



**Friends
of the
African Union**



May 17th, 2013

Re: Proposed Changes to Interagency Q&A

OCC: Docket ID OCC-2013-0003

Federal Reserve: Docket No. OP-1456

FDIC: Attention: Comments on CRA Interagency Q&A

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency:

E-mail regs.comments@occ.treas.gov

Fax (571) 465-4326.

Federal Reserve Board:

Email: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov

Fax (202) 452-3819

Mark Sniderman

Executive Vice President and Chief Policy Officer

Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

mark.s.sniderman@clev.frb.org

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation:

Email: comments@fdic.gov.

To Whom It May Concern:

Friends of the African Union is first a economic and new media civil-society ruling body who is also a social, humanitarian, charitable, and educational founded to be the service bureau to the African Union and its member people in the 6th region of the African Union. We operate a variety of business development initiatives that support business ownership and entrepreneurship among people of color who are members of the AU's 6th region in the USA who number over 45 million people.

Friends of the African Union, also a member of the National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC), acknowledges that the proposed changes to the Interagency Question and Answer (Q&A) document would be modestly helpful but the proposed changes fall far short of the comprehensive revisions to the CRA regulation needed to keep pace with the changes in the banking industry. In the wake of the foreclosure crisis and the slowdown in lending, Friends of the African Union believes that the agencies must implement bold and aggressive changes to the CRA regulation in order to increase responsible lending, investing, and services in low- and moderate-income communities.

The agencies propose to motivate increased community development lending and investing in smaller cities and rural areas by facilitating lending outside of banks' assessment areas (or geographical areas containing bank branches that are scrutinized by CRA exams). We currently operate in over 15 states and have been doing a specic model revirew in Cincinnati and Cleveland, Ohio in creating a new CRA

3412 Osage Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio, 45205, United States of America

phone: 513.917.7016 **twitter:** fauprime **website:** fauprime.net **facebook:** Friends of the African Union



**Friends
of the
African Union**



based agreement to create jobs by increasing trade within the African Union through a US Federal Reserve Banking partnership that uses Quantum Easing 3.0 as the basis for action.

Currently, a bank receives favorable CRA consideration for lending and investing in statewide or regional areas that includes the bank's assessment area(s) provided that the bank is adequately serving the needs of its assessment area(s). The agencies propose to change this to providing favorable CRA consideration for community development financing in the larger areas as long as the financing in the larger areas are not "in lieu of or to the detriment of" financing in the assessment area(s).

These proposed changes would modestly facilitate community development financing in smaller cities and rural communities, but these changes are much less effective than broader changes to banks' assessment areas would be. Currently, assessment areas are only those geographical areas containing bank branches although several banks, especially large banks, make considerable numbers of loans beyond their branch networks through loan officers, brokers, or correspondent lenders. The agencies should designate additional assessment areas for counties and metropolitan areas in which a bank makes sizable numbers of loans but in which the bank does not have branches. This is not difficult to do; the former Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) assessed performance in geographical areas with high numbers of loans beyond bank branch networks. Expanding assessment areas would be more effective in stimulating increased community development financing and home and small business lending than the tortured semantic and legalistic changes proposed to the Q&As.

In addition, the agencies are missing an opportunity to assess the effectiveness of their proposed changes by not requiring additional data disclosure of community development lending and investing. For the past several years, NCRC and its members, like us, have been advocating for the agencies to publicly provide data on community development lending and investing on a census tract level or at least on a county level. If county level data was available for community development financing, the agencies and the public at large could assess how effective any proposed changes to the regulation or Q&As would be in stimulating more community development financing in rural counties and smaller cities while ensuring that the current assessment areas do not experience significant declines in community development financing. The data would either reconfirm any recent changes or would prompt additional changes.

The agencies must also refrain from altering examination weights in their proposed Q&A on community development lending. While it is desirable to affirm the importance of community development lending as the first part of the proposed Q&A does, the second part of the Q&A stating that strong performance in community development lending can compensate for weak performance in retail lending must be deleted. Since retail lending is the predominant part of the lending test, it is unlikely that strong performance on community development lending can or should compensate for weak performance on retail lending.

Better methods can be developed for elevating the importance of community development lending. Either examination weights can be more fully developed on the lending test or community development lending and investing should be considered together on a community development test. A change to a Q&A cannot adequately deal with the complex issue of weighing community development lending and



**Friends
of the
African Union**



could inadvertently decrease the level of bank retail lending.

The proposed Q&As do not address the glaring deficiencies of the service test. While bank branches are closing, some large banks are now engaged in abusive payday lending. A more rigorous service test which assesses data on bank deposits in addition to bank branches in low- and moderate-income communities is urgently needed. In addition, the existing Q&As regarding foreclosure prevention and loan modifications are not effectively stimulating large-scale foreclosure prevention activities. Reforms to the CRA regulation boosting the importance of foreclosure prevention and servicing must be undertaken.

Still another issue that is not addressed by the proposed changes to the Q&A is loan purchases versus originations. NCRC and its members have commented recently on CRA exams in which banks are making few loans to low- and moderate-income borrowers but purchasing several loans made to these borrowers from other banks. Making loans represents a more concerted effort to serve community needs than purchasing high volumes of loans.

Existing Q&As warn banks against purchasing loans to “artificially inflate CRA performance.” But since this behavior continues, the Q&A needs to be strengthened by saying that CRA examiners will separately evaluate originations and purchases and will downgrade banks if the purchasing is conducted in a manner to inflate the CRA rating and does not meaningfully increase access to credit. There is a difference between purchasing loans made by a Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI) and other community-focused institutions and indiscriminately purchasing loans from mainstream banks that have secondary market outlets. CRA examiners must take these differences into account.

Three years after the summer 2010 hearings in which the agencies received hundreds of comments, including our affiliates Cincinnati Change, Friends of the African Union is profoundly disappointed that the agencies are proposing half measures in the form of Q&As while the agencies need to engage in comprehensive reforms regarding assessment areas, the service test, foreclosure prevention, and the consideration of loan purchases on CRA exams.

We urge prompt and comprehensive reform to the CRA regulations.

Sincerely,

Hershel Daniels, Junior
Chairman
Friends of the African Union

cc. National Community Reinvestment Coalition
City of Cincinnati City Council