Meeting between Federal Reserve Board staff and Representatives of the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation (“CFC”) September 6, 2011

Participants: Michael Gibson, Stephanie Martin, David Lynch and Anna Harrington (Federal Reserve Board)

Rich Larochelle, Thomas E. Kandel, John F. Suter (CFC); Daniel S. Meade (Hogan Lovells); and Ed Barron (Russell Barron)

Summary: CFC representatives met with Board staff to discuss issues related to the proposed rule of the Board and other prudential regulators on margin and capital requirements for covered swap entities under Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act. CFC representatives expanded on the points raised in their comment letter on the proposed rule, dated June 21, 2011.

CFC representatives discussed the association’s structure (as described on the attached slides), which is owned by its members, America’s electric cooperatives, to whom CFC provides financing so they can supply electric power to rural America. CFC representatives also explained how and why CFC uses derivatives – primarily, plain vanilla interest rate agreements as a risk management tool to hedge interest rate risk and, on occasion, exchange rate fluctuations. CFC representatives made the case that CFC should be exempt from the margin requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act because it is owned by and functions as a private financing arm for entities that are commercial end users eligible for exemption.
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A. Introduction to CFC
CFC is an Important Source of Capital to Utilities in Rural America

• Member-owned cooperative association
  – Established in 1969; owned by America’s electric cooperatives
  – Private financing arm of America’s rural utility systems

• CFC’s owners can qualify as commercial end users under DFA.

• The DFA in section 722(f) provides authority for exemption of certain derivatives transactions entered into by cooperatives in the public interest, and specifically refers to such entities described in section 201(f) of the Federal Power Act.
CFC’s Purpose is to Provide Financing so that Electric Cooperatives can Provide Electric Power to Rural America.
Electric Cooperatives: A Small But Important Segment of the Industry

- Generation primarily built to meet native load requirements of member distribution systems only — no merchant or independent power producer risk; limited nuclear plant investment.
- Access to federal power on a preferential basis.
- Distribution companies have defined service territories — largely residential and small commercial customers.
- Generally, co-ops are able to opt out of deregulation in applicable states.
- Low cost (T+12 bps) long-term funding from USDA/RUS, treasury rate funding.
- Stable operations and industry outlook.

*Data Source: NRECA, Strategic Analysis, February 2007.*
Rural Electric Cooperatives – Principles & Values

1. Voluntary and open membership – Are open to all persons able to use their services and willing to accept the responsibilities of membership.

2. Democratic member control – Cooperatives are democratic organizations controlled by their members, who participate in setting their policies and making decisions.

3. Member economic participation – Members contribute equitably to, and democratically control, the capital of their cooperative. At least part of that capital is usually the common property of the cooperative.

4. Autonomy and independence – Cooperatives are autonomous corporations controlled by their members. If they enter into agreements with other organizations, including governments, or raise capital from external sources, they do so on terms that ensure democratic control by members and maintain their cooperative autonomy.

5. Consumer education to members – Cooperatives provide education, training and information for their members so they can contribute effectively to the development of their cooperatives.

6. Cooperation among cooperatives – Serve their members most effectively and strengthen the cooperative movement by working together through local, national, regional and international structures.

7. Concern for community – While focusing on member needs cooperatives work for the sustainable development of their communities through policies accepted by members.
As a Cooperative CFC is Owned by and Exclusively Serves its Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>System Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Cooperatives</td>
<td>Most electric cooperatives are distribution utilities purchasing wholesale power from others to distribute it, over their own distribution lines, to the ultimate customer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Supply (Generation &amp; Transmission) Cooperatives</td>
<td>Generation and transmission cooperatives carry high voltage electricity from generation facilities over long distances. G&amp;Ts provide both generation and transmission functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide and Associate Members</td>
<td>Most states have statewide associations supported by local cooperatives in that state. Provides a variety of services and legislative representation for members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Telephone Finance Cooperative (RTFC)</td>
<td>Affiliated business line for lending to rural telephone companies and their affiliates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Cooperative Services Corporation (NCSC)</td>
<td>Affiliated business line for providing financing to the for-profit or non-profit entities that are owned, operated or controlled by or provide substantial benefit to, members of CFC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Loan and Guarantee Portfolio Overview

