

From: Sheila Bailey-Waddell
Proposal: 1405 (RIN 7100-AD64) Reg Y - Predominantly Engaged Financial Activities (Version 2)
Subject: Reg Y

Comments:

From: Sheila B. Waddell
To:
Subject: FW: Reg Y Docket No. R-1405 RIN 7100-AD64 Definition of Predominantly Engaged in Financial Activities
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2012 19:17:16 -0400

Please note: forwarded message attached

Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2012 22:46:01 GMT
To: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov
Cc: comments@FDIC.gov
Subject: Reg Y Docket No. R-1405 RIN 7100-AD64 Definition of Predominantly Engaged in Financial Activities

53 Year Old Mom Looks 33
The Stunning Results of Her Wrinkle Trick Has Botox Doctors Worried
consumerproducts.com

--Forwarded Message Attachment--

From: fwaddell45@netzero.net
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2012 22:46:01 +0000
To: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov
CC: comments@FDIC.gov
Subject: Reg Y Docket No. R-1405 RIN 7100-AD64 Definition of Predominantly Engaged in Financial Activities

I submit this comment, regarding the above mentioned subject matter, on behalf of an acquiring group (heirs of John & Margaret Hazelwood Estate). John & Margaret Hazelwood were business merchants prior to the establishment of Federal Reserve. Their funds (banknotes) were managed by the OCC (Office of the Comptroller of the Currency). Subsequently those bank notes were converted to federal reserve notes. John & Margaret were original landowners, farmers and oil lessors; as with their children. John died in 1923. Margaret's death is unknown and unavailable. Their assets bypassed probate. The Estate is a material interest entity as defined by the IRS and classified in Financial Organizations Masterfiles. The knowledge of these facts would imply that they were predominantly engaged in financial activities by and through their financial custodians (i.e., banks and bank holding companies). The Estate is currently and has been a Federal customer of the Federal Government, its agents, agencies, instrumentalities and municipalities thru the lending of its securities to each government organizational component. In our efforts to clear conflicts and initiating a formidable relationship with the appropriate parties for purposes of business continuity, we have been basically electronically blacklisted and physically rejected. It is not our intention to cause any instability to our financial infrastructure, but to assist in a smooth transition and to eradicate the existing conflicts of interest as they pertain to federal and state financial laws, regulations and statutes;

defining the ultimate beneficial owner and current customer(s). The funds are currently held as fractional reserves; covered and protected for safekeeping in a "trust structure" designed by the public registrants or the public financial holding companies. Many of these securities have been retired by the SEC as automatic shelf registrations. JPMorgan Chase & Co. appears to have been, or to be the largest holder of record. Accordingly, JPMCC lends to other financial depositories as well as consumers. Unfortunately attempts to confer with this institution have been unsuccessful at best, even after documental support presented to the Executive Office. It is our desire that an order and MOU be established by the FRB and the enjoining financial regulators for the designation of definitive ownership to the current beneficial owners identified in a system of records and the disclosure of related financial information held by the Federal Executive Agencies, FRB, Treasury, OCC, SEC, CFTC and all other appropriate parties.

I would like to add to the previous comments pertaining to the above mentioned subject matter. As stated before, the Estate (agency customer) has been administered and controlled by the Federal Government since the death of the proprietors, John and Margaret Hazelwood. This implies that the Estate is considered a "business enterprise" that has funded the administrative operations of the Federal Government." The budgetary resolution of this matter is strictly the constitutional obligations of the executive branches and agencies of government, the CFOs, CIOs, the regulatory administrative bodies, OMB, BPD and law enforcement arms for immediate relief. The Estate (deceased) has typically, though not typical, been an unpaid general business partner to the Federal Government. The GP has been the funding partner of agency operations (i.e., executive pay, employee pay, healthcare, pensions and retirement). Wouldn't it be fair to compensate the heirs of the General Partner? Due to the risky historical nature of this event, it has to be a governmentwide initiative under risk management and monitoring.