Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Agricultural Finance Databook

Fourth Quarter 2005

Co	ntents		Page
A.		and Characteristics of Farm Loans Made by Commercial Banks	
	A.1.	Number of Non-real-estate Bank Loans	. 5
	A.1. A.2.	Average Size of Non-real-estate Bank Loans	
	A.2. A.3.	Volume of Non-real-estate Bank Loans	
	A.3. A.4.	Average Maturity of Non-real-estate Bank Loans	
	A.4. A.5.	Average Effective Interest Rates on Non-real-estate Bank Loans	
	A.5. A.6.		
	A.0. A.7.	Share of Non-real-estate Bank Loans with a Floating Interest Rate Distribution of Dollar Amount of Non-real-estate Farm Loans	
	A.7. A.8-A.13.		
		Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers	
	A.14.	Regional Disaggregation of Survey Results	. 19
B.		Statistics from the Quarterly Reports of Condition of Commercial Banks	. 20
	Tables		
	B.1.	Commercial banks	21
	Б.1. В.2.	Farm Debt Outstanding at Commercial Banks	
	В.2. В.3.	Net Charge-offs of Non-real-estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks	
	B.4.	Delinquent Real Estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks.	
	B.5.	Net Charge-offs of Real Estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks	
		Agricultural banks	
	B.6.	Distribution of Agricultural Banks by the Share of Their Total Loans that are	
		Nonperforming	26
	B.7.	Selected Measures of Financial Performance of Agricultural and Other Small Banks .	27
	B.8.	Average Loan-Deposit Ratios at Agricultural Banks in Selected Federal Reserve	
		Districts	
	B.9.	Number of Agricultural Bank Failures	. 29
C.	Reserve E	Bank Surveys of Farm Credit Conditions and Farm Land Values	. 30
	Tables		
	C.1.	Non-real-estate Farm Lending Compared with a Year Earlier	
	C.2.	Expected Farm Loan Volume for the Next Quarter.	
	C.3.	Indicators of Relative Credit Availability	
	C.4.	Average Fixed Interest Rates on Farm Loans	
	C.5.	Average Variable Interest Rates on Farm Loans	
	C.6. C.7.	Trends in Farm Real Estate Values and Loan Volume	
	V	Local Frends III Farm income and expenditures as Keported in Each Kegion	41

The Agricultural Finance Databook is a compilation of various data on current developments in agricultural finance. Large portions of the data come from regular surveys conducted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or by Federal Reserve Banks. Other portions come from the quarterly Call Report data of commercial banks or from the reports of other financial institutions involved in agricultural lending. When the current issue went to press, data from the Survey of Terms of Bank Lending were available for the fourth quarter of 2005; the other data generally were available through the third quarter of 2005.

Parts or all of the Agricultural Finance Databook may be copied and distributed freely. Any redistribution of selected parts of the databook should be accompanied by the front cover identifying the databook and date of issue. Remaining questions may be sent to:

Agricultural Finance Databook Mail Stop 80 Division of Research and Statistics Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20551

The databook is available online at http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/e15.

Federal Reserve Statistical Release E.15 – Agricultural Finance Databook

Section A: Amount and Characteristics of Farm Loans Made by Commercial Banks

These data are for non-real-estate farm loans of \$1,000 or more made by commercial banks. They are derived from quarterly sample surveys conducted by the Federal Reserve System during the first full week of the second month of each quarter. Data obtained from the sample are expanded into national estimates for all commercial banks, which are shown in the following tables.


Since May 1997, the Federal Reserve has collected data on the assessment by the lender of the risk associated with each loan, the next date that the rate of interest could be adjusted, whether the loan was callable by the bank, and whether the borrower could prepay the loan without penalty. Over time, the data on the lender's perception of the riskiness of farm loans should help provide a better picture of the effect of fluctuations in the creditworthiness of farm borrowers as farm financial conditions or the broader economic environment changes. The new data on loan repricing dates, the callability of the loan, and the existence of prepayment penalties should help to refine estimates of the duration of farm loans made by commercial banks.


Tables A.8 through A.13 contain most of the new data, whereas the other tables in this section show estimates that are comparable to those that have been presented for a number of years. However, for several quarters while the new survey was being designed, banks that left the survey panel were not replaced immediately because new replacement banks would soon have been forced to revise their newly instituted reporting procedures when the new survey form went into effect. As a result, the size of the survey panel dwindled through early 1997, and an unusually large number of new reporters (about 25) were added with the May 1997 survey. This change did not affect the validity of the May survey information, but it likely introduced sampling error, especially when the May survey results are compared with those of previous quarters.

The format and the information contained in the tables are likely to change as more of the new survey information is acquired.

Since November 1991, several survey statistics have been estimated for each of ten farm production regions as defined by the United States Department of Agriculture. These statistics, which are presented in table A.14, should be treated with some caution. Although an effort was made to choose a good regional mix of banks for the panel, the panel never has been stratified by region. Consequently, the survey results are less precise for each region than for the totals for the nation. More-detailed results from each quarterly survey were previously published in Statistical Release E.2A, Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Since February 1992, these results have been included at the end of section A of the databook. The E.2A was discontinued in February 1992.








⁻⁻ Four-quarter moving average.

Source. Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers.



Maturity and Interest Rate of Non-real-estate Farm Loans, 1980-2005



Note. Effective (compounded) annual interest rates are calculated from the stated rate and other terms of the loans and weighted by loan size.

-- Four-quarter moving average.

Source. Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers.

Size of loan Size of bank's farm (thousands of dollars) Purpose of loan loan portfolio Other Farm current machinery 1 10 25 100 Feeder Other Small or operating and to to to and Other² Period livestock equipment 24 99 mid-size³ Large⁴ Total livestock 9 expenses¹ over .28 1994..... 2.53 .23 .18 .27 .35 .12 1.98 1.56 1.55 .51 .54 1995..... 2.49 .26 .19 1.48 .17 .39 1.45 .57 .12 1.83 .66 .36 1996..... 2.22 .18 .17 1.38 .14 .36 1.33 .48 .31 .11 1.69 .53 2.27 1.32 .50 1.82 1997..... .20 1.40 .15 .33 .34 .19 .11 .46 1998..... 2.10 .15 .18 1.39 .17 .22 1.20 .45 .33 .12 1.71 .39 .18 .32 1999..... 1.96 .14 .16 1.32 .16 1.09 .44 .11 1.56 .40 2000..... 1.91 .11 .17 1.30 .13 .19 1.09 .44 .28 .10 1.34 .57 2001..... 1.76 .13 .16 1.08 .13 .26 .97 .40 .28 .11 1.14 .61 1.34 .42 .31 1.29 2002..... 1.95 .15 .12 .23 1.11 .12 .66 .11 .15 .36 .29 2003..... 1.66 .12 .12 1.14 .13 .91 .10 1.13 .53 .87 .29 .46 2004..... 1.64 .09 .13 1.15 .13 .14 .36 .12 1.17 2005..... 1.47 .10 .11 1.02 .11 .14 .76 .32 .27 .12 .99 .48 2002: Q2... 2.44 .11 .15 1.78 .13 .26 1.44 .52 .34 .14 1.53 .91 Q3... 1.95 .40 .29 1.30 .07 .14 1.40 .14 .20 1.16 .10 .65 04... 1.65 .13 .15 1.09 .07 .20 .92 .36 .28 .09 1.08 .57 2003: 01... 1.69 1.08 .18 .84 .37 .33 .15 1.18 .51 .13 .14 .16 .37 .27 Q2... 1.81 .11 .12 1.27 .15 .15 1.07 .09 1.36 .45 Q3... 1.58 .08 .09 1.17 .10 .14 .94 .33 .24 .08 1.03 .55 .14 1.04 .10 .80 .36 .31 .97 .60 04... 1.57 .14 .15 .10 2004: Q1... 1.60 .09 .13 1.12 .12 .15 .81 .33 .32 .14 1.15 .46 Q2... 1.88 .08 .13 1.38 .14 .15 1.06 .43 .28 .10 1.33 .56 Q3... 1.62 .07 .12 1.17 .14 .12 .93 .36 .23 .10 1.23 .39 .32 Q4... 1.44 .12 .13 .95 .10 .15 .67 .33 .13 .99 .45 2005: O1... .94 .28 .38 1.37 .10 .12 .07 .14 .68 .29 .12 1.00 Q2... 1.79 .11 .10 1.26 .14 .18 .97 .40 .28 .15 1.24 .55 Q3... .83 .33 1.52 .27 .10 .93 .05 .09 1.10 .14 .14 .59 .27 04... 1.19 .12 .13 .77 .07 .09 .57 .24 .11 .78 .41

A.1. Number of Non-real-estate Bank Loans Made to Farmers Millions

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter.

1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).

2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose.

3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans.

A.2. Average Size of Non-real-estate Bank Loans Made to Farmers Thousands of dollars

	Purpose of loan							Size o (thousands	f loan of dollars)		Size of bank's farm loan portfolio	
Period	All loans	Feeder livestock	Other livestock	Other current operating expenses ¹	Farm machinery and equipment	Other ²	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	Small or mid-size ³	Large ⁴
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	33.91 33.77 39.25 31.40 32.36 30.92 26.30 29.40 28.77 30.74 39.38 40.42	60.27 49.68 59.00 42.33 41.46 35.63 43.25 39.71 47.63 67.77 68.02 69.13	27.58 26.74 24.23 25.99 24.32 26.42 25.96 29.75 33.26 36.98 52.88 47.05	16.29 18.46 26.00 16.82 18.16 21.43 21.27 20.03 21.43 21.44 25.95 26.41	$17.54 \\ 15.59 \\ 17.23 \\ 17.79 \\ 28.10 \\ 31.82 \\ 29.27 \\ 31.76 \\ 24.44 \\ 33.40 \\ 47.58 \\ 63.49 \\ 17.58 \\ 63.49 \\ 17.58 \\ 10.5$	123.60 93.60 95.19 97.18 127.91 101.12 48.49 62.16 62.04 64.60 110.83 99.94	3.72 3.73 3.73 3.76 3.73 3.82 3.85 3.87 3.72 3.75 3.81 3.83	14.57 14.72 14.95 14.86 14.78 14.83 14.94 14.76 15.02 14.81 14.82 14.62	46.98 44.94 45.22 45.78 45.40 46.80 45.33 45.45 45.83 47.26 46.26 47.14	480.70 451.25 545.93 385.35 357.03 322.06 258.41 269.62 269.92 276.39 360.52 333.02	15.44 15.73 15.44 16.25 18.13 19.27 18.72 18.75 19.03 22.30 25.99 26.03	101.26 84.02 115.02 91.98 95.04 76.23 44.09 49.19 47.85 48.88 73.29 69.96
2002: Q2	23.72	41.55	32.41	18.55	19.26	48.30	3.69	15.06	45.28	216.61	16.96	35.08
Q3	28.88	48.00	28.87	21.00	30.85	75.68	3.69	14.83	44.27	329.62	15.41	55.81
Q4	31.46	52.06	38.39	22.16	17.78	67.64	3.85	15.19	46.02	333.67	19.87	53.46
2003: Q1	35.98	68.86	32.35	27.73	38.74	62.19	3.72	14.88	46.71	249.54	29.08	51.74
Q2	24.61	43.91	32.67	18.01	28.73	55.73	3.72	14.67	48.45	241.93	19.21	41.06
Q3	27.75	78.05	40.90	17.84	37.37	65.70	3.53	14.83	46.45	319.67	17.55	46.78
Q4	35.13	79.92	42.70	23.13	28.16	75.70	4.11	14.85	47.42	313.07	23.44	54.21
2004: Q1	45.07	60.59	43.04	35.57	56.02	98.51	3.86	15.02	47.24	341.06	32.69	76.21
Q2	32.01	47.74	36.25	21.95	26.77	116.76	3.73	15.17	45.83	358.08	18.99	63.07
Q3	33.42	32.56	62.64	18.04	42.06	143.63	3.76	14.56	44.91	359.39	19.83	75.73
Q4	49.42	108.90	70.44	30.21	75.92	90.23	3.96	14.46	46.63	385.43	35.25	80.85
2005: Q1	40.23	52.36	44.37	36.66	35.31	54.11	3.57	14.72	49.15	290.01	35.33	53.20
Q2	42.75	70.57	38.44	23.68	78.69	132.29	3.95	14.60	46.31	371.83	21.31	91.28
Q3	38.87	65.13	67.97	22.19	73.29	105.64	3.84	14.39	46.29	397.07	22.35	65.03
Q4	39.08	83.40	41.58	24.45	43.37	97.49	3.92	14.83	46.73	270.45	26.10	63.87

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter. 1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry). 2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose. 3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans.