As of August 31, 2010

- **Elect. Dist.** - 68%
- **Elect. G&T** - 22%
- **RTFC** - 7%
- **Other** - 3%

As of August 31, 2010
CFC’s Public Purpose

• **CFC is different from traditional financial service organizations.**

• **CFC focuses exclusively on providing capital to the rural utility industry.**
  - Management incentives are designed to reinforce its long-term mission and credit ratings
  - Cooperative structure and nonprofit tax-exempt status influences capital structure
  - High asset portfolio quality with low delinquency rate and high recovery rate

• **Electric cooperatives provide affordable and reliable electric power in the most rural areas of the USA.**
  - Provide essential service
  - Are managed in a conservative manner
  - For the most part, are not rate regulated
  - Consistently report strong metrics
  - Do not have motivation for speculative investments
CFC’s Objective is to offer Cost-Based Financial Products, not to Maximize its Net Income.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loan Options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lines of Credit</strong></td>
<td>unsecured credit loans for short-term working capital needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term Concurrent Financing</strong></td>
<td>long-term financing of up to 35 years to supplement Rural Utilities Service (RUS) loans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>100% Long-term Financing</strong></td>
<td>lending to independent borrowers (members that have chosen to leave the RUS program).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Letters of Credit</strong></td>
<td>short-term lending that enhance the credit to trading partners and other suppliers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PowerVision™</strong></td>
<td>financing with a draw period of five years for electric plant or other approved purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associate Member Financing</strong></td>
<td>lending to not-for-profit cooperative organizations that are owned, operated, or controlled by a National Rural distribution, G&amp;T, statewide, or regional owner and that are providing non-electric services for the benefit of their consumers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RUS Guaranteed Loans</strong></td>
<td>available funding for electric facilities, with the RUS repayment guarantee helping to keep interest rates down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint CFC–RUS Combustion Turbine Financing</strong></td>
<td>provide funds to meet cash requirements prior to permanent financing from RUS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBs)</strong></td>
<td>provides electric cooperatives and other not-for-profit utilities incentives to invest in renewable generation resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CFC Is Committed to Strong Corporate Governance

- Board of Directors consists of twenty-three persons elected from general membership:
  - Twenty general managers or directors from member systems.
  - Two from the industry’s trade association, National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA).
  - One at-large position that meets the requirements of the audit committee financial expert (as defined by Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).
- No members of CFC management hold board seats.
- Elected member serves a 3-year term; limited to a maximum of 2 consecutive terms.
- Regularly meets in executive sessions; management may not attend.
- Maintains audit, finance advisory, executive, loan, and corporate relations committees.
- Audit committee consists of no less than seven board members. Meetings held up to 5 times a year with external and internal auditors in executive session without CFC management present.
- Board members affiliated with a cooperative requesting a loan or guarantee are not allowed to attend or participate in the decision-making process.
Background of NRUCFC

- National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation is a member–owned, non–governmental organization that provides financial products to 1,526 member systems consolidated across the United States of America.

  - The Company offers full–service financing, investment, and related services to its members, and offers a wide range of flexible, low–cost financing programs and interest rate options.

  - Headquartered in Herndon, Virginia, outside of Washington, D.C., the Company raises funds for loan programs with support of its owners’ equity and investments through the sale of multiple financing vehicles in the private and public financial markets.

  - The Company is governed by a 23–member board made up of cooperative utility directors and managers. It has approximately 231 employees, including well seasoned regional credit portfolio managers.

