the range by 1 percentage point. This had been discussed by the Comittee last February. Whatever the advantages and disadvantages at that time, there is an added disadvantage now because the considerable time that has elapsed since the base period tends to make raising issues in connection with the base seem a bit strained.
be about $4 \frac{3}{4}$ percent at an anual rate if the Comittee were to accept a 6 percent growth for the year.
(9) It is believed that the degree of slowing in Ml growth implied by retention of the current longer-run target ranges is generally consistent with the staff's forecast of a moderate pickup in economic activity in the second half of this year, assuming growth in M1 over the year near the upper limit of its long-run range. Growth in M2 and M3 would be expected to be around the upper end of their ranges. An acceleration in the velocity of monetary aggregates is expected in the second half of the year--in the case of M1 to the $4 \frac{1}{2}$ to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent range. Apart from the positive impact of renewed economic confidence and reduced demands for precautionary balances on velocity growth, more intensive use of cash balances could emerge if there is a spread of sweep accounts or shifts out of cash into the kinds of highly liquid time deposit accounts that are being considered by DIDC at its forthcoming meeting. On the other hand, continued unusual demands for liquidity could damp the expected rebound in velocity, and make it less likely that a significant upturn in economic activity would occur in the context of money growth within the bounds of the existing target ranges.
(10) Factors relevant to the setting of tentative targets for 1983 include expectations about the impact on money demand of changes in financial structure and technology, progress in curbing wage-price pressures, and the continued need to encourage economic recovery. Uncertainties about the impact of financial innovation and public attitudes toward money and other 1iquid assets argue for maintaining relatively wide ranges for targets, such as the present 3 percentage points, Continuing
progress toward price stability suggests that these ranges might be reduced next year, but slower growth in money next year can also be accomplished, of course, within the present ranges.
(11) A reduction in 1983 of the upper and lower limits of the ranges for $M 1, M 2$, and $M 3$ from their current levels by $\frac{1}{2}$ percentage point would be consistent with the staff's GNP projection for that year-if actual growth in the aggregate were permitted to be near the upper limits of the ranges. The table on the following page summarizes implications for economic activity of various monetary policy strategies, as indexed by growth rates for M1. Strategy 1 underlies the staff's judgmental GNP projection, which is based on growth of Ml at a 5 percent rate in 1982, with growth declining by $\frac{1}{2}$ point in each succeeding year. The alternative projections are derived from differences calculated by the quarterly econometric model.
(12) Strategy 2 projects the possible outcome of a modest increase in the target range for money this year, while returning to the strategy 1 assumptions for M1 growth in the next years. This approach tends to have a positive effect on economic activity this year, but it leads to a somewhat higher inflation rate over time and the probability of a noticeable rebound of interest rates in 1983 after a drop in the latter part of this year. This interest rate rebound contributes to a slowing in growth of economic activity in the latter part of the projection period. Strategy 4 contemplates an increase in money growth this year and also more growth than under strategy 2 during the next two years. Economic activity would tend to be stronger over the three projection years, but the rate of price inflation, after falling for a while, begins to accelerate in 1984. The rate of inflation could, of course, pick up

## Economic Profections Associated with Alternative Long-run Monetary Growth Strategies

$1982 \quad \underline{1983}$

Nominal GNP ( $4 \%$, Q4/Q4)

| 1. $5-4 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1 /}{1 /}$ | (judgmenta1) | 5.8 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. $6-4 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{1}$ |  | 6.6 | 7.8 | 6.7 |
| 3. $5-3 \frac{1}{2}-3 \frac{1}{1 /}$ |  | 5.8 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 4. $6-5 \frac{1}{2}-5^{\frac{1}{1}}$ |  | 6.6 | 8.8 | 7.9 |

Real GNP ( $\Delta \%$, Q4/Q4)

| 1. | .6 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| 3. | .6 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 4. | 1.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 |

Implicit Deflator ( $\Delta \%$, Q4/Q4)

| 1. | 5.3 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 3. | 5.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| 4. | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.0 |

Unemployment Rate (Q4)

| 1. | 9.5 | 9.1 | 8.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
| 3. | 9.5 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| 4. | 9.3 | 8.3 | 7.4 |

Treasury Bill Rate (Q4)

| 1. | 11.8 | 12.5 | 11.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 10.6 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
| 3. | 11.8 | 13.7 | 12.6 |
| 4. | 10.6 | 11.3 | 11.3 |

