

## **DOWNLOAD & Answer Questions and**

You must read ! This effect all money old and new, and trust, resources , and liquid assest.. your money they will take when federal reserve bank will file in 60 days and will go public .. now is time time to review and place your comment to give them prefer stragies and resultion to there mess

DOWNLOAD:

Available at:

<https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/files/bcreg20160413a1.pdf>

and at

<https://www.fdic.gov/news/news/press/2016pr16031b.pdf>.

Summit

<http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm>

<http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm>.

Question 1: Do the topics in the proposed guidance discussed above represent the key vulnerabilities of the Covered Companies in resolution? If not, what key vulnerabilities are not captured?

No... You must protect the people who do innovative development of community with nothing must be protected .. And never be harmed do to greed of others.. It's only ethical.

Question 2: Is the guidance sufficiently clear with respect to the following concepts: scope of PCS services, user vs. provider, direct vs. indirect relationships? What additional clarifications or alternatives concerning the proposed framework or its elements, if any, should the Agencies consider? For instance, would further examples of ways that firms may act as provider of PCS

that firms may act as provider of PCS services be useful? Should the Agencies consider further distinguishing between providers based on the type of PCS service they provide?

Education on clarification to the trustee how process and ultimate guarantee the trustee receive the funds without link of security and legal binding that only blood trustee received that fund ..

And can't be sold by third party for a bail out or liquidity to none and not to any banks for its there not owners merely affiliate..

Question 3: Are the Agencies' expectations with respect to playbook content for firms that are users or providers (or both) of PCS services sufficiently clear? What additional clarifications, alternatives, or additional information, if any, should the Agencies consider?

No they very abstract and offer no solution that as if your asking me to

solution that as if your asking me to create every detail for as you me outline of everything in the plan you want but no actual form of actual execution of resolution plan

Question 4: Should the guidance indicate that providers of PCS activities are expected to expressly consider particular contingency arrangements (e.g., methods to transfer client activity to other firms with whom the clients have relationships, alternate agent bank relationships)? Should the guidance also indicate that firms should expressly consider particular actions they may take concerning the provision of intraday credit to affiliate and third-party clients, such as requiring pre-funding? If so, what particular actions should these firms address?

NO COMMENT GO DO YOUR HOMEWORK!  
GOVERNMENT DONT PLAY WITH  
TRUSTEE MONEY.. YOUR CRAZY IF YOU  
THINK THEY WILL VOLUNTEER THERE  
MONEY FOR DC SERVICES [Expletive] NO!

THINK THEY WILL VOLUNTEER THERE  
MONEY FOR PC SERVICES..<sup>[Expletive]</sup> NO!

Question 5: Specifically for users of PCS activities, should the guidance indicate that firms are expected to expressly include particular PCS-related liquidity sources and uses such as client pre-funding, or specific abilities to control intraday liquidity inflows and outflows (e.g., throttling or prioritizing of payments)? If so, what particular sources and uses should firms be expected to include?

ONLY THE TRUE BLOOD OWNER HAS THAT RIGHT .. END IF STORY .. SO THAT A NO FIRM.. IN FACT ALL ASSETS AND FUND and resources MUST BE RETURN TO PC SERVICES ORIGINAL current HEAD OF BLOOD FAMILY member Head for S

OF BLOOD FAMILY member Head for Safety and security and protecting resources and assets and well decision. Activated immediately and with out charge. Failure to do so will be a breech of contract treating and permits nullication and void of trade treaty and stability .. thus my done my original not respective.. And they will pull for sake of stabillity USA not capable to get there <sup>[Expletive]</sup> together shall not effect as well harm or doesn't entitle to bail out goverment nothing .. not our mess not our problem .. seccessfully transfer with no fees , no <sup>[Expletive]</sup> no <sup>[Expletive]</sup> now , or sink..

For either way we be cool either way .. how about your end?? Doesn't look to good.

Question 6: Specifically for providers of PCS services are the Agencies' expectations concerning a firm's communication to its key clients (including affiliates as applicable) of the potential impacts of implementation of

potential impacts of implementation of identified contingency arrangements sufficiently clear? What additional clarifications, if any, should the Agencies consider? Should the Agencies expect firms to communicate this information at specific times or in specific formats?

Understand no man can run away from things they don't understand , and with entities that no man , no one, no person, no women , child , nothing of existing and non can hind from those entities..

The entities can see all find all and will do as they see fit regardless what you want or want done and are planning screw over..

You will be make enemy to entities you planned to betray and once you do nothing can save you . So beware and just do right <sup>[Expletive]</sup> is best advice I can give you...