A.3. Volume of Non-real-estate Bank Loans Made to Farmers Billions of dollars

			P	urpose of loa	n			Size o (thousands		Size of bank's farm loan portfolio		
Period	Total	Feeder livestock	Other livestock	Other current operating expenses ¹	Farm machinery and equipment	Other ²	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	Small or mid-size ³	Large ⁴
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	85.7 84.1 87.3 71.4 68.0 60.6 50.2 51.6 56.2 51.1 64.4 59.3	16.8 12.7 10.6 8.0 6.1 4.9 4.8 5.1 5.3 7.9 6.0 6.6	6.4 5.2 4.0 5.3 4.4 4.2 4.5 4.7 5.1 4.5 6.7 5.1	25.4 27.3 35.9 23.6 25.2 28.4 27.6 21.7 28.8 24.5 29.9 26.9	$3.2 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 4.9 \\ 5.2 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.1 \\ 2.9 \\ 4.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.7 $	33.9 36.1 34.5 31.9 27.5 18.0 9.3 16.1 14.1 10.0 15.9 14.0	5.8 5.4 5.0 5.0 4.5 4.2 4.2 3.7 4.1 3.4 3.3 2.9	7.4 8.3 7.1 7.4 6.7 6.6 6.5 5.9 6.3 5.3 5.4 4.7	16.5 16.0 13.9 15.8 14.9 15.1 12.6 12.6 14.3 13.5 13.2 12.5	56.0 54.4 61.3 43.3 41.9 34.9 26.8 29.3 31.5 28.8 42.5 39.2	30.6 28.8 26.1 29.6 31.1 30.1 25.0 21.4 24.6 25.3 30.5 25.7	55.1 55.3 61.2 41.9 37.0 30.6 25.1 30.2 31.6 25.8 33.9 33.6
2003: 2002: Q2 Q3 Q4	57.9 56.4 51.9	4.7 3.3 6.9	5.0 4.1 5.9	33.1 29.4 24.0	2.5 4.3 1.3	12.6 15.3 13.7	5.3 4.3 3.5	4.7 7.9 6.0 5.4	15.3 12.7 12.9	29.4 33.4 30.0	26.0 20.1 21.5	31.9 36.3 30.4
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	60.8 44.4 43.9 55.2	8.8 4.9 6.6 11.1	4.5 3.8 3.6 6.0	30.0 22.9 20.8 24.1	6.1 4.3 3.9 2.9	11.4 8.4 9.0 11.1	3.1 4.0 3.3 3.3	5.6 5.4 4.8 5.4	15.3 13.1 11.0 14.6	36.9 21.9 24.7 31.9	34.2 26.1 18.1 22.8	26.6 18.3 25.8 32.3
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	72.2 60.2 54.2 71.0	5.2 3.8 2.3 12.6	5.4 4.7 7.3 9.2	39.7 30.2 21.1 28.6	6.7 3.9 5.9 7.4	15.1 17.6 17.6 13.2	3.1 4.0 3.5 2.6	5.0 6.5 5.3 4.8	14.9 13.0 10.3 14.7	49.1 36.7 35.1 48.9	37.5 25.2 24.3 34.9	34.7 35.0 29.9 36.1
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	55.2 76.6 59.1 46.4	5.3 7.7 3.4 10.2	5.3 3.7 6.1 5.5	34.4 29.9 24.4 18.8	2.5 11.0 10.1 3.2	7.7 24.3 15.2 8.7	2.4 3.8 3.2 2.2	4.2 5.9 4.7 4.1	13.7 12.7 12.6 11.0	34.8 54.1 38.7 29.1	35.2 26.5 20.8 20.3	20.0 50.1 38.3 26.1

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter. 1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry). 2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose. 3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans. 4. Portfolios with more than \$25 million in farm loans.

Size of loan Size of bank's farm Purpose of loan (thousands of dollars) loan portfolio Other Farm current machinery 1 10 25 100 All Feeder Other Small or operating and to to to and Other² equipment 9 24 99 mid-size³ Large⁴ Period livestock livestock loans expenses¹ over 1994..... 10.3 7.6 9.8 8.6 36.6 9.4 8.6 13.5 7.2 12.6 5.8 11.6 9.9 8.7 9.9 8.5 26.5 10.0 9.0 10.8 8.2 7.3 1995..... 12.1 11.4 1996..... 8.5 7.8 11.3 7.6 29.4 9.2 8.6 10.5 12.1 7.3 12.3 6.4 9.9 9.1 30.6 7.4 12.4 8.8 12.8 7.6 1997..... 11.0 10.7 8.8 11.6 1998..... 9.8 8.0 10.3 9.9 27.5 6.8 8.8 11.3 12.5 8.7 13.2 6.8 1999..... 11.5 8.0 11.0 11.3 20.1 10.5 9.8 11.2 12.4 11.4 13.8 9.2 11.2 8.0 10.8 9.5 22.4 9.7 11.4 12.3 10.0 2000..... 13.2 11.5 11.1 2001..... 13.2 8.5 14.0 10.3 24.3 15.3 9.6 11.9 12.5 14.1 15.5 11.4 23.3 10.9 12.5 9.0 2002..... 11.2 8.6 11.5 10.2 11.7 9.4 10.8 13.8 9.5 12.9 9.9 2003..... 12.5 8.5 9.4 11.1 26.5 14.4 11.2 12.7 14.9 23.3 12.9 2004..... 11.9 9.2 11.9 10.0 12.0 9.6 11.8 11.7 14.0 9.9 2005..... 12.9 9.4 10.0 9.9 18.9 18.3 9.3 11.4 13.5 13.1 13.5 12.4 2002: Q2... 13.4 11.3 19.6 10.6 27.7 16.8 10.2 12.6 14.2 13.8 18.4 9.1 Q3... 9.9 12.7 9.7 9.5 6.3 8.0 9.8 10.6 9.4 9.4 10.6 10.1 Õ4... 9.5 8.8 9.8 7.3 9.1 32.8 9.3 7.7 8.3 9.7 11.3 8.2 2003: Q1... 8.8 35.2 14.2 15.5 17.2 10.0 14.111.3 11.8 10.4 11.7 14.3 Q2... 13.5 6.9 9.0 13.7 21.1 15.0 10.3 12.4 13.3 14.5 15.9 9.8 Q3... 10.4 8.4 6.4 8.9 21.112.4 9.2 10.3 11.1 10.3 11.5 9.6 O4... 11.4 9.0 9.9 9.4 24.0 7.9 12.3 13.2 15.7 10.4 10.6 10.1 2004: Q1... 14.1 7.9 12.4 11.6 28.9 16.8 9.8 12.9 16.0 13.9 15.6 12.4 10.8 Q2... 10.4 8.6 10.5 10.3 18.4 9.2 11.9 12.2 9.5 12.8 8.7 Q3... 10.4 7.1 10.6 8.8 27.2 7.0 9.6 12.9 11.7 9.8 14.7 6.9 Q4... 7.7 11.9 10.2 13.2 8.5 17.8 16.9 9.3 11.1 12.6 12.7 11.1 2005: Q1... 9.9 8.7 13.3 10.8 11.1 46.8 16.5 12.1 15.2 13.0 14.1 11.7 Q2... 13.2 9.5 10.5 10.5 14.7 17.4 10.8 12.3 12.6 13.6 13.2 13.2 Q3... 8.8 12.7 9.3 10.8 10.0 9.0 13.6 12.8 8.1 11.0 10.4 13.6 04... 14.4 8.9 9.8 8.2 28.1 32.4 9.3 9.8 13.4 15.7 12.6 15.8

A.4. Average Maturity of Non-real-estate Bank Loans Made to Farmers Months

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter.

1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).

2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose.

3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans.

A.5. Average Effective Interest Rates on Non-real-estate Bank Loans Made to Farmers Percent

			P	urpose of loa	n			Size o (thousands			Size of ba loan po	
Period	All loans	Feeder livestock	Other livestock	Other current operating expenses ¹	Farm machinery and equipment	Other ²	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	Small or mid-size ³	Large ⁴
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	7.8 9.5 8.4 9.2 9.0 8.7 9.7 7.8 5.9 5.4 5.4 6.7	8.3 10.1 8.8 9.6 9.4 9.1 9.8 7.6 6.1 5.1 5.3 6.9	8.0 10.2 9.5 9.8 9.7 9.1 9.9 8.0 5.8 5.4 5.5 6.9	8.4 10.0 8.6 9.9 9.6 9.2 9.9 8.4 6.4 5.7 5.7 7.0	8.6 10.3 9.7 9.8 9.3 8.8 9.3 8.3 6.7 6.0 5.5 7.0	$7.0 \\ 8.8 \\ 8.0 \\ 8.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 7.6 \\ 9.3 \\ 7.0 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10000000000000000000000000000000000$	9.1 10.6 10.2 10.2 10.1 9.7 10.3 9.1 7.3 6.7 6.6 7.7	$\begin{array}{c} 8.8\\ 10.5\\ 10.1\\ 10.0\\ 9.9\\ 9.5\\ 10.2\\ 8.7\\ 7.0\\ 6.4\\ 6.4\\ 7.5\end{array}$	8.6 10.3 9.8 9.9 9.7 9.3 10.1 8.3 6.5 5.9 5.9 7.1	7.3 9.0 7.8 8.8 8.4 8.1 9.4 7.3 5.3 4.8 5.0 6.5	$\begin{array}{c} 8.8\\ 10.4\\ 10.0\\ 10.0\\ 9.8\\ 9.4\\ 10.2\\ 8.9\\ 7.2\\ 6.5\\ 6.4\\ 7.4\end{array}$	7.2 9.0 7.8 8.7 8.3 7.9 9.3 7.1 4.9 4.3 4.5 6.3
2002: Q2	6.2	6.1	6.3	6.4	7.1	5.3	7.2	6.9	6.4	5.6	7.2	5.3
Q3	5.7	6.3	6.1	6.0	6.3	4.7	7.3	7.0	6.5	4.9	7.3	4.8
Q4	5.5	5.6	5.4	6.2	7.2	4.2	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.8	7.1	4.4
2003: Q1	5.6	5.3	5.2	6.0	6.2	4.8	6.8	6.5	6.1	5.2	6.6	4.3
Q2	5.9	5.6	5.7	6.4	6.2	4.9	6.8	6.6	6.3	5.4	6.7	4.8
Q3	5.2	4.8	5.6	5.4	6.0	4.4	6.5	6.2	5.6	4.6	6.5	4.3
Q4	5.0	4.7	5.4	5.2	5.6	4.4	6.4	6.2	5.7	4.2	6.2	4.1
2004: Q1	5.3	5.1	5.9	5.6	5.5	4.6	6.6	6.2	5.8	5.0	6.3	4.3
Q2	5.2	4.8	5.3	5.4	5.4	4.7	6.5	6.2	5.5	4.7	6.3	4.4
Q3	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.8	5.6	5.0	6.6	6.5	5.9	5.1	6.5	4.7
Q4	5.5	5.5	5.2	6.0	5.5	4.9	6.9	6.5	6.3	5.1	6.4	4.7
2005: Q1	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.9	6.9	5.7	7.3	6.9	6.9	6.4	7.2	5.6
Q2	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.0	7.6	7.4	6.9	6.3	7.3	6.1
Q3	6.7	6.9	6.8	7.1	6.9	5.8	7.7	7.6	7.1	6.3	7.4	6.3
Q4	7.4	7.5	7.7	7.5	7.7	6.7	8.3	8.1	7.7	7.1	7.8	7.0

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Effective (compounded) interest rates are calculated from the stated rate and other terms of the loans and weighted by loan size. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter.
1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).
2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose.
3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans.

A.6. Share of Non-real-estate Bank Loans with a Floating Interest Rate Made to Farmers Percent

		Purpose of loan						Size of (thousands			Size of ba loan po	
Period	All loans	Feeder livestock	Other livestock	Other current operating expenses ¹	Farm machinery and equipment	Other ²	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	Small or mid-size ³	Large ⁴
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	75.1 73.8 63.1 65.8 54.4 60.7 66.9 74.5 75.1 74.3 74.3 77.1	82.9 83.9 58.1 66.4 55.0 45.6 57.3 72.9 64.6 68.7 67.2 62.7	74.3 75.9 71.2 73.2 59.4 66.0 60.7 65.6 65.4 59.6 47.5 71.4	72.3 73.0 67.3 67.8 68.5 68.6 67.0 75.0 75.8 74.6 73.4 73.0	51.6 53.1 32.9 49.9 46.7 58.2 62.2 61.0 60.9 69.5 71.2 83.9	75.7 72.2 61.4 64.3 42.0 52.0 76.7 80.5 84.1 86.5 91.3 90.6	58.6 61.7 60.6 60.1 57.6 52.6 53.4 58.8 67.8 67.8 67.6 65.8 67.6	59.8 63.9 61.5 58.0 54.8 54.6 54.6 60.9 64.5 65.1 63.7 62.9	$\begin{array}{c} 70.4 \\ 73.6 \\ 69.1 \\ 68.0 \\ 62.7 \\ 60.2 \\ 61.8 \\ 69.4 \\ 68.4 \\ 63.0 \\ 63.1 \\ 65.4 \end{array}$	80.2 76.7 62.2 67.0 51.1 63.1 74.5 81.5 81.2 82.1 79.9 83.2	59.7 62.3 57.9 57.9 51.3 50.5 51.4 54.0 54.1 51.6 48.6 51.9	83.7 79.9 65.4 71.4 57.1 70.8 82.5 89.1 91.5 96.5 97.5 96.3
2002: Q2	75.1	56.2	72.9	74.5	52.8	89.1	69.6	67.6	72.9	79.3	52.7	93.4
Q3	72.2	53.6	57.8	78.2	75.1	67.9	68.5	68.7	68.5	74.8	44.8	87.4
Q4	81.4	78.1	65.4	81.8	37.9	93.5	67.6	62.8	68.0	92.2	60.5	96.1
2003: Q1	74.7	64.0	79.5	75.4	61.7	86.0	68.2	67.5	63.7	80.8	58.0	96.0
Q2	69.2	53.9	63.8	67.1	74.0	84.0	66.7	62.8	54.8	79.9	51.1	94.9
Q3	78.2	74.9	41.0	81.0	78.2	88.8	69.7	64.4	73.6	84.1	50.2	97.8
Q4	74.9	75.5	53.0	75.3	67.4	87.1	66.0	65.6	61.6	83.4	43.6	96.9
2004: Q1	69.4	65.2	62.7	66.8	55.1	86.3	64.5	66.2	61.9	72.3	44.7	96.1
Q2	80.5	79.6	52.8	77.7	72.5	94.5	68.5	66.1	67.6	88.9	55.6	98.4
Q3	74.5	67.5	48.7	71.0	68.8	92.1	60.5	60.1	60.9	82.0	47.8	96.2
Q4	74.1	64.4	34.6	79.7	86.9	91.5	70.1	61.7	61.7	79.2	48.2	99.1
2005: Q1	72.7	61.2	73.9	73.5	51.6	83.2	66.7	59.1	64.2	78.1	61.6	92.2
Q2	82.7	64.7	78.6	74.9	89.7	95.4	65.8	64.4	69.5	88.9	53.1	98.3
Q3	75.3	70.0	70.5	63.3	89.1	88.4	68.5	66.5	61.5	81.4	35.5	97.0
Q4	75.4	59.7	65.2	81.5	72.6	87.9	70.3	60.5	66.7	81.1	50.5	94.8

Note. Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve System's Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. Quarterly estimates are expressed as an annual rate and are based on loans made during the first full week of the second month of the quarter. 1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry). 2. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose. 3. Portfolios with \$25 million or less in farm loans.

