## Appendix 1: Materials used by Mr. Reinhart

## Exhibit 1

Federal funds rate


Costs associated with a low overnight nominal interest rate

- Compressing rates on those instruments that typically provide returns below the overnight federal funds rate.
- Thinning brokering; and


## Selected interest rates

May 20, 2003


- Fostering the misimpression that monetary policy has become ineffective.


## Exhibit 2

## The Implementation of Monetary Policy

- Monetary policy actions are implemented by altering the Federal Reserve System's balance sheet.

Combined balance sheet of the Federal Reserve System Billions of U.S. dollars, 6/11/2003
ASSETS
LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL

| Treasury securities | 652 | Currency | 693 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| of which: |  | Deposits |  |
| Bills | 238 | of depositories | 21 |
| Notes \& bonds | 399 | of U.S. Treasury | 7 |
| Loans to depositories | 0.06 | Other liabilities | 20 |
| Other assets | 89 | \& capital |  |

- Changes in the size of the balance sheet
are reflected directly in the overnight federal funds rate until it is driven to zero
- Changes in the composition of the balance sheet
potentially could influence term premiums
- Both could influence expectations about the expected path of policy.


## The Transmission of Monetary Policy

- The principal channel of transmission of monetary policy to spending is through the prices and returns of long-lived assets.
- Those returns depend on the current and expected future path of short-term interest rates as well as risk premiums.
- Some economists argue that the quantity of liquidity has an effect on spending independent of its influence on the current overnight interest rate.


## Three forms of monetary impetus

The Committee can provide impetus to the economy at an unchanged current short-term interest rate

By encouraging investors to expect short rates to be lowver in the future than they currently anticipate, and

## By shifting relative supplies to affect risk premiums.

If the overnight rate is already at zero, the Committee may be able to provide additional impetus to the economy

By oversupplying reserves at the zero funds rate.

## Exhibit 4

## Shaping interest rate expectations

How can the Federal Reserve encourage lower interest rate expectations?

## Commitment can take two forms

- Unconditional commitment

The Committee pledges to hold short-term rates at a low level for $\underline{x}$ period of time.


- Conditional commitment

The Committee pledges to hold short-term rates at a low level until $\underset{\sim}{y}$ happens.

## Caveats

- Words ultimately have to be matched by deeds for the public to believe.
- The Committee may be concerned about its credibility.


## Altering the composition of the central bank balance sheet



- Acquiring longer-term securities
could lower risk premiums on Treasury securities, and
may convince investors that the Committee intends to keep interest rates low because lengthening the maturity of the portfolio would impose capital losses in the future should the Committee put policy on a firmer course than currently anticipated.
- The Committee could alter the composition of the System Open Market Account
indirectly, by instructing the Desk to tilt its purchases toward longer-term issues (perhaps by targeting a longer average maturity of the System Open Market Account), or
directly, by putting a ceiling on one or more points along the structure of interest rates.


## Caveats

- There is little empirical evidence to suggest that relative supplies influence risk premiums.
- Purchases of securities might have to be massive to enforce a ceiling if investors came to doubt that the FOMC would keep interest rates low.

At that point, there would be a risk that the targeted securities would become disconnected from the rest of the yield curve and private rates.

- Why should a central bank issuing a fiat currency care about capital gains or losses?


## Altering the size of a central bank's balance sheet

- A central bank usually eases monetary policy by expanding the stock of reserves.
- Currently, most central banks calibrate their easing in terms of the price of reserves-i.e., the overnight federal funds rate.

- The Committee could switch its focus from the price of reserves to the quantity of reserves (or the growth of reserves).
to drive the funds rate to zero and possibly provide further monetary stimulus by oversupplying reserves at the zero funds rate.



## Oversupplying reserves could affect the economy

- by lowering the returns on the assets purchased to supply those extra reserves,
- by convincing market participants that the overnight interest rate will be kept low, and
- by working through a quantity channel, if it exists.


## Caveats

- A long-run association does not provide much guidance about the short-run performance of the economy, implying it would be difficult to calibrate the effects of policy and risks confusing market participants.
- The public has to be convinced that the increase in reserves will stick around, so there still will be a communications challenge.


## Some precedents

- The Federal Reserve has always appreciated the importance of correctly aligning market expectations.

Expected federal funds rate



Note: Futures rates less 1 b.p. per month term premium

- The Federal Reserve operates in all segments of the Treasury market, and from 1942 to 1951 enforced a ceiling on the yield curve.

