Frandsen Bank & Trust CRA Public Evaluation
Lonsdale, Minnesota June 17, 2024

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

June 17, 2024

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Frandsen Bank & Trust 116 Central Street West Lonsdale, Minnesota 55046 RSSD 751656

Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis 90 Hennepin Avenue, P.O. Box 291 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion, or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS	iii
INSTITUTION RATING Institution's CRA Rating	1
INSTITUTION	
Description of Institution	2
Scope of Evaluation	
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	
MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA – FULL-SCOPE REVIEW	13
CRA Rating for Grand Forks, North Dakota-Minnesota, Multistate MSA Assessment Area Scope of Evaluation	
Description of Institution's Operations in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA	
Assessment Area	18
MINNESOTA	
CRA Rating for Minnesota	
Scope of Evaluation	
Description of Institution's Operations in Minnesota	
Metropolitan Area – Full-Scope Review	
Description of Institution's Operations in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington,	
Minnesota-Wisconsin, MSA Assessment Area	46
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, Minnesota-Wisconsin, MSA Assessment Area	51
	51
Metropolitan Area – Full-Scope Review	
Description of Institution's Operations in the Duluth, Minnesota, MSA Assessment Area Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Duluth, Minnesota, MSA	70
Assessment Area	74
Metropolitan Area – Full-Scope Review	
Description of Institution's Operations in the New Ulm-Mankato, Minnesota, CSA Assessment Area	91
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the New Ulm-Mankato, Minnesota, CS Assessment Area	
Metropolitan Area – Limited Review.	114
Nonmetropolitan Statewide Areas – Limited Review	115

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

WISCONSIN	
CRA Rating for Wisconsin	119
Scope of Evaluation	
Description of Institution's Operations in Wisconsin	119
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in Wisconsin	121
Nonmetropolitan Area – Full-Scope Review	
Description of Institution's Operations in the Luck-Hayward, Wisconsin,	
Assessment Area	126
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests in the Luck-Hayward, Wisconsin,	
Assessment Area	130
Metropolitan Area – Limited Review	147
APPENDICES	
CRA Appendix A: Scope of Evaluation	148
CRA Appendix B: Summary of Multistate MSA and State Ratings	
CRA Appendix C: Glossary	
CRA Appendix D: Lending and Demographic Tables for Limited-Scope Reviews	

Definitions for many of the terms used in the public evaluation can be found in section 228.12 of Regulation BB. For additional convenience, a Glossary of Common CRA Terms is attached as Appendix C at the end of this public evaluation.

Frandsen Bank & Trust

CRA Public Evaluation

Lonsdale, Minnesota

June 17, 2024

The following abbreviations may be used throughout this performance evaluation:

University of North Dakota

Department of Veterans Affairs

AFB Air Force Base American Community Survey **ACS** Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Inc. **AEOA** Assessment area AAAutomated teller machine **ATM** Combined Statistical Area **CSA** Community Reinvestment Act CRA Dun & Bradstreet D&B Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation Farmer Mac Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation **FDIC** Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council **FFIEC** Federal Housing Administration **FHA** Federal Home Loan Bank **FHLB** Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Freddie Mac Federal National Mortgage Association Fannie Mae U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency **FSA** Home Mortgage Disclosure Act **HMDA** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD Low- and moderate-income LMI Minnesota Housing Finance Agency **MHFA** Metropolitan Statistical Area **MSA** Mortgage-Backed Securities **MBS** Office of Management and Budget **OMB** Paycheck Protection Program PPP U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development **USDA RD** U.S. Small Business Administration **SBA**

UND

VA

Frandsen Bank & Trust

CRA Public Evaluation
Lonsdale, Minnesota

June 17, 2024

INSTITUTION RATING

INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING: Frandsen Bank & Trust's rating is Outstanding.

Examiners evaluated the bank's CRA performance using the Large Bank CRA Examination Procedures. The following table shows the CRA performance of Frandsen Bank & Trust, Lonsdale, Minnesota, with respect to the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests.

Frandsen Bank & Trust Performance Tests										
Performance Levels Lending Test* Investment Test Service Test										
X										
	X	X								
	Performance T	Performance Tests Lending Test* Investment Test X								

^{*}Examiners assigned more weight to the Lending Test than to the Investment and Service Tests for determining the overall rating, in accordance with the Large Bank CRA Examination Procedures.

Major factors supporting the institution's rating include the following:

Lending Test

- Lending activity shows excellent responsiveness to credit needs throughout its AA.
- Overall, the distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes.
- Overall, the distribution of loans is good throughout the AAs, including LMI census tracts.
- The bank is a leader in making community development loans.
- The bank made a substantial majority of loans within its multistate MSA, Minnesota, and Wisconsin AAs.
- Overall, the bank makes use of innovative and/or flexible lending practices in serving AA credit needs.

Investment Test

- The overall level of qualified investments in the form of securities and donations is significant.
- The bank's qualified investments exhibit good responsive to local credit and community development needs.

Service Test

- The bank provides a relatively high level of community development services.
- Retail banking services are accessible to the bank's geographies and individuals throughout the AAs. Services do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI areas or individuals.
- Changes in the bank's network of branches, drive-up facilities, and ATMs did not adversely affect the accessibility of the bank's products and services.

INSTITUTION

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION

General. Frandsen Bank & Trust is an interstate bank with headquarters in Lonsdale, Minnesota, with assets of \$3.2 billion as of December 31, 2022. The bank operates 39 offices in Minnesota, North Dakota, and Wisconsin. The bank continues to be effective in meeting the credit and community development needs of the residents, businesses, and farms throughout its AAs. No financial constraints or legal impediments prevent the bank from serving the credit needs of its AAs. The bank's CRA rating was Satisfactory at the previous evaluation dated December 7, 2020.

Structure. Frandsen Financial Corporation (FFC), Arden Hills, Minnesota, wholly owns Frandsen Bank & Trust. FFC also owns the following entities:

- Anderson Financial Group Capital Trust I, Wayzata, Minnesota
- Tower Statutory Trust I, Cloquet, Minnesota
- Frandsen Capital I, Forest Lake, Minnesota

The bank acquired three financial institutions by merger since the last evaluation: Peoples Bank Midwest, Hayward, Wisconsin, in 2020; Bank of Zumbrota, Zumbrota, Minnesota, in 2022; and Pine Island Bank, Pine Island, Minnesota, in 2022. The acquisitions established six new bank branches: one in Vadnais Heights, Minnesota; one each in Hayward and Eau Claire, Wisconsin; one in Pine Island; and two in Zumbrota.

Loan Portfolio. As of December 31, 2022, the bank's loan portfolio totaled \$2.1 billion. The portfolio consisted of 52.1% commercial, 25.5% residential real estate, 13.3% agriculture, 3.5% consumer closedend, 3.1% consumer open-end, and 2.4% other loans. Total assets increased by 27.5% since December 31, 2020; growth has been organic and through acquisitions.

Credit Products. The bank offers closed- and open-end consumer residential real estate, commercial, and agricultural loan products to meet the needs of residents, businesses, and farms in its AAs. For a list of and information on innovative and flexible loan programs the bank participates in, refer to the Innovative and Flexible Lending Practices section of this report.

Offices. The bank operates branches and ATMs in Minnesota, North Dakota, and Wisconsin. In addition to the bank's main office in Lonsdale, Minnesota, the bank operated 38 branches as of December 31, 2022. As of that same date, the bank operated 33 branches in Minnesota, two in North Dakota, and three in Wisconsin. During the evaluation period, the number of branches changed as the bank merged with other institutions, opened or relocated branches, and closed branches. Refer to the Retail Banking Services discussion in the Service Test section for more details on the bank's retail delivery systems.

Assessment Areas. During the evaluation period, the bank had 14 AAs. As shown in the following table, the bank had 11 in Minnesota, two in Wisconsin, and one in a multistate MSA AA (in North Dakota and Minnesota). The bank did not add new AAs during the evaluation period. Additional details, including changes during the evaluation period for AAs, as well as the retail delivery network, are in the applicable AA sections of this evaluation.

AA Information as of December 31, 2022							
AA Name	# of Offices by City	Geographical Description					
	State of Minneso	rta					
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	Mankato, North Mankato, and New Ulm*	Blue Earth and Nicollet counties and a portion of Brown County					
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	Apple Valley, Braham, Forest Lake, Jordan, Lakeville, Montgomery, Vadnais Heights, Waterville**	Anoka, Chisago, Dakota, Hennepin, Isanti, Le Sueur, Ramsey, Scott, Sherburne, and Washington counties					
Duluth MSA	Cloquet, Duluth, Ely, Hermantown, Tower, Virginia***	St. Louis and Carlton counties and a portion of Lake County (all in Minnesota) and a portion of Douglas County (Wisconsin)					
St. Cloud MSA	Foley	Benton County					
Ada	Ada	Norman County					
Baxter Lakes	Crosslake and Baxter	Crow Wing County and a portion of Cass County					
Bird Island-Fairfax- Hector	Bird Island, Fairfax, Hector	Renville County and a portion of Sibley County					
Clinton	Clinton	Big Stone County and a portion of Traverse County					
Lonsdale-Goodhue	Dundas, Lonsdale, Pine Island, Zumbrota (2)****	Rice and Goodhue counties and portions of Dodge, Olmsted, and Wabasha counties					
Pine City	Pine City	Kanabec and Pine counties					
Warren-Oslo	Oslo, Warren	A portion of Marshall County					
	State of Wiscons	in					
Luck-Hayward	Luck, Hayward	Burnett, Polk, Sawyer, Washburn counties and a portion of Bayfield County					
Eau Claire MSA	Eau Claire	Eau Claire County					
	Multistate ND-MN	MSA					
Grand Forks Multistate MSA	Grand Forks, East Grand Forks	Grand Forks County (North Dakota) and a portion of Polk County (Minnesota)					

^{*} From May through July 2021, the bank closed the North Mankato branch as well as the limited-service drive-up facility and the New Ulm South branch. The bank also relocated one North Mankato branch.

SCOPE OF EVALUATION

Examiners selected five of the bank's AAs for full-scope reviews. To select the full-scope reviews, examiners reviewed factors such as loan volume, deposit market share, branch size and location, length of time since the last full-scope review, and community development activity. For interstate banks, examiners must conduct a full-scope review of at least one AA from each state. A full-scope review requires examiners to evaluate the bank's quantitative and qualitative performance using the following criteria: lending activity, lending to borrowers of different income levels and to businesses and farms of

^{**} In October 2020, the bank merged with three acquired branches: one in Vadnais Heights, one in Hayward, and one in Eau Claire.

^{***} In February 2022, the bank opened the Hermantown branch.

^{****} In September 2022, the bank merged with one acquired branch in Pine Island and in October 2022, merged with two acquired branches in Zumbrota.

different sizes, geographic distribution of loans throughout the AA, community development lending, flexible and/or innovative lending practices, qualified investments, retail services, and community development services. The other AAs received limited-scope reviews in which examiners analyzed quantitative data about the bank's lending, investments, and services.

The AAs selected for full-scope reviews represent 66.1% of the bank's loans by number of loans and 72.4% by dollar amount of loans, according to loan data from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2022. Examiners conducted full-scope reviews of the following AAs:

- Grand Forks, North Dakota-Minnesota, Multistate (Grand Forks Multistate) MSA AA
- Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, Minnesota-Wisconsin (Minneapolis-St. Paul) MSA AA
- Duluth, Minnesota (Duluth) MSA AA
- New Ulm-Mankato, Minnesota (New Ulm-Mankato) CSA AA
- Luck-Hayward, Wisconsin, non-metropolitan (Luck) AA

In determining the overall CRA rating, examiners placed the greatest weight on the bank's activities in its Minnesota AAs because the majority of the bank's lending occurs in these AAs. During the evaluation period, the bank extended 83.9% of its HMDA, small business, and small farm loans, by number, in the Minnesota AAs. The comparative percentages for the Wisconsin AAs and the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA were 6.2% and 9.9%, respectively. For Minnesota, examiners generally placed the most weight on the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA and Duluth MSA AAs based on loan volume.

Because of the bank's size and its branches in MSAs, HMDA requires the bank to report home purchase, refinance, home improvement, and multifamily loan applications, and other purpose closed/exempt loans. The bank must report small business, small farm, and community development loans because of its asset size. To understand the bank's CRA performance, examiners evaluated the bank's reported 2020, 2021, and 2022 HMDA, small business, and small farm loans. Examiners compared the bank's HMDA and CRA lending performance to that of aggregate lenders that reported loans originated or purchased in the AAs in 2020, 2021, and 2022. Examiners also evaluated the bank's 2020, 2021, and 2022 community development loans, qualified investments, and community development services and retail service activity.

Examiners also analyzed the demographic characteristics of each AA as one way to measure loan demand. For HMDA loans, examiners relied on demographic information, primarily from 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, to understand the income levels and geographic locations of the families and housing units in the AAs.³ For small business and small farm loans, examiners used D&B data for the applicable year. D&B collects and publishes this self-reported data concerning the revenues and locations of local businesses and farms. The demographic information should not be construed as defining an expected level of lending for a particular loan product, group of borrowers, or geography. Instead, examiners reviewed the demographic data to provide a context for the bank's performance, along with the information obtained from bank management and community contacts.

As part of this evaluation, examiners had discussions with bank management and community contacts regarding local economic conditions, demographic characteristics, the performance of local financial

-

¹ Based on the total number of loans extended inside the bank's AAs during the three-year evaluation period.

² Examiners reviewed small farms in the full-scope AAs where small farm lending was a major product line. Examiners reviewed the major product lines in the limited-scope AAs, which varied, and noted those products in that section of the report.

³ The FFIEC adjusted census data is based on decennial U.S. Census data and ACS five-year estimate data; it also reflects changes in the OMB revised MSA delineations.

institutions, and opportunities to participate in community development activities. Further, bank management provided information regarding the bank's lending activities, credit demand, and competition. Examiners considered these factors and the size and financial condition of the bank when evaluating its performance. The contacts did not identify any unmet credit needs in the bank's AAs.

The full-scope AA sections of this performance evaluation describe each AA's unique performance context. The bank's AAs have varying characteristics, which impact loan demand as well as community development opportunities. Some of the AAs include metropolitan areas, while some are predominantly rural. Generally, throughout the evaluation period, many of the bank's AAs had stable economies. The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic impacted AAs in various ways; the impact to the full-scope AAs is discussed in the relevant portions of this evaluation. Generally, the bank's small business and small farm lending was higher during 2020 and 2021 than 2022 due to the SBA's PPP loan program. The bank's loan volume for each product type generally decreased from 2020 through 2022; interest rates increased during that time. In the full-scope AAs, as well as many other AAs, the bank faces strong competition among various types of financial institutions and other creditors.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

The bank's CRA rating is Outstanding based on the ratings for the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests. Overall, the bank did an excellent job of serving the credit and community development needs of its AAs in Minnesota and Wisconsin, as well as in the multistate MSA AA.

Examiners analyzed the following criteria to determine the Lending Test rating:

- Lending activity
- Lending to borrowers of different income levels and to businesses and farms of different sizes
- Geographic distribution of loans
- Lending inside the bank's AAs
- Community development lending
- Use of innovative and/or flexible lending practices.

To evaluate the bank's performance, examiners generally placed equal weight on the criteria of borrower distribution, geographic distribution, community development lending, and lending activity, followed by lending inside the bank's AAs. Further, examiners generally assigned less weight to the geographic distribution of loans if an AA did not include LMI census tracts. Finally, the bank's overall use of innovative and/or flexible lending practices contributed to the Lending Test rating.

Examiners evaluated the bank's qualified investments and its retail and community development services under the Investment and Service Tests, respectively.

LENDING TEST

The bank's Lending Test rating is Outstanding. Overall, the bank's lending to LMI borrowers and to small businesses and small farms is excellent. The overall geographic distribution and dispersion of loans is good. The bank is a leader in making community development loans, and it makes use of innovative and/or flexible lending practices. The bank's lending activity shows excellent responsiveness to credit needs throughout its AAs. Finally, the bank made a substantial majority of its loans inside its AAs. The bank is an interstate bank and operates in one multistate MSA, as well as other AAs. The regulation requires a separate analysis for each state in which the bank operates. This analysis must include at least one AA from each state. However, examiners evaluated the overall lending activity and the concentration

of lending inside the AAs at the institution level; both criteria are discussed below. For a detailed discussion of other Lending Test criteria, see the individual AA sections of this evaluation. For the limited-scope AAs, please see Appendix D for tables displaying the borrower and geographic distributions, as well as demographic and aggregate lender information.

Lending Activity

The bank's lending activity demonstrates excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the AAs. The Summary of Lending Activity table shows the bank's HMDA-reportable and CRA-reportable lending activity from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2022, by number and dollar amount.

Summary of Lending Activity										
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000s)	%						
Home Purchase - Conventional	2,031	36.0%	472,693	39.2%						
Home Purchase - FHA	48	0.9%	7,670	0.6%						
Home Improvement	282	5.0%	25,854	2.1%						
Multi-Family Housing	59	1.0%	47,101	3.9%						
Other Purpose Closed-End	210	3.7%	25,835	2.1%						
Refinancing	3,010	53.4%	625,887	51.9%						
Total HMDA-related	5,640	37.6%	1,205,040	52.4%						
Total Small Business-related	6,228	41.5%	772,973	33.6%						
Total Small Farm-related	3,123	20.8%	321,296	14.0%						
TOTAL LOANS	14,991	100.0%	2,299,309	100.0%						

Overall, the bank's primary business lines are HMDA, small business, and small farm lending. Loan volume and primary business lines vary by AA. Some markets are rural and small farm lending is a predominant loan type, while the bank is an active HMDA and small business lender in other areas. The bank is among leading lenders for HMDA- and CRA- reportable loans in several AAs, including in the Duluth MSA AA, New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA, and Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. Overall, the bank's lending activities show excellent responsiveness to local credit needs in Minnesota, North Dakota, and Wisconsin. For detailed information regarding lending activity, see each full-scope AA sections.

Assessment Area Concentration

The bank extended a substantial majority of its loans inside its AAs. The table below shows the bank's concentrations of lending inside and outside the AAs from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2022.

Lending Inside and Outside the AAs										
I T		I	nside			Outside				
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000s)	%	#	%	\$(000s)	%		
Home Purchase - Conventional	1,690	83.2%	389,524	82.4%	341	16.8%	83,169	17.6%		
Home Purchase - FHA	41	85.4%	6,308	82.2%	7	14.6%	1,362	17.8%		
Home Improvement	253	89.4%	22,778	88.1%	29	10.3%	3,076	11.9%		
Multi-Family Housing	50	84.7%	38,579	81.9%	9	15.3%	8,522	18.1%		
Other Purpose Closed-End	188	89.5%	20,569	79.6%	22	10.5%	5,266	20.4%		
Refinancing	2,645	87.9%	544,223	87.0%	365	12.1%	81,664	13.0%		
Total HMDA-related	4,867	86.3%	1,021,981	84.8%	773	13.7%	183,059	15.2%		
Total Small Business-related	5,600	89.9%	677,816	87.7%	628	10.1%	95,157	12.3%		
Total Small Farm-related	2,719	87.1%	276,264	86.0%	404	12.9%	45,032	14.0%		
TOTAL LOANS	13,186	88.0%	1,976,061	85.9%	1,805	12.0%	323,248	14.1%		

The bank extended 88.0% of all loans by number and 85.9% by dollar amount within its AAs. The bank's lending inside the AAs is generally consistent for HMDA, small business, and small farm loans.

Borrower and Geographic Distributions

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans among LMI borrowers and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent. Geographically, the bank's performance in LMI census tracts is good. The bank's lending patterns do not reveal any unexplained gaps in any of the AAs.

Innovative and Flexible Lending Practices

The bank uses a variety of innovative and/or flexible lending programs. These programs help meet the credit needs of LMI individuals, small businesses, and small farms. The following list highlights a number of the programs the bank participated in during the evaluation period:

- *U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development:* USDA RD offers programs geared for purchasing, building, or repairing homes and refinancing mortgages in rural areas. The programs have income-eligibility requirements and offer flexible loan terms.
- *Home Possible:* This Freddie Mac program has flexible loan terms, such as low down payment options for first-time homebuyers and past homeowners. The program has some income limitations.
- *HomeReady:* This Fannie Mae program has flexibility in underwriting for qualified borrowers who meet specific income criteria or properties that meet geographic location criteria. It also has a low down payment requirement.
- *Home\$tart*: This FHLB Des Moines grant program provides down payment and closing cost assistance to income-eligible first-time homebuyers.
- Polk County Affordable Housing Loan Program: Polk County in Minnesota offers closing cost and down payment assistance to qualified borrowers.
- *Marshall County Loan Pool:* Marshall County in Minnesota offers deferred interest-free loans and low-interest loans to help income-eligible qualified borrowers purchase or build a home.
- Renville County Housing and Redevelopment Authority and Economic Development Authority: Renville County in Minnesota offers down payment assistance and home rehabilitation loans to income-eligible, qualified borrowers.

