

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

July 7, 2025

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Bank of the Flint Hills RSSD# 552059

806 5th Street Wamego, Kansas 66547

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
1 Memorial Drive
Kansas City, Missouri 64198

NOTE:

This document is an evaluation of this bank's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the bank. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this bank. The rating assigned to this bank does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial bank.

BANK OF THE FLINT HILLS WAMEGO, KANSAS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Institution's Community Reinvestment Act Rating	2
Scope of Examination	2
Description of Institution	3
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	4
Fair Lending or Other Illegal Credit Practices Review	6
Manhattan Metropolitan Assessment Area (Full-Scope Review)	
Description of Institution's Operations	7
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	9
Topeka Metropolitan Assessment Area (Limited-Scope Review)	
Description of Institution's Operations	19
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	19
Morris County Nonmetropolitan Assessment Area (Limited-Scope Review)	
Description of Institution's Operations	20
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	20
Appendix A – Map of the Assessment Areas	21
Appendix B – Demographic Information	24
Appendix C – Limited-Scope Review Assessment Area Tables	27
Appendix D – Glossary	40

INSTITUTION'S COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING

This institution is rated:

The Lending Test is rated:

The Community Development Test is rated:

Satisfactory
Satisfactory

Bank of the Flint Hills (the bank) is rated Satisfactory. This rating is based on the following conclusions with respect to the performance criteria under the Lending and Community Development Tests:

- The bank's net loan-to-deposit ratio (NLTD) is reasonable given the bank's size, financial condition, and assessment areas' (AAs) credit needs.
- A majority of the bank's loans are originated inside the AAs.
- A reasonable distribution of loans occurs throughout the bank's AAs.
- Lending reflects a reasonable distribution among individuals of different income levels, including low- and moderate-income (LMI), and businesses and farms of different sizes.
- Neither the bank nor the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Reserve Bank) received any Community Reinvestment Act (CRA)-related complaints since the previous evaluation.
- Community development (CD) activity reflects adequate responsiveness to CD needs of the bank's AAs.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Examiners utilized the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's (FFIEC's) Interagency Examination Procedures for Intermediate Small Institutions to evaluate the bank's CRA performance. The evaluation considered CRA performance context, including the bank's asset size, financial condition, business strategy and market competition, as well as AA demographic and economic characteristics, and credit needs. In addition, available aggregate data for the most recent three years (2021, 2022, and 2023) was referenced for additional perspective to gauge credit demand within the bank's AA. Performance was assessed within the bank's three AAs. The Manhattan Metropolitan AA was assessed using a full-scope review, while the Topeka Metropolitan and Morris County AAs were assessed using a limited-scope review. Examiners reviewed the following data:

- The bank's 17-quarter average NLTD ratio;
- CD loans, qualified investments, and CD services from May 18, 2021, through December 31, 2024;
- Home mortgage loans originated between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2023, which included a total of 298 home mortgage loans; and

- A statistical sample of small business and small farm loans originated between January 1, 2024, and December 31, 2024, which included 98 small business loans selected from a universe of 188 loans and 75 small farm loans selected from a universe of 119 loans.
- All three products were weighted equally throughout the evaluation.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION

The bank is a community bank headquartered in Wamego, Kansas. The bank's characteristics include:

- The bank is a wholly owned subsidiary of Wamego Bancshares, Incorporated, which is also located in Wamego.
- The bank has total assets of \$468.9 million (MM) as of March 31, 2025.
- In addition to its main office in Wamego, Kansas, the bank operates seven additional offices located in Manhattan, Saint Mary's, White City, Rossville, and Alma, Kansas.
- The bank operates full-service automated teller machines (ATMs) at each banking office within its three AAs, for a total of eight ATMs.
- As shown in the table below, the bank's primary business focus is commercial lending followed by agricultural and residential real estate lending.

Table 1

Composition of Loan Portfolio as of March 31, 2025									
Loan Type \$(000) %									
Construction and Land Development	27,336	7.4							
Farmland	59,726	16.3							
1- to 4-Family Residential Real Estate	93,337	25.4							
Multifamily Residential Real Estate	13,360	3.6							
Nonfarm Nonresidential Real Estate	69,358	18.9							
Agricultural	37,863	10.3							
Commercial and Industrial	58,733	16.0							
Consumer	6,706	1.8							
Other	1,056	0.3							
Gross Loans	Gross Loans 367,475 100.0								
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to roun	ding.								

The bank was rated Satisfactory under the CRA at its May 17, 2021 performance evaluation. There are no known legal, financial, or other factors impeding the bank's ability to help meet the credit needs in its communities.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE CRITERIA¹

LENDING TEST

This performance evaluation first discusses the bank's overall performance, followed by an in-depth evaluation of performance in the Manhattan Metropolitan AA (full-scope review); and a brief discussion of performance in the Topeka Metropolitan AA and the Morris County AA (limited-scope reviews).

The bank's overall lending test performance is Satisfactory. This conclusion was based on a reasonable NLTD ratio, a majority of loans originated within the bank's AAs, as well as reasonable distribution of loans throughout the bank's AA, and a reasonable distribution of loans to individuals of different income levels and to business and farms of different revenue sizes. In determining the overall lending test rating, equal weight was given to each of the performance criteria listed.

Net Loan-to-Deposit Ratio

This performance criterion evaluates the bank's average NLTD ratio to determine the reasonableness of lending in light of performance context, such as the bank's capacity to lend, the availability of lending opportunities, the demographic and economic factors present in the AAs, and in comparison to similarly situated FDIC-insured institutions. The similarly situated institutions were selected based on asset size, product offerings, market share, and geographic locations. The bank's NLTD ratio is reasonable. The bank's 17-quarter average NLTD ratio of 87.1 percent is within range of the five other similarly situated banks with ratios ranging from a low of 65.0 percent to a high of 89.7 percent.

Table 2

Comparative NLTD Ratios March 31, 2021 – March 31, 2025									
			NLTD Ratio (%)						
Institution	Location	Asset Size \$(000)	17 Quarter						
			Average						
Bank of the Flint Hills	Wamego, Kansas	468,873	87.1						
Similarly Situated Institutions									
Outdoor Bank	Manhattan, Kansas	690,598	83.7						
Silver Lake Bank	Topeka, Kansas	479,621	89.7						
Kaw Valley Bank	Topeka, Kansas	319,515	88.2						
ESB Financial	Emporia, Kansas	350,536	65.0						
Community First Bank	Manhattan, Kansas	352,450	88.3						

The net loan-to-deposit ratio and percentage of loans and other lending-related activity in the assessment area only apply to the institution overall. No discussion of these performance criteria applies to sections of the performance evaluation related to assessment areas.

Assessment Area Concentration

This performance criterion evaluates the percentage of lending extended inside and outside of the AAs. The bank originated a majority of loans, by number and dollar, inside the AAs.

Table 3

Lending Inside and Outside the Assessment Area											
Loan Tymo		Ins	side			Outside					
Loan Type	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	#	#%	\$(000)	\$ %			
Home Purchase – Conventional	139	80.8	25,621	82.1	33	19.2	5,570	17.9			
Home Improvement	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	134	100.0			
Multi-Family Housing	18	90.0	11,935	87.3	2	10.0	1,733	12.7			
Loan Purpose Not Applicable	1	100.0	108	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Refinancing	94	90.4	24,453	88.5	10	9.6	3,188	11.5			
Total HMDA Related	252	84.6	62,117	85.4	46	15.4	10,625	14.6			
Small Business	86	87.8	16,999	82.7	12	12.2	3,561	17.3			
Small Farm	56	74.7	6,992	76.9	19	25.3	2,101	23.1			
Total Loans	394	83.7	86,108	84.1	77	16.3	16,287	15.9			
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 pe	rcent due to	rounding.									