A.7. Distribution of Dollar Amount of Non-real-estate Farm Loans, by Effective Interest Rate Percent

							E	ffective	interest	rate (pe	rcent)							
Quarter	All rates	Zero to 4.9	5.0 to 5.9	6.0 to 6.9	7.0 to 7.9	8.0 to 8.9	9.0 to 9.9	10.0 to 10.9	11.0 to 11.9	12.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 13.9	14.0 to 14.9	15.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 16.9	17.0 to 17.9	18.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 19.9	20.0 to 20.9
1994: Q4 1995: Q4 1996: Q4 1997: Q4 1998: Q4 1999: Q4 2000: Q4 2000: Q4 2002: Q4 2003: Q4 2003: Q4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	* * .01 .01 * 21.20 38.69 46.26 31.55	3.00 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 32.80 23.76 21.96 30.94	$\begin{array}{c} 15.00\\ 10.00\\ 19.00\\ 5.00\\ .01\\ 3.00\\ .01\\ 13.60\\ 14.20\\ 16.40\\ 19.15\\ 26.62\end{array}$	27.00 12.00 8.00 6.00 3.00 9.00 3.00 16.40 12.97 10.95 11.56	23.00 11.00 27.00 34.00 15.00 10.50 7.73 3.03 4.82 25.80	$\begin{array}{c} 20.00\\ 30.00\\ 25.00\\ 31.00\\ 30.00\\ 36.00\\ 30.00\\ 4.10\\ 2.02\\ 1.10\\ 1.21\\ 6.02\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.00\\ 25.00\\ 16.00\\ 16.00\\ 34.00\\ 17.00\\ 34.00\\ .90\\ .44\\ .25\\ .67\\ .67\end{array}$	6.00 9.00 4.00 6.00 13.00 5.00 13.00 .30 .04 *	* 1.00 1.00 1.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 .10 .04 .02 .02 *	* 1.00 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .00 .04 * .04	* .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .00 .02 .02 .02 *	* .01 .01 * .01 * .02 * *	* .01 .01 * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * .01 * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *
2005: Q4 2002: Q2 Q3 Q4	100 100 100 100	.68 23.24 26.33 38.69	6.95 22.45 27.25 23.76	26.62 17.77 18.41 14.20	32.48 22.77 15.57 12.97	25.80 10.15 8.76 7.73	6.63 2.75 2.82 2.02	.70 .77 .49 .44	.00 .29 .04	.00 .06 .04	.00 * .04	.00 * .02	.00 * .02	.00. *	.00 * *	.00. * *	.00 .02 .02	* * *
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100 100 100 100	37.28 27.25 41.83 46.26	22.16 23.90 23.79 21.96	18.64 21.19 18.38 16.40	14.73 18.64 11.11 10.95	4.88 6.55 3.67 3.03	1.72 1.77 .77 1.10	.47 .51 .22 .25	.02 .08 .04 *	.02 .08 .04 .02	* .02 *	* .03 .02 .02	* .02 *	* * *	* * *	* * .07 *	.07 * .02 *	* * * *
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100 100 100 100	43.84 42.54 36.91 31.55	22.35 25.54 26.22 30.94	17.33 17.44 18.99 19.15	11.30 10.50 12.61 11.56	3.56 3.02 3.57 4.82	1.16 .61 1.35 1.21	.27 .25 .27 .67	.05 .02 .05 .04	.05 .05 * .02	* .02 .04	.07 .02 *	.02 * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100 100 100 100	11.07 6.46 8.94 .68	19.85 19.30 14.99 6.95	27.21 39.57 34.66 26.62	29.30 24.01 24.51 32.48	11.22 8.33 14.68 25.80	1.06 1.98 1.94 6.63	.17 .19 .21 .70	.13 .13 .05 *	* .02 .02 *	* * .01 *	* .01 *	* .00 *	.00. * *	.00. * *	* * *	* * *	* * *

Note. Non-real-estate farm loans of \$1,000 or more made by insured commercial banks. Effective (compounded) annual interest rates are calculated from the stated rate and other terms of the loans and weighted by loan size. Percentages may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

* Indicates value of zero.

Source. Federal Reserve Survey of the Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers, conducted during the first full business week of the second month of each quarter.

Loan characteristic	All sizes	\$1,000 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$249,999	\$250,000 and over
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	995,330	46,065	83,016	96,969	135,260	254,435	379,586
Number of loans	24,573	11,705	5,556	2,910	2,003	1,712	688
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	21.02	9.73	10.95	12.03	15.46	22.17	28.03
Weighted average repricing interval $(months)^2$	7.16	4.68	6.03	4.19	9.83	10.04	5.59
Weighted average risk rating ³	2.94	2.90	2.82	2.94	2.85	2.92	3.00
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	7.44	8.30	8.05	7.73	7.78	7.44	7.01
Standard error ⁵	.14	.11	.04	.14	.17	.06	.18
Interquartile range ⁶							
75th percentile	8.25	8.87	8.75	8.51	8.50	8.09	7.98
25th percentile	6.70	7.64	7.48	7.19	7.16	6.86	6.17
Purpose of loan							
Feeder livestock	7.50	8.33	7.91	7.47	7.86	7.70	7.19
Other livestock	7.72	8.35	8.24	8.24	7.72	7.50	7.50
Other current operating expenses ⁷	7.58	8.28	8.03	7.76	7.74	7.52	7.07
Farm machinery and equipment	7.66	8.72	8.29	7.98	8.12	7.74	6.96
Farm real estate Other ⁸	7.09	7.87	7.70	7.63	7.49	7.18	6.85
Other	6.85	8.11	7.98	7.01	7.73	6.76	6.62
Share of total loan volume							
Features of loan							
Floating rate	74.68	67.94	60.36	67.23	64.93	70.29	86.95
Under commitment	77.44	75.17	73.84	74.15	76.72	76.44	80.27
Callable	22.97	23.80	22.92	25.43	33.57	23.67	17.99
Subject to prepayment penalty	4.24	1.77	1.84	1.44	6.34	.72	7.39
Purpose of loan	• • • •		0.01	1	10 -	a () ()	22 40
Feeder livestock	20.40	6.11	9.86	16.30	19.57	24.40	23.10
Other livestock	11.55	9.13	12.02	13.30	14.89	13.37	8.89
Other current operating expenses ⁷	39.63	70.11	62.49	49.57	43.25	34.94	30.23
Farm machinery and equipment Farm real estate	6.26 6.83	6.54 2.71	7.26 2.22	9.30 3.65	7.10 3.59	4.86 9.21	5.86 8.72
Other ⁸	15.33	2.71 5.41	6.14	5.65 7.89	5.59 11.61	13.21	8.72 23.19
Type of collateral	15.55	5.41	0.14	1.69	11.01	13.21	25.19
Farm real estate	10.67	6.92	6.43	6.52	10.95	14.36	10.53
Other	85.83	87.06	89.37	86.08	85.65	83.75	86.30
outer	05.05	07.00	07.51	00.00	05.05	05.15	00.50

A.8. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- All Banks, by Size of Loan, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Note. Data in tables A.8 through A.13 are from the the Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers, which collects data on gross loan extensions made during the first full business week in the mid-month of each quarter by a sample of 250 banks of all sizes. The sample data are used to infer an estimate of the lending terms at all insured agricultural banks during that week. The estimated terms of bank lending are not intended for use in collecting the terms of loans extended over the entire quarter or those residing in the portfolios of banks. Loans of less than \$1,000 are excluded from the survey. (notes continued on next page)

A.8. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- All Banks, by Size of Loan, November 7-11, 2005 (cont.)

1. Average maturities are weighted by loan size and exclude loans with no stated maturity.

2. The repricing interval measures the period from the date the loan is made until it first may be repriced. For floating-rate loans that are subject to repricing at any time--such as many prime-based loans--the repricing interval is zero. For floating rate loans that have a scheduled repricing interval, the interval measures the number of days between the date the loan is made and the date on which it is next scheduled to reprice. For loans having rates that remain fixed until the loan matures (fixed-rate loans), the interval measures the number of days between the date the loan is made and the date the loan is made and the date on which it matures. Loans that reprice daily are assumed to reprice on the business day after they are made.

3. A complete description of these risk rating categories is available from the Banking Analysis Section, mail stop 81, the Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC 20551. The category 'Moderate Risk' includes the average loan, under average economic conditions, at the typical lender. The weighted-average risk ratings are alculated by assigning a value of '1' to minimal risk loans; '2' to low risk loans; '3' to moderate risk loans; '4' to acceptable risk loans; and '5' to special mention and classified loans. In calculating the average risk rating, these values are weighted by loan amount and exclude loans with no risk rating. Some of the loans are not rated for risk.

4. Effective (compounded) annual interest rates are calculated from the stated rate and other terms of the loans and weighted by loan size.

5. The chances are about two out of three that the average rate shown would differ by less than this amount from the average rate that would be found by a complete survey of lending at all banks.

6. The interquartile range shows the interest rate range that encompasses the middle 50 percent of the total dollar amount of loans made.

7. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).

8. Typically loans for which the lender does not know the purpose.

Loan characteristic	All sizes	\$1,000 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$249,999	\$250,000 and over
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	759,693	26,211	49,600	65,340	95,249	191,963	331,33
Number of loans	15,403	6,826	3,393	1,947	1,402	1,276	55
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	17.81	8.74	10.02	12.30	9.87	21.17	21.0
Weighted average repricing interval (months) ²	4.13	2.87	3.56	3.81	3.68	6.50	3.1
Weighted average risk rating ³	3.05	3.24	3.10	2.99	2.96	3.08	3.0
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	7.25	8.28	7.96	7.73	7.56	7.35	6.8
Standard error ⁵	.20	.17	.04	.11	.16	.21	.1
Interquartile range ⁶							
75th percentile	8.00	8.98	8.57	8.49	8.21	8.02	7.5
25th percentile	6.43	7.50	7.25	7.19	6.94	6.72	6.1
Purpose of loan							
Feeder livestock	7.32	8.01	8.00	7.66	7.65	7.60	7.0
Other livestock	7.40	8.01	7.97	8.17	7.12	7.21	7.3
Other current operating expenses ⁷	7.41	8.34	8.00	7.79	7.64	7.43	6.7
Farm machinery and equipment	7.48	9.10	7.87	7.97	8.10	7.74	6.9
Farm real estate	6.88	7.37	7.14	7.27	7.49	7.16	6.5
Other ⁸	6.78	7.94	7.85	6.91	7.09	6.96	6.6
Share of total loan volume							
Features of loan							
Floating rates	80.38	77.73	75.26	75.90	75.21	78.11	85.0
Under commitment	85.11	90.73	86.81	86.30	90.85	86.32	81.8
Callable	22.70	28.51	26.65	32.19	36.54	29.32	11.9
Purpose of loan							
Feeder livestock	18.37	5.91	7.46	12.51	15.29	16.83	23.9
Other livestock	10.50	6.43	9.74	11.76	12.32	13.50	8.4
Other current operating expenses ⁷	40.25	76.82	67.39	53.14	53.99	39.81	27.0
Farm machinery and equipment	6.31	3.57	5.85	9.16	5.80	5.37	6.7
Farm real estate	6.65	2.04	2.02	2.59	5.10	9.46	7.3
Other ⁸	17.93	5.23	7.53	10.84	7.49	15.02	26.5
Type of collateral		6.05	5 .01	C 1 4	C 01	10.07	2.4
Farm real estate	6.75	6.95	5.81	6.14	6.81	12.87	3.4
Other	89.14	85.13	87.16	85.69	89.49	84.61	92.9

A.9. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- Large Farm Lenders, by Size of Loan, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Note. Most large farm lenders that reported loans to farmers had more than \$25 million in farm loans. For explanation of footnotes, see table A.8.

Loan characteristic	All sizes	\$1,000 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$249,999	\$250,000 and over
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	235,637	19,854	33,416	31,628	40,011	62,472	48,255
Number of loans	9,171	4,879	2,163	963	600	436	131
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	31.36	10.99	12.34	11.47	28.97	25.21	75.32
Weighted average repricing interval $(months)^2$	16.93	7.07	9.68	4.99	24.31	20.92	22.55
Weighted average risk rating ³	2.40	2.36	2.28	2.79	2.28	2.32	2.49
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	8.05	8.32	8.19	7.74	8.31	7.72	8.25
Standard error ⁵	.16	.15	.07	.31	.28	.29	.08
Interquartile range ⁶							
75th percentile	8.50	8.77	8.85	8.57	9.20	8.25	8.40
25th percentile	7.64	7.73	7.64	7.25	7.75	7.10	8.25
Purpose of loan							
Feeder livestock	7.90	8.73	7.84	7.26	8.12	7.81	8.40
Other livestock	8.44	8.57	8.50	8.33	8.56	8.42	8.25
Other current operating expenses ⁷	8.15	8.19	8.09	7.67	8.49	8.09	8.36
Farm machinery and equipment	8.23	8.55	8.68	7.99	8.15	7.74	n.a.
Farm real estate	7.69	8.24	8.37	7.95	n.a.	7.25	7.79
Other ⁸	7.48	8.31	8.35	8.20	8.27	5.54	n.a.
Share of total loan volume							
Features of loan							
Floating rates	56.30	55.02	38.26	49.33	40.46	46.29	100.00
Under commitment	52.70	54.63	54.58	49.04	43.06	46.12	69.53
Callable	23.81	17.57	17.38	11.48	26.52	6.30	59.35
Purpose of loan							
Feeder livestock	26.95	6.38	13.43	24.13	29.74	47.67	17.49
Other livestock	14.96	12.69	15.39	16.48	21.01	12.98	12.13
Other current operating expenses ⁷	37.62	61.26	55.21	42.18	17.67	20.01	52.05
Farm machinery and equipment	6.10	10.45	9.36	9.59	10.18	3.30	n.a.
Farm real estate	7.43	3.58	2.53	5.84	n.a.	8.41	18.33
Other ⁸	6.95	5.64	4.08	1.78	21.40	7.64	n.a.
<i>Type of collateral</i> Farm real estate	22.21	6 00	7.20	7 20	20.91	10.01	50.25
	23.31 75.17	6.88 89.61	7.36 92.64	7.32 86.89	20.81 76.52	18.91 81.09	59.35 40.65
Other	/3.1/	89.01	92.04	80.89	/0.52	81.09	40.05

A.10. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- Small and Mid-sized Farm Lenders, by Size of Loan, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Note. Most small and mid-sized farm lenders that reported loans to farmers had less than \$25 million in farm loans. For explanation of footnotes, see table A.8. n.a. Not available.