- Points to Remember:

  - Primary objective is to provide its utility members/owners with an assured source of capital at the lowest reasonable loan and guarantee rates consistent with sound financial performance.

  - Has no exposure to residential mortgages, mortgage–backed securities, collateralized loan or debt obligations, or structured investment vehicles. Financial derivatives only utilized for interest rate and currency hedging.

  - NRUCFC is a member–owned finance company. CFC is not a bond insurance company.

  - Largest non–governmental lender to rural utility industry in the U.S.A with over $20 billion of gross loans outstanding, and its owners have invested over $4 billion in a wide array of securities at November 30, 2008.

  - NRUCFC has securities listed on NYSE and is a “Well-Known and Seasoned Issuer” in the public markets. The company is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley law and is in compliance with all applicable requirements.
B. How and Why CFC Uses Derivatives
How & Why CFC Uses Derivatives

- Derivative use is limited to plain vanilla interest rate exchange agreements. Since 1983, CFC has executed derivatives for the following reasons:
  - To practice good risk management
  - To match-fund its fixed and variable loan pools and manage the size of its warehouse/prefund position
  - To provide flexibility in loan structuring and match cash-flows that may have deferral periods, accretions and other types of amortizations
  - To mitigate interest rate risk on forward starting loans
  - To create lower cost synthetic fixed/floating liabilities vs. issuance in the cash market
  - To limit exposure to exchange rate fluctuations when foreign currency denominated debt is issued. (None currently outstanding.)
CFC uses Derivatives to Hedge Market Interest Rate Risk

- In this example, CFC receives fixed interest from an aggregate loan portfolio but pays floating LIBOR to capital market investors. This mismatch in interest rate creates exposure, prompting CFC to swap the fixed rate from its loan portfolio to floating.

Pay-Fixed Swap

- In this example, CFC issues fixed rate notes and uses the proceeds to pay down Commercial Paper. CFC then swaps the fixed notes to floating so as to maintain its floating exposure and achieve a lower cost of funds.

Pay-Floating Swap
Interest Rate Management

- Credit and market risk associated with the derivatives portfolios is offset and managed through the following:
  - Highly rated, diverse counterparties consisting of participants in CFC’s revolving credit facilities
  - Transactions are awarded on multi-tranche basis to ensure competitive pricing and to allow benchmark comparison when calculating fair values
  - Notional limits per counterparty
  - Offsetting trades executed with individual counterparties to reduce overall net credit exposure
  - Counterparty rating triggers embedded for long-dated swap tenors
  - Netting/resetting provisions
  - CFC has fully executed ISDAs with every swap counterparty
  - Complete legal review of all swap confirms on executed trades
  - Thorough external and internal audit review of overall swap portfolio management and supporting documentation
CFC Enters into Swaps with Highly Rated Counterparties

SWAP PORTFOLIO BY RATING 5.31.2010

| S&P RATINGS | | MOODY’S RATINGS | |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Range**   | **Number of Banks** | **Notional** | **% of Portfolio** | **Range** | **Number of Banks** | **Notional** | **% of Portfolio** |
| AAA to AA-  | 5                | 2,435,992,325 | 22%               | Aaa to Aa3 | 13               | 7,885,335,946 | 70%               |
| A+ to A     | 12               | 8,069,939,046 | 72%               | A1 to A2   | 5                | 2,800,005,425 | 25%               |
| BBB to BBB+ | 2                | 508,346,000  | 5%                | Baa1       | 2                | 508,346,000  | 5%                |
| NR          | 1                | 179,410,000  | 2%                |            |                  |               |                   |
| **Total**   | 20               | 11,193,687,371 | 100%           | **Total**  | 20               | 11,193,687,371 | 100%             |
CFC Enters into a Limited Number of Swaps Each Year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deal Count</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Notional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1,334,710,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>974,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3,998,853,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>7,617,378,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>6,514,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4,433,402,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,058,531,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3,247,134,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,451,878,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2,945,218,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,203,708,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,558,201,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,091,684,925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. CFC Should be Exempt from DFA’s Margining & Clearing Requirements
CFC Should be Exempt from the Margining and Clearing Requirements of DFA.

