

From: "Troy R. Gindt" <troy@mrpservicesinc.com> on 04/08/2008 05:00:06 PM

Subject: Regulation Z

As the government tries to level the playing field in the aggregate [across industries, race, marital status, gender, etc.], it seems this proposal contradicts that nationwide effort. By requiring one group to follow a set of 'disclosures' that another group is not, IT ALLOWS the non-disclosing group to potentially 'position' a scenario of lesser value to the consumer in a more favorable light than what truly IS. Isn't there a huge possibility for abuse in that arrangement? There are many things that I disagree with in these proposed changes, but the biggest and the thrust of my input is on keeping the field level. My understanding is that banks are pushing this legislation and why not... they are the primary benefactor of its passage.

I thought it was government's role to watch out for the little guys? I agree that licensing, etc. is important... so is requiring continuing education and the like. But don't you feel that tilting the balance in the favor of one group over another is counterproductive to the consumer, the economy and the free market as a whole?

Thank you for considering my comments.

Troy Gindt
Mortgage and Realty Professionals, Inc.
2389 5th Avenue
San Diego, CA 92101
800-859-4498 ext. 03
619-243-0881 fax