- Farm Service Agency and Farmer Mac: FSA loans have flexible underwriting criteria and are often extended to farmers who cannot qualify for conventional loans. Farmer Mac provides flexible lending programs for rural farms and residents.
- *Small Business Administration:* SBA loans have flexible underwriting criteria for small businesses and are often extended to businesses that cannot qualify for conventional financing.
- Paycheck Protection Program Lending: PPP loans are SBA-backed loans that helped entities keep their workforce employed during the COVID-19 pandemic.⁴
- Federal Housing Administration Loans: FHA loans are designed for LMI borrowers. These loans typically require a lower down payment and credit score than conventional loans.
- Veterans Affairs Home Loans: VA home loans help eligible borrowers purchase a home at
 competitive rates, often without a down payment or mortgage insurance. Cash-out refinance loans
 allow eligible borrowers to cash out home equity to take care of paying off debt, education financing,
 or home improvements.
- Minnesota Housing Finance Agency Fix-Up Loan Program: The MHFA offers a program to make livability or energy efficiency improvements to homes; loan terms, rates, and fees are affordable for LMI borrowers.
- City of New Ulm Homebuyer Assistance Loan Program: Through its economic development authority, the City of New Ulm, Minnesota, offers zero interest, deferred payment loans to help eligible borrowers with down payment and closing cost requirements.
- Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Inc.: The AEOA administers programs that help facilitate
 homeownership with down payment and closing cost assistance to income-eligible, qualified
 borrowers.

During the evaluation period, the bank made loans and grants totaling over \$290.0 million through the innovative and flexible loan programs noted above. These loans and grants are included in the overall volume of loans evaluated under the Lending Test. For information on the use of these programs in the various states and full-scope AAs, refer to the applicable performance evaluation sections.

Community Development Lending

The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank originated 372 community development loans totaling \$342.5 million during the evaluation period that benefit its AAs or broader regional areas including its AAs. By number and dollar, the bank's community development lending was highest in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA, Duluth MSA AA, and Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector AA. The bank also had a high number and dollar volume of community development loans in several other AAs. The following table shows the bank's community development lending number and loan volume during the evaluation period by AA and broader regional areas including the bank's AAs.

⁴ Throughout this evaluation, PPP loan data has been aggregated by one or more counties for the relevant AA analysis. County-level information may include some PPP loans from outside of the bank's AAs if AAs are comprised of one or more partial counties.

Community Development Loans							
by AA and Broader Regional Areas							
AA	#	\$					
Grand Forks MSA	27	27,483,871					
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	101	133,920,485					
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	31	13,818,083					
Duluth MSA	86	77,978,408					
St. Cloud MSA	11	4,320,268					
Ada, MN	5	197,938					
Baxter Lakes MN	2	154,275					
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector MN	42	44,937,454					
Clinton MN	6	2,708,904					
Lonsdale-Goodhue MN	15	3,947,691					
Pine City MN	12	2,104,296					
Warren-Oslo MN	3	160,679					
Luck-Hayward, WI	24	14,325,384					
Eau Claire MSA	6	13,437,736					
Regional (Wisconsin, Minnesota, Michigan)	1	3,000,000					
Total	372	342,495,472					

Of the bank's community development loans noted in the table, 187 loans totaling \$52.5 million are PPP loans, most with community development purposes of economic development and revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas. These loans were impactful during the evaluation period as they supported entities during the pandemic. In addition to these PPP loans, the bank also made other community development loans that supported economic development (97 loans totaling \$179.6 in 10 AAs and a regional area) and revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas (53 loans totaling \$92.4 million in seven AAs), which helped retain and create jobs as well as support needs in LMI or distressed and/or underserved areas in the AAs. Lastly, the bank made other loans supporting community services to LMI individuals or families (14 loans totaling \$4.5 million in two AAs) and affordable housing (21 loans totaling \$13.5 million in eight AAs); these loans are responsive to the needs in the AAs.

Because the bank was responsive in meeting the credit and community development needs of its AAs, examiners also considered 32 community development loans totaling \$40.4 million that benefited areas in Minnesota, Wisconsin, and North Dakota outside of the bank's AAs. The loans supported economic development, revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, and affordable housing. The table above does not include these loans.

INVESTMENT TEST

The Investment Test rating is high satisfactory because the level of qualified investments in the form of securities and donations is significant. During the evaluation period, qualified investments, including donations, benefiting the bank's AAs and broader statewide or multistate areas including the AAs totaled \$26.0 million.

Investments (non-donation). The following table shows the list of qualified investments, including new and prior period, by the bank's AAs and broader regional, statewide, or multistate areas that include the bank's AAs. The bank made 19 new investments totaling \$11.0 million during the evaluation period and continued to hold 36 prior-period investments totaling \$14.4 million. Overall, the level of investments shows good responsiveness to the credit needs and community development needs of the AAs. Of the

new non-donation investments, \$6.5 million supported affordable housing, an identified need, through the purchase of MBS pools. The bank also purchased 10 new investments totaling \$4.5 million that supported the construction and improvement of school facilities in qualified areas or with predominantly LMI students, as well as infrastructure and development in qualified areas.

Qualified Investments by AA and Broader Regional, Statewide, or Multistate Areas						
		Securities				
AA	#	\$				
Grand Forks MSA	2	889,999				
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	12	6,163,739				
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	1	139,479				
Duluth MSA	5	2,599,519				
St. Cloud MSA	1	611,877				
Ada, MN	1	253,068				
Baxter Lakes MN	5	1,748,208				
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector MN	3	766,944				
Clinton MN	1	140,000				
Pine City MN	1	256,780				
Warren-Oslo MN	3	666,876				
Luck-Hayward, WI	2	427,398				
Eau Claire MSA	1	492,760				
Regional/Statewide MN	10	3,490,276				
Regional/Statewide ND	1	150,000				
Multistate MN-ND	1	2,144,543				
Multistate MN-WI	2	570,554				
Multistate National	3	3,889,273				
Total	55	25,401,293 ⁵				

The bank made new or held prior-period non-donation investments in all AAs except Lonsdale-Goodhue. The bank's new non-donation investments benefited nine of its AAs, as well as regional or statewide areas in Minnesota and a multistate area (Minnesota and North Dakota). The bank's lack of new investments in some AAs is generally reasonable given competition for non-donation investment opportunities and varying investment opportunities in the AAs.

Because the bank was responsive in meeting the credit and community development needs of its AAs, examiners also considered 21 community development investments totaling \$5.6 million that benefited areas in Minnesota and Wisconsin outside of the bank's AAs. The investments supported revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas and community services to LMI individuals or families. Five of these investments totaling \$1.7 million are new investments purchased during the evaluation period. The table above does not include these investments.

Donations. The bank made a significant level of qualified investments in the form of donations that directly benefited the bank's AAs or broader regional, statewide, and multistate areas that include the bank's AAs. These donations totaled \$559,848, as shown in the table below.

⁵ This figure includes any investments/securities that matured, were sold, or paid during the evaluation period.

Qualified Investment Donations Benefiting AAs and Broader Regional, Statewide, or Multistate Areas							
Purpose # \$							
Affordable Housing	12	4,610					
Community Services	404	297,010					
Economic Development	32	38,898					
Revitalize or Stabilize	197	219,330					
Total	645	559,848					

Donations primarily focused on community services to LMI individuals or families and revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas. The bank made several notable donations: a \$100,000 donation in the Duluth MSA AA that helped fund school facilities in a moderate-income area and donations totaling over \$40,000 to help fund law enforcement equipment in qualified moderate-income and distressed and underserved middle-income areas. Other donations were also impactful; for example, donations supported providing health care for LMI individuals, food to those in need, and education scholarships, as well as funded an assisted living facility in a rural underserved middle-income area. The charitable contributions show good responsiveness to community development needs. Additional details are presented in the analysis of each state and full-scope AA.

Because the bank was responsive in meeting the credit and community development needs of its AAs, examiners also considered community development investments totaling \$8,280 that benefited areas in Minnesota and Wisconsin outside of the bank's AAs. The donations supported revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, community services to LMI individuals or families, and economic development. The table above does not include these donations.

SERVICE TEST

The bank's Service Test rating is high satisfactory based on performance in the full-scope AAs. Delivery systems are accessible to LMI individuals and geographies in the bank's AAs. Branch closures have not adversely affected the accessibility of the bank's delivery systems. Services do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI areas or individuals throughout the AAs. The bank provides a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Banking Services

Delivery systems are accessible throughout its AAs, and services do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI areas or individuals. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the bank's full-scope AAs. The bank provides retail services through its network of branches, drive-up facilities, and ATMs. Hours of operation are reasonable; they vary somewhat across the bank's various markets.

As of December 31, 2022, the bank operates 39 offices, as well as several ATMs, throughout Minnesota, Wisconsin, and North Dakota. The majority of the bank's branches are in Minnesota. As previously mentioned, the bank established six new branches (two in Wisconsin and four in Minnesota) during the evaluation period due to merger activity. The bank also opened a new branch in Minnesota. In addition, the bank relocated a branch (subsequently closing two offices in close proximity to the new location) and closed two other branches. The bank also made some additions and removals to its ATM network. The closures and relocation did not adversely affect accessibility of the bank's delivery system primarily given the proximity of other branches.

Of the bank's total offices, 2.6% are in low-income, 7.7% are in moderate-income, 69.2% are in middle-income, 17.9% are in upper-income, and 2.6% are in unknown-income census tracts. Tables illustrating the branches by tract location can be found in the state sections and the full-scope AA sections.

The bank provides alternative delivery systems that include telephone banking, online banking, and mobile banking. Additionally, the bank offers bill payment through its online and mobile banking platforms. The bank offers standardized products, well as loan programs mentioned in the Innovative and Flexible Practices section of this evaluation, and services throughout its AAs.

Refer to the AA Information table presented earlier in this section of the report, the Retail Banking Services in the state sections, or the relevant individual AA sections for additional information on branching structure changes.

Community Development Services

Overall, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services throughout its AAs. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the bank's full-scope AAs. Numerous bank employees provided financial expertise, such as serving as board members and on various committees, to organizations serving the bank's AA as well as broader regional, statewide, or multistate areas including the AAs. The bank's community development services mostly supported organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals or families, promote economic development, and revitalize or stabilize qualified areas. Bank employees

Community Development Services Benefiting AAs and Regional, Statewide or Multistate Areas					
Purpose	#				
Affordable Housing	14				
Community Services	59				
Economic Development 49					
Revitalize or Stabilize 41					
Total	163				

provided community development services in all of the bank's AAs; the number of services varied by AA. Of the bank's AAs, the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA, Duluth MSA AA, and New Ulm-Mankato MSA AA had the most services. Additional details are presented in the analysis of each state and full-scope AA.

Because the bank was responsive in meeting the credit and community development needs of its AAs, examiners also considered five community development services that benefited areas in Minnesota and Wisconsin outside of the bank's AAs. The services supported revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas and economic development. The table above does not include these services.

FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

The examination did not reveal any evidence of violations of antidiscrimination laws or regulations (including Regulation B – Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Regulation C – Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, and the Fair Housing Act) or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with the bank helping to meet community credit needs. Neither the bank nor the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis has received any CRA-related complaints.

MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA FULL-SCOPE REVIEW

CRA RATING FOR Grand Forks, North Dakota-Minnesota, Multistate MSA Assessment Area⁶: <u>Satisfactory</u>

The Lending Test is rated: Outstanding
The Investment Test is rated: High Satisfactory
The Service Test is rated: Low Satisfactory

Major factors supporting the rating include the following:

- The bank's lending activity in the Grand Forks, North Dakota-Minnesota, Multistate MSA AA (Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA) shows excellent responsiveness to credit needs.
- The lending to farms and businesses of different sizes and to LMI borrowers is excellent.
- The distribution of loans throughout the AA is adequate.
- The bank is a leader in making community development loans.
- The bank makes extensive use of flexible loan programs.
- Overall, by number and dollar amount, the bank has a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, which exhibits good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.
- Bank retail services are accessible to geographies and residents throughout the AA. The bank also provides an adequate level of community development services.

SCOPE OF EVALUATION

The scope of the CRA evaluation for the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is consistent with the scope for the overall institution described previously in the Institution section. Examiners conducted a full-scope review of the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. For interstate institutions, a minimum of one AA from each multistate MSA must be reviewed using the full-scope examination procedures. To complete the full-scope review, examiners evaluated the following: lending activity, lending to borrowers of different income levels and to businesses and farms of different sizes, geographic distribution of lending, community development lending, innovative and flexible lending practices, qualified investments, retail services, and community development services.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE GRAND FORKS MULTISTATE MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Bank information. The bank operates two full-service branches in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA; one branch in Grand Forks, North Dakota, and the second in East Grand Forks, Minnesota. Both branches have a drive-up facility and an ATM. As of December 31, 2022, the branches represent 5.1% of the bank's offices. See the Service Test section for more details regarding the bank's retail services.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank has \$249.3 million in deposits in the Grand Forks, ND-MN MSA (Grand Forks MSA). The bank ranks fifth of 20 FDIC-insured financial institutions operating in the Grand Forks MSA, with 6.4% of the market's deposits. The bank's deposits in this AA represent 10.1% of its total deposits. The bank competes with various types of

-

⁶ The bank's performance in the Minnesota tracts of the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is reflected in this rating and the institution rating. The statewide evaluation of Minnesota does not reflect the bank's performance in those tracts.

financial institutions in this AA, including community, regional, and large national banks. The bank also competes with credit unions not represented in the FDIC deposit market share information.

Assessment Area Information. The bank's Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA consists of Grand Forks County in North Dakota and all but one tract of Polk County in Minnesota; these two counties make up the Grand Forks MSA. In 2020, the AA also included one census tract in Walsh County, North Dakota, which was distressed; the tract was removed from the bank's AA for 2021 and 2022. During the evaluation period, the number of census tracts in the AA and income classifications of some tracts also changed based on FFIEC adjusted census data. As of 2022, the AA consists of 31 census tracts: one low income, seven moderate income, 16 middle income, six upper income, and one unknown income. In 2021, the AA was comprised of one low-income tract, as well as four moderate-, 18 middle-, and four upper-income tracts. In 2020, the AA was comprised of one low-income tract, as well as four moderate-, 19 middle-, and four upper-income tracts.

The Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is contiguous with the Warren-Oslo and Ada AAs. Within the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA, the cities of Grand Forks and East Grand Forks are located on either side of the Red River, which separates the two states.

The Combined Demographics Report provides demographic information for the bank's AA based on the 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data and 2022 D&B data.

			ned Demo nd Forks I		s Report te MSA AA	4					
Income Categories	Tra Distrib	aval as % of		ct Families by Level as % of Families by		Tract Income Leve		Tract Income Level as % of		Familie Family Ir	-
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low-income	1	3.2	186	0.8	108	58.1	4,951	22.1			
Moderate-income	7	22.6	3,588	16.0	410	11.4	3,490	15.6			
Middle-income	16	51.6	11,912	53.1	838	7.0	5,121	22.8			
Upper-income	6	19.4	6,187	27.6	214	3.5	8,874	39.6			
Unknown-income	1	3.2	563	2.5	104	18.5	0	0.0			
Total AA	31	100.0	22,436	100.0	1,674	7.5	22,436	100.0			
	Housing			Hou	sing Types l	y Tract					
	Units by	Ow	Owner-Occupied Rental			Vacai	nt				
	Tract	#	%	%	#	%	#	%			
Low-income	741	8	0.0	1.1	570	76.9	163	22.0			
Moderate-income	9,154	2,689	11.8	29.4	5,849	63.9	616	6.7			
Middle-income	25,422	12,927	56.6	50.8	9,113	35.8	3,382	13.3			
Upper-income	9,778	6,661	29.1	68.1	2,763	28.3	354	3.6			
Unknown-income	1,388	572	2.5	41.2	770	55.5	46	3.3			
Total AA	46,483	22,857	100.0	49.2	19,065	41.0	4,561	9.8			

	2		ned Demo nd Forks I		s Report te MSA AA	\		
	Total Dusin	angan by		Busi	nesses by Ti	act & Reve	enue Size	
	Total Businesses by Tract		Less Tha	-	Over Mill	-	Revenue Report	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	16	0.4	13	0.4	3	0.7	0	0.0
Moderate-income	808	19.4	723	19.7	76	18.4	9	11.3
Middle-income	2,289	55.0	2,013	54.9	217	52.4	59	73.8
Upper-income	962	23.1	841	22.9	110	26.6	11	13.8
Unknown-income	85	2.0	76	2.1	8	1.9	1	1.3
Total AA	4,160	100.0	3,666	100.0	414	100.0	80	100.0
	Percentage	e of Total Bu	usinesses:	88.1		10.0		1.9
	Total Far	eme hv		Fa	rms by Tra	ct & Reven	ue Size	
	Total Farms by Tract		Less Tha \$1 Mi		Over Mill	-	Revenue Report	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate-income	11	2.3	10	2.2	1	6.7	0	0.0
Middle-income	402	85.4	392	86.0	10	66.7	0	0.0
Upper-income	58	12.3	54	11.8	4	26.7	0	0.0
Unknown-income	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	471	100.0	456	100.0	15	100.0	0	0.0
	Percen	tage of Tota	al Farms:	96.8		3.2		0.0
Source: 2022 FFIEC C	Census Data and	d 2022 D&B	Information					

Income. For purposes of classifying borrower income, this evaluation uses the FFIEC estimated median family income for the Grand Forks MSA, for the year of loan origination.⁷ The table below shows the estimated median family income for each year and the ranges for low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers.

	Borrower Income Levels													
Grand Forks MSA														
F	FIEC		I	Low	Mo	oder	ate	ľ	Mida	lle	J	Jppe	er	
Estimated Median Family Income		0	-	49.99%	50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above	
2020	\$89,200	0	-	\$44,599	\$44,600	-	\$71,359	\$71,360	-	\$107,039	\$107,040	-	& above	
2021	\$80,000	0	-	\$39,999	\$40,000	-	\$63,999	\$64,000	-	\$95,999	\$96,000	-	& above	
2022	\$92,800	0	-	\$46,399	\$46,400	-	\$74,239	\$74,240	-	\$111,359	\$111,360	-	& above	

⁷ The AA for 2020 includes one census tract in Walsh County, which is not part of the MSA. For borrowers in Walsh County, the evaluation uses the FFIEC's estimated median family income for nonmetropolitan areas of North Dakota, which was \$82,200 in 2020.

For purposes of classifying census tracts by income level, this evaluation relies on the FFIEC adjusted census data median family income for the Grand Forks MSA, which is \$70,827 for 2020 and 2021, and \$82,257 for 2022.8

Population. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the total population of the AA is 100,856. Grand Forks County has the highest percentage (72.5%) of the AA population. A large percentage of residents in the AA are 25 to 64 years of age. The remaining age groups are as follows: 21.3% for residents 17 years and younger, 16.0% for residents aged 18 to 24 years, and 13.8% for residents 65 years and older.

Housing Information. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the AA has 46,483 housing units: 49.2% are owner occupied, 41.0% are rental units, and 9.8% are vacant. The median age of the housing stock is 48 years, which is somewhat higher than the statewide median ages of 41 and 43 years for North Dakota and Minnesota, respectively. The median housing value is \$198,178, and the affordability ratio of 28.0 is lower or less affordable when compared to 32.7 for North Dakota and 31.1 for Minnesota. The affordability ratio is defined as the median household income divided by the median housing value; a lower ratio indicates less affordability.

The housing supply in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is insufficient to meet community needs, according to community contacts and bank management. Many lower-priced homes were destroyed in the 1997 flood and have not been replaced. Homes that were rebuilt are priced too high for first-time homebuyers. Overall, home prices have increased in recent years, according to one contact. Homes that were priced around \$150,000 have increased to \$230,000 and are no longer affordable starter homes. As interest rates increased in 2022, affordability declined further. Buyers often compete with cash buyers in the market.

A notable percentage (41.0%) of the housing in the AA is rental units as shown in the Combined Demographics Report table. A contact said that rents had increased significantly since the beginning of the pandemic in 2020. People in the area are rent burdened, spending more than 30% (some as high as 50%) of their incomes on housing. People earning minimum wage cannot afford local housing options. While wages have increased, they are not at levels sufficient for some residents to afford area rents. The contact also stated that during the pandemic, North Dakota adopted a rent moratorium that led several local landlords to sell their properties. The change in ownership means many more properties are overseen by management companies that have stricter standards for renters and increased fees.