A.11. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- All Banks, by Risk Rating, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Loan characteristic	All	Minimal	Low	Moderate	Acceptable	Special	Not rated	Not reported
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	995,330	54,415	169,067	441,378	194,912	13,483	37,191	84,884
Number of loans	24,573	1,447	4,683	10,657	3,608	787	605	2,787
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	21.02	67.35	14.64	20.17	15.70	27.75	10.78	24.45
Weighted average repricing interval (months) ²	7.16	26.16	8.60	5.18	.95	3.77	2.18	19.49
Weighted average risk rating ³	2.94	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	n.a.	n.a.
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	7.44	7.14	7.68	7.29	7.44	8.61	8.40	7.34
Standard error	.14	.21	.19	.27	.24	.33	.21	.21
Interquartile range ⁶								
75th percentile	8.25	7.79	8.09	8.25	7.98	9.11	8.45	8.14
25th percentile	6.70	6.91	7.10	6.26	6.70	8.11	8.33	6.47
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	7.50	7.37	7.72	7.16	7.95	9.66	8.29	7.10
Other livestock	7.72	6.98	7.62	7.79	7.34	n.a.	8.17	8.12
Other current operating expenses ⁷	7.58	6.60	7.70	7.52	7.41	8.51	8.46	7.83
Farm machinery and equipment	7.66	7.84	7.78	7.51	8.27	8.40	8.73	8.20
Farm real estate	7.09	7.78	7.33	6.77	7.76	n.a.	7.67	7.04
Other ⁸	6.85	6.68	7.71	6.43	7.19	8.26	8.27	6.56
Share of total loan volume								
Features of loan								
Floating rates	74.68	36.54	60.56	81.68	93.13	99.36	72.28	45.62
Under commitment	77.44	72.23	77.30	78.64	89.37	73.87	80.23	46.78
Callable	22.97	58.51	19.11	20.99	8.11	11.27	72.12	32.59
Subject to prepayment penalty	4.24	.19	1.23	1.93	2.26	.96	70.59	.87
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	20.40	29.16	35.73	18.80	15.21	13.37	10.97	9.76
Other livestock	11.55	4.99	11.66	10.64	11.92	n.a.	10.45	21.78
Other current operating expenses ⁷	39.63	40.07	40.34	39.06	39.84	61.59	74.72	21.48
Farm machinery and equipment	6.26	6.33	1.03	10.78	1.59	7.93	1.51	5.66
Farm real estate	6.83	18.62	5.20	8.98	2.82	n.a.	1.52	4.01
Other ⁸	15.33	.83	6.04	11.74	28.62	17.10	.83	37.31
Type of collateral								
Farm real estate	10.67	26.78	10.47	6.11	8.24	10.32	62.55	7.34
Other	85.83	72.87	86.81	89.13	90.49	86.75	33.68	87.01

For explanation of footnotes, see table A.8. n.a. Not available.

Loan characteristic	All	Minimal	Low	Moderate	Acceptable	Special	Not rated	Not reported
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	759,693	31,608	99,512	397,122	177,164	11,385	1,031	41,870
Number of loans	15,403	475	1,826	8,376	3,116	716	85	809
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	17.81	10.62	13.26	20.56	16.36	27.78	26.24	9.62
Weighted average repricing interval $(months)^2$	4.13	10.30	5.26	4.88	.67	4.45	19.56	3.90
Weighted average risk rating ³	3.05	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	n.a.	n.a.
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	7.25	6.66	7.49	7.20	7.31	8.59	8.16	7.01
Standard error ⁵	.20	.20	.25	.28	.27	.33	.01	.27
Interquartile range ⁶								
75th percentile	8.00	7.25	8.02	8.01	7.98	9.84	8.57	8.00
25th percentile	6.43	6.18	6.86	6.18	6.70	7.76	7.76	6.30
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	7.32	7.30	7.79	7.03	7.33	10.10	n.a.	7.91
Other livestock	7.40	6.21	6.93	7.59	7.29	n.a.	n.a.	8.01
Other current operating expenses ⁷	7.41	6.08	7.54	7.49	7.36	8.48	9.64	7.34
Farm machinery and equipment	7.48	6.77	7.55	7.44	8.05	7.76	9.73	7.85
Farm real estate	6.88	n.a.	7.45	6.74	7.58	n.a.	7.67	6.75
Other ⁸	6.78	6.68	6.64	6.36	7.19	8.26	8.27	6.55
Share of total loan volume								
Features of loan								
Floating rates	80.38	8.37	65.34	84.54	93.55	99.24	60.78	70.70
Under commitment	85.11	98.72	80.26	83.55	92.54	87.47	60.78	69.78
Callable	22.70	54.45	29.93	22.31	8.87	13.34	55.23	45.54
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	18.37	45.38	36.67	17.89	8.96	10.69	n.a.	1.35
Other livestock	10.50	5.33	13.10	9.25	12.74	n.a.	n.a.	13.74
Other current operating expenses ⁷	40.25	47.02	39.56	39.69	42.40	65.74	10.18	26.78
Farm machinery and equipment	6.31	.85	1.04	10.80	1.58	3.32	5.31	1.20
Farm real estate	6.65	n.a.	3.55	9.80	2.83	n.a.	54.73	5.89
Other ⁸	17.93	1.43	6.09	12.57	31.49	20.25	29.79	51.04
Type of collateral								
Farm real estate	6.75	12.44	6.22	6.04	6.10	12.22	13.00	11.44
Other	89.14	86.96	89.43	88.69	92.59	84.31	68.19	81.57

A.12. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- Large Farm Lenders, by Risk Rating, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Note. Most large farm lenders that reported loans to farmers had more than \$25 million in farm loans. For explanation of footnotes, see table A.8. n.a. Not available.

Loan characteristic	All	Minimal	Low	Moderate	Acceptable	Special	Not rated	Not reported
Volume of loans (thousands of dollars)	235,637	22,807	69,555	44,256	17,748	2,097	36,161	43,014
Number of loans	9,171	972	2,856	2,281	491	70	521	1,979
Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	31.36	144.84	16.61	16.46	9.15	27.56	10.58	36.25
Weighted average repricing interval $(months)^2$	16.93	48.14	13.38	7.90	3.77	.03	1.89	34.30
Weighted average risk rating ³	2.40	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	n.a.	n.a.
Weighted average interest rate ⁴	8.05	7.82	7.95	8.08	8.76	8.75	8.41	7.66
Standard error ⁵	.16	.33	.24	.20	.25	n.a.	.27	.39
Interquartile range ⁶								
75th percentile	8.50	7.79	8.50	8.34	9.15	8.75	8.45	8.75
25th percentile	7.64	7.74	7.25	7.75	8.40	8.75	8.33	7.25
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	7.90	7.99	7.62	7.95	8.66	8.75	8.29	7.04
Other livestock	8.44	8.24	n.a.	8.51	8.87	n.a.	8.17	8.17
Other current operating expenses ⁷	8.15	7.72	7.93	7.83	8.88	8.75	8.46	8.60
Farm machinery and equipment	8.23	7.93	8.11	8.19	10.24	8.75	8.62	8.24
Farm real estate	7.69	7.78	7.25	8.24	9.65	n.a.	n.a.	7.80
Other ⁸	7.48	n.a.	9.27	8.31	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.60
Share of total loan volume								
Features of loan								
Floating rates	56.30	75.60	53.73	56.04	88.94	100.00	72.60	21.21
Under commitment	52.70	35.51	73.07	34.63	57.72	n.a.	80.78	24.39
Callable	23.81	64.15	3.62	9.10	.48	n.a.	72.60	20.00
Purpose of loan								
Feeder livestock	26.95	6.68	34.38	26.91	77.58	27.92	11.28	17.95
Other livestock	14.96	4.53	9.60	23.15	3.76	n.a.	10.75	29.60
Other current operating expenses ⁷	37.62	30.43	41.47	33.42	14.29	39.08	76.56	16.33
Farm machinery and equipment	6.10	13.94	1.03	10.56	1.72	33.00	1.40	10.00
Farm real estate	7.43	44.42	7.56	1.61	2.66	n.a.	n.a.	2.18
Other ⁸	6.95	n.a.	5.97	4.35	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	23.95
Type of collateral								
Farm real estate	23.31	46.65	16.54	6.71	29.53	n.a.	63.96	3.34
Other	75.17	53.35	83.06	93.13	69.53	100.00	32.70	92.30

A.13. Characteristics of Bank Loans to Farmers -- Small and Mid-sized Farm Lenders, by Risk Rating, November 7-11, 2005 Percent except as noted

Note. Most small and mid-sized farm lenders that reported loans to farmers had less than \$25 million in farm loans. For explanation of footnotes, see table A.8. n.a. Not available.

A.14. Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers, Selected Quarters Percent except as noted

				USDA	Farm Pro	duction Re	gion			
	NE	LS	СВ	NP	AP	SE	DL	SP	MN	PA
Proportion of farm loans outstanding, 2005 Q4 survey, region share of national total	2.8	8.9	26.9	22.0	7.8	5.4	5.3	8.7	5.4	6.8
Sample coverage, 2005 Q4 survey, sample share of total regional loans	11.3	2.9	17.8	32.3	22.0	11.4	5.4	5.2	9.2	27.1
Avg. loan size, 2005 Q4 survey (thousands of dollars)	38.4	16.8	70.3	60.9	89.5	39.0	53.0	43.3	36.6	65.2
Survey date		Weig	tted avera	age interest	rate durin	g sample v	week			
2002: Q1	6.9	7.8	6.7	7.2	5.2	5.5	7.3	7.4	6.8	4.9
Q2	6.8	7.4	6.4	7.2	5.0	5.9	7.6	7.5	6.5	5.1
Q3	6.4	7.5	5.8	6.5	4.6	6.0	7.5	7.3	6.5	5.3
Q4	5.7	6.9	5.1	5.5	4.6	5.2	6.7	7.6	6.4	4.5
2002 01	5.0	7.0		- -			< 7	7.4	<i>c</i> 1	4.5
2003: Q1	5.3 (.15)	7.0 (.26)	5.6 (.31)	5.7 (.73)	4.4 (.65)	5.6 (.63)	6.7 (.54)	7.4 (.66)	6.1 (.35)	4.5 (.40)
Q2	6.0	6.7	5.3	6.5	4.6	6.1	7.3	5.7	6.4	5.2
Q2	(.29)	(.17)	(.37)	(.34)	(.71)	(.63)	(.45)	(.71)	(.36)	(.50)
Q3	6.0 (.15)	6.9 (.04)	4.7 (.49)	5.6 (.39)	4.5 (.73)	4.8 (.69)	6.7 (.27)	5.5 (.99)	5.6 (.35)	4.3 (.26)
Q4	6.5 (.59)	6.5 (.22)	4.0 (.30)	5.1 (.71)	4.6 (.54)	5.2 (.83)	6.7 (.54)	7.5 (.58)	5.4 (.39)	4.2 (.42)
2004: Q1	5.8 (.21)	7.0 (.15)	5.2 (.44)	5.7 (.25)	4.3 (.48)	5.9 (.64)	6.4 (.37)	6.3 (.23)	5.7 (.37)	3.8 (.41)
Q2	4.8 (.19)	6.3 (.05)	5.2 (.66)	6.2 (.32)	4.6 (.45)	6.4 (.31)	6.9 (.57)	6.2 (.19)	6.4 (.40)	4.1 (.24)
Q3	6.2 (.79)	6.6 (.14)	4.8 (.58)	5.5 (1.15)	4.8 (.38)	5.2 (.75)	6.1 (.41)	6.7 (.45)	6.7 (.29)	4.9 (.08)
Q4	6.2 (.48)	6.4 (.07)	5.2 (.45)	5.4 (.88)	5.1 (1.01)	6.0 (.81)	7.5 (.30)	6.4 (.22)	7.0 (.30)	5.2 (.10)
2005: Q1	6.2 (.19)	7.4 (.16)	6.4 (.14)	6.3 (.71)	5.3 (.60)	6.0 (.67)	7.2 (.34)	7.5 (.59)	7.1 (.17)	6.0 (.15)
Q2	6.7 (.19)	7.4 (.21)	5.8 (.77)	6.6 (.75)	6.0 (.28)	6.4 (.65)	7.5 (.33)	7.3 (.19)	7.7 (.05)	6.7 (.18)
Q3	7.1 (.18)	7.7 (.23)	6.9 (.28)	6.3 (.70)	6.1 (.20)	7.2 (.57)	7.6 (.43)	7.2 (.30)	8.2 (.09)	6.8 (.07)
Q4	6.8 (.80)	7.7 (.19)	6.8 (.35)	7.3 (.45)	6.6 (.23)	7.4 (.58)	8.0 (.49)	8.1 (.10)	8.2 (.07)	7.5 (.16)

Notes. NE is Northeast, LS is Lake States, CB is Cornbelt, NP is Northern Plains, AP is Appalachia, SE is Southeast, DL is Delta States, SP is Southern Plains, MN is Mountain States, and PA is Pacific. The numbers in parentheses are standard errors. They are calculated from 100 replications of a bootstrap procedure (resampling of banks) in each region.

Federal Reserve Statistical Release E.15 – Agricultural Finance Databook

Section B: Selected Statistics from the Quarterly Reports of Condition of Commercial Banks

The data in tables B.1 through B.8 are prepared using data from the quarterly reports of condition and income for commercial banks. These reports changed substantially beginning in March 2001, and the information on the delinquencies and charge-offs of non-real-estate farm loans after that date is not directly comparable to that shown in earlier editions of the databook. Under the new system, banks with more than \$300 million in assets or banks with foreign offices report the same information as before. However, smaller banks at which farm production loans account for more than 5 percent of total loans now report the same information on delinquencies and charge-offs of farm production loans that larger bank do. In the new reporting system, small banks at which farm production loans account for less than 5 percent of total loans are excused from reporting delinquencies or charge-offs of farm production loans. Before March 2001, these small banks had reported delinquencies and charge-offs of farm production loans. Under the new reporting system, the totals for the nation as a whole include estimates of delinquencies and charge-offs of non-real-estate farm loans for small banks that hold about 35 percent of the volume of such loans that is outstanding. All banks continue to report delinquencies and charge-offs of loans that are secured by farm real estate, which are shown in tables B.4 and B.5.

Examination of total lending at banks that have a high exposure to agricultural loans provides an alternative perspective on the agricultural lending situation. Agricultural banks in table B.4 through table B.9 are those that have a proportion of farm loans (real-estate plus non-real-estate) to total loans that is greater than the unweighted average at all banks. The current estimate of this average can be found in the far right column of table B.8.