Geographic Distribution of Loans

This performance criterion evaluates the bank's distribution of lending within its AAs by income level of census tracts with consideration given to the dispersion of loans throughout the AAs. The bank's overall geographic distribution of loans reflects reasonable distribution among the different census tracts and dispersion throughout the AAs.

Lending to Borrowers of Different Income Levels and to Businesses and Farms of Different Sizes

This performance criterion evaluates the bank's lending to borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different revenue sizes. The bank's lending has an overall reasonable distribution among individuals of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TEST

The CD test evaluates the bank's responsiveness to CD needs of its AAs through CD loans, qualified investments, and CD services, considering the bank's capacity and the need and availability of such opportunities in the bank's AAs.

The bank's overall CD test performance is Satisfactory and demonstrates adequate responsiveness. A substantial majority of the bank's CD activities occurred in the Manhattan Metropolitan AA, which is consistent with the level of branching, lending, and deposit concentrations among its three AAs. Table 4 below displays the total number and dollar amount of the bank's CD activities that have impacted the bank's AAs since the previous CRA evaluation.

Table 4

Community Development Activity - All												
Community	Comr	nunity		Qu	alified l	Investme	nts		Community			
Development Purpose		opment ans	Investments		Donations			otal tments	Development Services			
ruipose	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#			
Affordable Housing	7	3,281	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Community Services	0	0	0	0	20	63	20	63	6			
Economic Development	0	0	0	0	2	34	2	34	0			
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Outside Activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Totals	7	3,281	0	0	22	96	22	96	6			

FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

Compliance with the substantive provisions of antidiscrimination and other consumer protection laws and regulations, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act, was considered as part of this CRA evaluation. No evidence of a pattern or practice of discrimination on a prohibited basis or of other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs was identified.

MANHATTAN METROPOLITAN ASSESSMENT AREA METROPOLITAN AREA

(Full-Scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE MANHATTAN METROPOLITAN AA

The bank's Manhattan Metropolitan AA is comprised of Pottawatomie and Riley Counties in their entireties, two of the three counties that comprise the Manhattan, Kansas Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). See Appendix A for an AA map and Appendix B for additional demographic data.

- There have been no changes to the AA delineation since the previous evaluation; however, the composition of census tracts has changed due to the 2016-2020 American Community Survey (ACS) release.
- The AA is comprised of 22 census tracts, which includes 1 low-, 4 moderate-, 7 middle-, and 8 upper-income census tracts, along with 2 unknown-income tracts. At the previous exam, the AA was comprised of 18 census tracts with 2 moderate-, 9 middle-, 5 upper-, and 2 unknown-income tracts.
- The bank operates five of its eight full-service banking locations in the Manhattan Metropolitan AA, including full-service ATMs on each branch premises.
- According to the June 30, 2024 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)
 Deposit Market Share Report, the bank held a 9.8 percent market share of
 deposits, ranking 2nd of 20 institutions operating from 41 banking offices
 throughout the AA.
- To further augment the CRA performance evaluation, two previously conducted interviews with members of the community were referenced to ascertain the credit needs of the area communities, the responsiveness of area banks in meeting those credit needs, and for perspectives on local economic conditions. One community member represented a local housing development organization and the other represented the area in a civic capacity.

Table 5

Table 0									
Population Change									
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan									
Area 2015 Population 2020 Population Percent Change									
Manhattan Metropolitan	97,647	97,307	(0.3)						
Pottawatomie County, KS	22,625	25,348	12.0						
Riley County, KS	75,022	71,959	(4.1)						
Manhattan, KS MSA	134,434	134,046	(0.3)						
Kansas 2,892,987 2,937,880 1.									
Source: 2020 U.S. Census Bureau: Decennial Census 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey									

- Both the Manhattan Metropolitan AA and the state of Kansas experienced population decline from 2015 to 2020, with the most significant decline in Riley County.
- Riley County contains 74.0 percent of the AA's population and also contains Manhattan, Kansas, which is the largest city in the AA with approximately 54,000 people.

Table 6

Median Family Income Change										
Asse	Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan									
Area	2015 Median	2020 Median	Percent Change							
	Family Income	Family Income								
Manhattan Metropolitan	73,750	75,563	2.5							
Pottawatomie County, KS	76,665	76,665 83,170								
Riley County, KS	70,915	71,550	0.9							
Manhattan, KS MSA	67,004	70,357	5.0							
Kansas	72,535	77,620	7.0							
Source: 2011 - 2015 U.S. Census Bureau: A	Source: 2011 - 2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey									
2016 - 2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey										
Note: Median family incomes have been in	flation-adjusted and are expresse	d in 2020 dollars.								

- The AA experienced an increase in median family income (MFI) between 2015 and 2020; however, the AA increase in MFI is less than the increase that occurred at the statewide level throughout Kansas.
- Based on 2016-2020 ACS data, the percentage of families living below the poverty level in the AA was 9.5 percent, which was slightly higher than the state of Kansas at 7.6 percent.

Table 7

Table 1											
Housing Cost Burden											
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan											
	Cost	Burden – Re	nters	Cost	Burden – Ow	ners					
Area	Low	Moderate	All	Low	Moderate	All					
	Income	Income	Renters	Income	Income	Owners					
Manhattan Metropolitan	84.7	36.0	46.9	58.7	24.5	15.2					
Pottawatomie County, KS	79.7	26.9	35.5	58.0	19.9	14.1					
Riley County, KS	85.2	37.0	48.4	59.2	27.8	15.9					
Manhattan, KS MSA	82.0	43.3	44.2	62.5	27.7	16.4					
Kansas 75.7 29.8 38.2 58.1 26.8 15.3											
Cost Burden is housing cost that equa	als 30 percent or n	nore of household i	псоте.								
Source: U.S. Department of Housi	ino and Urhan De	velonment (HIID). 2017-2021 Com	nrehensive Housi	no Affordahilitu S	trateou					

• The housing cost burden for both LMI renters and homeowners was generally consistent when compared to figures for the state of Kansas, with the greatest burden among low-income renters.

- The median housing value in the AA of \$196,080 was higher than the state of Kansas figure of \$157,600.
- A community member noted that the cost of housing remains a top issue for low-income residents as a result of the rising costs of housing.

Table 8

Unemployment Rates										
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan										
Area	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023					
Manhattan Metropolitan	2.8	4.7	2.8	2.6	2.5					
Pottawatomie County, KS 2.8 4.1 2.6 2.4										
Riley County, KS	2.8	4.8	2.9	2.7	2.6					
Manhattan, KS MSA										
Kansas										
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics: Local Area Unen	nployment Statisti	cs		•						

- The 2023 unemployment figures in the AA and the state of Kansas have trended down from highs in 2020 and have remained relatively stable from 2021 through 2023.
- A community member noted that the close proximity of a local university and federal military base are reasons that Manhattan, Kansas remains financially stable with steady employment in the area.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE MANHATTAN METROPOLITAN AA

LENDING TEST

The bank's performance under the lending test in the Manhattan Metropolitan AA is reasonable. In determining the lending test rating, equal weight was given to each of the performance criteria. The review in the AA consisted of an evaluation of 203 home mortgage loans, 76 small business loans, and 21 small farm loans. For this evaluation, all three products were weighted equally. Furthermore, within the evaluation of home mortgage lending, the following sub-products were not evaluated at the individual level due to insufficient volumes to conduct a meaningful analysis: home improvement, multifamily loans, other purpose lines of credit, other purpose closed/exempt, and purpose not applicable loans.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

The bank's geographic distribution of loans reflects reasonable distribution among the different census tracts and dispersion throughout the AA. The distribution of home mortgage, small business, and small farm lending reflected reasonable levels of penetration.