The following table shows the housing cost burden faced by renters and homeowners in the Grand Forks MSA, the counties in the MSA, and the states of Minnesota and North Dakota in 2022. The HUD defines cost-burdened families as follows: those who pay more than 30% of their income for housing and may have difficulty affording necessities such as food, clothing, transportation, and medical care. Overall, the housing cost burden for renters in the MSA is higher than the burden for those in statewide North Dakota and generally comparable to those in statewide Minnesota. The burden for renters in the MSA is significantly higher than the burden for homeowners. Homeowners generally fared better in the MSA, but low-income homeowners have significant housing cost burden.

-

⁸ For the Walsh County census tract, the evaluation uses the FFIEC adjusted census median family income for nonmetropolitan areas of North Dakota, which was \$74,708 in 2020.

Housing Cost Burden												
	Cost	Burden - Re	enters	Cost Burden - Owners								
Area	Low Moderat		All	Low	Moderate	All						
	Income	Income	Renters	Income	Income	Owners						
Grand Forks MSA	72.7%	20.6%	41.3%	57.9%	22.7%	15.0%						
Polk County, MN	64.7%	5.0%	39.6%	60.8%	17.4%	16.2%						
Grand Forks County, ND	74.9%	23.6%	41.6%	55.5%	26.9%	14.3%						
Minnesota	71.3%	25.6%	40.7%	59.4%	26.7%	16.7%						
North Dakota	67.7%	14.6%	34.1%	48.4%	18.0%	12.8%						

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30% or more of household income

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2016-2020 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy

General Economic and Business Characteristics. The local economy is generally strong and stable, according to bank management and a community contact. The economy is diverse; major industries in the Grand Forks MSA include agriculture, manufacturing, healthcare, and education. According to a contact, the county's largest employers are as follows: UND, Grand Forks; Altru Health System, Grand Forks; Grand Forks Public Schools, Grand Forks; Valley Senior Living, Grand Forks; Walmart, Bentonville, Arkansas; and the Grand Forks AFB. Other notable local companies include LM Wind Power, Kolding, Denmark, which manufactures wind turbine blades; Cirrus Design Corporation, Duluth, Minnesota, which manufactures single person aircrafts; and North Spark Defense Laboratory, Grand Forks, which provides military-related security training. In addition to these and other global companies, a contact noted that Grand Forks has many small companies that provide local jobs. The city also has a healthy downtown area, which includes housing units, a hotel, and a grocery store, among other businesses. One of the key relationships for local private employers is with UND, which strives to offer educational programs that match the workforce needs of local businesses, according to a contact.

The local agricultural economy includes several agriculture-related companies, such as a sugar factory and a supply company, in addition to producers. Farmers have had strong profits over the past several years, and credit demand was lower, although some producers financed capital and equipment, according to bank management. Major crops include sugar beets, soybeans, canola, wheat, and corn.

A growing sector of the Grand Forks economy is the aeronautical industry. North Dakota continues to be a leader in unmanned and autonomous systems (UAS) development, and UND remains a key driver, according to a contact. The area is home to a Federal Aviation Administration designated test site for UAS.

As shown in the following table, the unemployment rates in the Grand Forks MSA as well as the counties and states in the AA were higher during 2020 and 2021 but then returned to lower levels. The unemployment rates in Polk County are higher than in Grand Forks County. The county rates are generally consistent with the related state for all three years of the evaluation period.

Average Annual Unemployment Rates												
Area 2020 2021 2022												
Grand Forks MSA	4.9%	3.1%	2.2%									
Polk County, MN	5.7%	4.2%	3.0%									
Grand Forks County, ND	4.5%	2.7%	1.9%									
Minnesota	6.3%	3.8%	2.7%									
North Dakota 5.0% 3.1% 2.1%												
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Loca	al Area Unemployment Stati	istics										

The employment market in Grand Forks is typically tight, and the housing stock limits the area's ability to attract new residents, according to a contact. The tight labor market was less of an issue during the early years of the pandemic, but bank management said that wages increased during the evaluation period and employers had a hard time finding staff.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE GRAND FORKS MULTISTATE MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The overall CRA rating for the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is satisfactory based on the bank's performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service tests.

The Lending Test rating is outstanding. Lending levels show excellent responsiveness to AA credit needs. The distribution of lending to borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent. The bank's lending in geographies of different income levels is adequate. The bank is a leader in making community development loans and makes extensive use of flexible loan programs to help meet credit needs. By product, examiners assigned the most weight to small business loans, followed by HMDA loans. Small farm loans received the least weight; weighting decisions are based on loan number volume during the evaluation period.

The Investment Test rating is high satisfactory. Overall, the bank made a significant level of investments, including donations, that demonstrates good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

The Service Test rating is low satisfactory. Retail services are accessible to all areas of the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Additionally, the bank provides an adequate level of community development services.

LENDING TEST

The Lending Test rating is outstanding for the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA.

Lending Activity

The bank's lending levels reflect excellent responsiveness to credit needs in its Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. The table below shows the bank's lending in the AA by product type for the three-year evaluation period.

Summary of Lending Activity in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA										
Logn Tung		20.	20–2022							
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000)	%						
HMDA-reportable	437	33.5	76,383	45.9						
Small Business-reportable	530	40.6	47,571	28.6						
Small Farm-reportable	338	25.9	42,433	25.5						
Total Loans	1,305	100.0	166,387	100.0						

The bank's market share for HMDA was similar in 2021 and 2022: 3.8% and 3.6%, respectively. In 2021, the bank ranked eighth out of 166 lenders reporting HMDA data and in 2022, the bank ranked fifth out of 126 lenders reporting HMDA data. In 2021, the bank ranked second out of 60 lenders reporting CRA data, with a 10.7% market share. In 2022, the bank ranked fifth out of 53 lenders reporting CRA data, with a 6.4% market share. During the evaluation period, lending activity in this AA represented 9.9% by number and 8.4% by dollar amount of the bank's total lending.

Distribution by Borrower Income Level and by Size of Businesses and Farms

The bank's distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers is excellent. The following tables show the bank's HMDA loan data by income level and product type for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribut	ion of 2021 Ho Assessmer	0 0	e Lending By d Forks Mult		ome Level							
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by						
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Agg Bank			Family Income %						
	# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$%												
			Home Pure	chase Loans									
Low	5	11.1	7.3	662	6.9	4.5	19.9						
Moderate	11	24.4	24.0	1,832	19.1	18.4	18.8						
Middle	12	26.7	21.7	2,147	22.4	21.4	21.0						
Upper	16	35.6	27.2	4,619	48.1	37.5	40.3						
Unknown	1	2.2	19.8	346	3.6	18.2	0.0						
Total	45	100.0	100.0	9,606	100.0	100.0	100.0						
Refinance Loans													
Low	6	6.1	4.4	675	3.8	2.4	19.9						
Moderate	18	18.2	16.6	2,787	15.9	12.1	18.8						
Middle	27	27.3	21.1	3,963	22.6	18.5	21.0						
Upper	39	39.4	33.3	8,516	48.6	40.4	40.3						
Unknown	9	9.1	24.6	1,598	9.1	26.6	0.0						
Total	99	100.0	100.0	17,539	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Home Impro	vement Loans									
Low	1	20.0	4.1	14	4.7	1.4	19.9						
Moderate	1	20.0	13.1	15	5.0	9.1	18.8						
Middle	2	40.0	18.9	220	73.6	17.2	21.0						
Upper	1	20.0	50.8	50	16.7	52.0	40.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	13.1	0	0.0	20.3	0.0						
Total	5	100.0	100.0	299	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Total Home M	Iortgage Loans									
Low	14	9.1	5.6	1,469	5.3	3.4	19.9						
Moderate	30	19.5	19.6	4,634	16.6	15.0	18.8						
Middle	43	27.9	21.2	6,593	23.7	19.9	21.0						
Upper	57	37.0	31.6	13,220	47.5	39.4	40.3						
Unknown	10	6.5	22.0	1,944	7.0	22.4	0.0						
Total	154	100.0	100.0	27,860	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribut	ion of 2021 Ho Assessmer	., .,	e Lending By d Forks Mult		ome Level							
	Bank And Aggregate Loans												
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank		Families by Family						
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Income %						
Other Purpose LOC													
Low	0	0.0	3.8	0	0.0	5.6	19.9						
Moderate	0	0.0	16.5	0	0.0	12.0	18.8						
Middle	0	0.0	24.1	0	0.0	27.2	21.0						
Upper	0	0.0	51.9	0	0.0	53.4	40.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	3.8	0	0.0	1.7	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						
Other Purpose Closed/Exempt													
Low	2	40.0	4.2	118	28.4	2.0	19.9						
Moderate	0	0.0	16.7	0	0.0	8.7	18.8						
Middle	2	40.0	25.0	263	63.2	16.4	21.0						
Upper	1	20.0	52.1	35	8.4	66.6	40.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	2.1	0	0.0	6.3	0.0						
Total	5	100.0	100.0	416	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Purpose No	t Applicable									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	19.9						
Moderate	0	0.0	4.0	0	0.0	6.4	18.8						
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21.0						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	40.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	96.0	0	0.0	93.6	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

			Bank And Aggr	egate Loans			Families by			
Borrower Income Level	Bank		Agg Bank			Agg	Family Income %			
	# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$%									
			Home Purch	ase Loans						
Low	8	23.5	10.5	735	12.5	6.2	22.1			
Moderate	8	23.5	23.3	1,318	22.4	18.5	15.6			
Middle	11	32.4	22.1	2,328	39.5	23.1	22.8			
Upper	6	17.6	25.7	1,419	24.1	36.6	39.6			
Unknown	1	2.9	18.4	90	1.5	15.7	0.0			
Total	34	100.0	100.0	5,890	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Refinance	e Loans						
Low	0	0.0	8.1	0	0.0	4.6	22.1			
Moderate	7	30.4	23.0	756	18.6	19.6	15.6			
Middle	6	26.1	20.0	1,182	29.0	20.5	22.8			
Upper	10	43.5	34.5	2,132	52.4	40.6	39.6			
Unknown	0	0.0	14.5	0	0.0	14.7	0.0			
Total	23	100.0	100.0	4,070	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Home Improve	ement Loans						
Low	0	0.0	3.3	0	0.0	1.9	22.1			
Moderate	5	29.4	17.8	159	25.3	13.0	15.6			
Middle	6	35.3	23.0	215	34.2	21.4	22.8			
Upper	4	23.5	46.7	145	23.1	52.7	39.6			
Unknown	2	11.8	9.2	110	17.5	11.0	0.0			
Total	17	100.0	100.0	629	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Total Home Mo	rtgage Loans						
Low	8	10.0	9.3	735	6.6	5.7	22.1			
Moderate	20	25.0	21.8	2,233	20.1	18.3	15.6			
Middle	24	30.0	21.5	3,825	34.4	22.5	22.8			
Upper	25	31.3	30.9	4,133	37.1	38.3	39.6			
Unknown	3	3.8	16.5	200	1.8	15.3	0.0			
Total	80	100.0	100.0	11,126	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribut	ion of 2022 Ho Assessmer		e Lending By d Forks Mult		ome Level							
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by						
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Families by Family						
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Income %						
			Other Pu	rpose LOC									
Low	0	0.0	9.2	0	0.0	7.5	22.1						
Moderate	0	0.0	11.2	0	0.0	5.9	15.6						
Middle	0	0.0	24.5	0	0.0	23.9	22.8						
Upper	0	0.0	53.1	0	0.0	60.4	39.6						
Unknown	0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	2.4	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						
	Other Purpose Closed/Exempt												
Low	0	0.0	5.8	0	0.0	2.0	22.1						
Moderate	0	0.0	9.6	0	0.0	12.4	15.6						
Middle	1	16.7	19.2	100	18.6	21.7	22.8						
Upper	5	83.3	63.5	437	81.4	62.8	39.6						
Unknown	0	0.0	1.9	0	0.0	1.1	0.0						
Total	6	100.0	100.0	537	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Purpose No	ot Applicable									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.1						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	15.6						
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.8						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	39.6						
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers is below demographics. The bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers slightly exceeds aggregate lenders' performance in 2021 and is comparable to aggregate lenders' performance in 2022. Based on FFIEC adjusted census data, 19.9% and 22.1% of families in the AA are low income in 2021 and 2022, respectively. In 2021, the bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers is comparable to demographics and aggregate lenders' performance. In 2022, the bank's lending to moderate-income borrowers exceeds demographics and slightly exceeds aggregate lenders' performance. Based on FFIEC adjusted census data, 18.8% and 15.6% of families in the AA are moderate income in 2021 and 2022, respectively. Given affordability issues in the AA, the bank's home purchase lending to low-income borrowers is noteworthy; the bank's performance exceeded aggregate lenders' performance. The bank ranked eighth in 2021 and fifth in 2022 among HMDA reporters in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. The bank operates in a competitive

market in this AA and competes with various types of institutions for the loans in the AA, including mortgage companies, regional banks, and large national banks.

Community contacts noted affordable housing is insufficient to meet local needs. Little housing stock is available for less than \$350,000, which is unaffordable for LMI residents, according to a contact. A contact and management noted that the housing market is still impacted by the destruction of lower-priced homes during the 1997 flood. Given limited inventory and prices in the market, it is likely challenging for LMI individuals to purchase a home in the AA.

Using the assumption that a borrower can obtain a loan for approximately three times the borrower's annual income, based on the 2022 FFIEC estimated median family income of \$92,800 for the Grand Forks MSA, an individual with the highest income in the low-income bracket (\$46,399) can afford a \$139,197 home. Using the same assumption, borrowers with the highest income in the moderate-income bracket (\$74,239) can afford a \$222,717 home. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the median housing value in the AA is \$198,178. This data suggests that homeownership would not be affordable for many low-income borrowers, as well as some moderate-income borrowers.

In this AA, the bank made extensive use of flexible lending programs to assist meeting the credit needs of LMI borrowers. The bank participated in the Fannie Mae HomeReady program, Polk County affordable housing grant program, USDA RD loan program, and the FHLB's Home\$tart grant program, which help LMI borrowers achieve homeownership. The Home\$tart program funds can also be used for property rehabilitation. The bank also used FHA and VA loan programs in the AA. During the evaluation period, the bank's use of these programs resulted in approximately \$2.9 million in loans and \$47,500 in grants. For more information on these flexible lending programs, refer to the Institution section of this evaluation.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The distribution of small business loans among businesses of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the small business loan data by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distrib	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Ban	ık	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
	By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	154	75.5	54.6	8,024	50.2	39.8	88.3					
Over \$1 Million	43	21.1		7,526	47.1		9.9					
Revenue Unknown	7	3.4		423	2.6		1.8					
Total	204	100.0		15,973	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Si	ize								
\$100,000 or Less	170	83.3	82.4	5,107	32.0	21.5						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	18	8.8	8.7	3,257	20.4	19.5						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	16	7.8	8.9	7,609	47.6	59.1						
Total	204	100.0	100.0	15,973	100.0	100.0						

Distrib	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA												
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less												
\$100,000 or Less	140	90.9		3,655	45.6							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	5.2		1,403	17.5							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	\$250,001 - \$1 Million 6 3.9 2,966 37.0											
Total	154	100.0		8,024	100.0							

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA												
Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ban	ık	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	63	64.9	49.9	6,773	56.0	36.4	88.1					
Over \$1 Million	33	34.0		5,311	43.9		10.0					
Revenue Unknown	1	1.0		2	0.0		1.9					
Total	97	100.0		12,086	100.0		100.0					
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	62	63.9	82.6	2,912	24.1	19.6						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	23	23.7	8.5	4,316	35.7	19.2						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	12	12.4	8.8	4,858	40.2	61.2						
Total	97	100.0	100.0	12,086	100.0	100.0						
	By I	oan Size a	nd Revenues	\$1 Million	or Less							
\$100,000 or Less	44	69.8		2,036	30.1							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	13	20.6		2,281	33.7							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	6	9.5		2,456	36.3							
Total	63	100.0		6,773	100.0							
Source: 2022 FFIEC Census I.	Data						<u> </u>					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's lending to small businesses exceeded aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and 2022. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 88.3% and 88.1% of businesses had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less, respectively. For both years, the bank's and aggregate lenders' lending activities were below demographic data; the bank compared more favorably to demographics than aggregate lenders. In 2021, the bank's lending was comparable to aggregate lenders for extending small business loans in amounts of \$100,000 or less. In 2022, the bank's lending was below aggregate lenders for extending small business loans in amounts of \$100,000 or less, but the bank still made most of its loans in those smaller amounts. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 90.9% and 69.8%, respectively, of its small business loans to small entities for \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small businesses that tend to request small-dollar loans. In this AA, the bank competes with a variety of creditors for small business loans, including large regional and national banks; several of the banks are large credit card

issuers. Among strong competition, the bank ranked second in 2021 and fifth in 2022 for total CRA-reportable loans in the AA.

In 2020 and 2021, the bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program; these loans were designed to help businesses keep their workforces employed during the pandemic. The bank originated over 250 business-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$13.5 million in this AA. Of those PPP loans, more than half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small businesses. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small business credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

The bank also made use of other SBA programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$6.7 million in loans through those programs.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The bank's lending to small farms of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the bank's small farm lending by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Di	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms										
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA											
		Total Farms									
	Ba	ınk	Agg	Ban	k	Agg	10tai Farins %				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	70				
			By Revenu	ıe							
\$1 Million or Less 118 77.6 65.6 8,926 61.0 61.2 97.4											
Over \$1 Million	33	21.7		5,555	38.0		2.6				
Revenue Unknown	1	0.7		156	1.1		0.0				
Total	152	100.0		14,637	100.0		100.0				
By Loan Size											
\$100,000 or Less	110	72.4	68.2	3,191	21.8	17.1					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	21	13.8	17.2	3,826	26.1	29.8					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	21	13.8	14.7	7,620	52.1	53.1					
Total	152	100.0	100.0	14,637	100.0	100.0					
	By	Loan Size ar	nd Revenues	\$1 Million o	r Less						
\$100,000 or Less	94	79.7		2,693	30.2						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	11	9.3		1,878	21.0						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	13	11.0		4,355	48.8						
Total	118	100.0		8,926	100.0						
G 2021 FEIEG G	D :				•						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

⁹ This PPP data excludes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small farm loan borrower distribution section.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms										
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA										
	Bank And Aggregate Loans									
	В	ank	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 1113 7 0			
	By Revenue									
\$1 Million or Less	52	69.3	50.2	8,831	61.1	57.3	96.8			
Over \$1 Million	21	28.0		5,545	38.4		3.2			
Revenue Unknown	2	2.7		76	0.5		0.0			
Total	75	100.0		14,452	100.0		100.0			
			By Loan S	Size						
\$100,000 or Less	31	41.3	61.4	1,871	12.9	14.7				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	21	28.0	19.8	3,870	26.8	28.1				
\$250,001 - \$500,000	23	30.7	18.8	8,711	60.3	57.2				
Total	75	100.0	100.0	14,452	100.0	100.0				
	By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less									
\$100,000 or Less	25	48.1		1,496	16.9					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	14	26.9		2,400	27.2					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	13	25.0		4,935	55.9					
Total	52	100.0		8,831	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to small farms exceeded aggregate lenders' activity. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 97.4% and 96.8%, respectively, of farms had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. For both years, the bank's lending activity was below demographic data; the bank compared more favorably to demographics than aggregate lenders. Bank management noted that local farms are consolidating, decreasing the opportunity to lend to smaller farms. The bank slightly exceeded aggregate lenders when extending small farm loans for amounts of \$100,000 or less in 2021 and was below in 2022. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 79.7% and 48.1%, respectively, of its small farm loans to small entities for amounts of \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small farms that tend to request small-dollar loans. The bank competes with several creditors for small farm loans, including regional and large national banks, as well as an agricultural-related finance company. As mentioned previously, the bank ranked in the top five lenders for 2021 and 2022 for CRA-reportable loans. In this AA, the bank's small farm lending represented 16.6% and 12.4% of aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and 2022, respectively.

In 2020 and 2021, the bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program. The bank originated over 110 agriculture-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$2.4 million in this AA.¹⁰

_

¹⁰ This PPP data only includes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small business loan borrower distribution section.

Of those PPP loans, nearly all were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small farms. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small farm credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

In addition to PPP loans, the bank also made use of FSA and Farmer Mac loan programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$6.6 million in loans through those programs.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Geographic Distribution

The geographic distribution and dispersion of the bank's HMDA, small business, and small farm loans in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA is adequate and does not reveal any unexplained gaps in lending. As previously mentioned, the income classification of some census tracts, as well as the number of tracts in the AA, changed during the evaluation period. As of 2022, the AA consists of the following tracts: one low income, seven moderate income, 16 middle income, six upper income, and one unknown income. In 2021, the AA was comprised of one low-income tract, as well as four moderate-, 18 middle-, and four upper-income tracts. In 2020, the AA was comprised of one low-income tract, as well as four moderate-, 19 middle-, and four upper-income tracts.