1/ This array of figures represents assumptions about growth in M1 for the years 1982, 1983, and 1984, respectively, measuring growth on a QIV to QIV basis. Additional information on the interest rates believed consistent with strategy l--the Greenbook forecast for 1982-83--may be found in Appendix II.
earlier, with economic activity weaker, should such a monetary course itself have adverse effects on inflationary expectations. Strategy 3, which contemplates a more rapid deceleration in money growth over the next two years following 5 percent growth this year, produces the most rapid progress toward price stability but at the cost of stronger pressures on short-term interest rate and reduced real growth. With all of the monetary strategies, short-term interest rates remain relatively high in real terms. This evolves out of the continued strength in nominal income and associated money demand relative to money supply targets, with nominal income sustained by stimulative fiscal policy of the Federal Government whose credit demands are generally insensitive to interest rates.

## Alternative short-run targets

(13) The table below presents three alternative sets of monetary targets for the third quarter, plus associated ranges for the federal funds rate during the intermeeting period. More detailed data for the alternatives are shown in the table on page 11.

$$
\text { Alt. A Alt. B } \quad \text { Alt. C }
$$

Growth from June to September
Ml $5 \frac{3}{\frac{3}{2}} \quad 4 \quad 2 \frac{1}{2}$
$7 \frac{3}{2}$
Federal funds rate range 10 to 15

11 to 16
12 to 17
(14) Under alternative A, M1 over the next three months would grow at a rate matching that of the upper bound of the Comittee's current longer-run range. Because such a trajectory would mean that there would be no narrowing of the existing absolute gap relative to the top of the range, alternative A might be viewed as especially consistent with a decision to raise the 1982 range or to tolerate a small overshoot. Under alternative $B, M 1$ would expand at a rate that, if sustained, would result in growth for the year at about the $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent upper bound of the longerrun range, while alternative $C$ contemplates growth in MI which would move the aggregate to just within this year's range by September. Under all alternatives, the level of M2 by September would be around its upper limit-a bit above in the case of alternative $A$ and somewhat below in the case of alternative $C$. (See charts on the next two pages).
(15) Under any of the alternatives, growth of M1 in July probably will be relatively strong owing in part to special factors. The introduction of lower income tax withholding schedules tends to boost Ml balances for a while since spending or investing patterns generally take some time

Actual and Targeted M1


Note June level to partly estimated.


Note: June tovele are partly estimated.

Alternative Levels and Growth Rates for Key Monetary Aggregates

|  | M1 |  |  | M2 |  |  | M3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alt. A | Alt. B | Alt. C | Alt. A | Alt. B | Alt. C | A1t. A | A1t. B | Alt. C |
| 1982--April | 452.3 | 452.3 | 452.3 | 1880.7 | 1880.7 | 1880.7 | 2257.9 | 2257.9 | 2257.9 |
| May | 451.5 | 451.5 | 451.5 | 1897.1 | 1897.1 | 1897.1 | 2278.1 | 2278.1 | 2278.1 |
| June | 452.8 | 452.8 | 452.8 | 1905.7 | 1905.7 | 1905.7 | 2293.6 | 2293.6 | 2293.6 |
| July | 456.6 | 456.2 | 455.8 | 1921.0 | 1920.3 | 1919.7 | 2313.1 | 2312.4 | 2311.8 |
| August | 457.2 | 456.2 | 455.2 | 1934.3 | 1932.3 | 1930.3 | 2330.4 | 2328.4 | 2326.4 |
| September | 459.0 | 457.3 | 455.6 | 1945.7 | 1942. 2 | 1938.6 | 2344.2 | 2340.6 | 2337.1 |
| Growth Rates$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982--April | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| May | -2.1 | -2.1 | -2.1 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| June | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| July | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| August | 1.6 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 7.6 |
| September | 4.7 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.5 |
| June-September | 5.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| Growth Rates Quarterly Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982--Q1 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| Q2 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Q3 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.5 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Q4 '81 to September ' 82 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.2 |