Federal Reserve Holdings of U.S. Treasury Securities

... and by maturity


The Federal Reserve targeted nonborrowed reserves from 1979 to 1982.

Monetary Base


## Exhibit 8

## Issues regarding sequencing

These forms of monetary policy stimulus could be put in place

- Once the overnight rate has already been driven to zero;
- As a way of driving the overnight rate to zero; or
- Before the overnight rate hits zero (and perhaps as a result it need never get there).


## Other alternatives

## The Federal Reserve could

- lower the primary credit rate and loosen other discount window policies;
- purchase other assets, perhaps including by seeking legislation to expand its authority; or
- coordinate policy with the Treasury.

Exhibit 9

## Four questions

- Are there any alternatives that the Committee particularly favors for additional study?
- Are there any alternatives that should be dropped immediately from consideration?
- How does the Committee assess the costs of very low nominal overnight interest rates, and are they such that an alternative policy should be put in place at a funds rate above zero?
- How should the Committee's assessment of these policy alternatives be conveyed to the public in the months ahead?
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## The F.R. Balance Sheet \& Domestic Financial Portfolio

Combined balance sheet of the Federal Reserve System Billions of U.S. dollars, 6/11/2003

ASSETS
Treasury securities
of which:
Bills
Notes and bonds
TIIS

RPs
Loans to depositories
Other Assets

Total Assets

LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL

| 652 | F.R. Notes <br> Deposits <br> of depositories <br> of U.S. Treasury | 659 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 32 | Reverse RPs <br> in the market <br> $<1$ | Other liabilities |
| 60 | Capital | 32 |
| 744 | Total Liabilities <br> \& Capital | 744 |

## The Domestic Financial Portfolio includes

- Outright Holdings of Treasury Securities (domestic SOMA)
- RPs, and Reverse RPs arranged in the market


## Working Assumption

- only operate in assets currently authorized to hold


## Exhibit 2

Size and Composition of SOMA Holdings of Treasury Securities by Remaining Maturity


## Exhibit 3



## Excess Reserve Levels and the Federal Funds Rate

- Changes in the target funds rate have had little impact on excess demand
- Deviations from period-average excess demand cause sharp rate swings
- within a maintenance period, wide daily swings in excess can occur
- The size of the Domestic Financial Portfolio is set exogenously by:
- excess demand associated with the funds rate target
- banks' requirements to hold reserve balances
- autonomous factors (e.g., currency, float)


## Exhibit 4

## Alternative Approaches for Conducting Monetary Policy

Change the Composition of the Balance Sheet

- Extend Average Maturity of the domestic SOMA
- Set Ceilings on Treasury Yields
- Use of Derivative Instruments
- excess reserves stay low and can target a positive funds rate


## Expand the Size of the Balance Sheet

- Use reverse RPs or raise requirements with the above alternative methods
- excess reserves remain low in this case
- Do not sterilize the impact of the above approaches on excess reserves
- Quantitative Easing Objective
- excess rises and short-term rates fall to zero in these cases


## Exhibit 5

## Issues Associated with Alternative Approaches

- Operating Objectives
- Instruments and Market Intervention Techniques
- Achieving Policy Objectives
- Exit Strategies
- Co-Ordination with Treasury Debt Management
- Potential for Capital Losses


## Average Maturity of SOMA and Public Holdings of Treasury Debt



## Exhibit 6

## Extend Average Maturity of the Domestic SOMA

- redeem $\$ 200$ billion of bills over six months ( $\approx \$ 8$ bn. per week)
- buy 3- to 10-year coupon issues (equal percentage holdings of each issue)
- this extends average maturity of SOMA from 42 to 64 months
- but eliminates most liquidity in the domestic SOMA


## SOMA Holdings



Bills, and Coupons by Years to Maturity

## Percent of Outstanding Supply

Now After 6 months


Bills, and Coupons by Years to Maturity

Exhibit 7
Ceilings on Treasury Yields


## Design Issues

- Ceiling structures: step function; smooth function; discrete points, etc.
- Desk Operations: "Hard" versus "Soft" ceilings
- Broader Policy Context
- the primary mechanism for influencing longer term yields
- supports commitment to a path of future short-term rates


## Exhibit 8

## Use of Derivative Instruments

## Types of Instruments

- Sell options and forwards on term RPs with future settlement dates
- Sell put options on Treasury Securities
$\Rightarrow$ Best structure determined by other specific operating objectives