Residential Real Estate. The geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA loans is adequate. The following tables below show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 HMDA loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution	of 2021 Home	Mortgage Lo	ending By Inco	ome Level of	Geography	
		Assessment	Area: Grand	Forks Multis	tate MSA		
L			Bank And Aggr	regate Loans			Owner
Geographic Income Level	Banl	ζ.	Agg	Banl	k	Agg	Occupied
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Units %
			Home Purch	ase Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.4	0.3
Moderate	5	11.1	11.2	620	6.5	8.8	10.7
Middle	25	55.6	59.3	5,372	55.9	53.0	65.1
Upper	15	33.3	29.1	3,614	37.6	37.9	23.9
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	45	100.0	100.0	9,606	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinance	e Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
Moderate	2	2.0	8.1	253	1.4	6.2	10.7
Middle	61	61.6	56.8	9,613	54.8	51.3	65.1
Upper	36	36.4	34.9	7,673	43.7	42.4	23.9
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	99	100.0	100.0	17,539	100.0	100.0	100.0

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography									
		Assessmer	ıt Area: Gran	d Forks Mult	istate MSA				
			Home Impro	vement Loans					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3		
Moderate	0	0.0	9.0	0	0.0	9.8	10.7		
Middle	2	40.0	68.0	64	21.4	63.9	65.1		
Upper	3	60.0	23.0	235	78.6	26.3	23.9		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	5	100.0	100.0	299	100.0	100.0	100.0		
			Multifam	ily Loans			Multi-family Units %		
Low	0	0.0	2.6	0	0.0	0.7	7.4		
Moderate	1	100.0	23.7	1,210	100.0	18.7	29.8		
Middle	0	0.0	63.2	0	0.0	58.1	53.1		
Upper	0	0.0	10.5	0	0.0	22.6	9.7		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	1	100.0	100.0	1,210	100.0	100.0	100.0		
			Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %		
Low	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.3	0.3		
Moderate	8	5.2	9.6	2,083	7.2	8.0	10.7		
Middle	92	59.4	58.6	15,315	52.7	52.7	65.1		
Upper	55	35.5	31.6	11,672	40.2	39.0	23.9		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	155	100.0	100.0	29,070	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
		Assessmer	nt Area: Gran	d Forks Mult	istate MSA						
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Owner				
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Occupied Units %				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Units %				
Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	5.3	10.7				
Middle	0	0.0	63.3	0	0.0	53.9	65.1				
Upper	0	0.0	30.4	0	0.0	40.8	23.9				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Other Purpose	Closed/Exempt							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	4.2	0	0.0	3.5	10.7				
Middle	4	80.0	58.3	266	63.9	59.1	65.1				
Upper	1	20.0	37.5	150	36.1	37.4	23.9				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	5	100.0	100.0	416	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose No	t Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	12.0	0	0.0	12.9	10.7				
Middle	0	0.0	80.0	0	0.0	74.4	65.1				
Upper	0	0.0	8.0	0	0.0	12.7	23.9				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA									
	Bank And Aggregate Loans								
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Bank Agg		Owner Occupied			
meome Eever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Units %		
			Home Pur	chase Loans					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	6	17.6	13.6	1,295	22.0	11.2	11.8		
Middle	14	41.2	48.1	2,441	41.4	41.3	56.6		
Upper	14	41.2	35.3	2,154	36.6	46.0	29.1		
Unknown	0	0.0	3.0	0	0.0	1.5	2.5		

	Distribution		~ ~	Lending By In d Forks Multi	come Level of	f Geography	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	34	100.0	100.0	5,890	100.0	100.0	100.0
	L	L	Refinan	ce Loans			I
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	4.3	10.9	95	2.3	9.4	11.8
Middle	12	52.2	50.6	1,780	43.7	45.9	56.6
Upper	10	43.5	38.3	2,195	53.9	44.5	29.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.2	2.5
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	23	100.0	100.0	4,070	100.0	100.0	100.0
1		<u>'</u>	Home Impro	vement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	5.9	12.5	50	7.9	13.4	11.8
Middle	8	47.1	45.4	289	45.9	37.7	56.6
Upper	8	47.1	42.1	290	46.1	48.9	29.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2.5
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	17	100.0	100.0	629	100.0	100.0	100.0
·			Multifam	ily Loans			Multi-family Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4.5
Moderate	0	0.0	61.5	0	0.0	66.3	31.4
Middle	0	0.0	35.9	0	0.0	33.4	45.2
Upper	0	0.0	2.6	0	0.0	0.3	14.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
•	<u>'</u>		Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	8	10.0	13.3	1,440	12.9	22.6	11.8
Middle	36	45.0	48.0	4,696	42.2	40.3	56.6
Upper	36	45.0	36.5	4,990	44.8	36.1	29.1
Unknown	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	1.0	2.5
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	80	100.0	100.0	11,126	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography								
		Assessmer	nt Area: Gran	d Forks Multi	istate MSA			
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Owner	
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Occupied Units %	
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Units %	
			Other Pu	rpose LOC				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	6.1	0	0.0	3.1	11.8	
Middle	0	0.0	49.0	0	0.0	42.6	56.6	
Upper	0	0.0	43.9	0	0.0	51.5	29.1	
Unknown	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	2.8	2.5	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	
			Other Purpose	Closed/Exempt				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	11.5	0	0.0	3.7	11.8	
Middle	2	33.3	32.7	186	34.6	39.1	56.6	
Upper	4	66.7	55.8	351	65.4	57.2	29.1	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2.5	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	6	100.0	100.0	537	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Purpose No	t Applicable				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	5.3	0	0.0	13.4	11.8	
Middle	0	0.0	52.6	0	0.0	53.8	56.6	
Upper	0	0.0	10.5	0	0.0	24.7	29.1	
Unknown	0	0.0	31.6	0	0.0	8.1	2.5	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank did not make loans in the low-income tract; however, aggregate lenders only made 0.3% of loans in the low-income tract in 2021 and did not make any loans in the tract in 2022. The tract is comprised of 79.9% and 76.9% rental housing in 2021 and 2022, respectively, and 14.2% and 22.0% vacant housing units in 2021 and 2022, respectively, which explains the lending data. The bank made 5.2% and 10.0% of its HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. In 2021, the bank's lending in the moderate-income tracts is below demographics and aggregate lenders' performance. Demographics show that 10.7% of the AA's owner-occupied units are in the tracts. In 2022, the bank's lending in the moderate-income tracts is below aggregate lenders' performance and is comparable to demographics. Demographics show that 11.8% of the AA's owner-occupied units are in the tracts.

The LMI tracts include, for example, the university and the AFB, where demand for residential real estate credit is limited. Bank management and a community contact noted that the AA has low housing

inventory, and that other financial institutions compete for residential real estate loans in the area. As mentioned, significant rental and vacant housing also impacts the bank's ability to extend HMDA loans in these areas. Given this context, as well as branch locations, the bank's lending in certain moderate-income tracts, as well as the lack of lending in the low-income tract, is reasonable.

The bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The geographic distribution of small business loans is adequate. The tables below show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA												
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S		Total					
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank Agg							
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	1	0.5	0.8	40	0.3	1.1	1.9					
Moderate	9	4.4	11.7	216	1.4	12.2	11.3					
Middle	144	70.6	58.8	9,874	61.8	51.7	62.0					
Upper	50	24.5	28.5	5,843	36.6	35.0	24.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	204	100.0	100.0	15,973	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA												
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S		Total					
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.4					
Moderate	10	10.3	17.8	1,372	11.4	19.4	19.4					
Middle	60	61.9	49.3	8,164	67.5	41.6	55.0					
Upper	26	26.8	30.3	2,540	21.0	38.1	23.1					
Unknown	1	1.0	2.0	10	0.1	0.8	2.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.1						
Total	97	100.0	100.0	12,086	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

The bank's small business lending was generally comparable with that of aggregate lenders and the demographics in the low-income tract in 2021. According to D&B data, only 1.9% of businesses were in the low-income tract for 2021. In 2022, the bank made no loans in the low-income census tract while aggregate lenders reported only 0.1% of loans in the tract. While the boundaries of the low-income census tract changed for 2022, the university remains located within it, which helps explain the low level of small business lending. Demographics show only 0.4% of businesses are in the tract in 2022.

For 2021 and 2022, the bank's small business lending was below aggregate lenders' activity and demographics in the moderate-income tracts. The D&B data shows 11.3% of businesses in 2021 and 19.4% of businesses in 2022 were in the moderate-income tracts. The bank's performance in these tracts is nonetheless reasonable given the comparison to demographics and the presence of strong competition located in or near several of the moderate-income tracts. The bank did not make loans in all moderate-income tracts, which is reasonable given the location of branches, the AFB, and the university. The bank made small business loans in most of the tracts in the AA.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance in the LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The geographic distribution of small farm loans is adequate. The following tables show the bank's distribution of 2021 and 2022 small farm loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA											
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S		Total				
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank Agg						
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Farms %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	1	0.7	1.2	47	0.3	1.0	0.8				
Middle	129	84.9	84.0	13,514	92.3	84.3	87.4				
Upper	22	14.5	14.7	1,076	7.4	14.7	11.8				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	152	100.0	100.0	14,637	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA											
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S		7 7. (1				
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg Tot					
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 41 1113 70				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	1	1.3	1.0	176	1.2	1.2	2.3				
Middle	60	80.0	78.5	12,247	84.7	79.4	85.4				
Upper	14	18.7	20.0	2,029	14.0	19.4	12.3				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	75	100.0	100.0	14,452	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank did not originate small farm loans in the low-income tract, which is explainable because part of UND's campus is located in this tract. This lack of lending is consistent with aggregate lending data, which does not show loans in the low-income tract. Demographic data shows that no farms are in the low-income tract for either year.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank originated only one small farm loan in each year in the moderate-income tracts. The bank's lending is comparable to aggregate lenders' performance and consistent with demographics, which show only 0.8% and 2.3% of farms are in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively.

The bank's performance in the LMI census tracts for both years reflects the AA characteristics. The low-income tract is near downtown Grand Forks and includes the university campus. Several of the moderate-income tracts are in or near downtown Grand Forks, the city of Crookston, and the Grand Forks AFB, where few farms, if any, are located. The city of Crookston and the Grand Forks AFB are also in moderate-income tracts. As a result, the opportunity to make small farm loans in the LMI census tracts is limited. The bank's small farm lending was in the rural portions of the AA, which are primarily middle-income tracts.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance in the LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. About half of the bank's community development loans in the AA are PPP loans, which demonstrates responsiveness to community needs during the pandemic. The bank also made many loans that helped businesses create or retain jobs for LMI

Community Development Loans in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA								
Purpose # \$								
Affordable Housing	4	2,615,048						
Economic Development	18	23,227,856						
Revitalize or Stabilize	5	1,640,967						
Total	27	27,483,871						

individuals in the AA. The bank's affordable housing loans financed multifamily dwellings with affordable rents, which respond to the need for affordable rental housing. The bank's community development lending reflects excellent responsiveness to community needs.

INVESTMENT TEST

The Investment Test rating is high satisfactory for the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. Overall, the bank made a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, in the AA, showing good responsiveness to area needs.

The bank made an adequate level of qualified investments. During the evaluation period, the bank purchased one MBS pool which helps support the affordable housing need in this AA. The amount of the pool benefiting this AA is \$674,999. For a portion of the evaluation period, the bank also continued to hold a prior-period bond that supported revitalization or stabilization in a qualified area; this investment was called in 2021. Bank management noted that limited opportunities exist in the AA for investments.

Donations. The bank made an excellent level of qualified investments in the form of donations in the AA. As shown in the table, the bank donated \$62,114 during the evaluation period primarily to organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals. These services included food, safety, healthcare, and shelter. The donations supported critical needs in the AA during the evaluation period.

Qualified Investment Donations in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA								
Purpose	#	\$						
Community Services	50	56,814						
Economic Development	2	5,000						
Revitalize or Stabilize	3	300						
Total	55	62,114						

SERVICE TEST

The Service Test rating is low satisfactory for the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. Delivery systems are accessible to geographies and individuals throughout the AA. The bank's services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. The bank provides an adequate level of community development services.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to the geographies and individuals of different income levels in the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI individuals or areas. During the evaluation period, the bank closed one cash-dispensing-only ATM in the AA; the bank did not close or open any branches.

The bank operates two full-service branches in the AA; one branch is in Grand Forks, and one is in East Grand Forks. Both branches have drive-up facilities and cash-dispensing-only ATMs, as well as Saturday and extended weekday hours. During the evaluation period, both branches were in middle-income census tracts; however, the branches were near LMI tracts. ¹¹ In 2022, the Grand Forks branch was less than a half mile from two moderate-income tracts and approximately one mile from four other moderate-income tracts. In that same year, the East Grand Forks branch was approximately one mile from two moderate-income tracts. Prior to 2022, the Grand Forks branch was less than a half mile from one moderate-income

-

¹¹ Census data changes impacted the income-level designation of some tracts from 2021 to 2022, which altered the branches' proximity to certain tracts.

tract and approximately one mile from the low-income tract. Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on additional delivery services the bank offers.

The following table shows the bank's geographic distribution of branches and drive-up facilities in the AA in 2022, as well as demographic data.

	2022 Geographic Distribution of Branches Assessment Area: Grand Forks Multistate MSA											
				BR		DEMC	GRAPHIC	CS				
Tract Income Levels	Total Branches			Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru	Extended Hours	Extended Hours Census Tracts Hours			Households	Total Businesses
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			1	3.2%	1.4%	0.3%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			7	22.6%	20.4%	17.7%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	2	100.0%	0	0	2	2	2				
Middle	DTO	0		0	0	0			16	51.6%	52.6%	58.1%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			6	19.4%	22.5%	22.0%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			1	3.2%	3.2%	1.8%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	2	100.0%	0	0	2	2	2				
Totals	DTO	0		0	0	0			31	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	LS	0		0	0							

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

*Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

The bank provides an adequate level of community development services in the Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. During the evaluation period, five bank employees provided nine community development services. The bank's community development services benefited organizations that provide essential services to LMI individuals, such as advocacy, education, long-term elder care, and healthcare services. Bank employees served as board members for four organizations and one employee instructed financial education for children. Over the evaluation period, the bank's services addressed community needs, such as essential basic needs.

MINNESOTA

CRA RATING FOR Minnesota: Outstanding
The Lending Test is rated: Outstanding
The Investment Test is rated: High Satisfactory
The Service Test is rated: High Satisfactory

Major factors supporting the rating include the following:

- The bank's lending activity in the Minnesota AAs shows excellent responsiveness to local credit needs.
- Overall, lending to farms and businesses of different sizes and to LMI borrowers is excellent.
- Overall, the distribution of loans throughout the AAs is good.
- The bank is a leader in making community development loans.
- The bank makes use of flexible loan programs in its Minnesota branches.
- By number and dollar amount, the bank has a significant level of qualified investments, which exhibit good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.
- Bank retail services are accessible to businesses, farms, and residents throughout the AAs. The
 bank also provides a relatively high level of community development services in its Minnesota
 AAs.

SCOPE OF EVALUATION

The scope of the CRA evaluation for Minnesota is consistent with the scope for the overall institution described earlier in the Institution section. Examiners completed full-scope reviews for the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, Duluth MSA, and New Ulm-Mankato CSA AAs. Examiners weighted the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA more than the Duluth MSA AA due to loan volume. The New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA received less weight.

Examiners assessed the bank's performance using the following criteria: lending activity, lending to farms and businesses of different sizes and to borrowers of different income levels, geographic distribution of lending, community development lending, innovative and flexible lending practices, qualified investments, retail services, and community development services.

Examiners conducted limited-scope reviews for the Ada, Baxter Lakes, Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, Clinton, Lonsdale-Goodhue, Pine City, St. Cloud MSA, and Warren-Oslo AAs in Minnesota. Examiners evaluated whether the bank's performance in the limited-scope AAs was consistent with its performance in the full-scope AAs. The limited-scope MSA AA was compared against metropolitan full-scope AAs. Similarly, the nonmetropolitan limited-scope AAs were compared to the state.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN MINNESOTA

Assessment Area Information. The bank had 11 AAs in the state for the entirety of the evaluation period. The bank made minimal changes to the composition of its AAs since the last evaluation; the changes were due to U.S. OMB MSA and CSA delineation updates, new branches, and lending activity.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranks fourteenth among 316 FDIC-insured institutions in Minnesota, with 0.7% of the state's deposits. Two large national banks have 52.6% combined of the deposit market share in Minnesota. The bank operates in a competitive environment in many of its Minnesota AAs. Most AAs include numerous types of financial institutions,

including community banks and large regional and national banks. Credit unions also compete for deposits and loans in several of the bank's AAs. Credit union deposits are not included in the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report.

According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the Minnesota AAs included 1,031 census tracts: 52 low-, 222 moderate-, 483 middle-, 260 upper-, and 14 unknown-income tracts. During 2022 and 2021, nine of the nonmetropolitan middle-income tracts in Minnesota were classified as underserved, six were classified as distressed, and one was classified as underserved and distressed. For 2020, nine were classified as underserved and 12 were classified as distressed.

Population. The total population represented in the bank's Minnesota AAs is 4,019,213, or 70.4% of the state's population (5,706,494). FFIEC adjusted census data for 2022 also shows that 74.0% of households and 77.7% of families reside in middle- and upper-income census tracts. Approximately 8.9% of households and 5.5% of families in the AAs are below the poverty level. Most (52.2%) residents in the Minnesota AAs are between the ages of 25 to 64 years old. The remaining age groups are as follows: 22.5% for residents 17 years and younger, 8.7% for residents 18 to 24 years, and 14.6% for residents aged 65 and older.

General Economic and Housing Information. Economic conditions in the bank's Minnesota AAs varied by market during the evaluation period. Some of the AAs include urban areas with cities that are regional hubs and have diversity in their primary industries, while others are more rural and have less diverse economies or are more dependent on agriculture. The pandemic impacted the AAs to different degrees. Overall, most AAs fared reasonably well through the end of 2020 despite the challenges from the pandemic. Many businesses, including agricultural producers, participated in government programs for monetary assistance to pay employees or offset lost income due to low commodity prices. Despite the impact of the pandemic in 2020, construction and development occurred in some AAs during the evaluation period.

A variety of industries impact Minnesota's economy. The primary industries in the Minnesota AAs include government, healthcare, agriculture, retail, tourism/hospitality, higher education, technology, and manufacturing.

The Minnesota housing market varies by region. In most areas, however, housing demand, prices, and new build costs have increased. Inventory for homebuyers is limited in areas and changes quickly based on demand. These factors contribute to a preexisting housing shortage, including affordable housing, in certain areas.

According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, in the Minnesota AAs, 65.0% of the housing was owner occupied, 27.8% was rental, and 7.2% was vacant. The median housing value was \$255,224. The lowest median housing values were in Traverse and Norman counties, at \$85,700 and \$107,600, respectively. Scott County had the highest median value at \$317,500. The median age of housing stock was 49 years. Scott County had the newest housing stock at 24 years, and Renville County had the oldest housing stock age at 64 years.

In many of the Minnesota AAs, the bank operates in very competitive markets. Various types of financial institutions and creditors operate in the AAs, and as a result, the competition for loans, deposits, and community development activities is typically strong. Aside from the types of financial institutions noted above, the bank also competes with other creditors, such as specialized agricultural lenders and mortgage companies.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN MINNESOTA

Examiners based the bank's Minnesota evaluation on full-scope reviews of the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, Duluth MSA, and New Ulm-Mankato CSA AAs and limited-scope reviews of the remaining Minnesota AAs. A detailed discussion of the bank's performance in the full-scope AAs and a shorter discussion of the limited-review AAs follow this section. For the limited-scope AAs, Appendix D provides additional information regarding borrower and geographic distributions.

The overall CRA rating for Minnesota is outstanding based on the bank's performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests.

The Lending Test rating is outstanding. The bank's lending activity reflects excellent responsiveness to credit needs throughout the bank's Minnesota AAs. The overall distribution of HMDA, small business, and small farm loans by borrower income and revenue size is excellent. Overall, the geographic distribution of HMDA, small business, and small farm loans is good. The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank also used flexible loan programs to help meet credit needs.

The Investment Test rating is high satisfactory. The bank made a significant level of qualified investments that show good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

The Service Test rating is high satisfactory. Delivery systems are accessible to the geographies of the Minnesota AAs and to individuals of different income levels. The bank provides a relatively high level of community development services in the AAs.

LENDING TEST

Frandsen Bank & Trust

Lonsdale, Minnesota

The Lending Test rating is outstanding for Minnesota.

Lending Activity

Overall, the bank's lending levels reflect excellent responsiveness to credit needs in its Minnesota AAs. The table below shows the bank's lending in its Minnesota AAs by product type for the three-year evaluation period.