Home Mortgage Lending

The geographic distribution of home mortgage lending is reasonable. The bank did not originate a home mortgage loan in the AA's only low-income census tract in 2023, which was consistent with the demographic information that indicates a lack of owner-occupied housing units within the low-income census tract. Lending in moderate-income tracts was below the aggregate lending data by number volume but was comparable to aggregate data by dollar volume and comparable to the demographic figure.

The geographic distribution of home mortgage lending in 2022 reflected performance consistent with 2023 levels. Furthermore, an evaluation of the dispersion of home mortgage loans did not identify any conspicuous gaps or lapses in lending within the AA.

Home Purchase Loans

The geographic distribution of home purchase loans is reasonable. The bank did not originate a home purchase loan in the AA's only low-income census tract in 2023, which was consistent with the demographic information. The distribution of 2023 home purchase loans in moderate-income census tracts was below aggregate lending by number volume but was comparable to both the aggregate lending by dollar volume and the demographic figure.

The geographic distribution of 2022 home purchase loans reflected performance consistent with 2023 levels.

Home Refinance Loans

The geographic distribution of home refinance loans is reasonable. The bank did not originate a home refinance loan in the AA's only low-income census tract in 2023, which was consistent with the demographic information. The distribution of 2023 home refinance lending in moderate-income census tracts was below aggregate lending by number and dollar volume and was also below the demographic figure.

The distribution of 2022 home refinance loans reflected performance consistent with 2023 levels.

Table 9

Dietr	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography								graphy				
Disti	ibution	01 202				_	anhatta	_	-		vero	Geog	graphy
							ate Loans l						
Geographic			20	22					20	23			Owner Occupied
Income Level	Ban	k	Agg	Ban	k	Agg	Ban	k	Agg	Ban	k	Agg	Units %
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
					Н	ome Pur	chase Loan	ıs					
Low	1	1.7	0.1	249	2.1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	8	13.8	21.0	1,217	10.1	13.5	6	11.5	18.9	777	8.4	12.1	12.8
Middle	16	27.6	21.6	2,808	23.4	19.5	17	32.7	27.0	2,776	30.0	24.1	31.0
Upper	32	55.2	56.8	7,432	61.8	66.5	26	50.0	53.5	5,144	55.7	63.3	56.0
Unknown	1	1.7	0.6	318	2.6	0.5	3	5.8	0.6	546	5.9	0.5	0.2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	58	100.0	100.0	12,024	100.0	100.0	52	100.0	100.0	9,243	100.0	100.0	100.0
	, ,			-		Refina	nce Loans						T
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	4	9.8	11.4	871	6.7	7.5	1	3.0	14.7	164	2.0	9.9	12.8
Middle	22	53.7	32.0	6,298	48.2	28.1	17	51.5	31.8	4,081	50.0	29.1	31.0
Upper	14	34.1	55.9	5,762	44.1	64.1	14	42.4	52.7	3,772	46.2	60.6	56.0
Unknown	1	2.4	0.8	132	1.0	0.4	1	3.0	0.7	142	1.7	0.4	0.2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	41	100.0	100.0	13,063	100.0	100.0	33	100.0	100.0	8,159	100.0	100.0	100.0
					Hom	e Impro	vement Lo	ans					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	9.5	0	0.0	4.2	0	0.0	11.7	0	0.0	9.4	12.8
Middle	0	0.0	27.4	0	0.0	25.8	0	0.0	33.3	0	0.0	34.7	31.0
Upper	0	0.0	62.1	0	0.0	68.6	0	0.0	55.0	0	0.0	55.9	56.0
Unknown	0	0.0	1.1	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
					M	Iultifam	ily Loans						Multi-family Units %
Low	0	0.0	1.9	0	0.0	1.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11.5
Moderate	4	36.4	26.9	4,037	48.9	34.5	3	42.9	15.6	1,777	48.4	20.1	18.8
Middle	4	36.4	40.4	1,606	19.4	33.8	1	14.3	51.1	327	8.9	38.3	30.4
Upper	3	27.3	28.8	2,621	31.7	30.5	1	14.3	28.9	696	19.0	36.8	36.2
Unknown	0	0.0	1.9	0	0.0	0.1	2	28.6	4.4	871	23.7	4.9	3.1
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	11	100.0	100.0	8,264	100.0	100.0	7	100.0	100.0	3,671	100.0	100.0	100.0
					Total I	Home M	lortgage Lo	ans					Owner Occupied Units %
Low	1	0.9	0.1	249	0.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	16	14.4	18.5	6,125	18.3	13.9	10	10.9	17.6	2,718	12.9	12.1	12.8
Middle	42	37.8	24.6	10,712	32.0	22.4	35	38.0	28.7	7,184	34.1	25.5	31.0
Upper	50	45.0	56.2	15,923	47.6	63.1	41	44.6	52.9	9,612	45.6	61.7	56.0
Unknown	2	1.8	0.6	450	1.3	0.5	6	6.5	0.8	1,559	7.4	0.7	0.2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	111	100.0	100.0	33,459	100.0	100.0	92	100.0	100.0	21,073	100.0	100.0	100.0
C 2022 FT													1

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table.

Small Business Lending

The geographic distribution of small business lending is reasonable. The distribution of small business lending in low-income tracts was below the demographic figure, which represents the percentage of businesses operating in these tracts by income level of geography. The distribution of small business lending in moderate-income census tracts was also below the demographic figure.

While aggregate CRA data is not a direct comparison as the bank is not a CRA reporter, aggregate lending volumes are reflective of the overall credit demand for small business loans by small businesses within the bank's AA. A review of aggregate lending data submitted by CRA reporters operating in the bank's AA from 2021-2023 noted an aggregate distribution of 0.2 percent by number and 0.1 percent by dollar in low-income tracts and 9.8 percent by number and 9.2 percent by dollar in moderate-income tracts. This demonstrates a lower level of demand for small business credit in LMI geographies than depicted by the demographic figures. Additionally, a local university is located near the AA's low-income tract and a moderate-income tract, which may also impact the demand for small business credit.

An analysis of dispersion revealed conspicuous gaps or lapses in the AA that included LMI census tracts. However, given competition in the market, the limited availability of lending opportunities, and the bank's limited branching presence within those census tracts, these gaps did not impact the overall conclusion.

Table 10

1.6.0.3											
Distr	Distribution of 2024 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography										
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan											
Geographic		Bank	Loans		Total						
Income Level	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	Businesses %						
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.1						
Moderate	4	5.3	589	3.6	10.1						
Middle	36	47.4	5,244	32.1	39.1						
Upper	36	47.4	10,510	64.3	47.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0	0.0							
Total	76	100.0	16,343	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Small Farm Lending

The geographic distribution of small farm lending is reasonable. The bank did not originate any small farm loans in LMI census tracts; however, performance was comparable to the demographic figures for these areas.

While aggregate CRA data is not a direct comparison as the bank is not a CRA reporter, aggregate lending volumes are reflective of the overall credit demand for small farm loans within the bank's AA. A review of aggregate lending data submitted by CRA reporters operating in the bank's AA from 2021-2023 noted an aggregate distribution of 0.0 percent by number and dollar in low-income tracts and 2.2 percent by number and 1.0 percent by dollar in moderate-income tracts. This further demonstrates the lack of demand for small farm loans in the AA.