to adjust to changes in disposable income. Growth in July is also likely to be increased in some small degree by enlarged social security benefits stemming from this year's COLA which will be paid out just prior to the long July 4 th holiday weekend.
(16) The growth of M1 at a 4 percent pace specified in alternative $B$ for the whole June-September period is not expected to be accompanied by upward interest rate pressures despite the anticipated strengthening in nominal GNP. It seems likely that transaction demands for cash in the third quarter will be satisfied in part by liquidity built up earlier this year. The federal funds rate might be at or somewhat below the $14 \frac{1}{4}$ percent level of recent statement weeks, trading generally in a $13 \frac{1}{2}-14 \frac{1}{4}$ percent range. At the current 12 percent discount rate, adjustment borrowing from the discount window likely would be in the $\$ 800$ million to $\$ 1$ bilion area. With expansion in total reserves at a 5 percent annual rate over the quarter, a nonborrowed reserve path calling for growth at a slightly faster rate would be implied.
(17) Other short-term rates might decline some under this alternative, with the 3 -month bill rate moving back toward $12 \frac{1}{2}$ percent or somewhat lower. Bond yields might also decline a little, but any breakout from the rate range of the past few months probably would require in addition more favorable fiscal policy developments or indications that economic recovery, and associated private capital demands, will be weaker than now generally anticipated. However, Treasury borrowing in the third quarter is currently estimated by the staff at about $\$ 50$ billion, considerably higher than announced to date by the government and possibly more than generally anticipated in the market. Barring a large decline in longer-term rates, corporate bond issues are likely to remain limited.

Business borrowing at banks and in short-term markets may taper off, but, if so, is likely to be replaced by a reduced accumulation of liquid assets, following the apparent second quarter surge. Household bor rowing is likely to remain restrained, owing to the deterrent effects of high real interest rates and lender caution.
(18) Alternative A, which targets faster growth in M1 than alternative $B$, could well produce a fairly substantial decline in money market rates. An increase in total reserves on the order of $6 \frac{1}{2}$ percent from June to September would be consistent with the $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent growth rate specified for M1 during the quarter. The federal funds rate likely would decline to a zone somewhat above the present discount rate, with adjustment borrowing falling into the $\$ 300$ to $\$ 500$ million range. Assuming borrowing were to average about $\$ 400$ million, growth of nonborrowed reserves would be 12 percent.
(19) The easing of the funds market likely to occur under this alternative should result in a substantial lowering of market rates generally. The 3 -month Treasury bill rate probably would fall to around the 11-11/3 percent area and the decline in bank costs of funds would likely push the prime rate down. Mortgage rates would again begin deciining, encouraging moderately stronger loan demand in that sector. Lagging yields on money market funds would tend to strengthen M2 and M3 a bit in the short run as more aggressive money managers shift away from market instruments. In exchange markets, the dollar likely would probably decline substantially; this tendency could be limited to a degree, however, if foreign central banks responded by seeking an easing of their domestic interest rates.
(20) Alternative $C$ sets the most restrictive monetary target, with M1 growth during the third quarter specified at only $2 \frac{1}{2}$ percent.

It seems likely that the more restrained reserve provision consistent with such growth would place further pressure on the money markets, with the federal funds rate moving to 15 percent or a bit higher over the intermeeting periods. Borrowing at the discount window would rise to the vicinity of $\$ 1 \frac{1}{2}$ billion, and nonborrowed reserves would drop at a $1 \frac{3}{2}$ percent annual rate over the quarter.
(21) The firming in the funds market expected under alternative C should be accompanied by moderately higher market rates generally but with the likelihood that commercial paper and CD rates may rise more rapidly than Treasury bill rates as concerns about spreading financial problems are exacerbated. The 3 -month bill rate may be in a 13 to 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ percent range, and 3 -month $C D$ rates could move above 16 percent. Financial pressures would inhibit the upturn in aggregate demand. The bank prime rate likely would rise, as would primary mortgage rates. The dollar probably would come under further substantial upward pressure in exchange markets.

## Directive language

(22) Given below is a suggested operational paragraph for the directive. The specifications adopted at the meeting on May 18 are shown in strike-through form.

In the short run, the Comittee seeks behavior of reserve aggregates consistent with growth of M1 and M2 from Mareh-te June TO SEPTEMBER at annual rates of about 3 __ percent and 8 percent respectively. The-Gommittee-aiso-neted-that-deviations Grom-these-targets-shoułd-be-evaiuated-in-itightof-ehanges-in-the rełative-impertanee-of-NeN-8ecounts-as-a-savings-vehtezes The Chairman may call for Committee consultation if it appears to the Manager for Domestic Operations that pursuit of the monetary objectives and related reserve paths during the period before the next meeting is likely to be associated with a federal
 $\qquad$ percent.

