

Title	Comment on FR Doc # 07-04914
Abstract	
Document Sub-Type	Public Comment
RIN	
FR Volume Number	
Date Posted	12/11/2007
Comment Start Date	10/04/2007
Comments Due	12/12/2007
Submitter Information	
Comment Tracking Number	8037615c
First Name	Thomas
Middle Initial	F
Last Name	Haigler
City	Rock Springs
Country	United States
State or Province	WY
Organization Name	
Submitter's Representative	
Government Agency Type	
Government Agency	

Comment Info:

General Comment: December 10, 2007

Jennifer J. Johnson
Secretary
Infrastructure
Board of Governors of the Federal
Compliance Policy
Reserve System
20th St. & Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20551
NW
DC 20220

Department of the Treasury
Office of Critical
Protection and
Room 1327
Main Treasury Building
1500 Pennsylvania Ave.,
Washington,

Re: FRB Docket No. R-1298; Treasury Docket No. DO-2007-0015;
Prohibition on Funding of Unlawful Internet Gambling; 72 Federal Register 56680;
October 4, 2007

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing to express my concerns over the regulations presently proposed under the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act.

The Act requires the Treasury Department and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve to issue regulations that designate payment systems which could be used in connection with "restricted transactions" and require designated payment system participants to establish policies and procedures reasonably designed to identify and block "restricted transactions." "Restricted transactions" are defined as those involving funds being transmitted directly or indirectly to Internet betting or wagering businesses, where the bets or wagers are unlawful under any applicable Federal, State or Tribal law.

Unfortunately, although the proposed regulations designate the payment systems and set forth the policies and procedures to be implemented, they do little to identify what are "restricted transactions." Instead, they admit that determining what is legal or illegal under Federal, State or Tribal law is too difficult for the government and pass that responsibility on to the payment systems and participants, compelling them to block the "restricted transactions" and providing them with immunity if they also block legal transactions.

In so doing, I think the Government manages to simultaneously shirk its responsibility and abuses its power. It shirks its responsibility by refusing to identify transactions as being legal or illegal, and it abuses its authority by dumping that responsibility on the private sector and encouraging the blocking of legal transactions.

I am expressing my concern not only in the interest of good governance, but because I am almost certain to be deprived of the ability to engage in entirely legal activity if the regulations are issued as proposed. For I am one of the millions of people in the United States who legally play in online poker tournaments. I am a resident of Wyoming and poker tournaments are exempt from the States gambling laws as bona fide contests of skill. Consequently, rather than travel to Wind River Native American casino in Riverton, Wyoming to play blackjack, I chose to play poker on the Internet from the comfort of my home.

However, although I know my playing in Internet poker tournaments is legal, the payment systems and participants almost certainly do not. Faced with grave consequences if they permit illegal transactions and immunity if they block legal transactions, they can be expected to block all poker transactions, regardless of the fact that they originate in states where the game is entirely legal.

I ask you to rescind the proposed regulations and issue effective regulations which identify the illegal forms of gambling, game by game and state by state. Only in that way can the payment systems and participants implement the policies and procedures required by the Act without inadvertently and egregiously interfering with entirely legal activities.

Sincerely yours,

Thomas Haigler
Physician Assistant
Rock Springs, Wyoming