An analysis of dispersion revealed conspicuous gaps or lapses in the AA that included LMI census tracts. However, given competition in the market, the limited availability of lending opportunities, and the bank's limited branching presence within those census tracts, these gaps did not impact the overall conclusion.

Table 11

Dis	Distribution of 2024 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography										
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan											
Geographic		Bank	Loans		Total Farms %						
Income Level	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	Total Faillis /o						
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.5						
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.5						
Middle	17	81.0	2,058	72.6	55.2						
Upper	4	19.0	775	27.4	41.8						
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0	0.0							
Total	21	100.0	2,833	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Lending to Borrowers of Different Income Levels and to Businesses and Farms of Different Sizes

The bank's lending has a reasonable distribution among individuals of different income levels and businesses and farms of different revenue sizes.

Home Mortgage Lending

The borrower distribution of home mortgage lending is reasonable. The distribution of 2023 home mortgage lending to low-income borrowers was below aggregate lending by number and dollar volume and below the demographic figure, which represents the percentage of families by family income. Lending to moderate-income borrowers was comparable to the aggregate lending data by number and dollar volume and comparable to the demographic figure.

The borrower distribution of home mortgage lending in 2022 reflected performance consistent with 2023 levels.

Home Purchase Loans

The borrower distribution of home purchase loans is reasonable. The distribution of 2023 home purchase loans to low-income borrowers was below the aggregate lending data by number, comparable by dollar volume and below the demographic figure. Lending to moderate-income borrowers was comparable to the aggregate lending data by number, above by dollar volume and comparable to the demographic figure.

The borrower distribution of home purchase lending in 2022 reflected performance consistent with 2023 levels.

Home Refinance Loans

The borrower distribution of home refinance loans is reasonable. The distribution of 2023 home refinance loans to low-income borrowers was below the aggregate lending data by number and dollar volume, as well as the demographic figure. Lending to moderate-income borrowers was also below the aggregate lending data by number and dollar volume, but comparable to the demographic figure.

The borrower distribution of home refinance lending in 2022 reflected performance above 2023 levels, where lending to LMI borrowers was comparable to aggregate lending data by number volume. The bank also had a higher volume of originations in 2022, which supported the overall conclusion.

Table 12

Borrower Income Level Bank Agg Agg Bank Agg Bank Agg Agg Bank Agg Agg														
Borrower Income Level Bank Agg Symbol Symbol	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan													
Bank Agg Agg Sy Sy Sy Agg Sy Sy Sy Sy Agg Agg Sy Sy Sy Sy Sy Sy Agg Agg Sy Sy Sy Sy Sy Sy Sy														
# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$% # #% \$(000) \$% \$% Home Purchase Loans Low	Families by													
Home Purchase Loans Home Purchase Loans	Family Income %													
Low 4 6.9 6.1 584 4.9 2.5 2 3.8 5.8 195 2.1 2.2 Moderate 6 10.3 17.2 756 6.3 12.3 9 17.3 16.0 1,494 16.2 10.5 Middle 8 13.8 20.9 1,784 14.8 20.1 7 13.5 21.2 1,226 13.3 20.7 Upper 19 32.8 35.1 5,329 44.3 43.3 21 40.4 36.1 4,625 50.0 45.3 Unknown 21 36.2 20.7 3,571 29.7 21.6 13 25.0 21.0 1,703 18.4 21.3 Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 <th< th=""><th></th></th<>														
Moderate 6 10.3 17.2 756 6.3 12.3 9 17.3 16.0 1,494 16.2 10.5 Middle 8 13.8 20.9 1,784 14.8 20.1 7 13.5 21.2 1,226 13.3 20.7 Upper 19 32.8 35.1 5,329 44.3 43.3 21 40.4 36.1 4,625 50.0 45.3 Unknown 21 36.2 20.7 3,571 29.7 21.6 13 25.0 21.0 1,703 18.4 21.3 Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.														
Middle 8 13.8 20.9 1,784 14.8 20.1 7 13.5 21.2 1,226 13.3 20.7 Upper 19 32.8 35.1 5,329 44.3 43.3 21 40.4 36.1 4,625 50.0 45.3 Unknown 21 36.2 20.7 3,571 29.7 21.6 13 25.0 21.0 1,703 18.4 21.3 Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 </td <td>18.0</td>	18.0													
Upper 19 32.8 35.1 5,329 44.3 43.3 21 40.4 36.1 4,625 50.0 45.3 Unknown 21 36.2 20.7 3,571 29.7 21.6 13 25.0 21.0 1,703 18.4 21.3 Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 <td>16.4</td>	16.4													
Unknown 21 36.2 20.7 3,571 29.7 21.6 13 25.0 21.0 1,703 18.4 21.3 Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	20.6													
Total 58 100.0 100.0 12,024 100.0 100.0 52 100.0 100.0 9,243 100.0 100.0 Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	45.0													
Refinance Loans Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	0.0													
Low 2 4.9 5.7 45 0.3 2.4 0 0.0 3.8 0 0.0 1.7 Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	100.0													
Moderate 5 12.2 16.9 486 3.7 12.3 4 12.1 18.2 421 5.2 12.7 Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	Refinance Loans													
Middle 5 12.2 22.0 756 5.8 17.2 7 21.2 17.8 1,088 13.3 13.3 Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	18.0													
Upper 13 31.7 34.3 6,600 50.5 43.6 17 51.5 39.4 5,935 72.7 50.2	16.4													
	20.6													
Unknown 16 39.0 21.2 5,176 39.6 24.5 5 15.2 20.9 715 8.8 22.2	45.0													
	0.0													
Total 41 100.0 100.0 13,063 100.0 100.0 33 100.0 100.0 8,159 100.0 100.0	100.0													
Home Improvement Loans														
Low 0 0.0 4.2 0 0.0 2.4 0 0.0 6.7 0 0.0 2.1	18.0													
Moderate 0 0.0 21.1 0 0.0 14.3 0 0.0 11.7 0 0.0 6.3	16.4													
Middle 0 0.0 21.1 0 0.0 12.5 0 0.0 26.7 0 0.0 26.9	20.6													
Upper 0 0.0 44.2 0 0.0 51.9 0 0.0 41.7 0 0.0 52.8	45.0													
Unknown 0 0.0 9.5 0 0.0 18.9 0 0.0 13.3 0 0.0 11.9	0.0													
Total 0 0.0 100.0 0 0.0 100.0 0 0.0 100.0 0 0.0 100.0 0 0.0 100.0	100.0													
Total Home Mortgage Loans														
Low 6 6.0 5.8 629 2.5 2.5 2 2.4 5.6 195 1.1 2.2	18.0													
Moderate 11 11.0 17.0 1,242 4.9 12.3 13 15.3 16.1 1,915 11.0 10.8	16.4													
Middle 13 13.0 21.1 2,540 10.1 19.4 14 16.5 21.2 2,314 13.3 19.7	20.6													
Upper 32 32.0 35.7 11,929 47.3 43.6 38 44.7 36.7 10,560 60.7 46.1	45.0													
Unknown 38 38.0 20.4 8,855 35.1 22.3 18 21.2 20.4 2,418 13.9 21.3	0.0													
Total 100 100.0 100.0 25,195 100.0 100.0 85 100.0 100.0 17,402 100.0 100.0														

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

 $\label{lem:multifamily loans} \textit{ are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.}$

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table.