## APPENDIX I

reserve targets and related measures
INTERMEETING PERIOD
(Millions of dollars; not seasonally adjusted)

| Date Reserves ?ath Constructed | Reserve Targets for Intermeeting Period Average |  | Projection of Reserves Demanded for Period Average |  |  | ImpliedAdjustment Borrowing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | For |
|  | Total <br> Reserves | Nonborrowed Reserves |  |  |  | Total <br> Reserves | Required <br> Reserves | Excess <br> Reserves | Period Average | Remaining <br> Statement <br> Weeks 1/ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | 6 -Week Period: May 26 to June 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21 | 39,401 ${ }^{1}$ | 38,6012/3/ | 39,401 | 39,101 | 300 | 800 | 800 |
| 28 | 39,385 $\frac{1}{4}$ | 38,570 $\frac{2}{4} / \frac{3}{5}$ | 39,409 | 39,120 | 289 | 839 | 828 |
| June 4 | 39,355 ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 38,525 $\frac{6}{6 / 71}$ | 39,368 | 39,049 | 319 | 843 | 812 |
| 11 | 39,428 ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 38,567 ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 39,478 | 39,164 | 314 | 911 | 821 |
| 18 | 39,373-/ | 38,512 $\frac{8}{9 /}$ | 39,487 | 39,193 | 293 | 975 | 1014 |
| 25 | 39,373 | 38,513-/ | 39,472 | 39,161 | 311 | 959 | 1014 |

1/ Represents borrowing in remaining statement weeks (as intermeeting period progresses) implied by each weekly updating of the period average nonborrowed reserves path. The movement in implied borrowing represents deviations in total reserves from target as well as any compensation for misses in nonborrowed reserves from target in earlier weeks of the intermeeting period.
2/ Total and nonborrowed reserves paths adjusted downward by $\$ 16$ million due to changes affecting the reserves multiplier.
3/ Nonborrowed reserves path adjusted downward by $\$ 15$ million to offset the increased demand for borrowing in the week of May 26.
4/ Total and nonborrowed reserves paths adjusted downward by $\$ 30$ million due to changes affecting the reserves multiplier.
5/ Nonborrowed reserves path adjusted downward by $\$ 15$ million to offset the increased demand for borrowing in the week of June 2.
6/ Total and nonborrowed reserves paths adjusted upward by $\$ 73$ million due to changes affecting the reserves multiplier.
7/ Nonborrowed reserves path adjusted downward by $\$ 31$ million to offset the increased demand for borrowing in the week of June 9 .
8/ Total and nonborrowed reserves paths adjusted downward by $\$ 55$ million due to changes affecting the reserves multiplier.
9/ Adjustment of reserves paths for available estimates of multiplier changes would have led to a sharp increase in the implied borrowing level just prior to FOMC meeting. To avoid such an increase in the implied level of borrowing, the nonbor rowed reserves path was left essentially unchanged.

|  | Interest Rate Assumptions Underlying the Greenbook GNP Forecast <br> (Quarterly average, percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal funds | 3-month Treasury bil1s | Recently Offered Corporate Bond. | Fixed Rate Mortgage Commitment |
| 1982--Q1 | 14.23 | 12.81 | 15.68 | 17.39 |
| Q2 | 143 | 12-3/8 | 151 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 163 |
| Q3 | 133 | 123 | 153 | 16-5/8 |
| Q4 | 132 | 113 | 15 | 16312 |
| 1983--Q1 | 132 ${ }^{2}$ | 113 | 15 | 163 |
| Q2 | 133 | 12 | 15 | 161/2 |
| Q3 | 14 | 123 | 15 | 163 |
| Q4 | 143 | 123 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 15 | 161 $\frac{1}{2}$ |

NOTE: M1 is assumed to grow 5 percent in 1982 and $4 \frac{3}{2}$ percent in 1983.