Information about failed banks (table B.9) is obtained from news releases of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, with agricultural banks in our tabulation defined as in the previous paragraph.

B.1. Farm Debt Outstanding at Commercial Banks

		oan volume ions of dolla			ent change f vious quart			ent change f revious year	
Quarter	Total loans	Real estate loans	Non-real- estate loans	Total loans	Real estate loans	Non-real- estate loans	Total loans	Real estate loans	Non-real- estate loans
1993: Q2	56.0	20.6	35.4	6.0	3.1	7.8	1.6	5.4	2.4
Q3	58.0	20.8	37.1	3.5	1.2	4.9	3.2	4.7	
Q4	57.7	20.9	36.8	5	.1	8	5.8	5.0	
1994: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	56.8 61.1 63.0 61.3	21.2 21.9 22.4 22.6	35.5 39.2 40.6 38.7	-1.5 7.6 3.1 -2.7	1.8 3.2 2.2 .7	-3.4 10.2 3.6 -4.6	7.6 9.1 8.7 6.2	6.4 6.4 7.5 8.2	10.7 9.3
1995: Q1	59.9	22.9	36.9	-2.3	1.6	-4.6	5.4	8.0	3.9
Q2	63.5	23.6	40.0	6.1	2.7	8.2	4.0	7.5	2.0
Q3	65.3	23.8	41.5	2.9	1.1	3.9	3.7	6.3	2.3
Q4	63.7	23.9	39.8	-2.5	.4	-4.1	3.9	5.9	2.8
1996: Q1	61.7	24.0	37.7	-3.1	.5	-5.3	3.1	4.8	2.0
Q2	65.7	24.7	41.0	6.5	2.7	8.9	3.4	4.7	2.7
Q3	66.6	24.9	41.6	1.3	1.1	1.5	1.9	4.7	.3
Q4	65.5	25.0	40.5	-1.6	.3	-2.8	2.8	4.6	1.8
1997: Q1	63.8	25.4	38.4	-2.6	1.4	-5.1	3.4	5.5	6.0
Q2	69.0	26.2	42.8	8.2	3.3	11.5	5.1	6.2	
Q3	71.1	27.0	44.2	3.0	2.9	3.1	6.8	8.1	
Q4	71.3	27.1	44.2	.3	.7	.0	8.9	8.5	
1998: Q1	70.1	27.6	42.4	-1.7	1.8	-3.9	9.8	9.0	
Q2	75.0	28.5	46.5	7.1	3.2	9.6	8.6	8.8	
Q3	76.3	28.9	47.4	1.7	1.3	1.9	7.2	7.2	
Q4	74.7	29.3	45.5	-2.0	1.3	-4.0	4.8	7.8	
1999: Q1	72.7	29.7	42.9	-2.8	1.7	-5.6	3.7	7.6	-3.1
Q2	75.8	30.8	45.1	4.4	3.5	5.0	1.1	8.0	
Q3	76.8	31.4	45.5	1.3	1.9	.9	.7	8.6	
Q4	76.0	31.8	44.2	-1.0	1.5	-2.8	1.7	8.8	
2000: Q1	71.5	31.4	40.1	-5.9	-1.4	-9.2	-1.6	5.5	1.6
Q2	79.7	33.7	45.9	11.4	7.5	14.4	5.0	9.6	
Q3	80.1	33.9	46.2	.6	.5	.6	4.3	8.1	
Q4	80.9	34.0	46.9	1.0	.3	1.5	6.4	6.8	
2001: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	79.4 82.9 82.5 82.2	34.3 35.1 35.4 35.6	45.1 47.7 47.1 46.7	-1.9 4.4 4 3	.8 2.5 .8 .4	5.8	11.0 4.0 3.0 1.6	9.2 4.2 4.5 4.6	3.9 2.0
2002: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	80.1 83.7 84.4 83.9	36.0 37.0 37.7 38.0	44.1 46.7 46.7 45.9	-2.6 4.4 .9 6	1.2 2.7 2.1 .6	-5.5 5.9 1 -1.6	.9 1.0 2.3 2.0	4.9 5.1 6.5 6.8	-2.1 9
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	82.0 84.5 85.5 86.1	38.7 39.6 40.2 40.6	43.3 44.9 45.4 45.5	-2.3 3.1 1.2 .6	1.9 2.5 1.4 1.1	-5.7 3.7 1.0 .2	2.4 1.1 1.4 2.7	7.5 7.3 6.5 7.0	-3.9 -2.8
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	84.3 88.9 91.5 92.3	41.4 42.9 43.9 44.5	42.9 46.0 47.6 47.7	-2.1 5.4 2.9 .8	1.9 3.6 2.3 1.5		2.8 5.2 6.9 7.1	7.0 8.3 9.2 9.6	2.4 4.9
2005: Q1	89.3	45.0	44.3	-3.2	1.1	-7.2	5.9	8.7	
Q2	93.5	46.2	47.3	4.7	2.7	6.6	5.1	7.7	
Q3	96.7	47.1	49.5	3.4	2.0	4.8	5.7	7.4	

Note. Data are as of end of quarter.

	E	stimated vol	ume (billio	ns of dollars	5)	S	hare of outst loa	anding farr		n
			Ne	onperformir	ıg			No	onperformin	g
Period	Total	Past due 30 to 89 days, accruing	Total	Past due 90 days, accruing	Non- accruing	Total	Past due 30 to 89 days, accuring	Total	Past due 90 days, accruing	Non- accruing
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1998 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	$\begin{array}{c} .8\\ .8\\ 1.0\\ .9\\ 1.0\\ .9\\ 1.0\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 1.0\\ .8\end{array}$.4 .5 .3 .4 .5 .5	.4 .5 .5 .6 .6 .7 .7 .7	.1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1	.3 .3 .4 .4 .4 .5 .5 .6 .6 .5 .4	2.0 2.1 2.4 2.0 2.2 2.1 2.1 2.7 2.6 2.3 1.6	.9 .9 1.2 .9 1.0 .8 .9 1.2 1.0 .8 .5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.3\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 1.5\\ 1.6\\ 1.5\\ 1.0\\ \end{array} $.2 .3 .3 .2 .3 .2 .2 .2 .3 .3 .3 .2	.9 .9 1.0 .9 1.1 1.0 1.3 1.3 1.2 .9
1998: Q2 Q3 Q4	1.1 1.0 1.0	.5 .4 .5	.6 .6 .5	.2 .2 .1	.4 .4 .4	2.4 2.1 2.2	1.1 .8 1.0	1.3 1.3 1.2	.5 .4 .3	.8 .9 .9
1999: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.6 1.3 1.0 .9		.7 .7 .6 .6	.2 .2 .2 .1	.4 .5 .5	3.7 2.8 2.2 2.1	2.1 1.2 .8 .8	1.5 1.6 1.4 1.3	.5 .5 .4 .2	1.0
2000: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.3 1.0 .9 1.0	.6 .4 .3 .4	.6 .6 .6	.2 .1 .1 .1	.5 .4 .5 .5	3.0 2.2 1.9 2.1	1.5 .9 .7 .9	1.5 1.3 1.2 1.2	.4 .3 .2 .2	1.0
2001: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.7 1.4 1.3 1.3	1.0 .6 .5 .5	.8 .8 .8 .7	.2 .2 .2 .1	.6 .6 .7 .6	3.9 2.9 2.8 2.7	2.2 1.2 1.0 1.2	1.7 1.8 1.8 1.5	.4 .4 .2	1.3 1.4
2002: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.7 1.4 1.3 1.2	.9 .5 .4 .5	.8 .8 .7	.2 .2 .2 .1	.6 .6 .6	3.9 2.9 2.7 2.6	2.1 1.2 .9 1.0	1.8 1.8 1.8 1.6	.4 .5 .4 .3	1.3
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.7 1.4 1.2 1.0	.9 .5 .4 .4	.8 .9 .8 .7	.2 .2 .2 .1	.6 .7 .6 .5	4.0 3.1 2.7 2.3	2.1 1.1 .9 .8	1.9 2.0 1.8 1.5	.4 .5 .4 .3	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.2
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.3 .9 .8 .8	.6 .3 .3 .3	.7 .6 .5 .5	.2 .1 .1 .1	.5 .5 .4 .4	3.0 2.1 1.7 1.6	1.3 .7 .6 .5	1.6 1.3 1.1 1.0	.4 .3 .2 .2	1.2 1.0 .9 .9
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	1.0 .8 .7		.5 .5 .4	.1 .1 .1	.4 .4 .3	2.3 1.6 1.3	1.2 .6	1.1 1.0 .8	.3 .3 .2	

B.2. Delinquent Non-real-estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks

Note. Data are estimates of the national totals for non-real-estate farm loans. Reported amounts are adjusted to exclude foreign results for large banks that report farm delinquencies on a consolidated basis and for small banks that are allowed to report delinquencies according to their internal definition of agricultural loans. Yearly data are as of December 31. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter.

			nated volu ons of doll			Share of total non-real-estate farm loans (percent)							
Year	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
1994	69	10	11	15	33	.187	.026	.032	.037	.082			
1995	51	-2	14	13	25	.131	004	.039	.032	.061			
1996	95	16	27	24	30	.240	.039	.070	.058	.071			
1997	93	6	19	19	50	.230	.014	.049	.045	.112			
1998	87	4	15	24	45	.198	.008	.036	.051	.095			
1999	126	18	37	35	36	.280	.040	.086	.078	.080			
2000	108	11	17	34	45	.259	.027	.041	.075	.098			
2001	308	67	53	140	48	.656	.142	.118	.294	.101			
2002	221	40	50	58	73	.471	.085	.114	.124	.157			
2003	175	34	35	48	58	.382	.074	.080	.108	.128			
2004	129	28	17	46	38	.284	.062	.039	.099	.081			
2005	n.a.	8	16	12	n.a.	n.a.	.017	.035	.026	n.a.			

Note. Components might not sum to totals because of rounding. Reported amounts are adjusted to exclude foreign results for large banks that report farm net charge-offs on a consolidated basis and for small banks that are allowed to report net charge-offs according to their internal definition of agricultural loans. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter. n.a. Not available.

		Volume	(billions of	dollars)		S	hare of outs loa	tanding fari		2
-			No	onperformin	g			No	onperformin	g
Period	Total	Past due 30 to 89 days, accruing	Total	Past due 90 days, accruing	Non- accruing	Total	Past due 30 to 89 days, accuring	Total	Past due 90 days, accruing	Non- accruing
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	.5 .6 .7 .7 .8 .6 .8 .9 .9 .9 .8	.2 .2 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .4 .4 .3 .3	.3 .3 .4 .5 .4 .5 .5 .6 .5 .4	.1 .1 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .1 .1 .1	.2 .2 .2 .3 .3 .4 .5 .4	2.4 2.4 2.8 2.6 2.9 2.0 2.3 2.6 2.5 2.1 1.6	$1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ .8 \\ .9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.0 \\ .7 \\ .6$	$1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.0 $.5 .5 .7 .6 .8 .5 .6 .3 .3 .2 .2	.9 .9 1.0 .9 1.0 .7 .8 1.2 1.2 1.1 .8
1996: Q3	.6	.2	.4	.2	.2	2.6	.9	1.7	.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.0\end{array}$
Q4	.7	.3	.4	.2	.2	2.8	1.1	1.7	.7	
1997: Q1	.8	.4	.4	.2	.2	3.2	1.4	1.8	.8	1.0
Q2	.7	.3	.5	.2	.3	2.8	1.0	1.8	.8	1.0
Q3	.6	.2	.4	.2	.2	2.3	.8	1.4	.6	.8
Q4	.7	.3	.4	.2	.2	2.6	1.1	1.5	.6	.9
1998: Q1	.9	.4	.5	.2	.3	3.5	1.6	1.9	.9	1.0
Q2	.7	.3	.5	.2	.2	2.6	1.0	1.6	.8	.8
Q3	.7	.3	.4	.2	.2	2.5	.9	1.6	.7	.9
Q4	.8	.3	.5	.2	.3	2.9	1.2	1.7	.8	1.0
1999: Q1	1.1	.5	.6	.3	.3	3.6	1.6	2.0	.9	1.0
Q2	.8	.3	.5	.2	.3	2.7	1.0	1.7	.8	.9
Q3	.7	.2	.5	.2	.3	2.3	.8	1.5	.7	.8
Q4	.6	.2	.4	.2	.2	2.0	.8	1.3	.5	.7
2000: Q1	1.0	.4	.6	.3	.3	3.2	1.3	1.9	.9	1.0
Q2	.9	.3	.6	.3	.3	2.7	1.0	1.7	.7	1.0
Q3	.7	.2	.5	.2	.3	2.1	.7	1.4	.6	.8
Q4	.8	.3	.5	.2	.3	2.3	.9	1.4	.6	.8
2001: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.1 .9 .9 .9	.6 .4 .3 .4	.5 .6 .5	.1 .1 .1	.4 .4 .4 .4	3.2 2.7 2.5 2.6	1.7 1.0 .9 1.1	1.4 1.7 1.7 1.5	.4 .4 .3	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.2
2002: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.2 1.0 .9 .9	.6 .4 .3 .4	.6 .6 .6	.2 .2 .1 .1	.4 .4 .5 .5	3.3 2.6 2.4 2.5	1.6 1.0 .9 1.0	1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5	.5 .4 .3	1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2
2003: Q1	1.2	.6	.6	.1	.5	3.2	1.6	1.6	.4	1.2
Q2	1.0	.4	.7	.2	.5	2.6	.9	1.6		1.2
Q3	.9	.3	.6	.1	.5	2.3	.7	1.5		1.2
Q4	.8	.3	.5	.1	.4	2.1	.7	1.3		1.1
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1.0 .8 .7 .7	.4 .3 .2 .3	.6 .5 .5 .4	.1 .1 .1	.4 .4 .4	2.5 1.9 1.7 1.6	1.1 .7 .6 .6	1.4 1.2 1.1 1.0	.3 .3 .2 .2	1.1 .9 .9 .8
2005: Q1	.9	.4	.5	.1	.4	2.0	1.0	1.0	.2	.8
Q2	.7	.3	.5	.1	.4	1.6	.6	1.0	.2	.8
Q3	.7	.3	.4	.1	.3	1.4	.5	.9	.2	.7

B.4. Delinquent Real Estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks

Note. Yearly data are as of December 31. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter.

			nated volu			Share of outstanding real estate farm loans (percent)							
Year	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
1994	10	1	1	3	6	.048	.003	.003	.013	.026			
1995	12	0	3	6	4	.055	001	.011	.027	.016			
1996	7	0	1	2	4	.031	.000	.003	.009	.017			
1997	16	-1	0	3	14	.064	003	001	.010	.054			
1998	6	-1	3	0	5	.022	004	.009	.000	.016			
1999	15	0	3	5	7	.051	001	.011	.015	.022			
2000	12	-12	3	8	14	.037	037	.009	.022	.040			
2001	42	10	9	5	17	.123	.030	.027	.014	.049			
2002	35	8	6	5	16	.097	.022	.017	.014	.041			
2003	32	4	6	8	14	.082	.010	.015	.021	.034			
2004	18	1	5	2	10	.044	.003	.012	.005	.021			
2005	n.a.	2	11	4	n.a.	n.a.	.005	.024	.009	n.a.			

