

Proposal: 1665 (7100-AF51) Rules Regarding Availability of Information

Description:

---

Comment ID: 134358

From: Charity Crouse

Proposal: 1665 (7100-AF51) Rules Regarding Availability of Information

Subject: Rules Regarding Availability of Information

---

Comments:

Date: Aug 07, 2019

Proposal: Rules Regarding Availability of Information [R-1665]

Document ID: R-1665

Revision: 1

First name: Charity

Middle initial: C

Last name: Crouse

Affiliation (if any):

Affiliation Type: ()

Address line 1:

Address line 2:

City:

State:

Zip:

Country: UNITED STATES

Postal (if outside the U.S.):

Your comment: Does the Federal Reserve assign "securities" to people's ID documents and then "loan" them out overnight for repo jobs by other people/"persons" without disclosing to whom a person's identity is being loaned? Does the Federal Reserve actively engage in theft of people's personal intangible property and then refuse to investigate allegations that a person's identity documents or other identifying information has been acquired by others illegally and then used by others without disclosure? Does the Federal Reserve have an inventory on "loaned" out securities connected to people's identity documents? I noticed that my "comment" in this area was discontinued...is that because you are literally "loaning" out "securities" connected to my identity to other people who are using your "loans" to sabotage my investigative efforts, which include reports on precipitating events to acts of terrorism? You should know that obstruction of my reporting efforts and subsequent retaliation is directly responsible for the proliferation of both domestic and international acts of terrorism...do you "loan" out to cover up for financing of acts of terrorism via banks that are headquartered in the United States? Do you engage their "risk penalties" in the fees associated with the terms of your overnight "loans"? How about for securities that are connected to long-term bond issues that obligate people to decades worth of debt service, including in jurisdictions they have never even lived? You do understand that requiring someone to work or perform services without their consent and without compensation is legally "trafficking" a person? How many times have you permitted someone or some entity that should have been responding to reports that I submitted to appropriate law enforcement or regulatory bodies to use "securities" connected to my reports to engage in crimes rather than to respond and assist me with my investigation? Do you "loan" out my securities to members of Congress? How about political candidates for office, up to an including the President of the United States? Wouldn't that be considered "election interference"? Do you "loan" these securities out to members of foreign political office? How about as coverage for the 10 percent on "military aid" that is reimbursed by the United States to certain countries with which the U.S. has an active treaty, including countries that are currently being sanctioned? Would that not qualify as a form of espionage? I absolutely refuse to consent to any "overnight" use of any security connected to my identity information or reports submitted

to document criminal activity. The President and Congress are not permitted to continuously traffic citizens of the U.S. or others in violation of rights to due process or habeas corpus, especially if doing so poses a national security risk engaged as a form of political retaliation. Please see to it that you don't "pull" this comment or intentionally downgrade as a further perpetuation of political retaliation. I have already formally requested how to get information on the use of any securities connected to my identity or theft or numerous identity documents; please see to it that you respond in a formal and legal manner immediately. Don't "flip" this account tomorrow and do not change any current "account" assignments until this is addressed formally and legally.