Table 1
Selocted Interest Rates
Percent
June 28, 1982

| Pertod | Short-Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Long-Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fecheral funds | Trassury bills |  |  | CDssocondarymarket3-month | comm. paper 3 -month | money market mutual fund | bank prime toan | U.S. government constant malurity yields |  |  | corporate <br> Aas utility rocently offered | munt <br> clpal <br> Bond <br> Buyer | home morteges |  |  |
|  |  | secondary market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | primary conv. |  | socondary market |  |
|  |  | 3-month | 1-yoer | 6 -month |  |  |  |  | 3-year | 10-year | 30-year |  |  | FNMA auction | GNMK socurity |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 1981-High | 20.06 | 16.72 | 15.05 | 15.85 | 18.70 | 18.04 | 17.32 | 20.64 | 16.54 | 15.65 | 15.03 | 17.72 | 13.30 | 18.63 | 19.23 | 17.46 |
| Low | 12.04 | 10.20 | 10.64 | 10.70 | 11.51 | 11.26 | 11.84 | 15.75 | 12.55 | 12.27 | 11.81 | 13.98 | 9.49 | 14.80 | 14.84 | 13.18 |
| 1982-High | 15.61 | 14.41 | 13.51 | 14.36 | 15.84 | 15.39 | 13.89 | 16.86 | 15.01 | 14.81 | 14.63 | 16.34 | 13.44 | 17.66 | 18.04 | 16.56 |
| Low | 12.42 | 11.46 | 11.66 | 11.59 | 12.94 | 12.59 | 11.77 | 15.75 | 13.70 | 13.51 | 13.13 | 15.11 | 11.82 | 16.63 | 16.27 | 15.17 |
| 1981-May | 18.52 | 16.30 | 14.29 | 15.33 | 18.27 | 17.56 | 15.56 | 19.61 | 15.08 | 14.10 | 13.60 | 15.48 | 10.79 | 16.40 | 16.93 | 15.31 |
| June | 19.10 | 14.73 | 13.22 | 13.95 | 16.90 | 16.32 | 16.92 | 20.03 | 14.29 | 13.47 | 12.96 | 14.81 | 10.67 | 16.70 | 16.17 | 15.02 |
| July | 19.04 | 14.95 | 13.91 | 14.40 | 17.76 | 17.00 | 17.04 | 20.39 | 15.15 | 14.28 | 13.59 | 15.73 | 11.14 | 16.83 | 16.65 | 15.76 |
| Aug. | 17.82 | 15.51 | 14.70 | 15.55 | 17.96 | 17.23 | 17.17 | 20.50 | 16.00 | 14.94 | 14.17 | 16.82 | 12.26 | 17.29 | 17.63 | 16.67 |
| Sept. | 15.87 | 14.70 | 14.53 | 15.06 | 16.84 | 16.09 | 16.55 | 20.08 | 16.22 | 15.32 | 14.67 | 17.33 | 12.92 | 18.16 | 18.99 | 17.06 |
| Oct. | 15.08 | 13.54 | 13.62 | 14.01 | 15.39 | 14.85 | 15.32 | 18.45 | 15.50 | 15.15 | 14.68 | 17.24 | 12.83 | 18.45 | 18.13 | 16.61 |
| Nov. | 13.31 | 10.86 | 11.20 | 11.53 | 12.48 | 12.16 | 14.33 | 16.84 | 13.11 | 13.39 | 13.35 | 15.49 | 11.89 | 17.83 | 16.64 | 15.10 |
| Dec. | 12.37 | 10.85 | 11.57 | 11.47 | 12.49 | 12.12 | 12.09 | 15.75 | 13.66 | 13.72 | 13.45 | 15.18 | 12.90 | 16.92 | 16.92 | 15.51 |
| 1982-Jan. | 13.22 | 12.28 | 12.77 | 12.93 | 13.51 | 13.09 | 12.01 | 15.75 | 14.64 | 14.59 | 14.22 | 15.88 | 13.28 | 17.40 | 17.80 | 16.19 |