Summary of Lending Activity in the Minnesota AAs									
Logn Typa	2020–2022								
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000)	%					
HMDA-reportable	4,057	36.7	877,729	52.0					
Small Business-reportable	4,656	42.1	576,202	34.2					
Small Farm-reportable	2,346	21.2	232,585	13.8					
Total Loans	11,059 100.0 1,686,516 100.0								

The bank's Minnesota AAs account for 83.9% by number and 85.4% by amount of the bank's lending activity during the evaluation period. Small business lending volume during 2020 and 2021 includes the bank's PPP lending.

In many of the Minnesota AAs, the bank was among the leading lenders for small business and small farm loans.

Borrower and Geographic Distributions

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent. The bank extends loans to LMI borrowers and to small businesses and small farms throughout the AAs. In addition, the overall geographic distribution of loans is good. The bank extends loans throughout its AAs, including LMI tracts.

During the evaluation period, the bank used flexible loan programs (primarily PPP and other SBA programs) totaling approximately \$240.6 million in its Minnesota AAs; these loans were included in the overall volume of loans evaluated. Refer to the Institution and AA sections for specific information.

For a detailed discussion of the borrower and geographic distribution of lending, see the Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests section for the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, Duluth MSA, and New Ulm-Mankato CSA AAs. The tables in Appendix D show the borrower and geographic distributions of the bank's loans, demographic characteristics, and aggregate lending data for the limited-scope AAs.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the Minnesota AAs. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the full-scope AAs. As shown in the table, the bank originated or renewed 314 community development loans totaling \$284.2 million.

Minnesota Community	Developm	ent Loans
Purpose	#	\$
Affordable Housing	16	9,523,993
Community Service	22	9,392,637
Economic Development	154	180,699,613
Revitalize or Stabilize	122	84,632,239
Total	314	284,248,482

The bank's community development lending benefited all of the bank's Minnesota AAs. By number and dollar, the bank had the most loans in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA and Duluth MSA AAs. However, many of the bank's Minnesota AAs had substantial community development loan activity by number and dollar volume. The bank's lending mostly supported economic development and the revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas; many loans with these purposes include the bank's PPP loans that originated in 2020 and 2021. Those loans helped support the local economy and workers during the height of the pandemic. The bank also made community development loans that supported affordable housing or the provision of community services to LMI individuals and families, which were identified needs in some of the bank's AAs. Overall, the bank's community development lending shows excellent responsiveness to AA needs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development loans benefiting geographies outside the bank's Minnesota AAs. The bank originated 24 such loans totaling \$30.3 million in areas of Minnesota. The loans supported economic development, revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, and affordable housing. Some of the bank's PPP loans were also included in these loans. The table above does not include these loans.

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's Investment Test rating is high satisfactory for Minnesota. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the full-scope AAs. The bank has a significant level of qualified investments in the form of securities and donations that show good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

During the evaluation period, the bank made a significant level of qualified investments. The bank made 15 investments totaling \$7.4 million in several AAs, including broader regional or statewide areas in Minnesota that include the bank's AAs. The bank's investments helped support the provision of community services to LMI individuals and families, the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts, and affordable housing. The bank purchased investments that supported the improvements of school districts or infrastructure and development in qualified areas. For example, one investment financed development in a tax increment financing district, which impacted a moderate-income area. The bank also purchased \$2.9 million in MBS that supported affordable housing, a need in many AAs, including in the AAs where the bank had the qualified investment.

During the evaluation period, the bank also held 20 prior-period investments totaling \$6.4 million in the bank's Minnesota AAs, which supported the provision of community services to LMI individuals and families, the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts, and affordable housing. Finally, the bank held eight prior-period investments totaling \$3.0 million that benefited regional or statewide areas of the state, including the bank's AAs; these investments supported affordable housing and the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development investments benefiting geographies outside the bank's Minnesota AAs. The bank purchased four such investments totaling \$1.2 million in areas of Minnesota. The bank also held six prior-period investments totaling \$1.8 million. All of these investments supported the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts.

Donations. The bank made a significant level of donations during the evaluation period, totaling \$439,512. Most donations directly benefited the bank's Minnesota AAs. Additional donations (\$65,303) benefited statewide or regional areas in Minnesota that include the bank's AAs. The following table shows the distribution of the donations by AA, as well as at the statewide or regional level.

Minnesota Qualified Investn	nent Dona	tions
AA	To	tal
AA	#	\$
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	104	77,873
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	48	29,980
Duluth MSA	87	138,995
Ada	18	4,336
Baxter Lakes	32	17,149
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector	44	24,303
Clinton	22	28,744
Lonsdale-Goodhue	9	8,110
Pine City	21	20,202
Warren-Oslo	21	18,817
St. Cloud MSA	14	5,700
Regional/Statewide Minnesota	80	65,303
Total	500	439,512

By number and dollar, the bank made most of its donations in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA and Duluth MSA AAs. The bank made donations in each Minnesota AA, as well as statewide or regional areas in Minnesota that include the bank's AAs. A significant amount of donations (\$192,276) went to organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals and families. For example, in many AAs, the bank's donations helped with food insecurity or other basic needs, such as healthcare services or

temporary shelter, which are impactful based on identified need, especially during the pandemic. The bank also made significant donations (\$217,080) that supported the revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas. For example, donations benefited school programs or funded other essential needs that help retain residents in qualified areas. Lastly, the bank made some donations that supported affordable housing and economic development. The bank's donations show good responsiveness to community needs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development donations benefiting geographies outside the bank's Minnesota AAs. During the evaluation period, the bank donated \$5,540 to support the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts outside of the bank's AAs. The table above does not include these donations.

SERVICE TEST

The Service Test rating is high satisfactory for Minnesota. Delivery systems are accessible to all portions of the Minnesota AAs. The changes the bank made to branches and ATMs did not adversely affect the accessibility of delivery systems. The hours of operation and retail services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Finally, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services in the Minnesota AAs.

Retail Banking Services

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to residents and geographies throughout the bank's Minnesota AAs. At the time of the evaluation, the bank operated 34 offices (including limited-service facilities) in the state; nearly all branches have drive-ups and some have ATMs. The bank also operates two standalone ATMs. Certain bank branches have extended and weekend hours.

While the bank made some changes to its branches and ATMs during the evaluation, the changes did not adversely affect delivery systems. Given merger activity, the bank added new locations: three in the Lonsdale-Goodhue AA (one in Pine Island and two in Zumbrota), and one in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA in Vadnais Heights. The bank opened a branch in Hermantown in the bank's Duluth MSA AA. In addition, the bank closed one office in the Baxter Lakes AA. In the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA, the bank closed three offices, which were near the bank's other existing offices, and relocated one office to a nearby location.

The following table shows the bank's distribution of branches and drive-up facilities as of 2022. 12

¹² The bank's relocation of the North Mankato office at 245 Belgrade Avenue to the new full-service North Mankato branch location at 240 Belgrade Avenue is shown as a branch closure and a branch opening in the table; however, for analysis purposes, this change was appropriately considered as a relocation.

	Geographic Distribution of Branches State of Minnesota 2022											
				BR		DEMO	GRAPHI	CS				
Tract Income Levels	Total Branches			Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru			Census Tracts		Households	Total Businesses
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%
	Total	1	2.9%	0	0	1	0	0			5.0% 4.3%	
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			52	5.0%		3.4%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	2	5.9%	0	0	2	0	0				
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			222	21.5%	21.0%	17.6%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	23	67.7%	1	4	21	3	6				
Middle	DTO	0		0	1	0			483	46.8%	47.6%	46.6%
	LS	1		0	1							
	Total	7	20.6%	4	1	7	3	4				
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			260	25.2%	26.4%	31.4%
	LS	0		0	1							
	Total	1	2.9%	0	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			14	1.4%	0.7%	1.1%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	34	100.0%	5	5	31	6	10				
Totals	DTO	1		0	1	0			1,031	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	LS	1		0	2							

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

As shown in the table, overall, the bank has 2.9% and 5.9% of its branches in LMI census tracts, respectively. The bank has no ATMs in low-income tracts and 4.8% of its ATMs in moderate-income tracts. The percentage of the bank's branches and ATMs in LMI census tracts are below demographics, which show that 5.0% and 21.5% of the AA tracts are LMI, respectively.

Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on the bank's additional delivery services.

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

^{*}Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

Overall, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services in the Minnesota AAs. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the full-scope AAs. The bank also provided services in statewide or regional areas in Minnesota that include the bank's AAs. Most of the services were in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. The table below shows the distribution of community development services by type of activity and AA.

Minnes	ota Commun	ity Developm	ent Services		
AA	Affordable Housing	Community Services	Economic Development	Revitalize or Stabilize	Total
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	3	17	16	16	52
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	0	7	7	0	14
Duluth MSA	1	3	8	2	14
Ada	0	0	1	0	1
Baxter Lakes	0	1	0	0	1
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector	0	1	1	10	12
Clinton	2	0	0	0	2
Lonsdale-Goodhue	0	1	3	0	4
Pine City	0	3	1	7	11
Warren-Oslo	3	0	0	0	3
St. Cloud MSA	0	1	1	0	2
Regional and Statewide Minnesota	5	11	4	0	20
Total	14	45	42	35	136

Numerous bank employees devoted time to providing financial expertise to organizations that support community development initiatives. In many cases, employees served in leadership roles, such as board or committee members. Most of the bank's community development services provided expertise to organizations facilitating community services for LMI individuals or families, revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, and economic development. Bank employees also provided some services to organizations supporting affordable housing. The bank's services are responsive to the community development needs in the bank's AAs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development services benefiting geographies outside the bank's Minnesota AAs. During the evaluation period, an employee provided a service to an organization that supported the revitalization or stabilization of qualified tracts. The table above does not include this service.

METROPOLITAN AREA FULL-SCOPE REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL-BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA-WISCONSIN, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Bank Information. The bank operates eight full-service branches in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. The branches are in Apple Valley, Braham, Forest Lake, Jordan, Lakeville, Montgomery, Vadnais Heights, and Waterville. The bank's Vadnais Heights office is new since the previous evaluation due to the bank's merger with Peoples Bank Midwest on October 17, 2020. All branches have drive-up facilities; three locations have cash-dispensing-only ATMs. The bank also operates one cash-dispensing-only ATM at a retail location. By number, the bank has 20.5% of its offices (including drive-up facilities) in this AA. Refer to the Service Test section for this AA and Institution section of the evaluation for more details on the bank's retail services.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranked 28th among 138 FDIC-insured institutions operating in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI MSA (Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA), with a 0.2% deposit market share and \$531.0 million in deposits. The deposits in this AA represent 21.6% of the bank's overall deposits. The bank competes with various types of financial institutions in this AA, including community, regional, and large national banks, as well as credit unions. Credit unions are not represented in the FDIC deposit market share information.

Assessment Area Information. The Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA is in the east-central portion of Minnesota. The AA includes Anoka, Chisago, Dakota, Hennepin, Isanti, Le Sueur, Ramsey, Scott, Sherburne, and Washington counties, which are part of the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA. Since the previous evaluation, the bank added Sherburne County to its AA and omitted one tract from Waseca County, which is not included in the MSA. In addition, during the evaluation period, the number of census tracts in the AAs and income classifications of some tracts changed based on FFIEC adjusted census data. In 2020, this AA had 54 low-, 164 moderate-, 301 middle-, 184 upper-, and seven unknown-income census tracts. In 2021, this AA had 54 low-, 165 moderate-, 309 middle-, 185 upper-, and seven unknown-income census tracts. In 2022, this AA had 49 low-, 179 moderate-, 350 middle-, 218 upper-, and 11 unknown-income census tracts. The unknown-income census tracts include Fort Snelling, the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport, transit areas and rail lines, a university, a correctional facility, and park land.

The AA is geographically diverse and includes urban areas (largely the cities of Minneapolis and St. Paul and surrounding cities) and rural areas, including some agricultural land. The Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community is in Scott County. The Prairie Island Indian Community's trust land is in Dakota County.

The following Combined Demographics Report provides demographic information for this AA, based on 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data and D&B data.¹³

_

¹³ The FFIEC adjusted census data is based on decennial U.S. Census data and ACS five-year estimate data; it also reflects changes in the OMB revised MSA delineations.

			Combined D	Combined Demographics Report											
		20)22 Minnea _j	polis-St. Pau	ıl MSA AA										
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families Inco	•	Families < Level a Families	s % of	Families t	-							
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%							
Low	49	6.1	34,888	4.4	9,223	26.4	156,173	19.9							
Moderate	179	22.2	146,762	18.7	14,442	9.8	141,417	18.0							
Middle	350	43.4	363,996	46.4	13,320	3.7	177,840	22.7							
Upper	218	27.0	236,961	30.2	4,583	1.9	308,794	39.4							
Unknown	11	1.4	1,647	0.2	233	14.1	0	0.0							
Total AA	807	100.0	784,224	100.0	41,801	5.3	784,224	100.0							
	II			Housi	ng Type by	Tract									
	Housing Units by	Ov	vner-occupi	ed	Ren	ıtal	Vac	ant							
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit							
Low	67,976	21,308	2.5	31.3	42,337	62.3	4,331	6.4							
Moderate	275,892	147,460	17.1	53.4	116,496	42.2	11,936	4.3							
Middle	584,389	418,332	48.5	71.6	141,676	24.2	24,381	4.2							
Upper	362,345	273,989	31.8	75.6	73,320	20.2	15,036	4.1							
Unknown	8,557	724	0.1	8.5	7,249	84.7	584	6.8							
Total AA	1,229,159	861,813	100.0	66.3	381,078	29.3	56,268	4.3							
	Total Dusi	luossos by		Busine	sses by Tra	ct & Reveni	ue Size								
	Total Busi Tra	•	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported								
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%							
Low	6,253	3.7	5,599	3.7	592	4.3	62	3.7							
Moderate	29,976	17.9	26,953	17.7	2,793	20.3	230	13.7							
Middle	73,845	44.0	67,235	44.1	5,895	42.9	715	42.6							
Upper	56,312	33.6	51,338	33.7	4,311	31.4	663	39.5							
Unknown	1,339	0.8	1,186	0.8	144	1.0	9	0.5							
Total AA	167,725	100.0	152,311	100.0	13,735	100.0	1,679	100.0							
Percenta	ge of Total I	Businesses:		90.8		8.2		1.0							
	70 / J.D.	,		Farr	ns by Tract	& Revenue	Size								
	Total Fa Tra		Less Th \$1 M		Over \$1	Million	Revent Repo								
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%							
Low	16	0.8	16	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0							
Moderate	164	7.7	158	7.6	4	11.4	2	33.3							

	Combined Demographics Report											
2022 Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA												
Middle	1,262	59.2	1,238	59.3	21	60.0	3	50.0				
Upper	683	32.1	672	32.2	10	28.6	1	16.7				
Unknown	5	0.2	5	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total AA	Total AA 2,130 100.0 2,089 100.0 35 100.0 6 100.0											
Perc	Percentage of Total Farms: 98.1 1.6 0.3											
Source: 20	Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data and 2022 D&R Information											

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data and 2022 D&B Information Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Income. For purposes of classifying borrower income, this evaluation uses the FFIEC's estimated median family income for the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, for the year of loan origination. In 2020, this evaluation also used the FFIEC's estimated median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Minnesota. The tables below show the estimated income for each year and the range for low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers.

	Borrower Income Levels Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN MSA												
F	FFIEC Low Moderate Middle Upper												
Medi	Estimated Median Family Income 0 - 49.99%		49.99%	50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above	
2020	\$93,700	0	-	\$46,849	\$46,850	-	\$74,959	\$74,960	-	\$112,439	\$112,440	-	& above
2021	\$100,600	0	-	\$50,299	\$50,300	-	\$80,479	\$80,480	-	\$120,719	\$120,720	-	& above
2022	\$117,800	0	-	\$58,899	\$58,900	-	\$94,239	\$94,240	-	\$141,359	\$141,360	-	& above

	Borrower Income Levels Nonmetropolitan Areas of Minnesota												
	FIEC		Ι	Low	Mo	Moderate		Middle			Upper		
	imated												
	n Family	0	-	49.99%	50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above
In	Income												
2020	\$70,900	0	-	\$35,449	\$35,450	-	\$56,719	\$56,720	-	\$85,079	\$85,080	-	& above

For purposes of classifying census tracts by income level, this evaluation uses FFIEC's adjusted census data median family income for the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, which was \$84,589 for 2020 and 2021, and \$103,977 for 2022, as well as the median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Minnesota in 2020, which was \$63,045.

Population. According to the 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the population of the AA is 3,279,795. Hennepin County has the highest percentage (39.1%) of the AA population, followed by Ramsey County (16.8%) and Dakota County (13.4%). The majority (52.9%) of the population is between 25 to 64 years of age. Individuals 17 years or younger comprise 22.9% of the population, 13.8% are residents 65 years and over, and 8.2% are residents aged 18 to 24 years.

Housing Information. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the AA has 1,299,159 housing units; 66.3% are owner-occupied, 29.3% are rental units, and 4.3% are vacant. The median age of the

housing stock is 48 years, which is slightly higher than the Minnesota statewide median age of 43 years. The median housing value is \$272,560, and the affordability ratio is 29.9, which is comparable to the affordability ratio of 31.1 for the state. The affordability ratio is defined as the median household income divided by the median housing value; a higher ratio indicates greater affordability.

A community contact stated that housing for LMI individuals and families within the seven-county metropolitan area is challenging. The contact and bank management noted a shortage of affordable housing for lower-income individuals. Starter homes in the area have continued to increase in value and are generally unaffordable. While new developments continue to be constructed, the building is not occurring at a rapid pace and the cost of new homes is not affordable for most lower-income individuals. Bank management noted that newly built homes are valued starting around \$420,000. Buyers seek out homes priced in the \$200,000 to \$250,000 range, but homes at that price aren't available. Taxes and high interest rates also impact affordability for potential buyers. A contact and bank management noted the prevalence of investment properties in the area. Older, entry level homes are typically purchased by investors. Homeownership by out-of-state investors has increased. Bank management also noted the rental vacancy rates are low in the area.

The table below shows the housing cost burden for renters and owners by geography and income level in the AA, Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, counties in the AA, and state of Minnesota. As reflected in the table, renters and homeowners in the low-income bracket greatly exceed HUD's 30% cost-burden threshold. Renters and homeowners in the moderate-income bracket fare much better than low-income renters and homeowners, but in many cases, their cost-burden is near or just above the HUD threshold. Overall, the cost burden for renters and homeowners in the AA is generally comparable to the cost burden for those in statewide Minnesota.

Housing Cost Burden										
Area	Cost 1	Burden - Rei	nters	Cost	Burden - Ov	vners				
	Low Income	Moderate Income	All Renters	Low Income	Moderate Income	All Owners				
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA	74.5%	28.2%	42.0%	62.0%	28.2%	16.9%				
Anoka County, MN	76.4%	27.1%	41.6%	61.6%	23.2%	16.8%				
Chisago County, MN	62.7%	12.9%	38.5%	61.8%	28.3%	19.1%				
Dakota County, MN	75.1%	30.9%	41.6%	61.2%	30.9%	15.6%				
Hennepin County, MN	74.4%	30.1%	41.0%	65.0%	29.7%	17.6%				
Isanti County, MN	67.5%	21.3%	42.3%	58.2%	25.6%	19.8%				
Le Sueur County, MN	60.8%	31.1%	37.3%	56.0%	30.0%	15.5%				
Ramsey County, MN	73.8%	21.7%	44.4%	59.2%	23.8%	17.1%				
Scott County, MN	77.1%	28.2%	43.6%	62.7%	42.5%	17.8%				
Sherburne County, MN	68.0%	10.0%	34.5%	54.9%	30.5%	15.9%				
Washington County, MN	81.8%	43.8%	45.5%	61.1%	26.9%	15.2%				
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI MSA	73.9%	27.3%	41.5%	61.8%	28.5%	16.9%				
Minnesota	71.3%	25.6%	40.7%	59.4%	26.7%	16.7%				

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30 percent or more of household income

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2016-2020 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy General Economic and Business Information. According to a community contact, the local economy is stable. Given the size and composition (a large metropolitan area with some rural areas) of the AA, economic conditions can somewhat vary by area. The AA has a diverse economy with industries such as health care, education, finance (including banking and insurance), entertainment (including performance arts, concerts, and professional sports), food services, construction, and retail and hospitality. The Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA includes the headquarters of a variety of Fortune 500 companies and a variety of smaller businesses. Major local employers include health care facilities, county and state government, local school districts, higher education facilities, retailers, restaurants, and financial institutions. During the evaluation period, the onset of the pandemic prompted businesses to shut down and lay off employees, especially smaller businesses. Large employers made strong efforts to retain employees. Many companies have adopted a hybrid work approach due to the pandemic.

