



COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES
OF PHILADELPHIA

December 24, 2009

Closed End Comments – Docket No. R-1366

Jennifer J. Johnson
Secretary
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20551

Re: Truth in Lending – Proposed Rule: Regulation Z Part 226; Docket No. R-1366

Dear Members of the Federal Reserve Board:

On behalf of Community Legal Services of Philadelphia (CLS), I am submitting these comments on the proposed changes to the regulations under the Truth in Lending Act. I am the managing attorney for the consumer and homeownership practice at CLS. CLS is an unrestricted legal services program, that is, we provide free civil legal services to low income residents of Philadelphia; we are not funded by the Legal Services Corporation. We represent hundreds of homeowners in foreclosure cases each year. Our sister LSC-funded legal services agency, Philadelphia Legal Assistance, also represents low-income homeowners at risk of losing their homes, but also operates the Save Your Home Philly hotline, through which it advises thousands of homeowners each year in addition to providing legal representation to hundreds of homeowners.

We support the Board's significant changes in the disclosure rules, as well as the expansion of substantive rules. In this brief letter, we highlight only the most important of the Board's proposed changes, as well as encourage the Board to use its authority to ban unfair mortgage practices more aggressively. There are many other issues which merit comment; for those, we refer the Board to the comprehensive comments provided by the National Consumer Law Center.

Good Start on Substantive Regulations. We appreciate the Board's ban on yield spread premiums. One cause of the irresponsible lending boom that led to the subprime mortgage meltdown has been the payment of "yield spread premiums" to loan originators – both

BGoodell@CLSPHila.org Direct Dial: 215.227.2400 x 2424 Fax: 215.227.2435

WWW.CLSPHILA.ORG

3638 NORTH BROAD STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19140 P 215.227.2400 F 215.227.2435

lender employees and independent brokers – for giving borrowers loans with higher interest rates or disadvantageous terms such as prepayment penalties. We support the Board’s proposed ban on all yield spread premiums that are based on loan terms or conditions, including the loan amount. We do not support, however, any weaker versions of this prohibition. The Board should adopt the full ban on yield spread premiums. Consumers should not have to deal with loan originators who are going behind their backs to give them worse loans than those for which they qualify.

We also strongly support the Board’s proposed ban on loan originators being paid from two sources – both the lender and the consumer. Limiting payment from one or the other will reduce the incentives originators now have to increase the price of the loans.

The Board Needs to Prohibit More Unfair Practices. However good these substantive proposals are, they are not nearly enough. Even in the face of the current disaster in the mortgage market, it appears that the Board continues to rely on the discredited notion that better disclosures will prevent dangerous, predatory mortgage lending. In this sweeping rewrite of TILA rules – much of which is driven by recognition of the extent to which predatory lending has played in causing the current economic crisis – the Board still fails to use its authority to prohibit blatant and far-reaching unfair practices. With the important exception of yield spread premiums, the Board continues to allow creditors to write abusive, predatory loans, and is merely reworking the requirements for disclosing the abusive terms.

Instead, the Board should obey the mandate of Congress to stop unfair practices in the mortgage market, and should –

- Ban Payment Option ARM terms for all loans secured by the borrower’s principal residence.
- Extend the requirements currently applicable only to higher cost loans regarding the determination of the borrower’s ability to repay, to *all* mortgage loans secured by a borrower’s principal residence.
- Require underwriting for all adjustable rate loans to determine the borrower’s ability to repay the highest possible payments that may be required under the loan terms (counting both alternative amortization terms and the highest permissible interest rates).
- Prohibit the initiation of a foreclosure unless the HAMP loan modification analysis and procedure have been completed.

Much Improved Disclosures. We do not believe that disclosures will adequately protect homeowners from most abusive mortgages. However, the disclosure rules are still very important so that consumers will be able to determine the real costs and risks of the loans they are evaluating. The new disclosures proposed by the Board are substantial enhancements over the very weak disclosures that mortgage borrowers have received in the past. Some of the highlights of the Board’s proposed improvements include:

- **Much more meaningful definition of the APR.** As the annual percentage rate or “APR” is the single number that captures *all* loan costs, including not just interest but

also hidden fees, it is important for it to be meaningful and accurate. The existing rules regarding which fees and charges must be included are full of holes. This Swiss cheese approach makes the APR a poor indicator of the true cost of a loan. We support the Board's proposal to adopt a sweeping all-in rule that will make the APR much more useful. Under the new proposal, the finance charge will always include credit insurance premiums, fees for recording and releasing the security interest, almost all closing costs, and all settlement agent charges. These are very good changes.

- **Innovative, targeted disclosure of the particular APR offered to the consumer.** We also support the Board's proposal to require creditors to disclose a mini-chart that shows exactly how the APR offered to a particular consumer compares to the average rate for prime loans and to current rates for higher priced loans. This innovative requirement will help alert consumers whenever they are offered a bad deal – something that loan originators in the past have been able to obscure.
- **Final disclosures 3 days before closing.** Until a recent change in the law by Congress, creditors were not required to provide any TILA disclosures before closing on refinance loans. Consumers often arrived at closing only to find significant changes to important loan terms. Closing is far too late to back out of the loan even if the consumer is able to detect the change amid the piles of papers presented. Now, the Board is proposing two alternatives to address this problem. One would require re-disclosure and a three-day waiting period if *any* loan term changes. The other would require this only if the APR changed or an adjustable rate feature was added. The first of these proposals is far better and the Board should adopt it. In addition, the Board should tighten up its current rule, which allows consumers to waive these protections.
- **Major improvements in format and understandability of mortgage disclosures.** The Board has conducted extensive consumer testing and has dramatically redesigned all its disclosure forms. It has replaced obscure prose with tables and plain language. It has jettisoned disclosures (such as the Consumer Handbook on Adjustable Rate Mortgages) that consumers do not find useful. The Board has failed, however, to be sufficiently strict: in many instances, it has carefully crafted the easiest language for consumers to understand, yet inexplicably does not require creditors to use that language.
- **Much better disclosure of risky loan features.** The Board is requiring creditors to make special disclosures regarding certain risky loan terms:
 - Prepayment penalties
 - Interest-only payments
 - Negative amortization
 - Balloon payment
 - Demand feature
 - No-documentation or low-documentation loans
 - Shared equity or shared-appreciation

December 24, 2009
Page 4

Up until now, the Board's rules required only weak, obscure disclosures, or no disclosure at all, of some of these features. This will be a significant improvement.

We very much appreciate how far the Board has come in its recognition of the harm that unfair practices can have on homeowners, neighborhoods, and the economy. We appreciate the many significant improvements that the Board is proposing to disclosure rules. We now urge the Board to use the authority Congress gave it to move more aggressively and affirmatively to stop the continuing unfair practices in mortgages. For more information and specifics on all of these suggestions, please see the comments of the National Consumer Law Center.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Elizabeth C. Goodell". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Elizabeth C. Goodell
Managing Attorney