- CFC is owned by and functions as a private financing arm for entities that are commercial end users eligible for exemption.
- Transferring Risk to a Clearing Organization is not needed
  - CFC effectively manages its derivatives portfolio
  - CFC has the financial strength to meet obligations associated with non-cleared Swaps:
    - CFC is rated at A+ level for senior secured debt
    - CFC has never missed any payment on an obligation
    - CFC has substantial liquidity
    - CFC’s portfolio strength is due to the nature of its membership
### CFC’s Credit Rating History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Moody’s Investors Service</th>
<th>Standard &amp; Poor’s Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-1978</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-1984</td>
<td>Aa</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-1989</td>
<td>Aa2</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Aa3</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991-1993</td>
<td>Aa3</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-2000</td>
<td>Aa3</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Aa3</td>
<td>AA-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-2010</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Liquidity Management

- At August 31, 2010, CFC had the following projected liquidity sources available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquidity Source</th>
<th>Amount ($Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Loan Amortization &amp; Prepayments</td>
<td>$1,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer Mac Revolving Funding Availability</td>
<td>$913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess CP and Daily Liquidity Fund (“DLF”)</td>
<td>$459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Bid Note Capacity</td>
<td>$470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,560</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Scheduled loan amortization and expected prepayments over the next twelve months

(2) The amount available was reduced to $513 million due to the issuance of notes totaling $400 million under these note purchase agreements in September and October 2010.

(3) CFC limits the amount of CP and DLF to the amount of backup liquidity available under our bank lines.

(4) Unadvanced portion subject to market conditions

- Rural Economic Development Loan & Grant (REDLG) program: $500 million available commitment in November 2010.

- CFC is a well-known seasoned issuer and believes it has adequate access to both long-term and short-term global funding options.
Strong Member Support

- At May 31, 2010, CFC’s members provided more than $4.4 billion in capital to CFC.
- CFC’s members have consistently increased their financial commitment to CFC over the years.

![Chart comparing capital sources](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Daily liquidity fund</th>
<th>Commercial paper</th>
<th>MTNs</th>
<th>Members’ subordinated certificates</th>
<th>Members’ equity</th>
<th>Member Capital Securities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2006</td>
<td>$267</td>
<td>$1,184</td>
<td>$256</td>
<td>$645</td>
<td>$1,426</td>
<td>$398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2007</td>
<td>$260</td>
<td>$1,384</td>
<td>$308</td>
<td>$668</td>
<td>$1,391</td>
<td>$399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2008</td>
<td>$261</td>
<td>$1,276</td>
<td>$393</td>
<td>$613</td>
<td>$1,407</td>
<td>$388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2009</td>
<td>$291</td>
<td>$935</td>
<td>$723</td>
<td>$278</td>
<td>$1,462</td>
<td>$372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>$372</td>
<td>$999</td>
<td>$669</td>
<td>$398</td>
<td>$1,413</td>
<td>$369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electric Cooperatives Have Higher Credit Ratings than Investor Owned Electric Utilities

U.S. Publicly Rated Electric Cooperatives (1)

U.S. Shareholder–Owned Electric Utilities (2)

Source: (1) Moody’s Investor Service, Standard & Poor’s & Fitch Ratings, May 2010
(2) Standard & Poor’s, First Quarter 2010
Note: Only about 33 electric co-ops have a public rating.
Co-op Ratepayers Have a Strong History of Reliable and Timely Payments of Electric Bills

Percentage of Total Operating Revenue

Accounts Receivable over 60 Days

- 1999: About 0.19%
- 2000: About 0.18%
- 2001 to 2003: About 0.21%
- 2004: About 0.20%
- 2005 to 2008: About 0.18%
- 2009: About 0.20%
Equity of Rural Electric Distribution Systems