B.5. Net Charge-offs of Real Estate Farm Loans Held by Insured Commercial Banks

Note. Components might not sum to totals because of rounding. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter. n.a. Not available.

Period	Total	Less than 2.0 percent	2.0-4.9 percent	5.0-9.9 percent	10.0-14.9 percent	15.0-19.9 percent	20.0 percent and over
1994 1995 1996	100.0 100.0 100.0	85.5 83.4 81.9	12.3 14.0 15.4	1.9 2.1 2.3	.2 .3 .2 .1	.1 .1 .1	.0 .1 .1
1997 1998 1999	100.0 100.0 100.0	84.5 81.7 84.8	12.9 15.1 12.6	2.5 2.8 2.4	.1 .3 .3 .3	.1 .0	.0 .1
2000 2001	100.0 100.0	85.0 80.0	12.8 17.3	1.8 2.5	.3	 .0	··· ··· ···
2002 2003 2004 2005	100.0 100.0 100.0 	79.7 81.2 85.3	17.1 15.0 12.7	2.8 3.3 1.7	.1 .2 .4 .2 	.1 .1 .1	 .0
Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0	79.2 81.9	17.1 15.4	3.1 2.3	.5 .2	.1 .1	.1 .1
1997: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	79.1 80.5 81.8 84.5	16.7 15.8 15.2 12.9	3.7 3.2 2.7 2.5	.4 .3 .2 .1	.1 .1 .1	.1 .1 .1
1998: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	80.6 80.8 80.3 81.7	16.3 15.9 16.2 15.1	2.8 2.9 3.1 2.8	.1 .3 .3 .3	.1 .1 .1	.1 .0 .0 .1
1999: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	77.2 78.7 80.4 84.8	17.8 16.9 15.9 12.6	4.5 3.8 3.4 2.4	.5 .6 .3 .3	.0 .0 .0	.0
2000: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	81.8 82.2 83.0 85.0	14.8 15.1 14.9 12.8	2.9 2.4 1.7 1.8	.5 .3 .4 .3	.0 .0 .0	 .0
2001: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	80.3 80.2 78.7 80.0	16.9 16.6 17.8 17.3	2.6 3.0 3.2 2.5	.2 .2 .3 .1	.1 .1 .0	··· ··· ···
2002: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	76.8 76.8 77.8 79.7	19.3 19.6 18.8 17.1	3.7 3.1 3.2 2.8	.2 .4 .2 .2	.0 .0 .0	
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	75.4 76.2 77.7 81.2	19.9 19.2 18.3 15.0	4.1 4.0 3.6 3.3	.3 .4 .3 .4	.2 .1 .1	.0 .1 .0 .0
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	79.2 81.3 82.1 85.3	17.2 15.1 15.1 12.7	3.2 3.2 2.4 1.7	.2 .3 .2 .2	.1 .0 .1 .1	.0 .1 .0
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	100.0 100.0 100.0	84.4 85.7 85.7	13.3 12.0 12.4	2.0 2.0 1.8	.2 .3 .1	.0 .0 	

B.6. Distribution of Agricultural Banks by the Share of Their Total Loans that are Nonperforming Percent

Note. Nonperforming loans are loans in nonaccruing status or past due 90 days or more. Renegotiated or restructured loans in compliance with the modified terms are not included. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section B. Yearly data are as of December 31. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter.

			share	ution of ne of averag gricultura	ge equity a				Averag of ret on eq	turn	Ration of retained to ass	urn	Net char as sh of total	are	Aver capital	
Period	All banks	Negative income	0-4 percent	5-9 percent	10-14 percent	15-19 percent	20-24 percent	25 percent and over	Agri- cultural banks	Other Small Banks	Agri- cultural banks	Other small banks	Agri- cultural banks	Other small banks	Agri- cultural banks	Other small banks
1994	100.0	1.5	5.9	31.4	40.1	16.9	3.3	.9	11.8	12.5	1.2	1.1	.2	.3	10.7	9.9
1995	100.0	1.4	5.7	37.1	39.6	13.4	2.3	.6	11.2	12.1	1.2	1.2	.2	.3 .3	11.2	10.4
1996	100.0	2.1	5.6	33.4	41.6	14.2	2.6	.5	11.4	12.3	1.2	1.2	.2	.3	10.9	10.4
997	100.0	1.6		34.5	39.7	14.2	3.1	1.1	11.4	12.3	1.2	1.2	.2 .2 .2	.3	11.0	10.5
998	100.0	2.0		35.6	35.5	13.4	3.5	1.3	11.3	11.7	1.2	1.2		.3		10.5
999	100.0	2.9		34.8	33.3	14.2	4.9	1.9	11.8	11.9	1.2	1.1	.3	.3	10.5	10.3
2000	100.0	2.4	8.9	35.3	33.5	13.8	4.2	1.8	11.7	11.4	1.2	1.1	.3	.3	10.7	10.4
2001	100.0	3.1	12.6	37.6	28.6	13.1	3.7	1.3	10.8	10.4	1.1	1.0		.3 .3	10.7	10.4
2002	100.0	3.0		35.1	31.4	13.5	4.6	1.7	11.5	10.6		1.1	.4	.3	11.0	10.6
2003	100.0	2.7	12.2	36.6	29.3	12.9	4.4	1.8	11.2	10.7	1.2	1.1	.4	.3		10.6
2004	100.0	2.1	10.0	34.9	31.4		5.8	2.0	11.5	10.5		1.1	.3	.2		10.6
2000: Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.7	11.4	1.2	1.1	.3	.3	10.7	10.4
2001: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.8	2.8	.3	.3	.0		11.0	10.5
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	5.6	5.4		.5		.1	11.0	10.5
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.2	7.9		.8		.2	11.2	10.6
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10.8	10.4	1.1	1.0	.3	.3	10.7	10.4
2002: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3.0	2.9	.3	.3		.1	10.8	10.4
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.0	5.6	.6	.6		.1	11.1	10.6
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.9	8.3		.8		.2	11.2	10.7
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.5	10.6	1.2	1.1	.4	.3	11.0	10.6
2003: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3.0	2.9	.3	.3	.1	.1	11.0	10.6
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.0	5.6		.6		.1	11.1	10.6
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.9	8.5	.9	.8	.2	.2	11.0	10.7
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.2	10.7	1.2	1.1	.4	.3	10.8	10.6
2004: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.9	2.7	.3	.3	.1	.1	11.0	10.7
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.1	5.5	.6	.5	.1	.1	10.7	10.4
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.9	8.0	.9	.8	.2 .3	.2 .2	11.0	10.7
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.5	10.5	1.2	1.1	.3	.2	10.9	10.6
2005: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3.0	2.9		.3	.0	.0		10.5
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	5.9	5.6	.6	.6	.1	.1	11.1	10.7
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9.0	8.3	.9	.8	.1	.1	11.0	10.7

B.7. Selected Measures of Financial Performance of Agricultural and Other Small Banks Percent

Note. Agricultural and other banks are defined in the introduction to section B; small banks have less than \$500 million in assets. Total primary and secondary capital (items that are available at the end of the period specified) are measured as a percentage of total assets. Quarterly data are cumulative through the end of the quarter indicated. n.a. Not available.

		tal U.S.)	Cleve	eland	Atla	anta	Chie	cago	St. L	ouis	Minne	eapolis	Kar Ci	nsas ity	Da	llas	Sa Fran	in cisco	
Period	Number of banks		Number of banks	Loans to deposits	Number of banks	Loans to deposits		Loans to deposits	Number of banks	Loans to deposits			Number of banks	Loans to deposits	Number of banks	Loans to deposits	Number of banks	Loans to deposits	Minimum farm loan ratio
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 2000 2001 2001 2002 2003 2004	3,530 3,352 3,239 3,101 2,968 2,866 2,767 2,683 2,591 2,549 2,470	.64 .66 .69 .68 .72 .75 .74 .76 .76	4 53 5 49 6 45 8 40 2 41 5 39 4 40 5 43 5 38	.72 .77 .75 .76 .85 .86 .79 .80 .79	118 113 113 99 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 94 93 93 94 93 94 93 94 93 94 93 94 93 95 93 94 94 94 95 95 95 95 96 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97	.66 .68 .70 .71 .74 .77 .75 .77 .75	795 759 733 715 693 675 646 640	.65 .68 .72 .71 .75 .78 .75 .78 .75 .76 .75	375 363 346 321 300 293 283 283 281 286	.65 .66 .70 .69 .72 .75 .73 .73 .75 .73	619 609 574 558 538 519 497 489 478) .68) .70 .72 .71 .74 .74 .76 .76 .78 .77	959 928 890 868 838 796 776 776 746	.63 .64 .68 .68 .71 .76 .76 .79 .76	344 313 312 289 277 269 267 249 241	49 .49 .52 .53 .56 .62 .61 .61 .61	53 52 49 48 48 48 50 45 41 42	.74 .73 .66 .66 .72 .74 .75 .76 .81	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2004 1999: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,470 2,957 2,872 2,918 2,866	.69 .72 .74	9 42 2 41 44	.79 .85 .84	100 93 106	.72 .74 .75	720 716 716	.72 .75 .77	317 302 319	.69 .72 .74	550 539 547	.72 .74 .77	2 868 838 846	.68 .71 .72	297 279	.53 .57 .57	48 48 51	.69 .72 .74	16.04 16.26 16.23
2000: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,842 2,834 2,790 2,767	.76	5 43 7 42	.89	96 93	.78 .80	707	.79 .80	306 306	.76 .77	529 523	.80 .79) 814) 796	.76 .76	268 261	.61 .61	54 54	.78 76	15.49 5 15.36
2001: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,755 2,736 2,725 2,683	.77 .77	41 43	.84 .82	95 95	.76 .77	682 683	.78 .78	291 296	.76 .76	508 506	.80 .80) 791) 778	.77 .77	265 256	.62 .63	44 45	.80) 15.21) 15.10
2002: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,653 2,637 2,625 2,591	.77 .77	40 7 37	.79 .79) 89) 89	.77 .78	654	.77 .78	284 289	.75	494 497	.81 .82	762 753	.78 .79	254 248	.62 .64	45 44	.77	15.07 15.13
2003: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,578 2,595 2,579 2,549	.76 .76	5 40 5 44	.80 .79) 88) 87	.77 .79	640 644	.76	281 287	.72 .75 .75 .73	490 485	.81	742 735	.77 .78	257 240	.62 .63	43 43	.78 .76	8 14.88 5 14.90
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	2,512 2,528 2,510 2,470	.78 .79	3 43 9 42	.80) 77 5 79	.76 .78	623 618	.78 .79	287 291	.76	468 462	.84 .86	730 724	.78 .80	245 237	.65 .67	43 42	.86 .86	5 14.63 5 14.76
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	2,457 2,466 2,450	.80) 42	.82	2 78	.80	606	.81	281	.73 .78 .79	446	.87	724	.80		.65	32	.97	14.48

B.8. Average Loan-Deposit Ratios at Agricultural Banks in Selected Federal Reserve Districts

Note. The loan-deposit ratio is defined as total loans divided by total deposits. Agricultural banks are defined as banks with a farm loan ratio at least as great as that shown in the last column, as described in the introduction to section B. Yearly data are as of December 31. Quarterly data are as of end of quarter.

B.9. Number of Agricultural Bank Failures

Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
1984	3	7	10	12	32
1985	12	21	17	18	68
1986	14	14	21	16	65
1987	22	19	12	16	69
1988	11	6	12	7	36
1989	5	7	5	5	22
1990	5 3 2	5	6	5 3	17
1991	2	5 2	3	1	8
1992	1	1	1	4	7
1993	1	2	2	0	5
1994	0	0	0	0	0
1995	0	0	0	0	0
1996	0	2	0	0	2
1997	0	0	0	1	1
1998	0	0	1	0	1
1999	0	1	0	0	1
2000	0	0	0	0	0
2001	0	0	0	0	0
2002	1	0	0	1	2
2003	0	1	0	0	1
2004	0	1	0	0	1
2005	0	0	0	0	0

Note. Data exclude banks assisted to prevent failure. Industrial banks and mutual savings banks also are excluded. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section B. n.a. Not available.

Federal Reserve Statistical Release E.15 – Agricultural Finance Databook

Section C: Reserve Bank Surveys of Farm Credit Conditions and Farm Land Values

Data are from quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks. Currently, these surveys are conducted during each quarter in six Federal Reserve districts. The surveys differ considerably in size, as is noted in the information below. They also differ in subject matter covered (as is evident in the tables), wording of basically similar questions, and type of banks covered. Most of the differences in wording are reflected in the use of different column headings on the two pages of each table. The states included in each District are indicated in the table headings; states that fall only partly within a given District are marked with asterisks.

In the second quarter of 2002, the Kansas City and Minneapolis Federal Reserve Banks revised their surveys. Questions were changed, and it was not always possible to match the data to the categories that were shown in previous editions of the databook. Whenever possible, the data from the revised survey were fit into the older format. Series that were discontinued show no data for the second quarter, whereas new series show no data for the previous quarters. When a significant break in the data occurred, the new data were included and a footnote was added to highlight the changes.

Due the sample size and the nature of the San Francisco District land values, the sampling error is particularly large in that district. In coming quarters, surveys from the Cleveland and Philadelphia Federal Reserve Banks are expected to be included in the databook.

Research departments at five Reserve Banks issue more-detailed quarterly reports on their survey results; these reports are available at the addresses given below.