## Impact on Portfolio

- None at time of sale
- potentially huge if exercised
- unless structured to make a net cash payout


## Transmission Channel to Longer Term Rates

- provides symbolic support to other policy goals
- adds "credibility" by exposing the Fed to possible losses
- reduces risk premia


## Exhibit 9

## Reverse RPs and Higher Requirements

Reverse RPs and higher requirements are additional tools that:

- blow up the size of the balance sheet
- but can still target a positive funds rate


## Expand Level of Reverse RPs

- term operations, regular auction cycle
- financing through primary dealers' balance sheets may be a constraint
- replacing long-term Treasury debt with a short-term nonnegotiable debt
- but it may just recycle Treasury debt


## Expand Level of Requirements to Hold Balances

- imposes costs on banks unless pay interest
- or may be ineffective because of sweep programs


## Exhibit 10

## Expanding Excess Reserves

Policies that entail an expansion of excess reserves

- also blow up the size of the balance sheet
- and push the funds rate to near-zero

Not Sterilizing Impact of Alternative Approaches

- e.g. extending maturity of portfolio, rate ceilings, use of derivatives
- enhances operational flexibility to pursue other operating objectives

High Excess as an Explicit Objective: Quantitative Easing

- achieved with an orderly purchase of Treasury securities
- could be paired with an objective to extend the maturity of the SOMA


## Exhibit 11

## Summary Observations

## Achieving Policy Objectives

- through direct impact of SOMA holdings on supply and prices
- through market expectations about future policy rates


## Other Issues

- Exit Strategies
- market expectations can complicate a clean exit
- length of time before the balance sheet returns to its original state
- Co-Ordination with Treasury Debt Management
- critical if rely on direct impact of SOMA holdings to affect yields
- Capital Losses
- potential for realized losses on the F.R. balance sheet
- potential for private sector losses
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## Implied Rates on Eurodollar Futures Contracts



## U.S. Treasury Yields

April 1, 2003 - June 23, 2003
2-Year Note


10-Year Note
Percent

Option-Adjusted Spreads of U.S. Corporates to 10-Year Treasuries April 1, 2003 - June 23, 2003



## Page 2

Index
$4 / 1 / 03=100$
125

Index
$4 / 1 / 2003=100$


Index
$4 / 1 / 2003=100$



Dollar-Yen Exchange Rate
Yen per U.S. Dollar
April 1, 2003 - June 23, 2003
Yen per U.S. Dollar


## Trade Weighted U.S. Dollar



## Euro-Area 3-Month Deposit Rates and Rates <br> Implied by Traded Forward Rate Agreements

April 1, 2003 - June 23, 2003
LIBOR Fixing 3M Forward 6M Forward 9M Forward



Japan
BOJ Current




GSE 10-Year Debt Spread to 10-Year Treasury Note
Basis Points
Jan 1, 2003 - June 23, 2003
Basis Points


## Freddie Mac vs. Fannie Mae Spreads



Appendix 4: Materials used by Mr. Oliner, Ms. Johnson, and Mr. Wilcox

# STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL (FR) CLASS I-FOMC* 

Material for

## Staff Presentation on the Economic Outlook

June 24, 2003

Chart 1

## GDP Forecast and Recent Indicators

|  | 2003 |  |  |  | $\frac{2004}{\text { Q4/Q4 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
| 1. Real GDP ${ }^{1}$ | 1.6 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| 2. (Jan. GB) | (2.6) | (2.9) | (4.3) | (4.6) | (4.7) |
| Contributions to real GDP growth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Personal consumption expenditures | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 4. Equipment and software | -. 6 | . 5 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.4 |
| 5. Inventories | -. 6 | -. 5 | -. 5 | . 0 | . 8 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Unemployment rate ${ }^{3}$ | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.4 |
| 7. (Jan. GB) | (6.2) | (6.2) | (6.2) | (6.1) | (5.4) |

1. Percent change. Quarterly figures at annual rates.
2. Percentage points.
3. Percent. 2004 figure is average for Q4.

Private Payroll Employment


Real PCE Goods Excl. Motor Vehicles


[^0]New Orders: Purchasing Managers Indexes


Light Vehicle Sales


## Key Background Conditions

Recently Enacted Tax Law

## Anticipated Provisions

- Pull-forward of cuts in marginal tax rates
- Marriage-penalty relief
- Boost in child tax credit


## Unanticipated Provisions

- Dividend and capital gains tax cuts
- Increase in partial expensing
- Grants to states

Fiscal Impetus


30-year Fixed Mortgage Rate


Equity Prices, Wilshire 5000


Exchange Value of the U.S. Dollar


Personal Bankruptcy Rate*
Filings per 100,000 persons

*Based on data through June 14.

