

From: The correct information is waiting for FOIA staff. Please do not make a PDF for this comment. If you want to make a PDF and update 'From' information, you can modify by clicking Edit Document and modify this 'From' field. Your updated information only sends to PDF, and cannot save to comment.

Subject: Debit Card Fees

Date: Jul 23, 2004

Proposal: Study on Disclosures of Debit Card Fees
Document ID: OP-1196
Press Release Date: 05/18/2004
Name: Linda Garner
Affiliation: U.S. Bank
Category of Affiliation: Commercial
Address1: 5065 Wooster Pike
Address2:
City: Cincinnati
State: OH
Country: UNITED STATES
Country Code: 840
Zip: 45226
PostalCode: n/a

Comments:

@@@July 23, 2004

Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson
Secretary
Board of Governors of the
Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20551
Attention: Docket No. OP-1196

Re: Study on PIN Debit Transaction Fees

Dear Ms. Johnson:

I am writing on behalf of U.S. Bank in response to the notice of study and request for information ("Notice") by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB"), published in the Federal Register on May 24, 2004. The Notice solicits comments on whether the existing disclosures required by the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (Regulation E) adequately inform consumers of fees imposed by the account-holding institution when debit cards are used for point-of-sale ("POS") transactions ("Notice"). The FRB also seeks comment on whether there is a need to require additional disclosures on periodic statements to reflect fees imposed by account-holding institutions for debit card usage and whether there is a need to disclose the amount, source and recipient of each fee imposed. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important matter.

Current Disclosure Framework

Currently, a number of laws and regulations address the disclosure of information related to ongoing or repetitive consumer financial transactions, including the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z (credit), the EFTA and Regulation E (electronic fund transfers ("EFT")) and the Truth in Savings Act and Regulation DD (deposit accounts). These laws and regulations include disclosure schemes that typically employ initial consumer disclosures as a means to describe the terms of the account at the time the account is opened for the consumer.

In addition, these disclosure schemes often provide for periodic account statements to record and document account activity. These periodic statements may only be fully understood when examined in connection with specific information contained in the initial disclosure materials. Other disclosure requirements, such as transaction receipts at the time of the transaction, may supplement the initial disclosures and periodic statement or may help consumers choose the type of transaction. These disclosure schemes contemplate that a consumer will use his or her initial account disclosure, in combination with other disclosures, to understand the information provided on the periodic account statement.

The EFTA and Regulation E impose disclosure obligations on financial institutions that provide EFT services to consumers, including "online" personal identification number ("PIN") debit transactions. For example, the EFTA and Regulation E require account-holding financial institutions to make disclosures to consumers regarding fees for POS transactions at three points in time: (1) in the initial disclosures provided at the time the consumer contracts for EFT services under section 905(a); (2) in periodic account statements provided under section 906(c); and (3) under certain conditions, on receipts provided at an electronic terminal at the time an EFT transaction is initiated.

We believe that these disclosures provide consumers with sufficient information to understand their account activity and to make informed choices about whether to engage in PIN-based or signature-based debit transactions. Accordingly, we believe that the benefits of requiring real-time disclosure of PIN debit transaction fees at the POS are minimal and are far outweighed by the enormous costs that would be involved in doing so. Similarly, we do not believe that additional disclosure in periodic statements for PIN-based debit transactions is needed for consumers to have adequate information about the fees for these transactions.

PIN Debit Fee Disclosures at POS

The implementation of real-time PIN debit transaction fee disclosures at the POS would require a substantial restructuring of current systems by all participants in the POS debit transactions processing industry. The implementation of such a scheme would require the modification of systems and hardware to accommodate real-time disclosure and would cost billions of dollars, if indeed practically feasible. A similar proposal was addressed and criticized by the General Accounting Office ("GAO") in a July 2000 report regarding real-time fee disclosures at automated teller machines ("ATM"). The GAO, in its report, noted that the consequences of implementing real-time disclosure of ATM fees imposed by card issuing banks could produce unintended consequences that could offset any potential consumer benefits related to real-time fee disclosure.

We believe that more costly upgrades would be required to deliver issuer-fee information at POS terminals than those required for the additional ATM

disclosures discussed in the GAO report. The cost of modifying the nation's estimated 371,000 ATM machines to make real-time disclosures of card issuer fees would be dwarfed by the cost of modifying or replacing the nation's estimated 8 million POS terminals to accommodate similar disclosures. In addition to these prohibitive retooling costs, technical barriers still would likely prevent real-time POS PIN debit transaction disclosures.

Implementation Barriers

Card-issuer transaction fees vary not only across, but also within, financial institutions. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to provide accurate fee-based disclosures in this context. For example, PIN debit transaction fees may vary based on a myriad of factors, including account types, the consumer's overall depth of relationship with the financial institution and the consumer's frequency of card usage. In an effort to individualize and tailor accounts to match consumer needs, fees may be imposed after a certain number of free transactions each month or may depend on the average minimum balance in the account during the month. The range of potential pricing schemes is virtually unlimited. For example, in some cases a flat fee may be imposed for POS transactions during a month regardless of whether those transactions are PIN or signature based and regardless of the number of such transactions. Further, transaction fees may vary with rewards programs.