Agriculture is primarily in Dakota, Isanti, Le Sueur, and Scott counties. Commodity prices have been good, and generally, farmers are doing well; the agricultural sector has been strong. Corn and soybeans are the primary crops in the area. Some dairy operations are also located here. Input costs increased notably in 2022. Bank management noted that farms are getting bigger; small farms are being sold to larger operations rather than transitioning from one generation of family to the next. Small farms can find it hard to compete with larger operations, especially given high land prices. During the pandemic, farmers had more cash on hand and demand for traditional agricultural bank loans was down due to the availability of PPP lending.

The annual average unemployment rate for the AA was relatively consistent with the rate for statewide Minnesota from 2020 to 2022. The annual average unemployment rates in 2020 were higher than 2021 and 2022 given the onset of the pandemic. The rates declined over 2021 and 2022 as typical business operations resumed. The following table provides the annual average unemployment rates for the AA, counties in the AA, the MSA, and the state of Minnesota.¹⁴

Annual Average Unemployment Rates									
2020	2021	2022							
6.5%	3.8%	2.5%							
6.4%	3.8%	2.6%							
6.7%	4.1%	3.1%							
6.3%	3.5%	2.4%							
6.6%	3.8%	2.5%							
6.8%	4.5%	3.4%							
6.9%	4.6%	3.6%							
7.0%	4.2%	2.7%							
5.9%	3.4%	2.3%							
6.2%	3.9%	2.9%							
5.7%	3.3%	2.3%							
6.5%	3.8%	2.6%							
6.3%	3.8%	2.7%							
	6.5% 6.4% 6.7% 6.3% 6.6% 6.8% 6.9% 7.0% 5.9% 6.2% 5.7% 6.5%	2020 2021 6.5% 3.8% 6.4% 3.8% 6.7% 4.1% 6.3% 3.5% 6.6% 3.8% 6.8% 4.5% 6.9% 4.6% 7.0% 4.2% 5.9% 3.4% 6.2% 3.9% 5.7% 3.3% 6.5% 3.8%							

_

¹⁴ The Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA row in the table includes county-level data for all counties in the bank's AA.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL-BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA-WISCONSIN, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA is excellent. Lending levels show good responsiveness to AA credit needs. Overall, the distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent. Overall, the geographic distribution of loans is good. The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank extensively uses flexible loan programs. Examiners assigned the most weight to HMDA and small business loans, followed by small farm loans based on loan number volume during the evaluation period.

The Investment Test performance in this AA is significant. The bank made a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, which shows good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

Under the Service Test, delivery systems are accessible to all portions of the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Further, the bank is a leader in providing community development services.

LENDING TEST

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA is excellent.

Lending Activity

Overall, the bank's lending activity reflects good responsiveness to AA credit needs. For HMDA loans, the bank ranked 69th among 697 lenders in 2021, and 74th among 682 lenders in 2022, with 0.2% market share each year. For small business and small farm loans, the bank ranked 15th among 219 lenders reporting CRA-reportable loans in 2021, with a 0.9% market share. In 2022, the bank ranked 22nd among 176 lenders reporting CRA-reportable loans, with a 0.5% market share. During the evaluation period, lending activity in this AA represented 23.6% by number and 30.0% by dollar amount of the bank's total lending. Within the Minnesota AAs, the lending activity in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA was 28.2% by number and 35.1% by dollar amount.

Distribution by Borrower Income Level and by Size of Businesses and Farms

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers is good. The following tables show the bank's HMDA loan data by income level and product type for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distributio		~ ~	e Lending By I	Borrower Inco	ome Level	
			Bank And Agg				Families by
Borrower Income Level	Bank		Agg	Banl	k	Agg	Family Income
Income Bever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	º/o
			Home Purc	chase Loans			
Low	10	6.5	10.2	1,721	4.0	6.0	20.3
Moderate	25	16.1	24.5	4,971	11.6	19.5	17.2
Middle	32	20.6	20.2	9,191	21.5	19.8	21.9
Upper	47	30.3	25.9	19,055	44.5	36.2	40.6
Unknown	41	26.5	19.2	7,902	18.4	18.6	0.0
Total	155	100.0	100.0	42,840	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinan	ce Loans			
Low	30	8.6	8.3	3,727	4.3	4.9	20.3
Moderate	74	21.3	20.4	13,240	15.3	15.4	17.2
Middle	89	25.6	22.6	19,774	22.8	20.6	21.9
Upper	143	41.1	33.2	46,957	54.1	42.4	40.6
Unknown	12	3.4	15.5	3,079	3.5	16.6	0.0
Total	348	100.0	100.0	86,777	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impro	vement Loans	<u> </u>	.,	
Low	0	0.0	5.0	0	0.0	3.7	20.3
Moderate	2	40.0	14.4	132	38.2	10.8	17.2
Middle	1	20.0	22.5	150	43.4	18.1	21.9
Upper	2	40.0	53.7	64	18.5	61.6	40.6
Unknown	0	0.0	4.4	0	0.0	5.8	0.0
Total	5	100.0	100.0	346	100.0	100.0	100.0
	•	'	Total Home M	Iortgage Loans	•		
Low	40	7.8	8.8	5,448	4.2	5.3	20.3
Moderate	102	19.9	21.5	18,671	14.3	17.0	17.2
Middle	122	23.8	21.6	29,115	22.3	20.1	21.9
Upper	195	38.1	31.5	66,526	50.9	40.1	40.6
Unknown	53	10.4	16.7	10,981	8.4	17.5	0.0
Total	512	100.0	100.0	130,741	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distributio			ge Lending By St. Paul MSA	Borrower In AA	come Level	
			Bank And Agg	gregate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Bank	ζ	Agg	Agg Bank			Family Income
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Other Pu	ırpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	3.0	20.3
Moderate	0	0.0	14.6	0	0.0	9.4	17.2
Middle	0	0.0	22.0	0	0.0	16.0	21.9
Upper	0	0.0	54.3	0	0.0	66.8	40.6
Unknown	0	0.0	4.4	0	0.0	4.8	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exemp	1		
Low	0	0.0	12.8	0	0.0	6.7	20.3
Moderate	1	25.0	23.1	328	42.2	12.9	17.2
Middle	0	0.0	19.5	0	0.0	13.7	21.9
Upper	3	75.0	39.8	450	57.8	58.6	40.6
Unknown	0	0.0	4.8	0	0.0	8.1	0.0
Total	4	100.0	100.0	778	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Purpose N	ot Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.5	20.3
Moderate	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.2	17.2
Middle	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.3	21.9
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	40.6
Unknown	0	0.0	98.9	0	0.0	99.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribu	tion of 2022 H	lome Mortgaş Minneapolis-S	, .		come Level	
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Ba	ınk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income
Income never	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	14	10.1	10.8	1,986	4.3	5.9	19.9
Moderate	27	19.6	25.1	7,018	15.4	19.5	18.0
Middle	30	21.7	20.5	9,306	20.4	20.4	22.7
Upper	48	34.8	23.7	23,717	51.9	35.2	39.4
Unknown	19	13.8	19.9	3,676	8.0	19.0	0.0
Total	138	100.0	100.0	45,703	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	10	19.2	15.4	1,290	9.7	9.8	19.9
Moderate	17	32.7	25.3	3,395	25.5	20.2	18.0
Middle	6	11.5	21.8	2,044	15.4	20.2	22.7
Upper	14	26.9	26.1	5,253	39.5	36.2	39.4
Unknown	5	9.6	11.5	1,315	9.9	13.7	0.0
Total	52	100.0	100.0	13,297	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	3	7.3	7.4	135	2.7	4.6	19.9
Moderate	12	29.3	19.9	1,028	20.7	13.8	18.0
Middle	5	12.2	25.5	435	8.8	20.9	22.7
Upper	19	46.3	43.9	2,155	43.4	56.0	39.4
Unknown	2	4.9	3.3	1,214	24.4	4.6	0.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	4,967	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home	Mortgage Loans			
Low	32	12.7	11.5	3,787	5.7	6.7	19.9
Moderate	62	24.6	24.3	12,056	18.3	19.3	18.0
Middle	47	18.7	21.6	12,291	18.7	20.3	22.7
Upper	85	33.7	27.1	31,544	47.9	36.6	39.4
Unknown	26	10.3	15.5	6,205	9.4	17.1	0.0
Total	252	100.0	100.0	65,883	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA										
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by				
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income				
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%0				
Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	8.7	0	0.0	5.4	19.9				
Moderate	0	0.0	20.8	0	0.0	14.9	18.0				
Middle	0	0.0	24.8	0	0.0	19.9	22.7				
Upper	0	0.0	41.4	0	0.0	55.2	39.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	4.6	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t						
Low	5	23.8	11.4	376	19.6	6.0	19.9				
Moderate	6	28.6	23.3	615	32.1	14.9	18.0				
Middle	6	28.6	28.0	506	26.4	18.0	22.7				
Upper	4	19.0	33.1	419	21.9	54.2	39.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	4.1	0	0.0	7.0	0.0				
Total	21	100.0	100.0	1,916	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose N	ot Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	0.8	19.9				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.6	18.0				
Middle	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.3	22.7				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	39.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	98.0	0	0.0	98.4	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers was comparable to aggregate lenders' performance. The bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers for both years was below demographics. The bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers was comparable to demographics in 2021 and exceeded demographics in 2022. Based on 2021 FFIEC adjusted census data, 20.3% of families in the AA are low income and 17.2% are moderate income. This data for 2022 shows that 19.9% of families in the AA are low income and 18.0% are moderate income. The bank's lending to LMI borrowers is reasonable given the competitive market for HMDA loans as well as affordability factors. The bank competes with various types of institutions for these loans in the AA, including mortgage companies, credit unions, regional banks, and large national banks.

Homes in the AA may be difficult for LMI borrowers to afford based on an assumption that a borrower can obtain a loan for approximately three times the borrower's annual income. Using the 2022 FFIEC

estimated median family income of \$117,800 for the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA, an individual with the highest income in the low-income bracket (\$58,899) could afford a \$176,697 home. Using the same assumption, borrowers with the highest income in the moderate-income bracket (\$94,239) could afford a \$282,717 home. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the median housing value in the AA is \$272,560. A community contact and bank management noted an affordable housing shortage in the AA. Homes at an affordable price for lower-income individuals or families are limited and are quickly purchased, often by investors, when available. This information demonstrates that homeownership would likely be unaffordable for most low-income borrowers, as well as some moderate-income borrowers.

In this AA, the bank made use of flexible lending programs to help the credit needs of LMI borrowers. The bank participated in the Fannie Mae HomeReady program, USDA RD loan program, and MHFA Fix Up loan program, which help LMI borrowers achieve homeownership and improve their properties. The bank also used FHA and VA loan programs in the AA. During the evaluation period, the bank's use of these programs totaled approximately \$5.2 million in loans. For more information on these flexible lending programs, refer to the Institution section of this evaluation.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The distribution of small business loans among businesses of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the small business loan data by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribu	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses										
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA										
		Ba	ank And Agg	gregate Loa	ns		Total				
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less 389 63.1 50.3 37,836 40.8 27.4 90.											
Over \$1 Million	196	31.8		52,607	56.8		8.3				
Revenue Unknown	31	5.0		2,199	2.4		0.9				
Total	616	100.0		92,642	100.0		100.0				
		E	By Loan Size	•							
\$100,000 or Less	402	65.3	92.1	12,767	13.8	32.0					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	104	16.9	4.0	18,921	20.4	16.0					
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	110	17.9	3.9	60,954	65.8	52.0					
Total	616	100.0	100.0	92,642	100.0	100.0					
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less						
\$100,000 or Less	312	80.2		7,917	20.9						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	35	9.0		6,298	16.6						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	42	10.8		23,621	62.4						
Total	389	100.0		37,836	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses										
		Minneap	olis-St. Paul	MSA AA						
		Total								
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Bank		Agg	Businesses			
Bever	# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$%									
By Revenue										
\$1 Million or Less	147	53.5	49.8	23,372	37.8	28.9	90.8			
Over \$1 Million	119	43.3		37,106	60.0		8.2			
Revenue Unknown	9	3.3		1,318	2.1		1.0			
Total	275	100.0		61,796	100.0		100.0			
]	By Loan Siz	e						
\$100,000 or Less	131	47.6	93.5	7,012	11.3	34.8				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	64	23.3	3.1	12,277	19.9	14.4				
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	80	29.1	3.4	42,507	68.8	50.8				
Total	275	100.0	100.0	61,796	100.0	100.0				
	By Lo	oan Size and	d Revenues	§1 Million o	r Less					
\$100,000 or Less	88	59.9		4,082	17.5					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	34	23.1		6,619	28.3					
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	25	17.0		12,671	54.2					
Total	147	100.0		23,372	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to small businesses exceeded and was slightly above aggregate lenders' activity, respectively. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 90.7% and 90.8% of businesses had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less, respectively. The bank's and aggregate lenders' performance were below demographics. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 80.2% and 59.9%, respectively, of its small business loans to small entities for \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small businesses that tend to request small-dollar loans. The bank competes with various creditors in this AA for small business loans, including several large national banks; many of these banks are large credit card issuers.

The bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program in 2020 and 2021. These loans were designed to help businesses keep their workforces employed during the pandemic. The bank originated over 860 business-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$71.0 million in this AA. ¹⁵ Of these PPP loans, over half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small businesses. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small business credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

_

¹⁵ This PPP data excludes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small farm loan borrower distribution section.

The bank also made extensive use of other SBA programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$26.3 million in loans through these programs.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The bank's lending to small farms of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the bank's small farm lending by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms												
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA												
		Ba	ink And Ag	gregate Loa	ns		Total						
	Bank Agg Bank Agg						Total Farms %						
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 1115 / 0						
By Revenue													
\$1 Million or Less	136	93.8	62.5	7,703	84.5	65.5	98.0						
Over \$1 Million	5	3.4		967	10.6		1.8						
Revenue Unknown	4	2.8		441	4.8		0.3						
Total	145	100.0		9,111	100.0		100.0						
	By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	120	82.8	90.0	2,799	30.7	37.0							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	14	9.7	5.7	2,329	25.6	23.7							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	11	7.6	4.3	3,983	43.7	39.3							
Total	145	100.0	100.0	9,111	100.0	100.0							
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less								
\$100,000 or Less	115	84.6		2,614	33.9								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	8.8		1,989	25.8								
\$250,001 - \$500,000	9	6.6		3,100	40.2								
Total	136	100.0		7,703	100.0								

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA												
		Ba	nk And Ag	gregate Loa	ns							
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	raims 70					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	58	86.6	64.4	8,042	85.3	74.4	98.1					
Over \$1 Million	7	10.4		1,322	14.0		1.6					
Revenue Unknown	2	3.0		60	0.6		0.3					
Total	67	100.0		9,424	100.0		100.0					
	By Loan Size											
\$100,000 or Less	35	52.2	87.6	1,926	20.4	31.1						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	20	29.9	6.5	3,228	34.3	22.4						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	12	17.9	5.9	4,270	45.3	46.5						
Total	67	100.0	100.0	9,424	100.0	100.0						
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	31	53.4		1,706	21.2	_						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	16	27.6		2,566	31.9							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	11	19.0		3,770	46.9							
Total	58	100.0		8,042	100.0							

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to small farms exceeded aggregate lenders' activity. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 98.0% and 98.1% of farms in this AA had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less, respectively. The bank's and aggregate lenders' performance were below demographics; the bank's lending compared more favorably to demographics than aggregate lenders. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 84.6% and 53.4%, respectively, of its small farm loans to small entities for \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small farms that tend to request small-dollar loans. During the evaluation period, farmers' need for traditional loans was somewhat limited due to the availability of the PPP loans. The bank's competition for small farm loans primarily includes large national banks, as well as an agriculture-related finance company. The bank was a leading small farm lender by number volume in 2021 and 2022, according to CRA-reportable data.

The bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program in 2020 and 2021. The bank originated over 95 agriculture-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$1.9 million in this AA. ¹⁶ Of these PPP loans, over half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small farms. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small farm credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

_

¹⁶ This PPP data only includes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small business loan borrower distribution section.

In addition to PPP loans, the bank also made use of the Farmer Mac loan programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$4.0 million in loans through these programs.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Geographic Distribution

Overall, the bank's geographic distribution and dispersion of the bank's HMDA, small business, and small farm loans in the AA is good and does not reveal any unexplained gaps in lending. As previously mentioned, the income classification of some census tracts, as well as the number of tracts in the AA, changed during the evaluation period. In 2020, this AA had 54 low-, 164 moderate-, 301 middle-, 184 upper-, and seven unknown-income census tracts. In 2021, this AA had 54 low-, 165 moderate-, 309 middle-, 185 upper-, and seven unknown-income census tracts. In 2022, this AA had 49 low-, 179 moderate-, 350 middle-, 218 upper-, and 11 unknown-income census tracts.

Residential Real Estate. The geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA loans is good. The following tables show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 HMDA loans by census tract income level. The tables also include aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distributio	n of 2021 Hon	ne Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	I					
		N	Ainneapolis-S	St. Paul MSA	AA							
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans								
Geographic Income Level	Bai	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
Home Purchase Loans												
Low	9	5.8	3.7	1,523	3.6	2.5	2.3					
Moderate	46	29.7	18.2	8,839	20.6	13.8	16.3					
Middle	68	43.9	47.3	19,438	45.4	44.2	47.7					
Upper	32	20.6	30.7	13,040	30.4	39.4	33.7					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.1	0.1					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	155	100.0	100.0	42,840	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Refina	nce Loans								
Low	1	0.3	1.7	489	0.6	1.2	2.3					
Moderate	66	19.0	13.1	11,188	12.9	9.7	16.3					
Middle	185	53.2	47.1	43,669	50.3	42.5	47.7					
Upper	96	27.6	38.1	31,431	36.2	46.6	33.7					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	348	100.0	100.0	86,777	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Home Impr	ovement Loans								
Low	0	0.0	1.5	0	0.0	1.3	2.3					
Moderate	2	40.0	10.7	117	33.8	8.7	16.3					
Middle	3	60.0	43.8	229	66.2	40.2	47.7					
Upper	0	0.0	43.9	0	0.0	49.7	33.7					

	Distributio	on of 2021 Ho	me Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	<i>I</i>				
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA										
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.1	0.1				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	5	100.0	100.0	346	100.0	100.0	100.0				
	Multi-family Units										
Low	Low 1 20.0 13.8 1,120 26.5 8.6										
Moderate	2	40.0	32.2	2,227	52.7	23.9	31.1				
Middle	2	40.0	36.4	880	20.8	41.0	39.9				
Upper	0	0.0	16.3	0	0.0	23.4	15.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	3.0	1.3				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	5	100.0	100.0	4,227	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	11	2.1	2.5	3,132	2.3	2.1	2.3				
Moderate	116	22.4	14.9	22,371	16.6	12.1	16.3				
Middle	261	50.5	47.0	64,760	48.0	43.0	47.7				
Upper	129	25.0	35.5	44,705	33.1	42.5	33.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.2	0.1				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	517	100.0	100.0	134,968	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography												
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA												
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %						
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
Other Purpose LOC													
Low 0 0.0 0.9 0 0.0 0.5 2.3													
Moderate	0	0.0	9.2	0	0.0	6.2	16.3						
Middle	0	0.0	42.1	0	0.0	35.8	47.7						
Upper	0	0.0	47.7	0	0.0	57.2	33.7						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.3	0.1						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t								
Low	0	0.0	3.4	0	0.0	2.2	2.3						
Moderate	0	0.0	13.7	0	0.0	7.1	16.3						
Middle	3	75.0	46.1	544	69.9	36.6	47.7						
Upper	1	25.0	36.8	234	30.1	54.0	33.7						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.1						

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography										
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA										
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	4	100.0	100.0	778	100.0	100.0	100.0				
	Purpose Not Applicable										
Low	0	0.0	6.0	0	0.0	5.8	2.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	27.6	0	0.0	23.7	16.3				
Middle	0	0.0	51.7	0	0.0	53.3	47.7				
Upper	0	0.0	14.6	0	0.0	17.2	33.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.1				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution		0 0	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	7		
Geographic Income Level									
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%			
			Home Pu	rchase Loans					
Low	2	1.4	3.8	483	1.1	2.4	2.5		
Moderate	23	16.7	20.2	5,453	11.9	14.6	17.1		
Middle	73	52.9	46.1	20,938	45.8	42.8	48.5		
Upper	40	29.0	29.8	18,829	41.2	39.9	31.8		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.2	0.1		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	138	100.0	100.0	45,703	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	•	1	Refina	nce Loans					
Low	2	3.8	2.5	286	2.2	1.7	2.5		
Moderate	7	13.5	16.5	1,310	9.9	12.0	17.1		
Middle	35	67.3	48.9	9,061	68.1	43.7	48.5		
Upper	8	15.4	31.9	2,640	19.9	42.4	31.8		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.3	0.1		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	52	100.0	100.0	13,297	100.0	100.0	100.0		
		"	Home Impr	ovement Loans					
Low	1	2.4	1.5	599	12.1	1.1	2.5		
Moderate	4	9.8	11.8	399	8.0	8.7	17.1		
Middle	23	56.1	46.4	2,290	46.1	40.1	48.5		
Upper	13	31.7	40.3	1,679	33.8	50.1	31.8		

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
	Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA											
Unknown	0	0.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.1	0.1					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	41	100.0	100.0	4,967	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	Multifamily Loans											
Low	10.3											
Moderate	0	0.0	34.4	0	0.0	26.3	29.8					
Middle	1	25.0	34.4	1,055	22.6	36.5	36.3					
Upper	2	50.0	18.0	3,554	76.3	30.3	21.6					
Unknown	0	0.0	1.4	0	0.0	1.8	2.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	4	100.0	100.0	4,659	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %					
Low	6	2.3	3.2	1,418	2.0	2.5	2.5					
Moderate	40	15.6	18.0	7,696	10.9	14.9	17.1					
Middle	145	56.6	46.9	34,347	48.7	42.2	48.5					
Upper	65	25.4	31.7	27,081	38.4	40.1	31.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.4	0.1					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	256	100.0	100.0	70,542	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
		I	Minneapolis-S	St. Paul MSA	AA		II					
Geographic Income Level		Bank And Aggregate Loans										
	Ba	Agg	Units %									
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
	Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	1.2	2.5					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	7.5	17.1					
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	38.7	48.5					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	52.6	31.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exemp	t							
Low	0	0.0	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	2.5					
Moderate	6	28.6	14.9	534	27.9	0.0	17.1					
Middle	13	61.9	52.4	1,003	52.3	0.0	48.5					

	Distributio	n of 2022 Ho	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography										
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA													
Upper	2	9.5	31.2	379	19.8	0.0	31.8						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	21	100.0	100.0	1,916	100.0	0.0	100.0						
			Purpose N	ot Applicable									
Low	0	0.0	5.4	0	0.0	0.0	2.5						
Moderate	0	0.0	24.3	0	0.0	10.4	17.1						
Middle	0	0.0	53.7	0	0.0	55.8	48.5						
Upper	0	0.0	16.4	0	0.0	33.8	31.8						
Unknown 0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.0													
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's HMDA lending in the low-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, as well as in the moderate-income tracts in 2022, is comparable to aggregate lenders' performance and demographics. In 2021, the bank's lending in the moderate-income tracts exceeded aggregate lenders' performance and demographics. The bank made 2.1% and 2.3% of its HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the low-income tracts. Demographics also show that 66.5% of the housing in the low-income tracts in 2021 and 62.3% in 2022 was rental. The bank made 22.4% and 15.6% of its HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. Demographics show that 43.8% of the housing in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 42.2% in 2022 was rental.

During these two years, the bank did not make HMDA loans in numerous tracts, including many LMI tracts. However, many of the LMI tracts are located somewhat centrally in the AA, in or near Minneapolis and St. Paul, where the bank's branch presence is minimal. The bank's AA is geographically large with significant urban areas. Numerous types of creditors operate throughout the AA and compete for lending opportunities. Despite these factors, the bank performed comparably to aggregate lenders and demographics, and in the instance mentioned above, exceeded aggregate lenders' activity and demographics.

The bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The geographic distribution of small business loans is good. The following tables show the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA												
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	12	1.9	5.1	4,849	5.2	4.8	4.9					
Moderate	162	26.3	17.3	20,445	22.1	18.5	17.6					
Middle	293	47.6	43.9	42,474	45.8	46.6	45.4					
Upper	149	24.2	33.1	24,874	26.8	29.8	31.8					
Unknown	nown 0 0.0 0.2 0 0.0 0.2											
Tract-Unk 0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.1												
Total	616	100.0	100.0	92,642	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography										
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA											
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses				
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
Low	4	1.5	3.6	1,579	2.6	2.7	3.7				
Moderate	39	14.2	17.2	9,391	15.2	17.7	17.9				
Middle	167	60.7	43.3	37,325	60.4	42.6	44.0				
Upper	65	23.6	34.8	13,501	21.8	36.2	33.6				
Unknown	Unknown 0 0.0 0.7 0 0.0 0.8										
Tract-Unk 0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.1											
Total	275	100.0	100.0	61,796	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's small business lending in the low-income tracts was slightly below aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and comparable in 2022. For the low-income tracts, the bank's performance was comparable to demographics for both years. The bank made 1.9% and 1.5% of its small business loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the low-income tracts. Demographics show that 4.9% and 3.7% of the AA's businesses were in the low-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively. The bank's small business lending in the moderate-income tracts exceeded aggregate lenders' performance and demographics in 2021 and was comparable to both in 2022. The bank made 26.3% and 14.2% of its small business loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. Demographics show that 17.6% and 17.9% of the AA's businesses were in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively.

Similar to its HMDA lending, during these two years, the bank did not make small business loans in numerous tracts, including many LMI tracts. As mentioned, many of the LMI tracts are located somewhat centrally in the AA, in or near Minneapolis and St. Paul, where the bank's branch presence is minimal. In addition, the bank competes with numerous creditors for small business lending opportunities in this large AA. Despite these factors, the bank performed comparably to aggregate lenders and demographics, and in the instance mentioned above, exceeded aggregate lenders' activity and demographics.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The geographic distribution of small farm loans is excellent. The following tables show the bank's distribution of 2021 and 2022 small farm loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA									
Geographic Income Level	Bank And Aggregate Loans								
	Bank		Agg	Agg Bank		Agg	Total Farms %		
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 ai iii 5 / 0		
Low	0	0.0	0.7	0	0.0	0.8	1.0		
Moderate	46	31.7	13.6	2,551	28.0	13.9	11.3		
Middle	90	62.1	63.6	5,315	58.3	65.1	57.6		
Upper	9	6.2	22.1	1,245	13.7	20.2	30.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	145	100.0	100.0	9,111	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note:

Unknown

Tract-Unk

Total

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA **Bank And Aggregate Loans** Geographic Total Income Bank Agg Bank Agg Farms % Level #% # #% \$(000) \$% \$% 0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.1 Low 0 0.8 14 20.9 8.7 2,385 25.3 11.2 7.7 Moderate Middle 46 68.7 66.8 5,662 60.1 62.8 59.2 Upper 7 10.4 24.1 1,377 14.6 26.0 32.1

0.0

0.0

100.0

0

0

9,424

0.0

0.0

100.0

0.0

0.0

100.0

0.2

100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

0.0

0.0

100.0

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

0

0

67

In 2021 and 2022, the bank did not make small farm loans in the low-income tracts. Despite having no loans in these tracts, the bank's performance is considered comparable to aggregate lenders' activity and demographics. Aggregate lenders reported 0.7% and 0.3% of their loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the low-income tracts. The bank's lack of small farm lending in these tracts is reasonable given the demographics of the low-income tracts. In 2021 and 2022, only 1.0% and 0.8% of the AA's farms were located in the low-income tracts. Very few farms are located in these tracts as most are urban.

The bank's small farm lending in the moderate-income tracts exceeded aggregate lenders' activity and demographics in 2021 and 2022. The bank made 31.7% and 20.9% of its small farm loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. Demographics show that 11.3% and 7.7% of the AA's farms were in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively. Aggregate lenders reported 13.6% and 8.7% of their loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts.

The bank did not make small farm loans in many of the tracts in this AA. The bank's small farm lending generally occurred in the more rural areas of the AA, which include some moderate-income tracts. However, most of the moderate-income tracts are located in the urban areas of the AA where small farm loan demand would be extremely limited. In addition, the bank competes with several creditors for small farm lending opportunities in this AA. Given this context, the bank performed favorably against aggregate lenders and demographics.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. As shown in the table at right, the bank originated or renewed 101 community development loans totaling \$133.9 million. Just over one-third of the bank's community development loans in this AA are PPP loans, which demonstrates responsiveness to community needs during the pandemic. The

Community Development Loans in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA						
Purpose	#	\$				
Affordable Housing	4	2,543,250				
Community Services	6	4,281,076				
Economic Development	58	105,909,343				
Revitalize or Stabilize	33	21,186,817				
Total	101	133,920,486				

bank made numerous loans that helped retain or create jobs for LMI individuals, as well as loans that supported the revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas. The bank also made loans financing affordable housing units, a significant need in the AA, as well as loans that help support the provision of community services to LMI individuals. The community development lending reflects excellent responsiveness to community needs.

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank made a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA.

The bank made a significant level of qualified investments. During the evaluation period, the bank purchased three bonds totaling approximately \$1.4 million. Two bonds support the revitalization or stabilization of moderate-income tracts, and one bond supports community services to LMI individuals. Of these three bonds, two financed improvements to school facilities and one financed city improvements in an area targeted for development. In addition, the bank also purchased an MBS pool, with

approximately \$1.4 million of the pool benefiting this AA. This MBS helps support the affordable housing needs in this AA. The bank also held eight prior period investments totaling \$3.4 million during the evaluation period. The prior period investments support affordable housing, revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, and community services to LMI individuals.

Donations. The bank made a significant level of qualified investments in the form of donations. As shown in the table, the bank donated \$77,873 during the evaluation period primarily to organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals. The funds helped local organizations provide food, shelter, and other basic needs; provide financial awareness; support healthcare needs; and support education in the AA. The remaining donations supported revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas, which included the support of local schools, as well as economic development in the AA.

Qualified Investment Donations in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA							
Purpose	#	\$					
Community Service	69	58,921					
Economic Development	7	8,803					
Revitalize or Stabilize	28	10,149					
Total	104	77,873					

SERVICE TEST

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to geographies and residents throughout the AA. The bank's services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. The bank is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to the geographies and individuals of different income levels in the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI individuals or areas. The bank operates eight branches in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. All branches have drive-up facilities, one branch has extended hours, and two branches have weekend hours. The bank also operates four cash-dispensing-only ATMs in the AA: three at branches and one at a retail location. As of 2022, two branches are in moderate-income tracts, five branches are in middle-income tracts, and one branch is in an upper-income tract. Prior to census tract income-level changes effective for 2022, four branches were located in moderate-income tracts in 2020 and 2021. In late 2020, the bank acquired a branch in Vadnais Heights, which is located in a tract designated as moderate income as of 2022 and was about a mile from a moderate-income tract from acquisition through 2021. This acquisition added a branch in Ramsey County where the bank did not have a presence. Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on additional delivery services the bank offers.

The following table shows the bank's geographic distribution of branches and drive-up facilities in the AA in 2022, as well as demographic data.

	2022 Geographic Distribution of Branches Assessment Area: Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA													
				BR		DEMC	GRAPHIC	CS						
Tract Income Levels	Total Branches			Opened	Opened Closed* Drive Extended Hours Weekend Hours		Censi	ıs Tracts	Households	Total Businesses				
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%		
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0						
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			49	6.1%	5.1%	3.7%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	2	25.0%	0	0	2	0	0						
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			179	22.2%	21.2%	17.7%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	5	62.5%	0	0	5	1	2						
Middle	DTO	0		0	0	0			350	43.4%	45.1%	44.2%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	1	12.5%	0	0	1	0	0						
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			218	27.0%	27.9%	33.6%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0						
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			11	1.4%	0.6%	0.8%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	8	100.0%	0	0	8	1	2						
Totals	DTO	0		0	0	0			807	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	LS	0		0	0									

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

*Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

The bank is a leader in providing community development services in the AA. During the evaluation period, 17 employees provided 52 services to 24 organizations. Bank employees typically served in leadership roles, such as board and committee members, while providing financial expertise to organizations. As shown in the table, the bank's services were primarily to organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals, support economic development, and help revitalize or stabilize qualified areas. The bank also served organizations with a focus on affordable housing. The bank's level and type of community development services show excellent responsiveness to community needs.

Community Development Services in the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA							
Purpose #							
Community Service	17						
Economic Development	16						
Affordable Housing	3						
Revitalize or Stabilize	16						
Total	52						

METROPOLITAN AREA FULL-SCOPE REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE DULUTH, MINNESOTA, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Bank Information. The bank operates six full-service branches, five in St. Louis County and one in Carlton County, in the Duluth MSA AA. The branches are located in Duluth, Hermantown, Virginia, Tower, Ely, and Cloquet. The bank opened the Hermantown branch during the evaluation period. All branches have drive-up facilities, except for the downtown Duluth location. Only one branch, Ely, has a cash-dispensing-only ATM. By number, the bank has 15.4% of its offices (including drive-up facilities) in this AA. Refer to the Service Test section for this AA and Institution section of the evaluation for more details on the bank's retail services.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranked sixth among 27 FDIC-insured institutions operating in the Duluth MN-WI MSA (Duluth MSA), with 5.0% market share and \$303.0 million in deposits. The deposits in these counties represent 12.3% of the bank's overall deposits. The bank competes with various types of financial institutions in this AA, including several community banks, regional banks, and large national banks. The bank also competes with credit unions not represented in the FDIC deposit market share information.

Assessment Area Information. The bank's Duluth MSA AA includes St. Louis County, Carlton County, and most of Lake County in Minnesota, as well as a portion of Douglas County in Wisconsin, which represents a significant portion of the Duluth MSA.¹⁷ The bank added most of Lake County to the AA in 2021, as well as St. Louis County unknown-income tract 9901.00 (which is a special water tract in a portion of Lake Superior) in 2022. In addition, during the evaluation period, the number of census tracts in the AA and income classifications of some tracts changed based on FFIEC adjusted census data. In 2020, the AA included eight low-, 17 moderate-, 41 middle-, and 16 upper-income tracts, for a total of 82 tracts. In 2021, the bank's AA consisted of eight low-, 18 moderate-, 43 middle-, and 16 upper-income tracts, for a total of 85 tracts. In 2022, the bank's AA consisted of three low-, 20 moderate-, 51 middle-, 20 upper-, and three unknown-income tracts, for a total of 97 tracts. The AA includes the Fond du Lac Reservation, as well as a portion of the Bois Forte Reservation. The AA is contiguous to and north of the bank's Pine City AA.

The following table shows the demographic characteristics of the AA based on the 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data and the 2022 D&B data.

¹⁷ The bank's AA includes Lake County except for one tract in a portion of Lake Superior.

Page 70

.

Combined Demographics Report 2022 Duluth MSA AA Demographics												
Income Categories	Tract Distrib		Families I	by Tract	Families < Po	overty Level as	Families by Family Income					
	# 7 3		#	%	#	%	#	%				
Low	3	3.1	1,033	1.5	390	37.8	12,746	18.9				
Moderate	20	20.6	10,964	16.2	1,436	13.1	12,404	18.4				
Middle	51	52.6	37,235	55.1	2,239	6.0	15,406	22.8				
Upper	20	20.6	17,833	26.4	319	1.8	26,983	40.0				
Unknown	3	3.1	474	0.7	217	45.8	0	0.0				
Total AA	97	100.0	67,539	100.0	4,601	6.8	67,539	100.0				
					Housing Type	by Tract						
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occup	ied	Re	ental	Vac	cant				
	by Hact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit				
Low	3,455	653	0.8	18.9	2,392	69.2	410	11.9				
Moderate	24,416	13,003	15.6	53.3	9,217	37.7	2,196	9.0				
Middle	81,679	47,638	57.0	58.3	15,521	19.0	18,520	22.7				
Upper	29,504	22,048	26.4	74.7	4,303	14.6	3,153	10.7				
Unknown	2,765	263	0.3	9.5	2,162	78.2	340	12.3				
Total AA	141,819	83,605	100.0	59.0	33,595	23.7	24,619	17.4				
				Bu	usinesses by Tr	act & Revenue Si	ize					
	Total Busines Tract	ses by	Less Tha		Over \$	1 Million	Revenue Not Reported					
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
Low	684	5.7	573	5.3	107	10.7	4	1.8				
Moderate	1,741	14.4	1,523	14.0	196	19.5	22	9.8				
Middle	5,860	48.5	5,306	48.9	411	40.9	143	63.6				
Upper	2,905	24.0	2,698	24.9	166	16.5	41	18.2				
Unknown	894	7.4	755	7.0	124	12.4	15	6.7				
Total AA 12,084 100.0 10,855 100.0 1,004 100.0 225						225	100.0					
Percer	ntage of Total Bus	sinesses:		89.8		8.3		1.9				

	Combined Demographics Report												
2022 Duluth MSA AA Demographics													
		Farms by Tract & Revenue Size											
	Total Farms by	y Tract	Less Tha		Over \$	51 Million	Revenue Not Reported						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Low	2	0.8	2	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Moderate	12	5.1	11	4.7	1	25.0	0	0.0					
Middle	175	73.8	172	73.8	3	75.0	0	0.0					
Upper	45	19.0	45	19.3	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Unknown	3	1.3	3	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total AA	237	237 100.0 233 100.0 4 100.0 0 0.0											
Pe	ercentage of Total	Farms:		98.3		1.7		0.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Income. For purposes of classifying borrower income, this evaluation uses the FFIEC's estimated median family income for the Duluth MSA for the year of loan origination. The table below shows the estimated incomes for each year and the range for low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers.

	Borrower Income Levels Duluth, MN MSA													
F	FFIEC Low Moderate Middle Upper													
Media	Estimated Median Family Income 0 - 49.99%		50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-				
2020	\$72,700	0	-	\$36,349	\$36,350	-	\$58,159	\$58,160	-	\$87,239	\$87,240	-	& above	
2021	\$74,700	0	-	\$37,349	\$37,350	-	\$59,759	\$59,760	-	\$89,639	\$89,640	-	& above	
2022	\$88,200	0	-	\$44,099	\$44,100	-	\$70,559	\$70,560	-	\$105,839	\$105,840	-	& above	

For purposes of classifying census tracts by income level, this evaluation uses the FFIEC's adjusted census data median family income for the Duluth MSA, which was \$64,033 for 2020 and 2021 and \$77,796 for 2022.

Population. According to the 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the population of the AA is 274,636. St. Louis County has the highest percentage (72.9%) of the AA population. The largest age group of the AA population, 49.7%, is 25 to 64 years of age. The remaining age groups are as follows: 19.4% of residents are 17 years and younger, 18.9% of residents are 65 years and older, and 11.4% of residents are 18 to 24 years of age.

Housing Information. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the AA has 141,819 housing units; 58.9% are owner-occupied, 23.7% are rental units, and 17.4% are vacant. The median age of the housing stock is 61 years, which is higher than the Minnesota statewide median age of 43 years. The median housing value is \$161,758, and the affordability ratio is 35.8, which is higher than the affordability ratio of 31.1 for the state of Minnesota. The affordability ratio is defined as the median household income divided by the median housing value; a higher ratio indicates greater affordability. Therefore, housing in the AA is slightly more affordable than housing in the state.

Bank management and contacts noted that affordable housing is a significant need in the AA, specifically in the City of Duluth. Several factors contribute to affordable housing concerns, including low inventory, high construction costs and interest rates, and wages that have generally increased but remain insufficient to afford housing. Considerable apartment space is occupied by senior citizens and college students, leaving limited vacancy. Additionally, a contact explained that because of the area's topography, there is limited vacant land on which to build housing; the city is considering projects that would repurpose existing land parcels and buildings to provide more housing. A contact stated that there is a growing trend of residents moving away from the downtown Duluth area toward more rural parts of St. Louis County; this trend has resulted in increased home construction activity in those areas.

Housing availability and the path to homeownership continue to be challenges on the Fond du Lac Reservation. According to a contact, the homeownership rate is low for several reasons, including limited available land on which to build housing and lack of housing inventory, for example. There are many lakes and water bogs. The contact stated that most home purchases are funded through a federal program that is underutilized, as many people are not aware of the program while others are ineligible because their incomes are slightly above the threshold.

The table below shows the housing cost burden for renters and owners by geography and income level in the Duluth MSA, counties in the AA, and states of Minnesota and Wisconsin in 2022. As reflected in the table, renters and homeowners in the low-income bracket exceed HUD's 30% cost-burden threshold. The cost burden is more significant for low-income individuals. Homeowners generally fared better in the MSA, but low-income homeowners have a significant housing cost burden. Overall, the housing cost burden for low-income renters and homeowners, as well as moderate-income owners, in the MSA is below the burden for those in statewide Minnesota and Wisconsin.