Household Delinquency Rates
Percent


* Consumer and residential real estate loans.

Augmented Debt Service Burden*


*30-year fixed mortgage rate minus average rate on mortgages in GSE pools.

## Real House Prices*



[^1]
## Corporate Financial Conditions

Components of Net Debt Financing,


[^2]Debt Ratios for Nonfinancial Corporations
Percent


Debt Service Obligation of Nonfinancial Corporations*


## Defined-Benefit Pension Plans

- Contributions by S\&P 500 firms tripled in 2002, reaching $\$ 45$ billion.
- Funding gap is concentrated among investment-grade firms.
- Even for these firms, last year's contributions amounted to only a small part of their cash flow.



## Chart 5

## Business Investment



Reserve Bank Survey of Capital Spending Plans

- 35 percent: increase spending. 20 percent: reduce spending.
- Two-thirds of those planning increases have already started to place orders.
- Few mentioned external finance or partial expensing.
- Sales growth cited most often, in accord with an accelerator model.



## Chart 6

## Financial Developments




## Long-term Interest Rate Differentials*


*10-year Treasury yields minus foreign government bond yields.




Chart 7
Effects of U.S. Dollar Depreciation
Constant Short-Term Interest Rates
U.S. PCE Inflation and Import Price Inflation

U.S. Real GDP Growth


## Taylor Rule Case



## Effects in Foreign Countries

(Contribution in percentage points, AR)

|  | PCE Inflation |  |  |  | Real GDP Growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
|  | Fixed* | Taylor** | Fixed | Taylor | Fixed | Taylor | Fixed | Taylor |
| Canada | -. 1 | . 4 | -2.7 | . 0 | -. 3 | . 0 | -. 8 | . 7 |
| Euro area | -. 9 | -. 7 | -3.6 | -1.6 | -. 5 | -. 3 | -2.8 | -1.2 |
| Japan | -. 4 | -. 4 | -. 3 | . 0 | -. 5 | -. 4 | -. 3 | . 0 |
| U.K. | -. 2 | -. 2 | . 3 | -. 1 | -. 3 | -. 3 | -. 8 | -. 6 |
| Dev. Asia | . 7 | . 6 | 2.0 | . 8 | -. 2 | -. 2 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| Mexico | 2.7 | . 6 | 5.4 | -. 2 | 2.5 | . 5 | 6.4 | -. 1 |

[^3]
## Monetary Policy Stance Abroad

## Euro Area



Actual and Projected Policy Rates


Policy Rates Implied by Taylor Rules*


## Canada

## Output Gap*



Actual and Projected Policy Rates


Policy Rates Implied by Taylor Rules*


## U.S. External Outlook

Real GDP Growth: Industrial Countries Percent, SAAR*

$\left[\right.$|  | $\frac{2002}{}$ | 2003 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H 2 | H 1 | H 2 | 2004 |  |
| 1. Total Foreign** | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 3.4 |  |
| 2. Indust. countries | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 |  |
| $\quad$ of which: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Euro Area | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 |  |
| 4. Japan | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 |  |
| 5. Canada | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 |  |
| 6. United Kingdom | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 |  |

*Years are Q4/Q4; half years are Q2/Q4 or Q4/Q2.
${ }^{* *}$ Aggregates weighted by shares of U.S. exports.
Real Exchange Rate Outlook



Real GDP Growth: Developing Countries Percent, SAAR*

|  | $\frac{2002}{\mathrm{H} 2}$ | 2003 |  | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H1 | H2 |  |
| 1. Total Developing** | 2.4 | -0.0 | 3.8 | 4.8 |
| 2. Developing Asia of which: | 4.4 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| 3. China | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 8.1 |
| 4. Korea | 6.1 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| 5. Latin America of which: | 0.7 | -1.5 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 6. Mexico | 1.1 | -0.7 | 2.8 | 5.0 |
| 7. Brazil | 3.5 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 |

*Years are Q4/Q4; half years are Q2/Q4 or Q4/Q2.
**Aggregates weighted by shares of U.S. exports.
Contribution to U.S. GDP Growth


Financial Flows
Billions of dollars, AR

|  | 2002 | 2003:Q1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1. Official capital, net | 91 | 144 |
| 2. Private capital, net | 437 | 307 |
| $\quad$ of which: |  |  |
| 3. For. purch. of U.S. sec. | 388 | 258 |
| 4. of which: Equities | 55 | -13 |
| 5. U.S. purch. of for. sec. | 16 | -103 |
| 6. of which: Equities | -18 | -133 |
| 7. For. D.I. in U.S. | 40 | 103 |
| 8. U.S. D.I. abroad | -138 | -116 |

Chart 10

## Inflation Outlook*

Unemployment Rate

*In the four upper panels, figures for 2003:Q2 are staff estimates.

