

Proposal: 1786 (AG44) Resolution Related Resource for Large Banking Organizations

Description:

---

Comment ID: 142581

From: Anonymous

Proposal: 1786 (AG44) Resolution Related Resource for Large Banking Organizations

Subject: Resolution-Related Resource Requirement for Large Banking Organizations

---

Comments:

Date: Dec 31, 2022

Proposal: Resolution-Related Resource Requirements for Large Banking Organizations [R-1786]

Document ID: R-1786

Revision:1

First name: Anonymous

Middle initial:

Last name:

Affiliation (if any):

Affiliation Type: ()

Address line 1:

Address line 2:

City:

State:

Zip:

Country:

Postal (if outside the U.S.):

Your comment: As a concerned investor I DO NOT support the joint proposal from the Federal Reserve Board (FSB) and Federal Deposit INSURANCE Corporation (FDIC).

I believe that in allowing the FDIC to leverage retirees and pensioners BONDS holdings in order to offset and lessen the insurance payout that would result from a large banking institution failing, is a blatant abuse of public trust. The FDIC retains a balance of liquidity for the very issue of INSURANCE should an aforementioned event occur within the financial framework of the national marketplace. The FDIC mitigating its responsibility and onus to the public through the misappropriation of participants holdings is quite concerning.

Especially with the knowledge that the FDIC has a storied history in dealing with not only the after-effects but the build up as well of Black Swan and shocking market events. Of all the possible proposals I believe the exploitation of pensioners and retirees should not even be listed as a possible solution. The FDIC's insurance was designed to handle such an events.

The issue is that of the FSB and FDIC being proactive advocates in the prevention of such events being created through blatant market manipulation as well as the idea of large banking institutions being Too Big to Fail. The centralization of failure amongst a portion of the institutions exponentially increases the possibility of contagion and massive blowback to financial markets at large.

I as a concerned individual investor DO NOT support this proposal.

V/r, Anonymous