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Box 834, Chicago, Illinois 60690

The sample includes member banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans as of June 1972 (a 10 percent standard is used for banks in the state of Michigan). The sample has undergone periodic review. The latest survey results were based on the responses of about 450 banks.

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, Federal Reserve P.O. Station, Kansas City, Missouri 64198

The original sample chosen in 1976 had 181 banks selected from banks at which farm loans constituted 50 percent or more of total loans, with appropriate representation of all farm areas. The sample was redrawn and significantly expanded in 1987; roughly 280 banks responded to the latest survey.

Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480

Before 1987, the sample provided a cross-section of banks of all sizes that were engaged in farm lending. Members of the Upper Midwest Agricultural Credit Council formed the core of the survey panel. In 1987, the sample was redrawn to include only banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans. As outlined above, the Minneapolis survey changed considerably beginning in the first quarter of 1994. In recent surveys, about 120 banks responded.

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, P.O. Box 655906, Dallas, Texas 75265-5906

The sample is stratified regionally and includes banks at which farm loans are relatively important or that hold a major portion of bank loans in their region. The sample was enlarged in the first quarter of 1985 and was redrawn in the second quarter of 1989. The results for the most-recent quarter were based on the responses from about 200 respondents.

Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23261

The number of agricultural banks in this District is much smaller than that in the other Districts. When the survey was initiated in 1975, the sample consisted of forty-three banks of all sizes; banks with larger amounts of farm loans were sampled more heavily. More recently, the sample has consisted of about thirty banks, roughly three-fourths of which typically respond to the quarterly surveys.

	Den	nand for	loans	Func	ls availa	bility	Loan	repayme	ent rate	Renewa	als or ex	tensions	Colla	ateral rec	luired
Quarter	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher
			S	eventh (C	Chicago)	Federal I	Reserve D	District (IL*, IN*,	IA, MI*,	WI*)				
2003: Q3	22	61	17	6	59	35	21	72	7	8	72	20	0	86	14
Q4	22	59	19	4	65	31	15	65	19	14	70	15	0	89	10
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	16 23 17 19	53 54 58 53	31 23 25 28	5 9 12 7	59 65 65 65	36 26 23 28	10 6 7 8	52 71 74 58	38 24 19 34	28 21 21 30	65 72 71 64	7 7 8 6	1 1 1	90 91 91 93	9 8 8 6
2005: Q1	14	55	31	11	66	23	10	65	26	20	69	11	0	92	7
Q2	13	54	32	13	72	14	11	76	13	15	74	10	1	92	7
Q3	15	55	30	18	67	15	18	76	6	5	73	22	1	86	13
			Tenth (k	Kansas Ci	ty) Fede	eral Reser	ve Distric	et (CO, I	KS, MO*,	NE, NM	*, OK, V	WY)			
2003: Q3	22	63	15	4	73	22	20	67	14	6	73	21	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	84	16
Q4	18	63	19	5	71	24	11	66	23	10	76	14		86	14
2004: Q1	20	60	20	3	73	24	10	67	23	13	71	16	0	86	14
Q2	17	59	24	9	74	17	9	76	15	7	80	13	0	86	14
Q3	14	60	26	11	74	15	8	77	16	10	82	8	0	91	9
Q4	12	60	29	8	81	11	7	68	24	13	75	13	0	90	10
2005: Q1	14	64	22	8	77	14	8	73	19	7	81	12	0	91	9
Q2	11	63	25	11	79	10	8	79	13	7	86	7	0	90	10
Q3	12	62	26	16	75	8	14	78	8	3	82	15	0	89	11
				Elever	nth (Dal	las) Feder	ral Reserv	ve Distri	ct (LA*,]	NM*, TX	.)				
2003: Q3	21	65	14	6	70	24	12	78	10	8	78	14	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\end{array}$	83	16
Q4	19	68	13	1	73	26	5	80	15	13	77	10		84	16
2004: Q1	19	62	18	4	75	22	4	76	20	17	76	7	0	92	8
Q2	19	67	14	3	77	21	4	84	12	12	83	5	0	88	12
Q3	18	62	20	7	75	18	6	83	11	14	84	2	0	92	7
Q4	11	73	15	2	77	21	8	75	17	17	78	5	0	94	6
2005: Q1	15	66	20	3	75	22	9	75	17	18	73	10	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	92	8
Q2	13	71	16	2	82	16	2	86	12	10	85	5		93	7
Q3	11	68	21	3	83	14	6	86	8	9	83	8		91	9

C.1. Non-real-estate Farm Lending Compared with a Year Earlier Percent

	Dem	nand for	loans	Func	ls availa	bility	Loan	repayme	ent rate	Renewa	als or ext	tensions	Colla	ateral rec	Juired
Quarter	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher
			Nintl	n (Minnea	apolis) F	ederal Re	eserve Dis	strict (M	I*, MN, 1	MT, ND,	SD, WI*	·)			
2003: Q3 Q4	17 18	65 62	18 20	3 2	74 73	23 25	15 9	70 62	16 30	10 14	70 74	19 12	0 0	87 92	13 8
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	21 13 11 14	61 54 67 56	18 33 21 30	4 6 9 6	75 85 81 76	21 9 10 17	5 5 5 10	62 70 79 62	33 24 16 28	24 13 8 12	66 80 86 79	10 7 6 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	95 96 92 95	5 4 8 5
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	9 5 10	52 61 60	39 34 30	9 7 11	77 86 84	14 7 4	14 9 16	62 80 79	24 12 5	7 5 3	77 88 79	16 7 18	0 0 0	95 96 95	5 4 5
			F	ifth (Rich	nmond)	Federal R	eserve D	istrict (N	1D, NC, S	SC, VA, V	VV*)				
2003: Q3 Q4	14 26	86 70	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4 \end{array}$	0 9	73 61	27 30	23 30	77 70	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	5 9	68 78	27 13	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	68 70	32 30
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	31 19 41 19	62 77 56 73	8 4 4 8	8 0 4 8	73 85 67 85	19 15 30 8	15 4 7 8	85 92 78 85	0 4 15 8	12 12 4 19	85 88 93 73	4 0 4 8	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0\\ 0\\ 4 \end{array}$	76 77 72 85	20 23 28 12
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	16 5 13	72 89 83	12 5 4	4 5 4	80 89 87	16 5 9	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 4\end{array}$	100 100 91	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 4\end{array}$	8 0 17	92 100 83	0 0 0	0 0 0	83 83 83	17 17 17
		Тw	elfth (Sa	n Franciso	co) Fede	ral Reser	ve Distric	et (AL, A	AZ, CA, H	HI, ID, NV	/, OR, U	T, WA)			
2003: Q3 Q4	25 22	63 43	13 35	8 0	71 74	21 26	25 22	58 65	17 13	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4 \end{array}$	75 78	25 17	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	79 83	21 17
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	9 9 18 17	55 61 59 48	36 30 23 35	0 4 5 4	77 70 86 91	23 26 10 4	9 13 0 0	86 65 76 73	5 22 24 27	14 0 14 9	64 78 76 65	23 22 10 26	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{array} $	86 91 90 83	14 9 10 13
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	9 22 10	57 48 67	35 30 24	0 0 0	91 87 90	9 13 10	18 9 10	68 87 71	14 4 19	0 4 5	77 78 57	23 17 38	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{array}$	86 91 95	14 4 5

C.1. Non-real-estate Farm Lending Compared with a Year Earlier (continued) Percent

* State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District. n.a. Not available. Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

C.2. Expected Farm Loan Volume for the Next Quarter, Compared with a Year Earlier Percent

									Purpose	e of loan								
		Total		Fe	eeder ca	ttle		Dairy		Ci	rop stora	age		Operatin	g	Far	m machi	inery
Quarter	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher
					Sever	nth (Chica	ago) Fede	ral Rese	erve Distr	ict (IL*, I	N*, IA,	MI*, WI	*)					
2003: Q3	17	61	22	22	65	13	17	78	5	21	71	8	11	60	30	26	61	13
Q4	15	58	27	31	59	10	13	80	7	17	76	8	11	54	35	17	55	28
2004: Q1	13	56	31	20	71	10	15	73	12	11	71	18	16	50	34	12	42	46
Q2	17	60	22	26	64	10	18	73	9	12	74	14	15	63	21	13	53	33
Q3	13	60	27	27	64	9	15	79	6	12	66	22	11	58	31	11	50	39
Q4	9	58	33	14	75	10	12	81	7	10	77	13	10	50	40	8	53	39
2005: Q1	9	61	30	16	72	12	11	80	9	12	78	9	9	52	39	12	62	26
Q2	14	62	24	21	70	9	12	82	7	18	68	15	9	58	33	21	58	20
Q3	10	57	33	16	73	11	7	89	5	14	63	23	9	42	49	27	54	19
					Ι	Eleventh ((Dallas) F	ederal F	Reserve D	istrict (L	A*, NM	*, TX)						
2003: Q3	17	72	12	16	65	19	14	81	6	12	85	3	$\begin{array}{c} 11\\ 10 \end{array}$	76	13	21	75	4
Q4	18	68	13	22	62	16	17	80	3	14	84	2		76	13	15	74	11
2004: Q1	11	70	19	13	75	12	11	83	6	11	86	3	11	72	17	10	76	14
Q2	16	68	16	27	60	13	15	81	4	11	85	4	15	70	16	15	69	16
Q3	16	61	23	23	61	16	11	84	4	7	83	10	9	64	27	12	73	15
Q4	12	71	17	13	72	15	11	89	0	9	84	7	7	74	19	11	73	16
2005: Q1	12	69	19	19	66	15	16	80	4	11	84	5	11	68	22	14	69	17
Q2	15	69	15	15	74	12	13	80	2	8	82	5	10	72	18	11	78	10
Q3	12	67	21	17	68	15	12	81	1	7	83	5	8	70	21	16	68	17
					Fifth	(Richmo	nd) Feder	al Reser	ve Distric	et (MD, N	IC, SC,	VA, WV ³	*)					
2003: Q3	14	71	14	7	80	13	33	60	7	11	74	16	10	81	10	33	48	19
Q4	21	74	5	12	82	6	17	78	6	15	75	10	14	82	5	9	77	14
2004: Q1	10	81	10	17	61	22	24	71	6	23	68	9	12	76	12	20	64	16
Q2	4	92	4	0	89	11	0	94	6	9	86	5	8	84	8	12	68	20
Q3	30	61	9	22	67	11	32	63	5	39	57	4	23	69	8	27	62	12
Q4	22	65	13	18	71	12	17	72	11	13	78	9	20	60	20	28	60	12
2005: Q1	18	73	9	19	71	10	14	81	5	4	96	0	16	68	16	16	72	12
Q2	11	67	22	7	87	7	27	73	0	19	81	0	11	67	22	17	78	6
Q3	24	71	5	6	89	6	13	88	0	11	11	0	5	19	79	9	18	76

* State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District. Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

C.3. Indicators of Relative Credit Availability Percent

									Numbe	er of farm	loan ref	errals to:		
	Average	Loan	-to-deposi	t ratio	Refused or reduced a	Actively	(Correspon	ident bar	ıks		Nonbank	agencie	s
	loan-to- deposit ratio,	Lower	At	Higher	farm loan because of a shortage	seeking new farm			mpared v ear earli				mpared v ear earli	
Quarter	end of quarter	than desired	desired level	than desired	of loanable funds	loan accounts	None	Lower	Same	Higher	None	Lower	Same	Higher
			Sev	enth (Chio	cago) Federal I	Reserve Dis	strict (IL	*, IN*, IA	∧, MI*, V	WI*)				
2003: Q3	73	62	24	14	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q4	72	65	27	8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2004: Q1	73	60	27	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	74	59	27	15	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	75	53	30	17	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q4	74	56	33	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2005: Q1	74	52	29	19	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	76	48	34	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	77	47	36	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
			Fenth (Ka	nsas City)	Federal Reser	ve District	(CO, KS	5, MO*, N	E, NM*	, OK, WY	<u>(</u>)			
2003: Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	n.a.	n.a.	2	95	3	n.a.	3	90	7
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	n.a.	n.a.	5	92	4	n.a.	6	88	6
2004: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	n.a.	n.a.	4	93	3	n.a.	5	88	7
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	n.a.	n.a.	4	93	3	n.a.	4	90	6
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	n.a.	n.a.	3	95	2	n.a.	4	92	4
Q4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	n.a.	n.a.	4	92	4	n.a.	5	92	3
2005: Q1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	n.a.	n.a.	4	92	4	n.a.	4	92	4
Q2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	n.a.	n.a.	3	93	4	n.a.	4	93	3
Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3	n.a.	n.a.	2	94	4	n.a.	3	95	3
				Eleventh	(Dallas) Fede	ral Reserve	District	(LA*, NN	/4*, TX)					
2003: Q3	61	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	11	85	5	n.a.	9	83	8
Q4	59	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		n.a.	n.a.	10	85	6	n.a.	11	82	7
2004: Q1	58	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	10	87	3	n.a.	11	86	3
Q2	58	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	9	86	6	n.a.	9	85	6
Q3	61	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	8	88	4	n.a.	8	88	3
Q4	59	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	11	85	3	n.a.	9	88	2
2005: Q1	58	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	n.a.	n.a.	9	88	3	n.a.	9	90	1
Q2	58	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	11	82	2	n.a.	9	85	1
Q3	62	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	4	88	3	n.a.	4	88	4