Under some

pricing schemes, the amount of any fee charged for a transaction may not be determined until well after the transaction has taken place.

Further, even if fee information associated with PIN debit transactions could be delivered to the POS, many, if not most, existing POS terminals could not support the additional programming necessary to implement the disclosure of PIN debit transaction fees. The implementation of a POS fee disclosure requirement would necessitate a review of each type of existing POS terminal to assess their current and potential capabilities. Merchants may not be able or willing to incur the cost of replacing or upgrading existing POS debit terminals and, even if they are, the lead-time to accomplish these requirements and upgrades may be substantial.

Additional or Enhanced Disclosure under Regulation E

The FRB also requests comment on whether the existing disclosures under Regulation E adequately inform consumers about PIN debit transaction fees. We believe that the appropriate test is whether additional, or more detailed, disclosures would provide consumers with a greater ability to choose whether or not to incur PIN debit transaction fees. We believe that the current disclosure scheme of Regulation E is fully adequate for this purpose. This fact is demonstrated by current consumer practices in choosing PIN and signature debit transactions. For example, banks that disclose fees for all PIN debit transactions, or offer rewards programs tied to signature debit transactions, tend to have significantly more signature debit, as opposed to PIN debit, transactions than other banks.

Initial Disclosures

Regulation E, section 205.7(a), requires financial institutions to make initial disclosures at the time a consumer contracts to receive EFT services, or before an EFT transaction is made involving the consumer's account. This disclosure must incorporate "[a]ny fees imposed by the financial institution for [EFTs] or for the right to make transfers." Thus, financial institutions already must provide consumers with a full disclosure of any PIN debit transaction fees that may be charged to a consumer's account in the initial disclosures provided to those consumers.

Periodic Statement Disclosures

Regulation E, section 205.9(b)(3), requires that for each account to or from which an EFT can be made, a financial institution must send the consumer a periodic account statement that includes "[t]he amount of any fees assessed against the account during the statement period for [EFTs], [or] for the right to make [EFTs]." The fees disclosed in the periodic statement may include fees for EFTs, as well as for other, non-electronic services. These fees may be shown on a consumer's monthly periodic statement individually or in an aggregate amount. While Regulation E permits a financial institution to itemize per-transaction fees for each PIN debit transaction fee imposed, it does not require itemization. The presence of transaction fees on periodic statements alerts consumers that they are incurring these fees. By consulting their initial disclosures, consumers can identify the transactions to which the fees apply and can change their behavior, if they so desire.

Itemization of PIN debit fees on periodic statements, statement information regarding the source of each such fee, or totals for PIN debit fees by period, or on a year-to-date basis, would add little to the ability of consumers to choose whether or not to incur PIN debit transaction fees, but could increase substantially the costs of providing statements. Moreover, disclosure of additional information would detract from the information that Congress has already determined to be important and appropriate for periodic statements. Itemization of individual PIN debit transaction fees could lengthen periodic statements, adding additional pages to statements and significantly increasing printing and mailing costs. For example, if financial institutions were required to itemize each individual fee charged in connection with PIN debit transactions, instead of aggregating these fees, consumers would be confronted with many additional itemized charges on their periodic account statements, and most of these entries would be essentially identical.

It is also important to note that PIN debit fees are typically lower than ATM fees and that PIN debit transactions often include cash back features making PIN debits a low-cost alternative to ATM withdrawals. Furthermore, while we have not attempted to determine all of the specific ways in which financial institutions have chosen to inform consumers about the existence and impact of PIN debit transaction fees; we note that the pattern of PIN debit usage strongly suggests that consumers understand, and have reacted positively, to market driven disclosures. Therefore, in light of the successful impact that voluntary industry disclosures have had with regard to consumer understanding of PIN debit transaction fees, as opposed to the significant burdens associated with additional or enhanced disclosures under the EFTA and Regulation E, we urge the FRB to oppose any additional disclosure requirement.

Similarly, a summary of the total amount of PIN debit transaction fees for the reporting period and/or for the calendar year-to-date would do little or nothing to facilitate informed consumer choice, but would add substantial costs and unnecessary information to account statements.

Electronic Terminal Receipt Disclosures

Regulation E, section 205.9(a), requires financial institutions to make a receipt available to a consumer at the time the consumer initiates an EFT, including at a POS terminal. This disclosure must provide the amount of the transfer along with other information, such as the date the transfer is initiated, the type of transfer and the terminal location. A transaction fee must be disclosed on the receipt only if the fee is included in the amount of the transfer. If the fee is not included in the amount of the transfer, the receipt need not state the fee. This receipt requirement makes sense because

if the fee is not imposed by the terminal operator and, therefore, is not included in the actual transfer, it is not practical for the fee to be disclosed at the terminal.

Conclusion

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important matter. If you have any questions concerning these comments, or if we may otherwise be of assistance in connection with this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Linda Garner
Senior Vice President,
Enterprise Payments
U.S. Bank

IP:
User Agent:
Windows NT 5.0)

170.135.241.46
Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0;