Via Electronic Mail

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20551 Attention: Ann E. Misback, Secretary

James P. Sheesley, Assistant Executive Secretary Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20429 Attention: Comments/Legal OES (RIN 3064-AF29)

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Chief Counsel's Office 400 7th Street, SW, Suite 3E-218 Washington, D.C. 20219 Attention: Comment Processing

Re: <u>Potential Effects of Proposed Regulatory Capital Rules (Federal Reserve Docket No. R-1813; FDIC RIN 3064-AF29; Docket ID OCC-2023-0008)</u>

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned bankers associations write to express our deep concerns with your agencies' proposed implementation of the "Basel III Endgame" capital regulations (Proposal). Our members firmly believe in a well-capitalized and innovative banking industry as a critical component of a strong national economy and a key support for the communities they serve. Rather than enhancing our members' ability to serve their customers and communities, however, we believe the Proposal would constrain the banking sector's ability to provide credit and other essential financial services. Our review of the Proposal, and the widespread concerns that others have raised, convince us that your agencies have insufficiently assessed and considered the potential economic damage to bank customers and the economy as a whole.

In particular, we share the concerns that the agencies rely on seriously insufficient supporting data and analysis to justify the significant increase in regulatory capital the Proposal would require and the resulting constraint on lending. Moreover, the agencies have failed to disclose those data to the public as part of the legally required process of notice and comment. Soon after the Proposal was released, a broad representative coalition of the industry raised detailed concerns about this lack of transparent supporting data and analysis.¹ These concerns highlight

¹ See <u>https://www.aba.com/advocacy/policy-analysis/ltr-reg-capital-rule-basel</u>.

the need to withdraw the Proposal and repropose a version with appropriate and transparent support.

Furthermore, in discussions among our members and their customers and other end-users of credit, it has become clear that the Proposal will likely restrict funding availability in a number of key parts of the economy:

- Residential mortgages proposed increases in risk weights for mortgages with higher loan-to-value ratios will have a disproportionately harsh impact on low- and moderate-income borrowers and first-time homebuyers.²
- Capital projects increased capital requirements for legislatively mandated or favored programs, such as tax equity investments in green energy and low-income housing.
- Credit for small- and medium-sized businesses unsupported higher proposed risk weights for businesses that are not publicly traded, compared to those for public companies, will put privately held customers at an unreasonable disadvantage.
- Hedging instruments increased capital requirements for derivatives and other hedging instruments will raise costs and risks for a variety of businesses that manage risks through hedging, from airlines to farmers and other agribusinesses.
- Broad impact of operational risk capital charges in addition to the risk weight changes already noted, a proposed capital framework for operational risk will raise capital requirements, and therefore costs passed through to customers, across the entire spectrum of ordinary banking activities.

These and other concerns were noted in bipartisan questions raised in November's oversight hearings before the Senate Banking Committee³ and House Financial Services Committee.⁴

The potential impacts that concern our members would raise serious questions of regulatory policy in any circumstances, but the lack of justification is particularly pointed given the banking industry's performance during and since the COVID-19 pandemic's stress on the national economy. As the Federal Reserve noted earlier this year, its annual stress tests demonstrated that the banks that would be subject to the Proposal are well positioned to weather a severe recession

⁴ United States House of Representatives, Committee on Financial Services, Hearing entitled, "Oversight of Prudential Regulators" (November 15, 2023), *available at*

https://financialservices.house.gov/calendar/eventsingle.aspx?EventID=409027.

² Laurie Goodman and Jun Zhu, "Bank Capital Notice of Proposed Rulemaking – A Look at the Provisions Affecting Mortgage Loans in Bank Portfolios," Urban Institute (Sept. 2023), *available at* https://www.banking.senate.gov/hearings/oversight-of-financial-regulators-protecting-main-street-not-wall-street https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/2023-

^{09/}Bank%20Capital%20Notice%20of%20Proposed%20Rulemaking.pdf.

³ United States Senate, Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, Hearing entitled, "Oversight of Financial Regulators: Protecting Main Street Not Wall Street" (November 14, 2023), *available at* <u>https://www.banking.senate.gov/hearings/oversight-of-financial-regulators-protecting-main-street-not-wall-street</u>.

and continue to lend to households and businesses even during a severe recession.⁵ In these circumstances, the risks to the country's ongoing economic prosperity far outweigh any benefits of the Proposal, which at best are uncertain and undemonstrated.

Sincerely,

American Bankers Association Alabama Bankers Association Alaska Bankers Association Arizona Bankers Association Arkansas Bankers Association California Bankers Association Colorado Bankers Association Connecticut Bankers Association **DC** Bankers Association **Delaware Bankers Association** Florida Bankers Association Georgia Bankers Association Hawaii Bankers Association Idaho Bankers Association Illinois Bankers Association Indiana Bankers Association Iowa Bankers Association Kansas Bankers Association Kentucky Bankers Association Maine Bankers Association Maryland Bankers Association Massachusetts Bankers Association Michigan Bankers Association Minnesota Bankers Association Mississippi Bankers Association Missouri Bankers Association Montana Bankers Association

Nebraska Bankers Association Nevada Bankers Association New Hampshire Bankers Association New Jersey Bankers Association New Mexico Bankers Association New York Bankers Association North Carolina Bankers Association North Dakota Bankers Association **Ohio Bankers League** Oklahoma Bankers Association Oregon Bankers Association Pennsylvania Bankers Association Puerto Rico Bankers Association Rhode Island Bankers Association South Carolina Bankers Association South Dakota Bankers Association Tennessee Bankers Association **Texas Bankers Association** Utah Bankers Association Vermont Bankers Association Virginia Bankers Association Washington Bankers Association West Virginia Bankers Association Wisconsin Bankers Association Wyoming Bankers Association

⁵ See https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/bcreg20230628a.htm.