| Feb. | 14.78 | 13.48 | 13.11 | 13.71 | 15.00 | 14.53 | 13.11 | 16.56 | 14.73 | 14.43 | 14.22 | 15.97 | 12.97 | 17.60 | 18.00 | 16.21 |
| Mar. | 14.68 | 12.68 | 12.47 | 12.62 | 14.21 | 13.80 | 13.49 | 16.50 | 14.13 | 13.86 | 13.53 | 15.19 | 12.82 | 17.16 | 17.29 | 15.54 |
| Apr. | 14.94 | 12.70 | 12.50 | 12.86 | 14.44 | 14.06 | 13.74 | 16.50 | 14.18 | 13.87 | 13.37 | 15.44 | 12.59 | 16.89 | - | 15.40 |
| May June | 14.45 | 12.09 | 11.98 | 12.22 | 13.80 | 13.42 | 13.49 | 16.50 | 13.77 | 13.62 | 13.24 | 15.24 | 11.95 | 16.68 | 16.27 | 15.30 |
| 1982-Apr. 7 | 15.15 | 13.17 | 12.69 | 12.80 | 14.55 | 14.18 | 13.70 | 16.50 | 14.38 | 14.14 | 13.67 | 15.65 | 12.99 | 16.91 | - | 15.72 |
| 14 | 14.68 | 12.85 | 12.59 | 12.90 | 14.58 | 14.21 | 13.73 | 16.50 | 14.24 | 13.90 | 13.38 | 15.39 | 12.54 | 16.93 | - | 15.41 |
| 21 | 15.01 | 12.53 | 12.49 | 12.72 | 14.53 | 14.17 | 13.89 | 16.50 | 14.13 | 13.74 | 13.21 | 15.27 | 12.29 | 16.86 | - | 15.23 |
| 28 | 14.72 | 12.42 | 12.32 | 12.64 | 14.20 | 13.77 | 13.64 | 16.50 | 14.05 | 13.71 | 13.20 | 15.55 | 11.97 | 16.81 | - | 15.22 |
| May 5 | 15.53 | 12.57 | 12.39 | 12.78 | 14.31 | 13.90 | 13.59 | 16.50 | 14.06 | 13.87 | 13.39 | 15.29 | 12.04 | 16.78 | - | 15.59 |
| 12 | 14.97 | 12.32 | 12.05 | 12.24 | 13.82 | 13.51 | 13.75 | 16.50 | 13.70 | 13.51 | 13.13 | 15.31 | 11.82 | 16.63 | 16.27 | 15.17 |
| 19 | 14.67 | 12.27 | 12.07 | 12.19 | 13.92 | 13.49 | 13.65 | 16.50 | 13.78 | 13.58 | 13.25 | 15.17 | 11.96 | 16.67 | - | 15.26 |
| 26 | 13.70 | 11.53 | 11.66 | 11.68 | 13.49 | 13.09 | 13.29 | 16.50 | 13.66 | 13.59 | 13.20 | 15.20 | 11.99 | 16.63 | $\cdots$ | 15.18 |
| June 2 | 13.43 | 11.79 | 11.86 | 11.59 | 13.52 | 13.11 | 12.94 | 16.50 | 13.86 | 13.81 | 13.50 | 15.39 | 12.13 | 16.65 | - | 15.57 |
| 9 | 13.60 | 12.13 | 12.17 | 12.12 | 13.81 | 13.37 | 13.02 | 16.50 | 14.03 | 13.96 | 13.70 | 15.59r | 12.40 | 16.70 | - | 15.58 |
| 16 | 14.24 | 12.20 | 12.39 | 12.50 | 14.10 | 13.67 | 13.05 | 16.50 | 14.29 | 14.13 | 13.80 | 16.11 | 12.63 | 16.71 |  | 15.85 |
| 23 30 | 14.17 | 12.70 | 12.94 | 13.03 | 15.00 | 14.40 | 13.01 | 16.50 | 14.89 | 14.63 | 14.18 | 16.20p | 12.62 | n.a. | 17.22 | 16.14 |
| Dally-Jupe 18 | 14.11 | 12.73 | 13.00 | - | 15.05 | 14.48 | - | 16.50 | 14.87 | 14.62 | 14.19 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |  |
| 24 | 14.71 | 12.99 | 13.03 | - | 15.12 | 14.46 | - | 16.50 | 14.98 | 14.71 | 14.20 | - | -- | - | - | - |
| 25 | 14.95p | 13.19 | 13.12 | - | 15.42 | 14.81 | - | 16.50 | 14.94p | 14.76p | 14.25p | - | -- | -- | - | - |