C.3. Indicators of Relative Credit Availability (continued) Percent

									Numb	er of farm	loan ret	ferrals to:			
	Average	Loan	-to-deposi	t ratio	Refused or reduced a	Actively		Correspor	ident bai	nks		Nonbank	c agencie	s	
	loan-to- deposit ratio,	Lower	At	Higher	farm loan because of a shortage	seeking new farm			mpared v ear earli				Compared with year earlier		
Quarter	end of quarter	than desired	desired level	than desired	of loanable funds	loan accounts	None	Lower	Same	Higher	None	Lower	Same	Higher	
			Ninth (Minneapo	lis) Federal R	eserve Distr	ict (MI*	, MN, MI	Γ, ND, S	D, WI*)					
2003: Q3 Q4	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	2 0	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	4 7	95 92	2 2	n.a. n.a.	4 4	89 89	7 7	
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	0 2 2	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	7 6 7	92 93 93	1 2 1	n.a. n.a. n.a.	4 5 6	94 92 93	2 3 1	
Q4	n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a.	n.a. n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	3	97	1	n.a.	4	94	2	
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	1 1 4	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	7 5 2	88 92 95	5 4 3	n.a. n.a. n.a.	4 4 1	92 95 97	4 1 2	
			Fift	h (Richmo	ond) Federal R	eserve Dist	rict (ME), NC, SC	, VA, W	V*)					
2003: Q3 Q4	75 71	67 48	29 43	5 9	0 0	82 70	86 86	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	10 14	5 0	86 90	0 0	5 10	10 0	
2004: Q1 Q2 Q3	75 69 80	54 46 35	46 54 46	0 0 19	0 0 0	73 73 74	81 80 92	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$	19 20 8	0 0 0	81 72 88	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$	19 28 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 4\end{array}$	
Q4 2005: Q1	77 87	42 39	42 43	17 17	0 0	73 83	96 79	0 0	4 21	0	83 71	0 4	17 21	0 4	
Q2 Q3	75 79	37 35	47 48	16 17	0 0	63 73	68 85	5 0	26 15	0 0	68 81	555	26 14	0 0	
		Twe	fth (San F	Francisco)	Federal Reser	ve District (AL, AZ	, CA, HI,	ID, NV,	OR, UT,	WA)				
2003: Q3 Q4	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	0 0	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	8 5	92 95	0 0	n.a. n.a.	13 5	83 90	4 5	
2004: Q1 Q2	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	0 0	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	9 5	91 95	0 0	n.a. n.a.	9 5	82 86	9 10	
Q3 Q4	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	6 7	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	15 10	85 81	0 10	n.a. n.a.	10 5	80 86	10 10	
2005: Q1 Q2 Q3	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	0 0 0	n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a.	5 0 10	95 91 85	0 9 5	n.a. n.a. n.a.	5 0 5	86 91 89	10 9 5	

* State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District. n.a. Not available. Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

Quarter	Feeder cattle loans	Other operating loans ¹	Intermediate non-real- estate loans	Long-term real estate loans
	Seventh (Chica	go) Federal Reserve Distri	ct (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*)	
2003: Q3	6.5	6.4	n.a.	6.1
Q4	6.3	6.3	n.a.	6.1
2004: Q1	6.3	6.2	n.a.	5.9
Q2	6.5	6.4	n.a.	6.2
Q3	6.6	6.6	n.a.	6.3
Q4	6.8	6.8	n.a.	6.4
2005: Q1	7.1	7.1	n.a.	6.6
Q2	7.3	7.3	n.a.	6.7
Q3	7.6	7.7	n.a.	7.0
	Eleventh (I	Dallas) Federal Reserve D	istrict (LA*, NM*, TX)	
2003: Q3	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.6
Q4	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2
2004: Q1	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.0
Q2	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.1
Q3	7.4	7.4	7.5	6.9
Q4	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.0
2005: Q1	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.4
Q2	8.1	8.3	8.2	7.6
Q3	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.0
	Fifth (Richmon	d) Federal Reserve Distric	t (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)	
2003: Q3	6.3	6.1	6.3	6.5
Q4	6.5	6.5	6.9	6.7
2004: Q1	6.6	6.4	6.7	6.3
Q2	6.9	6.4	6.5	6.5
Q3	7.2	7.0	7.0	6.7
Q4	7.4	7.0	7.1	6.9
2005: Q1	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.2
Q2	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.7
Q3	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.9

C.4. Average Fixed Interest Rates on Farm Loans Percent

Quarter	Feeder cattle loans	Other operating loans ¹	Intermediate non-real -estate loans	Long-term real estate loans
	Tenth (Kansas City) I	Federal Reserve District (C	CO, KS, MO*, NE, NM*, O	K, WY)
2003: Q3	n.a.	7.2	7.3	6.8
Q4	n.a.	7.1	7.2	6.8
2004: Q1	n.a.	7.0	7.1	6.7
Q2	n.a.	7.1	7.1	6.8
Q3	n.a.	7.3	7.4	7.0
Q4	n.a.	7.6	7.6	7.2
2005: Q1	n.a.	7.7	7.7	7.3
Q2	n.a.	7.9	7.9	7.4
Q3	n.a.	8.2	8.1	7.6
	Ninth (Minneapolis	s) Federal Reserve District	(MI*, MN, MT, ND, SD, V	WI*)
2003: Q3	n.a.	6.7	6.8	6.5
Q4	n.a.	6.7	6.8	6.5
2004: Q1	n.a.	6.6	6.7	6.4
Q2	n.a.	6.7	6.8	6.5
Q3	n.a.	6.9	7.0	6.7
Q4	n.a.	7.2	7.3	6.9
2005: Q1	n.a.	7.4	7.4	7.1
Q2	n.a.	7.7	7.7	7.3
Q3	n.a.	7.9	7.9	7.4
	Twelfth (San Francisco) F	ederal Reserve District (Al	L, AZ, CA, HI, ID, NV, OF	R, UT, WA)
2003: Q3	n.a.	6.5	7.0	7.2
Q4	n.a.	6.5	6.9	7.3
2004: Q1	n.a.	6.4	7.1	7.2
Q2	n.a.	6.8	7.2	7.1
Q3	n.a.	6.7	7.2	7.4
Q4	n.a.	7.4	7.8	7.7
2005: Q1	n.a.	7.7	7.9	7.8
Q2	n.a.	7.5	7.9	7.9
Q3	n.a.	8.3	8.2	8.1

C.4. Average Fixed Interest Rates on Farm Loans (continued) Percent

Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).
 * State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District.
 n.a. Not available.

Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

Quarter	Other operating loans ¹	Intermediate non-real- estate loans	Long-term real estate loans
	Ninth (Minneapolis)	Federal Reserve District (MI*	, MN, MT, ND, SD, WI*)
2003: Q3	6.2	6.1	5.7
Q4	6.1	6.1	5.7
2004: Q1	6.3	6.3	5.8
Q2	6.4	6.3	6.0
Q3	6.6	6.5	6.2
Q4	6.8	6.8	6.4
2005: Q1	7.1	7.1	6.7
Q2	7.4	7.3	6.9
Q3	7.7	7.7	7.2
	Tenth (Kansas City) Fed	eral Reserve District (CO, KS	, MO*, NE, NM*, OK, WY)
2003: Q3	6.7	6.6	6.1
Q4	6.7	6.6	6.2
2004: Q1	6.7	6.6	6.1
Q2	6.7	6.6	6.1
Q3	7.3	6.8	6.4
Q4	7.3	7.1	6.6
2005: Q1	7.5	7.3	6.8
Q2	7.7	7.5	7.0
Q3	8.1	7.9	7.3
	Twelfth (San Francisco) Fede	aral Reserve District (AL, AZ,	CA, HI, ID, NV, OR, UT, WA
2003: Q3	5.1	5.3	5.6
Q4	4.6	4.9	5.7
2004: Q1	5.8	6.2	6.3
Q2	6.0	6.2	6.6
Q3	6.2	6.6	6.8
Q4	6.7	7.0	6.9
2005: Q1	7.1	7.3	7.3
Q2	7.4	7.7	7.5
Q3	7.9	8.0	7.8

C.5. Average Variable Interest Rates on Farm Loans Percent

 1. Loans used primarily to finance such items as current crop production expenses and the care and feeding of livestock (including poultry).

 * State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District.

 n.a. Not available.

 Source Federal Preserve Decision for the indicated Reserve District.

Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

C.6. Trends in Farm Real Estate Values and Loan Volume

	Market value of good farmland											Expe	cted trend in	n real
		Percentag during o			F	Percentage c a year (1	th	d expected d he next quart centage of ba	er	estate during compa	farm loan v g the next qu ared to year centage of ba	olume arter, earlier
Quarter	All	Dry- land	Irri- gated	Ranch- land	All	Dry- land	Irri- gated	Ranch- land	Down	Stable	Up	Lower	Same	Higher
				Seventh	(Chicago)	Federal Res	serve Distri	ct (IL*, IN	*, IA, MI*,	WI*)		I		
2003: Q4	2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	47	52	11	62	27
2004: Q1	5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	33	67	9	56	35
Q2	1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	54	44	10	69	21
Q3	3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2	49	49	11	62	26
Q4	3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	50	50	11	62	27
2005: Q1	4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	52	47	8	65	26
Q2	1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1	57	42	12	71	17
Q3	3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3	64	33	15	68	17
				Fifth (R	ichmond) F	ederal Rese	erve Distric	t (MD, NC	, SC, VA, W	/V*)				
2003: Q4	8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	74	26	13	83	4
2004: Q1	1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	65	35	28	68	4
Q2	-2	n.a. n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	15	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0	65	35	16	80	4
Q3	28	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	37	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11	48	41	27	65	8
Q4	-14	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8	54	38	29	63	8
2005: Q1	23	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	32	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8	48	44	20	68	12
Q2	8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	45	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	5	53	42	18	82	0
Q3	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	27	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9	52	39	0	36	73
				Ele	wenth (Dall	as) Federal	Reserve D	istrict (LA*	, NM*, TX))				
2003: Q4	n.a.	-1	0	2	n.a.	5	2	10	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12	81	7
2004: Q1	n.a.	3	-0	4	n.a.	4	2	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	77	14
Q2	n.a.	2	-0	2	n.a.	6	$\frac{2}{3}$	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	66	20
Q3	n.a.	3	2	6	n.a.	7	4	13	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	74	17
Q4	n.a.	2	3	4	n.a.	10	6	16	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	76	14
2005: Q1	n.a.	2	4	6	n.a.	9	10	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10	75	14
Q2	n.a.	4	5	4	n.a.	11	14	21	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8	81	11
Q3	n.a.	2	3	3	n.a.	10	16	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	15	71	14

				Expe	cted trend in	n real								
		Percentag during]	Percentage c a year		n	th	l expected d e next quart entage of ba	er	estate during compa	farm loan v g the next qu red to year entage of ba	olume 1arter, earlier
Quarter	All	Dry- land	Irri- gated	Ranch- land	All	Dry- land	Irri- gated	Ranch- land	Down	Stable	Up	Lower	Same	Higher
	· ·	·	·	Ninth (Mini	neapolis) F	ederal Rese	rve District	(MI*, MN	, MT, ND, S	SD, WI*)				
2003: Q4	n.a.	7	-2	9	n.a.	31	11	32	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2004: Q1	n.a.	6	8	2	n.a.	15	18	14	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	-0	1	4	n.a.	15	21	15	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	Õ	1	-7	n.a.	13	8	7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q4	n.a.	6	9	6	n.a.	13	21	5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2005: Q1	n.a.	9	2	23	n.a.	16	15	26	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	6	7	23	n.a.	23	22	20	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	-1	-5	-2	n.a.	23 22	14	31	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
			Te	enth (Kansas	City) Fede	eral Reserve	District (C	O, KS, MO	*, NE, NM [*]	*, OK, WY)				
2003: Q4	n.a.	2	0	2	n.a.	10	5	7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2004: Q1	n.a.	5	1	4	n.a.	16	7	15	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	1	2		n.a.	10	5	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	-1	-0	-1	n.a.	7	4	6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q4	n.a.	5	6	3	n.a.	11	10	8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2005: Q1	n.a.	5	1	7	n.a.	11	10	11	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	5	6	7	n.a.	16	14	17	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	-1	-1	0	n.a.	15	13	19	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
			Twelft	h (San Franc	cisco) Fede	eral Reserve	District (A	L, AZ, CA,	HI, ID, NV	, OR, UT, V	WA)			
2003: Q4	n.a.	8	-6	-4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2004: Q1	n.a.	-8	-2	-3	n.a.	2	0	-1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	26	9	43	n.a.	35	4	34	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	5	8	7	n.a.	32	9	42	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q4	n.a.	6	14	15	n.a.	29	31	69	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2005: Q1	n.a.	-11	-5	-13	n.a.	26	27	53	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q2	n.a.	19	2	5	n.a.	18	20	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Q3	n.a.	-12	7	9	n.a.	-0	18	14	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

C.6. Trends in Farm Real Estate Values and Loan Volume (continued)

* State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District. n.a. Not available. Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.

		Farm income		Farm	household spe	ending	Farm capital spending				
Quarter	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher	Lower	Same	Higher		
		Ninth (M	linneapolis) Fe	ederal Reserve	District (MI*,	MN, MT, ND,	SD, WI*)				
2003: Q3	28	47	25	17	70	13	31	65	4		
Q4	8	33	59	6	61	33	16	51	33		
2004: Q1	7	25	68	5	52	43	8	41	51		
Q2	2	37	61	2	55	42	5	49	46		
Q3	15	47	38	5	63	33	10	56	34		
Q4	20	30	50	5	56	40	11	40	49		
2005: Q1	17	34	48	5	53	42	16	39	45		
Q2	17	58	25	5	62	33	8	58	34		
Q3	33	61	6	9	68	23	28	61	11		
_		Tenth (Kan	sas City) Feder	ral Reserve Dis	trict (CO, KS,	MO*, NE, NN	/*, OK, WY)				
2003: Q3	22	46	32	18	68	15	36	49	14		
Q4	17	35	48	10	64	25	20	54	26		
2004: Q1	11	42	47	8	68	25	16	53	31		
Q2	11	49	40	9	65	26	15	60	24		
Q3	11	51	38	6	71	23	12	63	25		
Q4	10	40	50	5	60	35	9	53	38		
2005: Q1	12	48	40	5	59	36	12	55	33		
Q2	15	59	26	5	64	31	14	60	26		
Q3	35	52	14	15	54	31	34	54	12		
	Т	welfth (San Fr	ancisco) Feder	ral Reserve Dis	trict (AL, AZ,	CA, HI, ID, N	V, OR, UT, WA	A)			
2003: Q3	46	38	17	33	63	4	46	46	8		
Q4	30	22	48	17	70	13	26	39	35		
2004: Q1	18	55	27	14	73	14	27	50	23		
Q2	13	43	43	0	70	30	22	43	35		
Q3	5	36	59	0	73	27	9	45	45		
Q4	17	30	52	13	57	30	13	48	39		
2005: Q1	27	55	18	14	68	18	23	55	23		
Q2	13	48	39	9	57	35	9	48	43		
Q3	24	38	38	19	62	19	29	57	14		

C.7. Local Trends in Farm Income and Expenditures as Reported by Banks in Each Region Percent

* State falls only partly within the indicated Reserve District. n.a. Not available. Source. Federal Reserve Bank quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks.