



February 9, 2021

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System  
Sent via email to [regs.comments@federalreserve.gov](mailto:regs.comments@federalreserve.gov)

RE: Community Reinvestment Act Proposed Rulemaking  
Docket Number (R-1723) and RIN (7100-AF94)

Dear Governors:

The [California Housing Partnership](#) greatly appreciates the Federal Reserve's (Fed) thoughtful and inclusive approach to modernizing the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) regulations. CRA is a critical tool to meet the credit needs of low income communities and communities of color in California and throughout the country. In addition, CRA plays a critical role in the development of affordable rental housing by incentivizing banks both to lend on preferred terms and to invest in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (Housing Credit). Indeed, the Housing Credit finances virtually all new affordable housing, and CRA motivates the vast majority of these investments. In 2019, an estimated 73% of all Housing Credit investment came from banks motivated by CRA requirements. Strengthening the CRA regulations will improve on these outcomes.

The Partnership is a state-created private nonprofit technical assistance organization that creates and preserves affordable and sustainable homes for Californians with low incomes by providing expert financial and policy solutions to nonprofit and public partners. Since 1988, the Partnership's on-the-ground technical assistance, applied research, and legislative leadership has leveraged more than \$20 billion in private and public financing to preserve and create more than 75,000 affordable homes.

The Partnership supports the proposal to establish a separate community development (CD) test but opposes the suggestion that the CD lending and CD Income Housing Credit investments, which can be complex and expensive for banks to transact and therefore may provide a lower return than CD lending. The Fed also proposes to encourage patient CD lending, which could further favor CD lending over CD investing. Both lending and investment

**SAN FRANCISCO**

369 Pine Street  
Suite 300  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel: (415) 433-6804

**LOS ANGELES**

600 Wilshire Blvd.  
Suite 890  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Tel: (213) 892-8875  
Fax: (213) 892-8776

**SACRAMENTO**

1107 9th Street  
Suite 700  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel: (916) 683-1180

**SAN DIEGO**

4231 Balboa Avenue  
Suite 1018  
San Diego, CA 92117  
Tel: (858) 617-0579

**SANTA BARBARA**

126 E. Haley Street  
Suite A17  
Santa Barbara, CA 93103  
Tel: (805) 914-5401

are critical to the affordable housing ecosystem, and the ability to produce new housing would be dramatically diminished if banks are allowed to substitute lending for investing. For this reason, lending and investing must be examined separately, even if they are rolled up into one CD rating. In addition, the rules should prioritize annual investments and lending, with patient lending garnering higher impact scores.

The Partnership also supports efforts to reduce inequities in Housing Credit pricing between CRA “hot spots and not spots” that currently see a pricing differential of 10-15%. This can best be achieved without undermining the obligations within the bank’s territory by granting banks credit at the assessment area level for Housing Credit investments made anywhere within a state in which a bank has one or more assessment areas. Eliminating the separate investment test while simultaneously expanding the array of activities that qualify for CRA credit under the CD Test, could have the effect of displacing Housing Credit investments with activities that are less impactful for low-income communities and households.

Some stakeholders have noted, as included in the ANPR, that “large-scale development and infrastructure projects may sometimes have limited benefit for targeted geographies.” For this reason, essential infrastructure and community facilities should qualify for CRA credit only if they primarily benefit low-income individuals and communities.

We commend the Fed for proposing data collection on community development activity as current data is sparse. Standards regarding affordability should not be relaxed and at least 50% of units in a building should be deed restricted at prices that are affordable to low- or moderate-income households and at least 10% below market in order for a CD loan to qualify for CRA credit for creating affordable housing.

Impact scoring should add credit for developments that are dedicated to serving (in whole or significant part) extremely low-income residents, homeless persons, and are located in areas that affirmatively further fair housing. Impact scoring should also reward banks that adopt [CRC’s Anti Displacement Code of Conduct](#) or similar best practice policies that are effectively designed to mitigate gentrification and displacement.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and for all the Fed’s efforts to modernize and improve the CRA regulations in a responsible manner.

Sincerely,



Mark Stivers  
Director or Advocacy