 umn 4 are average ratess sot in ithe auction of 0 -month bilis that will be issued on the Thursday lollowing the and 13 ara liday quotes tor Froday and Thursday, respectivoly, tollowing the ond of the stationnent woek 00 percent loar-lo-value ratios medo by a semple of insured asvings and tomen associations on the Friday
graduated payment morigages. GNMA ylelda are average net yields to investors on monges exclucie gecurites for immediale dolivery, assumino prepayment in 12 yeirs on pools of 30 year FHANVA mor gagee carrying the coupon rate 50 besis pointe below the current fHNVA colling.

| Period | Treasury bills net change ${ }^{2}$ | Treasury coupons net purchases ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | Federal agencles net purchases 4 |  |  |  |  | Net change outright holdings totals | Net Rps ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | within 1-year | 1.5 | 5-10 | over 10 | total | within 1-year | 1.5 | 5-10 | ovar 10 | total |  |  |
| 1977 | 4,361 | 517 | 2,833 | 758 | 553 | 4,660 | $\overline{7}$ | 792 | 428 | 213 | 1,433 | 10,035 | -2,892 |
| 1978 | 870 | 1,184 | 4,188 | 1,526 | 1,063 | 7.962 | -47 | 45 | 104 | 24 | 127 | 8,724 | -1,774 |
| 1979 | 6,243 | 603 | 3,456 | 523 | 454 | 5,035 | 131 | 317 | 5 | - | 454 | 10,290 | -2,597 |
| 1980 | -3,052 | 912 | 2,138 | 703 | 811 | 4,564 | 217 | 398 | 29 | 24 | 668 | 2,035 | 2,462 |
| 1981 | 5.337 | 294 | 1,702 | 393 | 379 | 2,768 | 133 | 360 | - | - | 494 | 8,491 | 684 |
| 1981-Qtr. I | -2,514 | -23 | - | - | - | -23 | -- | - | -- | - | - | -2.555 | -1,694 |
| II | 2,135 | 115 | 469 | 164 | 89 | 836 | -- | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2,944 | -1,352 |
| III | 2,912 | 122 | 607 | 64 | 182 | 976 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 3,855 | 424 |
| IV | 2,803 | 80 | 626 | 165 | 108 | 979 | 133 | 360 | - | $\cdots$ | 494 | 4,247 | 3,305 |
| 1982-Qtr. I | -4,329 | 20 | 50 | - | -- | 70 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | -4,371 | -999 |
| 1981-Dec. | 2,170 | 80 | 526 | 165 | 108 | 879 | -- | - | - | - | - | 3,045 | 767 |
| 1982-Jan. | -3.356 148 | -- | 50 | - | - | 70 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | -- | $-3,424$ 191 | 900 -3.770 |
| Mar. | -1,121 | 20 | 5 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | -1,134 | $-3,771$ 1,871 |
| Apr. | 4,149 | 132 | 570 | 81 | 52 | 835 | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -* | 4,979 | 4,877 |
| May <br> June | -324 | -- | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | -325 | -6,290 |
| 1982-Apr. 7 | 450 | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 450 | $-6,184$ |
| 14 | 690 | - | T | - | - | -- | - | - | -- | - | -- | 685 | $2,715$ |
| 21 | 2,322 | 15 | 15 | - | - | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,352 | 4,781 |
| 28 | 687 | - | - | - | -- | -- | - | - | - | - | - | 687 | -740 |
| May 5 | -219 | 117 | 555 | 81 | 52 | 805 | - | - | - | - | - | 586 | -2,264 |
| 12 | -700 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -700 | 1,313 |
| 19 | 315 | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | -- | - | 315 | 2,493 |
| 26 | 280 | - | - | -- | - | - | -- | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 280 | -4,168 |
| June 2 | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | -- | 5,071 |
| 9 | 386 | - | $\cdots$ | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | - | 386 | -5,140 |
| 16 | 1.123 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.117 | 598 |
| 23 30 | 50 | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | - | 50 | 168 |
| LEVEI--June 23 | 53.4 | 14.2 | 37.6 | 10.7 | 16.8 | 79.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 9.0 | 141.7 | -1.6 |

1 Change from end-of-period to end-of-period.
2 Outright transactions in market and with foreign accounts, and redemptions ( - ) in bill auctions.
3 Outright transactions in market and with foreign accounts, and short-term notes acquired in ex-
change for maturing bills. Excludes redemptions, maturity shifts, rollovers of maturing coupon issues, and direct Treasury borrowing from the System.
4 Outright transactions in market and with foreign accounts only. Excludes redemptions and maturity

5 In addition to the net purchases of securities, also reflects changes in System holdings of bankers' acceptances, direct Treasury borrowing from the System and redemptions $(-)$ of agency and Treasury coupon issues.
6 Includes changes in RPs ( + ), matched sale-purchase transactions ( - ), and matched purchase-sale transactions ( + ).