Small Business Lending

The borrower distribution of small business lending is reasonable. The bank's lending to businesses with revenues of \$1MM or less was below the demographic figure, which represents the percentage of businesses operating in the AA by revenue size. However, the three-year average aggregate lending data indicated a lending distribution to businesses with revenues of \$1MM or less of 47.8 percent by number and 34.0 percent by dollar, demonstrating a lower demand for credit than reflected in the demographic figure. In addition, 68.4 percent of loans were in amounts of \$250,000 or less, which are amounts typically associated with credit demands of small business entities and further demonstrates the bank's willingness to meet the needs of small businesses.

Table 13

Distributio	on of 2024 Small I	Business Lending	By Revenue Siz	e of Businesses								
2 10 11 10 11 11		nt Area: Manhatt	•									
Bank Loans												
# #% \$(000) \$%												
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	45	59.2	8,943	54.7	89.0							
Over \$1 Million	19	25.0	5,547	33.9	9.4							
Revenue Unknown	12	15.8	1,853	11.3	1.6							
Total	76	100.0	16,343	100.0	100.0							
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	34	44.7	1,469	9.0								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	18	23.7	3,023	18.5								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	24	31.6	11,852	72.5								
Total	76	100.0	16,343	100.0								
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less												
\$100,000 or Less	22	48.9	866	9.7								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	26.7	2,041	22.8								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	11	24.4	6,036	67.5								
Total	45	100.0	8,943	100.0								
Source: 2024 FFIEC Census De	ı ta				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Small Farm Lending

The borrower distribution of small farm lending is excellent. The bank's lending to farms with revenues of \$1MM or less was comparable to the demographic figure which represents the percentage of farms within the AA by revenue size. However, the three-year average aggregate lending data indicates a low demand for credit based on a lending distribution to farms with revenues of \$1MM or less at 33.1 percent by number and 51.7 percent by dollar. In addition, 76.2 percent of loans were in amounts of \$250,000 or less, which are amounts typically associated with credit demands of small business entities and further demonstrate the bank's willingness to meet the needs of small farms.

Table 14

Distribution of 2024 Small Form Landing Pro Devenue Size of Forms												
Distri	Distribution of 2024 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan												
		Bank Loans Total										
# #% \$(000) \$%												
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	19	90.5	2,033	71.8	98.0							
Over \$1 Million	1	4.8	500	17.6	2.0							
Revenue Unknown	1	4.8	300	10.6	0.0							
Total	21	100.0	2,833	100.0	100.0							
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	13	61.9	571	20.2								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	14.3	610	21.5								
\$250,001 - \$500,000	5	23.8	1,652	58.3								
Total	21	100.0	2,833	100.0								
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less												
\$100,000 or Less	13	68.4	571	28.1								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	15.8	610	30.0								
\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	15.8	852	41.9								
Total	19	100.0	2,033	100.0								

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TEST

The bank's CD performance demonstrates adequate responsiveness. As illustrated in Table 15, the bank's CD lending was most responsive to area affordable housing needs, while qualified investments and CD services were responsive to area economic development needs and the community services needs of LMI individuals and families. The bank's affordable housing loans primarily consisted of financing of multi-family housing that provides rental housing targeted to LMI individuals and families. The bank's largest donations were given to a community organization that provides essential services within Wamego, Kansas and to an economic development organization in Pottawatomie County.

Table 15

Community Development Activity												
Assessment Area: Manhattan Metropolitan												
C	Com	nunity		Qu	alified l	Investme	nts		Community			
Community	Devel	opment	T	L L .	Dan	ations	To	otal	Development			
Development	Lo	ans	inves	tments	Don	ations	Inves	tments	Services			
Purpose	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#	\$(000)	#			
Affordable Housing	6	2,861	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Community Services	0	0	0	0	20	63	20	63	6			
Economic Development	0	0	0	0	2	34	2	34	0			
Revitalization and Stabilization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Totals	6	2,861	0	0	22	96	22	96	6			

TOPEKA METROPOLITAN ASSESSMENT AREA METROPOLITAN AREA

(Limited-Scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE TOPEKA METROPOLITAN AA

The Topeka Metropolitan AA consists of Wabaunsee County in its entirety as well as two census tracts in Shawnee County and one census tract in Jackson County. These are three of the five counties that comprise the Topeka, Kansas MSA. Refer to Appendix A for a map of the AA and Appendix C for additional demographic data.

- There have been no changes to the AA delineation since the prior evaluation; however, the tract composition has changed due to the 2016-2020 ACS data.
- The AA is comprised of five census tracts, including four middle- and one upper-income census tracts. At the previous exam, the AA consisted of three middle- and two upper-income tracts.
- The AA population, based on 2020 Census data, was 22,097.
- The AA contains a total of 6,027 families. According to 2016-2020 ACS data, low-income families comprised 11.4 percent of the population, followed by 17.5 percent moderate-, 26.7 percent middle-, and 44.4 percent as upperincome families.
- The bank operates two full-service branches within the AA which are located in the towns of Alma and Rossville. Additionally, each branch location contains a full-service ATM.
- According to the June 30, 2024 FDIC Summary of Deposits Market Share report, the bank has a market share of 0.7 percent, ranking 19th out of the 24 institutions also operating within the AA from a total of 70 offices.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE TOPEKA METROPOLITAN AA

The institution's lending performance in the area is consistent with the institution's lending performance overall.

The institution's CD performance in the area is consistent with the institution's CD performance overall.

MORRIS COUNTY ASSESSMENT AREA NONMETROPOLITAN AREA

(Limited-Scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE MORRIS COUNTY AA

The Morris County AA consists of Morris County, Kansas in its entirety. Refer to Appendix A for a map of the AA and Appendix C for additional demographic data.

- There have been no changes to the AA since the prior evaluation.
- In total, the AA is comprised of two middle-income census tracts, which is unchanged since the prior evaluation.
- The AA population, based on 2020 Census data, was 5,386.
- The AA contains a total of 1,679 families. According to 2016-2020 ACS data, low-income families comprised 18.2 percent of the population, followed by 16.7 percent moderate-, 23.8 percent middle-, and 41.2 percent upper-income families.
- The bank operates one full-service branch within the AA located in the town of White City. Additionally, a full-service ATM is located on the branch premises.
- According to the June 30, 2024 FDIC Summary of Deposits Market Share report, the bank has a market share of 7.2 percent, ranking fifth out of the five institutions operating in the AA from a total of six offices.


CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE MORRIS COUNTY AA


The institution's lending performance in the area is consistent with the institution's lending performance overall.


The institution's CD performance in the area is below the institution's CD performance for the institution; however, it does not change the rating for the institution.

APPENDIX A - MAP OF THE ASSESSMENT AREA

Map A-1 Manhattan Metropolitan AA







APPENDIX B - DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Table B-1

	,	2024 M		e B-1	1	_		
	-	2024 Manha	ttan Metrop	olitan AA D			- "	- "
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families by T	by Tract Income Families < Poverty Level as Families Familie				-
Theome Categories	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	1	4.5	212	1.0	69	32.5	3,737	18.0
Moderate	4	18.2	4,188	20.2	713	17.0	3,406	16.4
Middle	7	31.8	5,774	27.8	564	9.8	4,263	20.6
Upper	8	36.4	10,428	50.3	600	5.8	9,338	45.0
Unknown	2	9.1	142	0.7	19	13.4	0	0.0
Total AA	22	100.0	20,744	100.0	1,965	9.5	20,744	100.0
	Housing	Iousing Type by Tract						
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Re	ental	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	1,106	0	0.0	0.0	731	66.1	375	33.9
Moderate	8,369	2,364	12.8	28.2	5,013	59.9	992	11.9
Middle	13,102	5,726	31.0	43.7	5,664	43.2	1,712	13.1
Upper	17,841	10,362	56.0	58.1	5,374	30.1	2,105	11.8
Unknown	581	46	0.2	7.9	481	82.8	54	9.3
Total AA	40,999	18,498	100.0	45.1	17,263	42.1	5,238	12.8
				Bus	inesses by Tr	act & Revenue	Size	
Total Businesses by Tra			Less Than o	r = \$1 Million	Over \$	1 Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	92	3.1	84	3.1	6	2.1	2	4.3
Moderate	303	10.1	290	10.8	10	3.5	3	6.4
Middle	1,175	39.1	1,023	38.2	129	45.6	23	48.9
Upper	1,413	47.0	1,259	47.0	136	48.1	18	38.3
Unknown	25	0.8	22	0.8	2	0.7	1	2.1
Total AA	3,008	100.0	2,678	100.0	283	100.0	47	100.0
Perc	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		89.0		9.4		1.6
				F	arms by Tract	& Revenue Siz	ze	
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$	1 Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	5	2.5	5	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	111	55.2	109	55.3	2	50.0	0	0.0
Upper	84	41.8	82	41.6	2	50.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	201	100.0	197	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0
	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.0		2.0		0.0