**Equity % of Assets (Medians)**

- 1970: 34.5%
- 1973: 34%
- 1976: 32%
- 1977: 33%
- 1979: 32%
- 1981: 31%
- 1985: 35%
- 1988: 39%
- 1991: 40%
- 1994: 42.5%
- 1995: 42%
- 1997: 43%
- 2000: 42.5%
- 2001: 43%
- 2005: 41%
- 2007: 39.5%
- 2009: 41%
Electric Co-op and CFC Exposure to Adverse Regulatory Orders is Limited

Consolidated Loans Outstanding
Rate Regulated States Vs Non-Rate Regulated States
12 M.E. May 31, 2009

Total Rate Regulated State
$2,391,809
11.8%

Non-Rate Regulated States
$17,796,598
88.2%

Source: CFC
Times Interest Earned Ratio (TIER) for Electric Distribution Co-ops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RUS requires a 1.25 TIER, high 2 of most recent 3 years. CFC requires a 1.35 MDSC, high 2 of most recent 3 years.*
D. Specific Requests for Consideration
1) **CFC should not be considered a “Financial Entity”**

- CFC was created and is controlled by nonprofit entities for which it serves as a non-governmental financing arm – essentially an extension of its nonprofit member-owners, which are not “financial entities” themselves.

- The Rural Electric Cooperatives that own CFC qualify for the commercial end user exemption.
CFC should not be considered a “Financial Entity”

• CFC does not engage in the business of banking in a manner akin to depository institutions or other for profit financial entities.

• CFC lends only to its members, not to the general public.
CFC should not be considered a “Financial Entity”

We suggest language such as the following to clarify that CFC should not be swept up in the definition of “financial entity”:

• “Financial entity’ does not include: a nonprofit tax-exempt cooperative that is not a depository institution and a majority of whose members are nonprofit tax-exempt cooperatives that are not financial entities.”

• “Each entity that believes it falls into this category shall describe in writing to the CFTC, the SEC, and other regulators the nature of its nonprofit, tax-exempt cooperative structure, and its associated public purpose.”
2) If CFC is considered a “Financial Entity it should qualify as “Low Risk”

- CFC never uses derivatives to speculate
- Strong portfolio of low risk loans to rural electric utility cooperatives that provide an essential service.
- Strong liquidity
- History of effectively managing derivatives portfolio
- Limited number of derivative transactions each year.
CFC: a “Low Risk” Entity

• Definition should not exclude from the low risk category a nonprofit cooperative that is not subject to a prudential regulator.

• Consider adding an alternative test:

  – Adjusted Debt to Equity of no greater than 10 to 1.
CFC’s use of Adjusted Ratios Reflects its Unique Cooperative Capital Structure

- CFC's metrics and capital structure differ from for-profit banks and savings and loan institutions.

- Because of its nonprofit cooperative and tax exempt status, CFC is unable to issue equity securities.

- Instead of Equity Securities, CFC issues long-dated subordinated debt (Capital Term Certificates) to its members. These securities and retained earnings provide “equity like” comfort to investors in CFC securities.

- The subordinated certificates held by our member owners are available to absorb losses and CFC has offset rights against any amounts owned by the member to CFC.

- There is precedent for treating similar securities as the functional equivalent of regulatory capital.
CFC’s use of Adjusted Ratios Reflects its Unique Cooperative Capital Structure

- CFC’s Capital Structure and use of “Adjusted Equity” and other metrics has been well accepted for 40 years by rating agencies and creditors.

- Since CFC’s inception in 1969 all of its debt indentures have treated member subordinated certificates as equity for purposes of covenant compliance, and rating agencies have given equity credit for these securities.

- Since implementing SFAS 133 (Accounting for Derivative Investments and Hedging Activities in 2001) CFC has reported adjusted measures that include its member subordinated certificates in calculating adjusted equity.