Table 3

# Security Dealer Positions and Bank Positions 

Millions of dollars
June 28, 1982

| Perlod | U.S. government securitles dealer positions |  |  |  | Underwriting syndicate positions |  | excess ** reserves | Member bank reserve posltions borrowing al FRB ** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cash |  | futures and forwards |  | corporate | municipal bonds |  | adjuatwent | seasonal | extended(includes special) | total |
|  | bills | coupons | bills | coupons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-High | 15,668 | 4,633 | -12,865 | -4,676 | 595 | 268 | 562 | 2,597 | 309 | 464 | 2,912 |
| Low | 540 | 540 | -4,535 | -2,514 | 0 | 11 | -21 | 145 | 30 | * | 317 |
| 1982-migh | 9,335 | 7,916 | -11,097 | -4.739 | 186 | 237 | 622 | 1,547 | 232 | 324 | 1,908 |
| Low | 800 | 1,413 | -1,795 | -2.578 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 555 | 53 | 179 | 950 |
| 1981-May | 1,676 | 2.745 | -6,486 | -2,822 | 2 | 110 | 257 | 1,954 | 269 | 6 | 2,228 |
| June | 5,547 | 3,278 | -9,934 | -2,925 | 42 | 192 | 338 | 1,740 | 291 | 7 | 2,037 |
| July | 2,950 | 3.314 | -8,340 | -3,012 | 5 | 153 | 340 | 1,429 | 247 | 3 | 1,679 |
| Aug. | 4,324 | 2,242 | -10,071 | -2,972 | 10 | 65 | 292 | 1,105 | 235 | 80 | 1,420 |
| Sept. | 5,611 | 1,614 | -9,830 | -2,856 | 2 | 55 | 414 | 933 | 222 | 301 | 1,456 |
| Oct. | 4,781 | 1,629 | -8,575 | -3,655 | 29 | 59 | 278 | 591 | 152 | 438 | 1,181 |
| Nov. | 5,037 | 3,821 | -7,120 | -4,307 | 195 | 106 | 344 | 403 | 95 | 165 | 663 |
| Dec. | 2,185 | 2,289 | -5,416 | -4,150 | 21 | 172 | 319 | 433 | 54 | 148 | 636 |
| 1982-Jan. | 3,527 | 4,803 | -6,123 | -3,116 | 0 | 52 | 418 | 1,245 | 75 | 197 | 1,518 |
| Feb. | 4,557 | 5,322 | -7,726 | -3,173 | 8 | 97 | 304 | 1,426 | 131 | 232 | 1,790 |
| Mar. | 6,594 | 5,653 | -6,757 | -2,909 | 106 | 104 | 361 | 1,073 | 158 | 308 | 1,556 |
| Apr. | 7,718 | 4.846 | -5,555 | -3,393 | 23 | 76 | 274 | 1,156 | 167 | 245 | 1,568 |
| May <br> June | 7,201 | 6,682 | -10,114 | -4,680 | 84 | 179 | 361 | . 706 | 235 | 176 | 1,117 |
| Apr. 7 | 9,318 | 5,393 | -1,795 | -2,578 | 0 | 38 | 272 | 1,035 | 166 | 279 | 1,480 |
| 14 | 8,061 | 4,677 | -2,929 | -2,894 | 0 | 69 | 318 | 947 | 154 | 234 | 1,335 |
| 21 | 8,202 | 4.277 | -6,602 | -3,546 | 0 | 76 | 171 | 1.246 | 159 | 248 | 1.653 |
| 28 | 6,008 | 5,177 | -9,152 | -4,144 | 70 | 117 | 285 | 1,419 | 177 | 227 | 1,823 |
| May 5 | 6,220 | 4.596 | -8,449 | -3,969 | 27 | 122 | 444 | 1,080 | 205 | 214 | 1,499 |
| 12 | 6,533 | 7,337 | -10,371 | -4,739 | 76 | 237 | 264 | 707 | 218 | 192 | 1,117 |
| 19 | 7,916 | 5,945 | -11,097 | -4,692 | 147 | 180 | 440 | 555 | 232 | 179 | 966 |
| 26 | 8,477 | 7,916 | -9,997 | -4,348 | 114 | 181 | 99 | 626 | 258 | 162 | 1,046 |
| June 2 | 6,327 ** | 7,156 ** | -10,205 ** | -4,111 ** | 38 | 188 | 672p | 656p | 260p | 132p | 1,048p |
| 9 | 8,609 ** | 5,148 ** | -6,290 ** | -2,998 ** | 0 | 111 | 170p | 974p | 217p | 115p | 1,306p |
| 16 | 9,335** | 3,865** | -6,181** | -2,758** | 42 | 128 | 220p | 606p | 221p | 104p | 931p |
| 23 | 5.946** | 3,527** | -5,275** | -2,489** | 0 | n.a. | 281p | 666p | 253p | 96p | 1,015p |

NOTE: Government securities dealer cash positions consist of securities already delivered, commitments to buy (sell) securities on an outright basis for immediate delivery ( 5 business days or less), and certain "when-issued" securities for delayed delivery (more than 5 business days). Futures and forward positions include all other commitments involving delayed delivery; futures contracts are arranged on organized exchanges. Underwriting syndicate positions consists of issues in syndicate, excluding rading positions.

Weekly data are daily avarages for statement weeks, except for corporate and municipal issues i syndicate, which are Friday figures. Monthly averages for excess reserves and borrowing are weighte averages of statement week figures. Monthly data for dealer futures and forwards are end-of-month figures for 1980.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optimal character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    1/ Reserve paths and intermeeting adjustments are shown in Appendix I.
    2/ Trading in the past couple of days has been in the $14 \frac{1}{4}-15$ percent range, possibly reflecting cautious reserve management as the midyear statement date approaches.