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Table B-2

			Tab	le B-2						
		2023 Manh	attan Metrop	oolitan AA E	Demographi	cs				
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families by T	Γract Income		overty Level as ilies by Tract	Families l Inco	•		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	1	4.5	212	1.0	69	32.5	3,737	18.0		
Moderate	4	18.2	4,188	20.2	713	17.0	3,406	16.4		
Middle	7	31.8	5,774	27.8	564	9.8	4,263	20.6		
Upper	8	36.4	10,428	50.3	600	5.8	9,338	45.0		
Unknown	2	9.1	142	0.7	19	13.4	0	0.0		
Total AA	22	100.0	20,744	100.0	1,965	9.5	20,744	100.0		
	Housing			Ho						
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	R	ental	Vac	ant		
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	1,106	0	0.0	0.0	731	66.1	375	33.9		
Moderate	8,369	2,364	12.8	28.2	5,013	59.9	992	11.9		
Middle	13,102	5,726	31.0	43.7	5,664	43.2	1,712	13.1		
Upper	17,841	10,362	56.0	58.1	5,374	30.1	2,105	11.8		
Unknown	581	46	0.2	7.9	481	82.8	54	9.3		
Total AA	40,999	18,498	100.0	45.1	17,263	42.1	5,238	12.8		
				act & Revenue S	Size					
	Total Busines	sses by Tract	Less Than or	Less Than or = \$1 Million Over \$1 Million			Revenue Not Reported			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	100	3.1	90	3.1	8	2.7	2	4.3		
Moderate	330	10.3	314	10.9	12	4.1	4	8.7		
Middle	1,214	37.7	1,062	36.9	131	44.3	21	45.7		
Upper	1,545	48.0	1,384	48.1	143	48.3	18	39.1		
Unknown	29	0.9	26	0.9	2	0.7	1	2.2		
Total AA	3,218	100.0	2,876	100.0	296	100.0	46	100.0		
Perc	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		89.4		9.2		1.4		
				F	arms by Trac	t & Revenue Siz	e			
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over 9	51 Million	Revenue No	ot Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	7	3.3	7	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Middle	113	53.3	111	53.4	2	50.0	0	0.0		
Upper	91	42.9	89	42.8	2	50.0	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	212	100.0	208	100.0	4	100.0	0 0			
	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.1		1.9		0.0		
Source: 2023 FFIEC Census I	Data			1						

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2023 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Table B-3

				E D-3						
		2022 Manha	ttan Metrop	olitan AA D	emographic	es				
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families by	Tract Income		s < Poverty Level as Families by Families by Tract Incom				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	1	4.5	212	1.0	69	32.5	3,737	18.0		
Moderate	4	18.2	4,188	20.2	713	17.0	3,406	16.4		
Middle	7	31.8	5,774	27.8	564	9.8	4,263	20.6		
Upper	8	36.4	10,428	50.3	600	5.8	9,338	45.0		
Unknown	2	9.1	142	0.7	19	13.4	0	0.0		
Total AA	22	100.0	20,744	100.0	1,965	9.5	20,744	100.0		
	Housing			Hou	sing Type by	Tract				
	Units by	C)wner-occupie	d	Re	ental	Vac	ant		
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	1,106	0	0.0	0.0	731	66.1	375	33.9		
Moderate	8,369	2,364	12.8	28.2	5,013	59.9	992	11.9		
Middle	13,102	5,726	31.0	43.7	5,664	43.2	1,712	13.1		
Upper	17,841	10,362	56.0	58.1	5,374	30.1	2,105	11.8		
Unknown	581	46	0.2	7.9	481	82.8	54	9.3		
Total AA	40,999	18,498	100.0	45.1	17,263	42.1	5,238	12.8		
	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						Size			
	Total Busines	Total Businesses by Tract Less Than or = \$1 Million			Over \$	1 Million	Revenue Not Reported			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	104	3.2	92	3.2	9	3.0	3	6.4		
Moderate	326	10.0	312	10.7	10	3.4	4	8.5		
Middle	1,209	37.1	1,055	36.2	133	44.9	21	44.7		
Upper	1,590	48.8	1,430	49.1	142	48.0	18	38.3		
Unknown	28	0.9	25	0.9	2	0.7	1	2.1		
Total AA	3,257	100.0	2,914	100.0	296	100.0	47	100.0		
Per	centage of Tota	al Businesses:		89.5		9.1		1.4		
				F	arms by Trac	t & Revenue Siz	e			
	Total Farm	is by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$	31 Million	Revenue No	ot Reported		
	#	%	#	%	# %		#	%		
Low	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	6	2.9	6	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Middle	111	53.1	110	53.4	1	33.3	0	0.0		
Upper	91	43.5	89	43.2	2	66.7	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	209	100.0	206	100.0	3	100.0				
	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.6		1.4		0.0		

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

APPENDIX C - LIMITED-SCOPE REVIEW ASSESSMENT AREA TABLES

Topeka Metropolitan AA Table C-1

Distribu	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography													
Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan														
Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year														
Geographic	Geographic 2022 2023												Owner	
Income Level	Bank Agg Bank Agg Bank Agg									Agg	Occupied Units %			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %		
Lo w	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Middle	18	75.0	70.4	2,635	75.2	71.7	16	80.0	69.5	3,225	93.2	69.9	74.0	
Upper	6	25.0	29.6	869	24.8	28.3	4	20.0	30.5	235	6.8	30.1	26.0	
Unkno wn	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	24	100.0	100.0	3,504	100.0	100.0	20	100.0	100.0	3,460	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table.

Table C-2

Distri	Distribution of 2024 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan												
Geographic		Bank Loans										
Income Level	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	Businesses %							
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Middle	10	100.0	656	100.0	73.4							
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	26.6							
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0	0.0								
Total	10	100.0	656	100.0	100.0							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table C-3

Dis	Distribution of 2024 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography												
Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan													
Geographic	eographic Bank Loans Tot												
Income Level	#	# #% \$(000) \$%											
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
Middle	23	100.0	2,419	100.0	84.4								
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	15.6								
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0	0.0									
Total	23	100.0	2,419	100.0	100.0								

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Table C-4

	14010 0 1													
Distri	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level													
	Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan													
	Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year													
B o rro we r		2022 2023												
Income Level	Bank Agg Bank Agg Bank Agg									Family Income %				
	# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$% # #% \$(000) \$% \$%													
Lo w	3	12.5	6.8	178	5.1	3.1	0	0.0	7.9	0	0.0	4.1	11.4	
Moderate	6	25.0	17.4	394	11.2	10.9	1	5.0	16.2	81	2.3	10.0	17.5	
Middle	5	20.8	22.7	857	24.5	19.1	4	20.0	24.1	683	19.7	20.3	26.7	
Upper	6	25.0	40.7	1,361	38.8	54.0	11	55.0	38.2	2,469	71.4	5 1.2	44.4	
Unkno wn	4	16.7	12.4	7 14	20.4	12.9	4	20.0	13.6	227	6.6	14.4	0.0	
Total	24	100.0	100.0	3,504	100.0	100.0	20	100.0	100.0	3,460	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table.