## Core Non-oil Import Prices



PCE Energy Prices


PCE Prices


GDP Price Inflation and Related Items
(Percent change, Q4/Q4)

|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. GDP |  | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 2. (Jan. GB) |  |  | (1.2) | (1.3) |
| 3. | PCE | 1.8 | 1.3 | . 8 |
| 4. | (Jan. GB) |  | (1.3) | (1.2) |
| 5. | Core PCE | 1.6 | 1.0 | . 9 |
| 6. | (Jan. GB) |  | (1.3) | (1.2) |

## The Probability of Deflation and Related Events

To assess the risks in the outlook, we conduct stochastic simulations of FRB/US.

- Deflation defined as Q4/Q4 change in core PCE prices less than 0.5 percent.
- Hitting the zero bound defined as an annual average funds rate less than 25 basis points.
- Monetary policy assumed to follow a Taylor rule, but with the zero bound enforced.


## Core PCE Prices



Estimated Probabilities of Deflation and Related Events (percent)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deflation | 15 | 37 | 41 |
| Hitting the zero bound | 0 | 22 | 17 |
| Deflation and hitting the zero bound | 0 | 18 | 14 |

Note. Calculated using the June Greenbook as baseline.

Average Macroeconomic Performance at Different Average Inflation Rates
$\left[\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Measured } \\ \text { Average CPI } \\ \text { Inflation }\end{array} \\\right.$\cline { 2 - 3 } $\begin{array}{l}\text { Standard deviation of } \\ \text { the unemployment rate } \\ \text { (percentage points) }\end{array} & 1.8 & 2 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Frequency of deep } \\ \text { recessions (number per } \\ 100 \text { years) }\end{array} & 5.2 & 4.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$

[^4]
## Implications for Monetary Policy

## 1. Put an additional cushion between zero and the long-run average inflation rate?

Factors pointing to a larger cushion

- Concern about the adverse effects of the zero bound and nominal wage rigidity.
- Underlying volatility of the economy.

Factors pointing to a smaller cushion

- Confidence in the efficacy of nontraditional forms of monetary policy.
- Concern about the efficiency losses associated even with low positive inflation.


## 2. Move aggressively to head off any incipient deflation?


3. Counteract deflation even if real activity is currently at a satisfactory level?
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Arguments for taking action } \\ \text { - } \begin{array}{l}\text { Concern about the efficiency cost } \\ \text { of deflation. }\end{array} \\ \text { - } \begin{array}{l}\text { Concern that deflation could limit } \\ \text { your ability to fight a future } \\ \text { downturn. }\end{array}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Arguments for sitting tight } \\ \bullet \begin{array}{l}\text { Belief that the factors giving rise to } \\ \text { deflation were temporary. }\end{array} \\ \bullet \begin{array}{l}\text { Belief that the deflation would be } \\ \text { self-correcting. }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$

## ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS FOR 2003

|  | FOMC |  | Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Range | Central Tendency |  |
|  | -------------Percentage change, Q4 to Q4----------- |  |  |
| Nominal GDP <br> February 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 / 2 \text { to } 43 / 4 \\ & (41 / 2 \text { to } 51 / 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 / 4 \text { to } 41 / 2 \\ (43 / 4 \text { to } 5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (4.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Real GDP <br> February 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 4 \text { to } 3 \\ & (3 \text { to } 33 / 4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 2 \text { to } 2^{3 / 4} \\ & \left(3^{11 / 4} \text { to } 31 / 2\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| PCE Prices <br> February 2003 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { to } 13 / 4 \\ (11 / 4 \text { to } 13 / 4) \end{gathered}$ | $11 / 4$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> ( $11 / 4$ to $11 / 2$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | --------------Average level, Q4, percent-------------- |  |  |
| Unemployment rate February 2003 | 6 to $61 / 4$ <br> ( $53 / 4$ to 6 ) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } 61 / 4 \\ & (53 / 4 \text { to } 6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ |

Central tendencies calculated by dropping high and low three from ranges.

ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS FOR 2004

|  | FOMC |  | Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Range | Central Tendency |  |
|  | -------------Percentage change, Q4 to Q4----------- |  |  |
| Nominal GDP | $43 / 4$ to $61 / 2$ | $51 / 4$ to $61 / 4$ | 6.5 |
| Real GDP | $31 / 2$ to $51 / 4$ | $33 / 4$ to $43 / 4$ | 5.3 |
| PCE Prices | $3 / 4$ to 2 | 1 to $11 / 2$ | . 8 |
|  | --------------Average level, Q4, percent-------------- |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | $51 / 2$ to $61 / 4$ | $51 / 2$ to 6 | 5.4 |
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Probability of Policy Action Implied by Option

*Estimates from federal funds and eurodollar futures

Implied Distribution of Federal Funds Rate Derived from Option Prices on Eurodollar Futures* Percent

*Estimates from options on eurodollar futures contracts, adjusted to estimate expectations for the federal funds rate, five months hence.

Selected Equity Indexes


Prices on Federal Funds Futures
$\left[\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { May } 5 \\ \text {-percent- }\end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { Jun } 24\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Easing } \\ \text { 2. } \quad 25 \mathrm{bp}\end{array} & 17 & 42 \\ \text { 3. } 50 \mathrm{bp} & 25 & 54 \\ \text { 4. No Change } & 58 & 1\end{array}\right]$

Probability the Federal Funds Rate will be at or Below 0.50 Percent in Five Months* Percent


2002
*Estimates from options on eurodollar futures contracts, adjusted to estimate expectations for the federal funds rate.

Selected Ten-year Yields
Percent


## The Case for Easing 25 Basis Points

- Ratify at least a portion of the easing currently built into market prices.
- Work down resource slack quicker.
* View the costs of insurance as low given that inflation expectations are well contained.



## The Case for Easing 50 Basis Points

- Re-establish the degree of monetary policy accommodation of late last year.
- Fatten the cushion of inflation protection from the zero bound.
- Provide needed stimulus if the Greenbook assessment of aggregate demand is too optimistic.

Actual Real Federal Funds Rate and Range of Estimated Equilibrium Real Rates
Percent



Private Sector Forecasts

$\left[\right.$|  | 2004 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Q4/Q4 } \\ \text { Real GDP }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Q4 } \\ \text { Unemp. Rate }\end{array}$ |
| 1. Merrill Lynch (6/6/03) | 3.80 | 6.00 |
| 2. Bear Stearns (6/12/03) | 4.21 | 5.60 |
| 3. Morgan Stanley (6/19/03) | 4.90 | 5.50 |
| 4. JP Morgan Chase (6/17/03) | 2.80 | 6.00 |
| 5. Goldman Sachs (6/18/03) | 2.00 | 6.50 |
| 6. Memo: Greenbook | 5.30 | 5.40 |

## The Case for Keeping the Funds Rate Unchanged

View easing as unnecessary because
-- Considerable fiscal impetus is in train.
-- The Greenbook is too gloomy about investment.
-- Put some weight on the recent rapid expansion of liquidity.


Real M2 and Real Bank Credit ${ }^{\star}$

*Seasonally adjusted. Real values calculated using the GDP deflator. Shading indicates regions declared by the National Bureau of Economic Research as recessions.

On May 6th, the Committee

- Separated the risk assessment,
-- Risks regarding its objective of sustainable economic growth
-- Risks regarding its objective of price stability
-- Balance of those two risks
- Voted only on the policy rate

A Proposal
$\left[\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Return to the practice of voting on the assessment of risks } \\ & +\quad \text { Choose among generic formulations of the three sentences } \\ & \text { Allow discretion to the drafters }\end{array}\right]$

For Today's Choice
MMS Survey Results



[^0]:    *Staff estimate.

[^1]:    *OFHEO repeat sales index deflated by core PCE chain-weight price index.

[^2]:    * Seasonally adjusted.
    e Staff estimate.

[^3]:    *Exchange rates and short-term interest rates at 2002:Q1 values.
    **Taylor Rules govern short-term interest rates. Exchange rates react.

[^4]:    *"Deep recessions" defined as downturns during which the unemployment rate peaks at or above 7-1/2 percent.