Table C-5

Over \$1 Million 3 30.0 174 26.5 7.6 Revenue Unknown 1 10.0 30 4.6 5.8	Distribution of 2024 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
# #% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan												
Sy Revenue Sy Revenue Sy Revenue Sy Million or Less 6			Bank l	Loans		Total							
\$1 Million or Less 6 6 60.0 452 68.9 86.6 Over \$1 Million 3 30.0 174 26.5 7.6 Revenue Unknown 1 10.0 30 4.6 5.8 Total 10 100.0 656 100.0 100.0 By Loan Size \$100,000 or Less 8 8 80.0 256 39.0 \$100,001 - \$250,000 2 20.0 400 61.0 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0 0.0 656 100.0 Total 10 100.0 656 100.0 By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less \$100,000 or Less 4 66.7 52 11.5 \$100,001 - \$250,000 2 33.3 400 88.5 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0		#	# #% \$(000) \$%										
Over \$1 Million 3 30.0 174 26.5 7.6 Revenue Unknown 1 10.0 30 4.6 5.8 Total 10 100.0 656 100.0 100.0 By Loan Size \$100,000 or Less 8 80.0 256 39.0 39.0 39.0 400 61.0 61.0 61.0 61.0 6250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0	By Revenue												
Revenue Unknown 1	\$1 Million or Less	6	60.0	452	68.9	86.6							
Total 10 100.0 656 100.0 100.0 By Loan Size \$100,000 or Less 8 80.0 256 39.0 39.0 61.0 <t< td=""><td>Over \$1 Million</td><td>3</td><td>30.0</td><td>174</td><td>26.5</td><td>7.6</td></t<>	Over \$1 Million	3	30.0	174	26.5	7.6							
Signo Size Size	Revenue Unknown	1	10.0	30	4.6	5.8							
\$100,000 or Less	Total	10	100.0	656	100.0	100.0							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	By Loan Size												
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	\$100,000 or Less	8	80.0	256	39.0								
Total 10 100.0 656 100.0 By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less \$100,000 or Less 4 66.7 52 11.5 \$100,001 - \$250,000 2 33.3 400 88.5 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0	\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	20.0	400	61.0								
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less \$100,000 or Less 4 66.7 52 11.5 51.00,001 - \$250,000 2 33.3 400 88.5 88.5 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0 <t< td=""><td>\$250,001 - \$1 Million</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td></td></t<>	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0								
\$100,000 or Less 4 66.7 52 11.5 \$100,001 - \$250,000 2 33.3 400 88.5 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0	Total	10	100.0	656	100.0								
\$100,001 - \$250,000 2 33.3 400 88.5 \$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0	By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less												
\$250,001 - \$1 Million 0 0.0 0 0.0 Total 6 100.0 452 100.0	\$100,000 or Less	4	66.7	52	11.5								
Total 6 100.0 452 100.0	\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	33.3	400	88.5								
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0								
Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data	Total	6	100.0	452	100.0								
2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data													

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table C-6

Distri	bution of 2024 Sr	nall Farm Lendir	ng By Revenue Si	ze of Farms							
Assessment Area: Topeka Metropolitan											
	Bank Loans										
	#	#%	\$(000)	\$%	%						
		By Revenue									
51 Million or Less 23 100.0 2,419 100.0 100.0											
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	23	100.0	2,419	100.0	100.0						
		By Loan Siz	e								
\$100,000 or Less	17	73.9	714	29.5							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	13.0	540	22.3							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	13.0	1,165	48.2							
Total	23	100.0	2,419	100.0							
	By Loan Siz	e and Revenues	\$1 Million or Les	s							
\$100,000 or Less	17	73.9	714	29.5							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	13.0	540	22.3							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	13.0	1,165	48.2							
Total	23	100.0	2,419	100.0							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table C-7

		2024 Topek	a Metropoli		nographics			
Income Categories	Tract Dis	stribution	Families by		Families < Po	overty Level ilies by Tract	Families l	-
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	686	11.4
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,054	17.5
Middle	4	80.0	4,372	72.5	191	4.4	1,611	26.7
Upper	1	20.0	1,655	27.5	84	5.1	2,676	44.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	5	100.0	6,027	100.0	275	4.6	6,027	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Rei	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	6,979	5,086	74.0	72.9	1,033	14.8	860	12.3
Upper	2,366	1,791	26.0	75.7	457	19.3	118	5.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	9,345	6,877	100.0	73.6	1,490	15.9	978	10.5
				Busi	nesses by Tra	ct & Revenue	Size	
	Total Busines	sses by Tract		r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	481	73.4	420	74.1	27	54.0	34	89.5
Upper	174	26.6	147	25.9	23	46.0	4	10.5
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	655	100.0	567	100.0	50	100.0	38	100.0
Perc	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		86.6		7.6		5.8
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue S	ize	
	Total Farm	ns by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	103	84.4	103	84.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	19	15.6	19	15.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	122	100.0	122	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
]	Percentage of	Total Farms:		100.0		0.0		0.0

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Table C-8

		2023 Tonal	a Metropoli		nographics			
Income Categories	Tract Dis	stribution	Families by T		Families < Po	overty Level ilies by Tract		ome
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	686	11.4
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,054	17.5
Middle	4	80.0	4,372	72.5	191	4.4	1,611	26.7
Upper	1	20.0	1,655	27.5	84	5.1	2,676	44.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	5	100.0	6,027	100.0	275	4.6	6,027	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Re	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	6,979	5,086	74.0	72.9	1,033	14.8	860	12.3
Upper	2,366	1,791	26.0	75.7	457	19.3	118	5.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	9,345	6,877	100.0	73.6	1,490	15.9	978	10.5
				Busi	nesses by Tra	ct & Revenue	Size	
	Total Busines	sses by Tract	Less Than o	= \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	497	73.4	432	73.7	30	57.7	35	89.7
Upper	180	26.6	154	26.3	22	42.3	4	10.3
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	677	100.0	586	100.0	52	100.0	39	100.0
Perc	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		86.6		7.7		5.8
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue S	ize	
	Total Farm	ns by Tract	Less Than or	= \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	104	84.6	104	84.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	19	15.4	19	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	123	100.0	123	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
1	Percentage of	Total Farms:		100.0		0.0		0.0
	J							

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2023 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding. Table C-9

			Table					
		2022 Topel	ka Metropoli	itan AA Den				
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families by T	Tract Income		overty Level ilies by Tract	Families l Inco	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	686	11.4
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,054	17.5
Middle	4	80.0	4,372	72.5	191	4.4	1,611	26.7
Upper	1	20.0	1,655	27.5	84	5.1	2,676	44.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	5	100.0	6,027	100.0	275	4.6	6,027	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Rei	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	6,979	5,086	74.0	72.9	1,033	14.8	860	12.3
Upper	2,366	1,791	26.0	75.7	457	19.3	118	5.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	9,345	6,877	100.0	73.6	1,490	15.9	978	10.5
		Businesses by Tract & Reve						
	Total Businesses by Tract			y Tract Less Than or = \$1 Million			Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	501	73.6	437	73.9	30	57.7	34	89.5
Upper	180	26.4	154	26.1	22	42.3	4	10.5
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	681	100.0	591	100.0	52	100.0	38	100.0
Per	rcentage of Tota	ıl Businesses:		86.8		7.6		5.6
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue Si	ze	
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than o	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	104	83.9	104	83.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
				4.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Upper	20	16.1	20	16.1	U	0.0	U	
Upper Unknown	20	16.1		0.0		0.0	0	
					0			0.0

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Morris County AA Table C-10

Distribu	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography													
	Assessment Area: Morris County													
	Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year													
Geographic		2022 2023												
Income Level	Ban	Bank Agg Bank Agg Bank Agg											Occupied Units %	
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %		
Lo w	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
M o de rate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Middle	3	100.0	100.0	5 14	100.0	100.0	2	100.0	100.0	107	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unkno wn	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	3	100.0	100.0	5 14	100.0	100.0	2	100.0	100.0	107	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table

Table C-11

Distril	Distribution of 2024 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Morris County												
Geographic	Bank Loans Total 1											
Income Level	#	# #% \$(000) \$%										
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Middle	12	100.0	1,740	100.0	100.0							
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0	0.0								
Total	12	100.0	1,740	100.0	100.0							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding. No Small Business lending occurred in Morris County AA in 2024.

Table C-12

Distri	Distribution of 2022 and 2023 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level													
	Assessment Area: Morris County													
	Bank And Aggregate Loans By Year													
Borrower		2022 2023												
Income Level	Ban	Bank Agg Bank Agg Bank Agg										Family Income %		
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$ %	\$ %		
Lo w	0	0.0	9.1	0	0.0	5.1	0	0.0	8.5	0	0.0	3.7	18.2	
Moderate	0	0.0	23.6	0	0.0	15.0	1	50.0	13.8	62	57.9	8.6	16.7	
Middle	1	33.3	21.8	78	15.2	16.8	1	50.0	27.7	45	42.1	23.3	23.8	
Upper	1	33.3	32.7	308	59.9	41.7	0	0.0	41.5	0	0.0	57.6	41.2	
Unkno wn	1	33.3	12.7	128	24.9	21.4	0	0.0	8.5	0	0.0	6.7	0.0	
Total	3	100.0	100.0	5 14	100.0	100.0	2	100.0	100.0	107	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Due to limited volume in the Other Purpose LOC, Other Purpose Closed/Exempt, and Purpose Not Applicable product categories, these categories are not displayed individually but are included in the total HMDA section of the table

Table C-13

Distribu	Distribution of 2024 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms										
Assessment Area: Morris County											
	Bank Loans Total F										
	#	# %	\$(000)	\$%	%						
		By Revenu	e								
\$1 Million or Less 10 83.3 1,290 74.1 98.6											
Over \$1 Million	2	16.7	450	25.9	1.4						
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total 12 100.0 1,740 100.0											
	By Loan Size										
\$100,000 or Less	8	66.7	450	25.9							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	8.3	150	8.6							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	25.0	1,140	65.5							
Total	12	100.0	1,740	100.0							
	By Loan Size	and Revenues	\$1 Million or Lo	ess							
\$100,000 or Less	7	70.0	375	29.1							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	10.0	150	11.6							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	2	20.0	765	59.3							
Total	10	100.0	1,290	100.0							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding. No Small Business lending occurred in Morris County AA in 2024.

Table C-14

		2024 Me	orris County		raphics			
Income Categories	Tract Dis		Families by		Families < Po	overty Level ilies by Tract		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	303	18.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	282	16.8
Middle	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	399	23.8
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	695	41.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	1,679	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C)wner-occupie	d	Rei	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
				Busi	nesses by Tra	ct & Revenue	Size	
	Total Businesses by Tract		Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	337	100.0	307	100.0	21	100.0	9	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	337	100.0	307	100.0	21	100.0	9	100.0
Perce	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		91.1		6.2		2.7
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue Si	ze	
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	72	100.0	71	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	72	100.0	71	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
I	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.6		1.4		0.0
Source: 2024 FFIEC Census I	2010							

Source: 2024 FFIEC Census Data

2024 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table C-15

		2023 Mo	orris County	AA Demog	raphics			
Income Categories	Tract Dis		Families by		Families < Po	overty Level ilies by Tract	Families l	-
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	306	18.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	281	16.7
Middle	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	400	23.8
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	692	41.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	1,679	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Rei	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
				Busi	nesses by Tra	ct & Revenue	Size	
	Total Busines	sses by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	351	100.0	319	100.0	22	100.0	10	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	351	100.0	319	100.0	22	100.0	10	100.0
Perce	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		90.9		6.3		2.8
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue S	ize	
	Total Farm	ns by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	75	100.0	74	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	75	100.0	74	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
]	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.7		1.3		0.0
Source 2022 FFIEC Concus F								

Source: 2023 FFIEC Census Data

2023 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Table C-16

		2022 Mo	orris County	AA Demog	raphics			
Income Categories	Tract Dis		Families by T	Γract Income	Families < Po	overty Level ilies by Tract	Families l	ome
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	306	18.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	281	16.7
Middle	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	400	23.8
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	692	41.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	2	100.0	1,679	100.0	74	4.4	1,679	100.0
	Housing			Hous	sing Type by	Tract		
	Units by	C	wner-occupie	d	Rei	ntal	Vac	ant
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	3,212	1,810	100.0	56.4	534	16.6	868	27.0
				Busi	nesses by Tra	ct & Revenue	Size	
	Total Businesses by Tract		Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	352	100.0	320	100.0	22	100.0	10	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	352	100.0	320	100.0	22	100.0	10	100.0
Perce	entage of Tota	al Businesses:		90.9		6.3		2.8
				Fa	rms by Tract	& Revenue S	ize	
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than or	r = \$1 Million	Over \$1	Million	Revenue No	ot Reported
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	76	100.0	75	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	76	100.0	75	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
I	Percentage of	Total Farms:		98.7		1.3		0.0
Courses 2022 FFIFC Courses F								

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

APPENDIX D - GLOSSARY

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community development: Affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies, designated disaster areas; or designated distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies.

Consumer loan(s): A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include nonrelatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into 'male householder' (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or 'female householder' (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full-scope review: Performance is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (for example, innovativeness, complexity, and responsiveness).

BANK OF THE FLINT HILLS WAMEGO, KANSAS

Geography: A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, and the disposition of the application (for example, approved, denied, and withdrawn).

Home mortgage loans: Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancings of home improvement and home purchase loans.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited-scope review: Performance is analyzed using only quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, and branch distribution).

Low-income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Metropolitan area (MA): A MSA or a metropolitan division (MD) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs.

Middle-income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Moderate-income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

BANK OF THE FLINT HILLS WAMEGO, KANSAS

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Nonmetropolitan area (NonMSA): Any area that is not located within an MSA.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multistate metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multistate metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multistate metropolitan area. For these institutions, no state ratings will be received unless the bank also maintains deposit facilities outside of the multistate metropolitan area. CRA activity is captured in either a state rating or a multistate metropolitan area rating, but not both.

Small loan(s) to business(es): A loan included in 'loans to small businesses' as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) instructions. These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans. However, thrift institutions may also exercise the option to report loans secured by nonfarm residential real estate as 'small business loans' if the loans are reported on the TFR as nonmortgage, commercial loans.

Small loan(s) to farm(s): A loan included in 'loans to small farms' as defined in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) instructions. These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Upper-income: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.