Housing Cost Burden											
	Cost	t Burden - Rei	nters	Cost Burden - Owners							
Area	Low Income	Moderate Income	All Renters	Low Income	Moderate Income	All Owners					
Duluth MSA	65.8%	27.8%	42.4%	56.0%	23.5%	16.3%					
Carlton County, MN	59.1%	24.2%	36.4%	58.6%	28.3%	16.3%					
Lake County, MN	72.6%	15.4%	32.7%	56.5%	22.7%	17.3%					
St. Louis County, MN	67.3%	29.6%	45.1%	53.1%	23.0%	15.8%					
Douglas County, WI	61.0%	23.9%	35.4%	68.0%	22.4%	18.0%					
Minnesota	71.3%	25.6%	40.7%	59.4%	26.7%	16.7%					
Wisconsin	74.5%	21.7%	39.0%	63.9%	28.2%	17.6%					

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30 percent or more of household income

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2016-2020 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy

General Economic and Business Information. According to community contacts and bank management, the local economy was generally stable during the evaluation period, though conditions vary based on the region. Prominent industries in the AA include healthcare, manufacturing, education, government, tourism, hospitality, mining, and transportation.

According to a contact, the economy on the Fond du Lac Reservation is still recovering from the pandemic, and there continues to be significant poverty on the reservation. Primary employers on the reservation include a casino and medical clinic.

Bank management and contacts noted a critical need for childcare in the AA. Management stated that changing childcare licensing standards have caused some providers to go out of business. Contacts noted a critical need for childcare in the City of Duluth and in St. Louis County. A contact stated that a lack of childcare on the Fond du Lac Reservation impacts the ability of some women to work.

The annual average unemployment rates in the Duluth MSA were generally comparable to the rates in the state of Minnesota and Wisconsin for all three years. The higher unemployment rates during 2020 were a result of the pandemic, but then the rates returned to lower levels. The following table provides annual average unemployment rates for the MSA, counties in the AA, and states of Minnesota and Wisconsin.

Annual Average Unemployment Rates											
Area 2020 2021 2022											
Duluth MSA	7.6%	4.3%	3.5%								
Carlton County, MN	7.3%	4.4%	3.6%								
Lake County, MN	7.3%	3.9%	5.0%								
St. Louis County, MN	7.3%	4.2%	3.3%								
Douglas County, WI	9.2%	4.5%	4.1%								
Minnesota	6.3%	3.8%	2.7%								
Wisconsin 6.4% 3.8% 2.9%											
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Local Area Unemployment Statistics											

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE DULUTH, MINNESOTA, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Duluth MSA AA is excellent. Lending levels show excellent responsiveness to AA credit needs. Overall, the distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes. The geographic distribution of loans is good. The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank uses flexible loan programs. Examiners assigned equal weight to HMDA and small business loans given loan number volume during the evaluation period. Examiners did not review small farm loans because agricultural loans are not a major product for this AA, based on volume.

The Investment Test performance in the Duluth MSA AA is excellent. Overall, the bank made an excellent level of qualified investments, including donations, which shows excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

Finally, under the Service Test, the bank's delivery systems are accessible to all portions of the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Additionally, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services in the AA.

LENDING TEST

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Duluth MSA AA is excellent.

Lending Activity

The bank's lending activity reflects excellent responsiveness to AA credit needs. For HMDA loans, the bank ranked eighth among 378 lenders reporting HMDA loans in 2021, with 3.1% market share, and fourth among 328 lenders reporting HMDA loans in 2022, with 4.6% market share. For CRA loans, the bank ranked fourth among 87 lenders reporting CRA data in 2021, with 9.1% market share, and ranked sixth among 78 CRA reporters in 2022, with 5.9% market share. During the evaluation period, lending activity in this AA represented 17.8% by number and 19.6% by dollar amount of the bank's total lending. For the Minnesota AAs, the lending activity in the Duluth MSA AA represented 21.2% by number and 23.0% by dollar amount.

Distribution by Borrower Income Level and by Size of Businesses

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers is good. The following tables show the bank's HMDA loan data by income level and product type for 2021 and 2022. The tables also include aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution		~ ~ ~	e Lending By ea: Duluth M		come Level	
			Bank And Agg	gregate Loans			
Borrower Income Level	Ban	k	Agg	Ban	k	Agg	Families by Family Income %
Income Ecver	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	ramny meome 70
			Home Purc	chase Loans			
Low	14	8.3	10.4	1,226	3.8	5.5	20.1
Moderate	31	18.5	19.8	3,973	12.4	15.0	17.5
Middle	34	20.2	21.2	5,068	15.9	20.3	22.9
Upper	71	42.3	29.0	18,468	57.8	40.9	39.5
Unknown	18	10.7	19.5	3,210	10.0	18.2	0.0
Total	168	100.0	100.0	31,945	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinan	ce Loans			
Low	17	8.3	6.6	1,476	3.8	3.6	20.1
Moderate	28	13.6	16.5	3,607	9.4	12.0	17.5
Middle	54	26.2	24.1	7,828	20.4	21.0	22.9
Upper	99	48.1	36.7	23,790	62.0	45.4	39.5
Unknown	8	3.9	16.0	1,698	4.4	18.0	0.0
Total	206	100.0	100.0	38,399	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impro	vement Loans			
Low	1	25.0	10.6	130	25.9	5.5	20.1
Moderate	1	25.0	15.7	168	33.5	11.3	17.5
Middle	1	25.0	19.3	103	20.6	15.0	22.9
Upper	1	25.0	50.8	100	20.0	63.1	39.5
Unknown	0	0.0	3.5	0	0.0	5.0	0.0
Total	4	100.0	100.0	501	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home M	Iortgage Loans			
Low	33	8.7	8.2	2,883	4.0	4.4	20.1
Moderate	60	15.8	17.7	7,748	10.9	13.3	17.5
Middle	89	23.4	22.6	12,999	18.2	20.5	22.9
Upper	172	45.3	33.9	42,738	60.0	43.6	39.5
Unknown	26	6.8	17.6	4,908	6.9	18.2	0.0
Total	380	100.0	100.0	71,276	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distributi			ge Lending By rea: Duluth M		ncome Level						
Borrower Income Level	В	ank	Agg	Agg Ban		Agg	Families by Family Income %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	·					
			Other P	urpose LOC								
Low	0	0.0	3.1	0	0.0	2.8	20.1					
Moderate	0	0.0	15.6	0	0.0	11.0	17.5					
Middle	0	0.0	20.3	0	0.0	15.2	22.9					
Upper	0	0.0	56.3	0	0.0	69.0	39.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	2.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
	Other Purpose Closed/Exempt											
Low	1	50.0	9.4	51	11.8	5.1	20.1					
Moderate	0	0.0	17.5	0	0.0	11.3	17.5					
Middle	0	0.0	23.8	0	0.0	17.6	22.9					
Upper	1	50.0	45.7	380	88.2	61.3	39.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	3.6	0	0.0	4.8	0.0					
Total	2	100.0	100.0	431	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Purpose N	lot Applicable								
Low	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.1	20.1					
Moderate	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	1.1	17.5					
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.9					
Upper	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.4	39.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	97.1	0	0.0	97.4	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribu	tion of 2022 H	0 0	ge Lending By MSA AA	Borrower In	come Level		
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by	
Borrower Income Level	Ba	ınk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income	
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%		
			Home Pu	rchase Loans				
Low	13	7.1	11.6	1,627	3.8	6.2	18.9	
Moderate	38	20.7	22.7	5,873	13.7	17.6	18.4	
Middle	33	17.9	19.7	6,563	15.3	19.5	22.8	
Upper	81	44.0	26.9	25,241	58.8	39.5	40.0	
Unknown	19	10.3	19.1	3,592	8.4	17.3	0.0	
Total	184	100.0	100.0	42,896	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Refina	nce Loans				
Low	7	9.0	11.9	452	2.3	7.1	18.9	
Moderate	15	19.2	25.4	1,711	8.7	19.5	18.4	
Middle	23	29.5	24.7	4,915	25.0	23.8	22.8	
Upper	31	39.7	27.3	12,229	62.2	36.3	40.0	
Unknown	2	2.6	10.7	368	1.9	13.4	0.0	
Total	78	100.0	100.0	19,675	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Home Impr	ovement Loans				
Low	8	15.1	10.7	389	7.8	7.0	18.9	
Moderate	4	7.5	18.8	255	5.1	12.6	18.4	
Middle	7	13.2	25.2	488	9.8	23.5	22.8	
Upper	30	56.6	41.7	3,549	71.5	53.3	40.0	
Unknown	4	7.5	3.6	285	5.7	3.5	0.0	
Total	53	100.0	100.0	4,966	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Total Home	Mortgage Loans				
Low	28	8.5	11.4	2,468	3.5	6.4	18.9	
Moderate	61	18.4	23.2	8,114	11.6	17.9	18.4	
Middle	67	20.2	21.7	12,339	17.7	20.7	22.8	
Upper	150	45.3	28.5	42,681	61.1	39.3	40.0	
Unknown	25	7.6	15.3	4,245	6.1	15.7	0.0	
Total	331	100.0	100.0	69,847	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribut	tion of 2022 H		ge Lending By MSA AA	Borrower In	come Level	
		Families by					
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank		Family Income
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	% 0
			Other Po	urpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	5.5	0	0.0	3.5	18.9
Moderate	0	0.0	27.5	0	0.0	15.4	18.4
Middle	0	0.0	18.7	0	0.0	19.6	22.8
Upper	0	0.0	41.8	0	0.0	57.1	40.0
Unknown	0	0.0	6.6	0	0.0	4.4	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exemp	t		
Low	0	0.0	8.6	0	0.0	7.0	18.9
Moderate	4	25.0	23.1	275	11.9	15.9	18.4
Middle	4	25.0	26.2	373	16.1	19.8	22.8
Upper	8	50.0	37.3	1,662	71.9	52.8	40.0
Unknown	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	4.4	0.0
Total	16	100.0	100.0	2,310	100.0	100.0	100.0
_			Purpose N	ot Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	1.3	18.9
Moderate	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	3.1	18.4
Middle	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	3.0	22.8
Upper	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	0.7	40.0
Unknown	0	0.0	90.7	0	0.0	91.9	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to low-income borrowers was consistent with aggregate lenders and below demographics. In 2021, the bank's lending to moderate-income borrowers was consistent with aggregate lenders and demographics, and in 2022, the bank's lending to moderate-income borrowers was below aggregate lenders and consistent with demographics. According to 2021 FFIEC adjusted census data, 20.1% and 17.5% of families in the AA were LMI, respectively, and in 2022, 18.9% and 18.4% of families in the AA were LMI, respectively. Although the bank trended below demographic data for low-income borrowers, its HMDA performance is good. Contacts stated that high interest rates have made it difficult for families to afford homes; this difficulty is presumably exacerbated for low-income families.

The bank made use of flexible lending programs, including VA, FHA, Fannie Mae HomeReady, Freddie Mac Home Possible, and USDA RD loan programs, as well as the FHLB's Home\$tart and AEOA's grant programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated loans totaling approximately \$4.8 million

through these programs, which demonstrates its willingness to meet the credit needs of LMI borrowers. The bank also facilitated \$22,500 in grants. For more information on these flexible lending programs, refer to the Institution section of this evaluation.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending.

Small Business. The distribution of small business loans among businesses of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the small business loan data by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending data and demographics.

Distribu	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses													
	Assessment Area: Duluth MSA													
		Ba	ank And Ag	gregate Loa	ns		Total							
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses							
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%							
By Revenue														
\$1 Million or Less	270	67.3	53.2	24,469	45.7	38.9	89.9							
Over \$1 Million	121	30.2		27,532	51.4		8.2							
Revenue Unknown	10	2.5		1,560	2.9		1.9							
Total	401	100.0		53,561	100.0		100.0							
		F	By Loan Size	;										
\$100,000 or Less	266	66.3	92.3	10,191	19.0	32.6								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	69	17.2	4.0	11,642	21.7	18.2								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	66	16.5	3.6	31,728	59.2	49.2								
Total	401	100.0	100.0	53,561	100.0	100.0								
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less									
\$100,000 or Less	211	78.1		7,100	29.0									
\$100,001 - \$250,000	34	12.6		5,647	23.1									
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	25	9.3		11,722	47.9									
Total	270	100.0		24,469	100.0									

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribu	tion of 2022	Small Busin	ness Lending	g By Revenu	e Size of Bu	sinesses						
		Du	luth MSA A	A								
		Ba	ank And Ag	gregate Loa	ns		Total					
	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	156	64.2	54.3	18,903	47.9	35.8	89.8					
Over \$1 Million	74	30.5		19,174	48.6		8.3					
Revenue Unknown	13	5.3		1,353	3.4		1.9					
Total	243	100.0		39,430	100.0		100.0					
		F	By Loan Size	;								
\$100,000 or Less	139	57.2	94.2	6,513	16.5	38.6						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	61	25.1	2.9	10,198	25.9	15.0						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	43	17.7	2.9	22,719	57.6	46.4						
Total	243	100.0	100.0	39,430	100.0	100.0						
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	106	67.9		4,898	25.9							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	31	19.9		5,052	26.7							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	19	12.2		8,953	47.4							
Total	156	100.0		18,903	100.0							

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

For 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to small businesses exceeded aggregate lenders and was below demographics. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 89.9% and 89.8% of businesses in the AA had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less, respectively. The bank originated 66.3% and 57.2% of its small business loans in amounts of \$100,000 or less in 2021 and 2022, respectively, which demonstrates the bank's willingness to lend to small businesses in the AA. Though the bank's performance is below demographics, it can be explained through performance context, namely competition in the AA for small business loans from other financial institutions. Additionally, several national banks reporting small business loans are well-known credit card lenders with significant lending activity in the AA.

In 2020 and 2021, the bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program; these loans were designed to help businesses keep their workforces employed during the pandemic. The bank originated approximately 500 PPP loans totaling around \$32.9 million in the Duluth MSA AA. ¹⁸ Of these loans, more than half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small businesses. The bank's PPP lending contributes to the bank's performance.

¹⁸ This PPP data excludes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector.

During the evaluation period, the bank also made use of other SBA programs totaling approximately \$13.1 million.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 small business lending was consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending.

Geographic Distribution

The geographic distribution and dispersion of the bank's HMDA and small business loans in the Duluth MSA AA is good and does not reveal any unexplained gaps in lending. As previously mentioned, the income classification of some census tracts, as well as the number of tracts in the AA, changed during the evaluation period. In 2020, the AA included eight low-, 17 moderate-, 41 middle-, and 16 upper-income tracts, for a total of 82 tracts. In 2021, the AA consisted of eight low-, 18 moderate-, 43 middle-, and 16 upper-income tracts. In 2022, the AA consisted of three low-, 20 moderate-, 51 middle-, 20 upper-, and three unknown-income tracts.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's geographic distribution of HMDA loans is good. The tables below show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 HMDA loans by census tract income level. The tables also include aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distributio	on of 2021 Ho	me Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	7
		A	Assessment Ai	rea: Duluth M	ISA		
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	5	3.0	4.1	750	2.3	3.2	2.5
Moderate	37	22.0	16.6	4,350	13.6	10.9	14.1
Middle	97	57.7	55.3	17,981	56.3	52.2	58.2
Upper	29	17.3	24.0	8,864	27.7	33.7	25.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	168	100.0	100.0	31,945	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	4	1.9	2.7	700	1.8	2.0	2.5
Moderate	24	11.7	9.7	2,528	6.6	6.3	14.1
Middle	128	62.1	55.2	22,623	58.9	52.2	58.2
Upper	50	24.3	32.4	12,548	32.7	39.4	25.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	206	100.0	100.0	38,399	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	3.3	2.5
Moderate	0	0.0	8.3	0	0.0	5.7	14.1
Middle	2	50.0	48.0	230	45.9	45.2	58.2
Upper	2	50.0	39.0	271	54.1	45.7	25.2

	Distributio	on of 2021 Ho	me Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	1						
		A	Assessment A	rea: Duluth M	1SA								
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	4	100.0	100.0	501	100.0	100.0	100.0						
	Multi-family Units												
Low	Low 3 21.4 31.3 3,298 28.8 18.9												
Moderate	2	14.3	26.0	1,415	12.3	35.8	29.7						
Middle	6	42.9	32.3	3,182	27.7	22.9	35.7						
Upper	3	21.4	10.4	3,573	31.2	22.4	15.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	14	100.0	100.0	11,468	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %						
Low	12	3.0	3.6	4,748	5.7	3.2	2.5						
Moderate	64	16.2	12.6	8,344	10.1	9.4	14.1						
Middle	233	59.1	54.7	44,016	53.2	51.0	58.2						
Upper	85	21.6	29.1	25,636	31.0	36.4	25.2						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	394	100.0	100.0	82,744	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distributio		me Mortgage			of Geography	7						
		P	Assessment Ai	rea: Duluth M	ISA								
Geographic Income Level		Owner Occupied Units %											
income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Cints 76						
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
Other Purpose LOC													
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2.5						
Moderate	0	0.0	3.1	0	0.0	1.6	14.1						
Middle	0	0.0	53.1	0	0.0	51.4	58.2						
Upper	0	0.0	43.8	0	0.0	47.0	25.2						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exemp	t								
Low	0	0.0	3.6	0	0.0	3.7	2.5						
Moderate	1	50.0	12.1	51	11.8	9.2	14.1						
Middle	0	0.0	46.2	0	0.0	49.6	58.2						
Upper	1	50.0	38.1	380	88.2	37.4	25.2						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	2	100.0	100.0	431	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Purpose N	ot Applicable									
Low	0	0.0	6.8	0	0.0	5.7	2.5						
Moderate	0	0.0	18.4	0	0.0	13.7	14.1						
Middle	0	0.0	55.3	0	0.0	56.2	58.2						
Upper	0	0.0	19.4	0	0.0	24.4	25.2						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Duluth MSA AA											
Geographic Income Level	Bai	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %				
Income Bever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	2 === 35 / 0				
			Home Pu	rchase Loans							
Low	2	1.1	1.1	121	0.3	0.6	0.8				
Moderate	20	10.9	20.5	3,419	8.0	13.6	15.6				
Middle	122	66.3	53.6	26,262	61.2	51.6	57.0				

	Distributio	on of 2022 Ho	me Mortgage	•	ncome Level	of Geography	7
			Duluth	MSA AA			T
Upper	37	20.1	24.5	11,982	27.9	33.8	26.4
Unknown	3	1.6	0.3	1,112	2.6	0.4	0.3
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	184	100.0	100.0	42,896	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	1	1.3	0.6	29	0.1	0.4	0.8
Moderate	2	2.6	13.3	157	0.8	9.4	15.6
Middle	64	82.1	57.0	14,771	75.1	54.3	57.0
Upper	11	14.1	28.8	4,718	24.0	35.6	26.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.3	0.3
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	78	100.0	100.0	19,675	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.5	0.8
Moderate	0	0.0	9.8	0	0.0	6.3	15.6
Middle	40	75.5	57.8	3,368	67.8	55.5	57.0
Upper	13	24.5	31.5	1,598	32.2	37.2	26.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.4	0.3
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	53	100.0	100.0	4,966	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifam	ily Loans			Multi-family Units
Low	1	20.0	8.2	2,050	56.3	2.2	7.9
Moderate	2	40.0	16.4	1,160	31.9	17.7	25.6
Middle	1	20.0	50.8	60	1.6	44.7	45.2
Upper	1	20.0	13.1	370	10.2	29.4	11.7
Unknown	0	0.0	11.5	0	0.0	6.1	9.6
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	5	100.0	100.0	3,640	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %
Low	4	1.2	0.9	2,200	3.0	0.8	0.8
Moderate	25	7.4	17.1	4,764	6.5	12.9	15.6
Middle	239	71.1	54.4	46,463	63.2	51.2	57.0
Upper	65	19.3	27.2	18,948	25.8	33.9	26.4
Unknown	3	0.9	0.4	1,112	1.5	1.2	0.3
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	336	100.0	100.0	73,487	100.0	100.0	100.0
Source: 2022 F	FFIEC Census Da	ta	•	-	•		

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
		A	ssessment Ai	ea: Duluth M	ISA							
		Owner										
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Occupied Units					
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
			Other Pu	irpose LOC								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.8					
Moderate	0	0.0	14.3	0	0.0	12.6	15.6					
Middle	0	0.0	38.5	0	0.0	32.0	57.0					
Upper	0	0.0	47.3	0	0.0	55.3	26.4					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exempt	t							
Low	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.8					
Moderate	1	6.3	9.2	28	1.2	5.6	15.6					
Middle	12	75.0	49.3	2,002	86.7	53.0	57.0					
Upper	3	18.8	41.2	280	12.1	41.3	26.4					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	16	100.0	100.0	2,310	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Purpose N	ot Applicable								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.8					
Moderate	0	0.0	18.6	0	0.0	16.1	15.6					
Middle	0	0.0	58.1	0	0.0	60.6	57.0					
Upper	0	0.0	23.3	0	0.0	23.2	26.4					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

For 2021 and 2022, the bank's HMDA lending in low-income tracts was consistent with aggregate lenders and demographics. In 2021, the bank's HMDA lending in moderate-income tracts exceeded aggregate lenders and was consistent with demographics. In 2022, the bank's HMDA lending in moderate-income tracts was below aggregate lenders and demographics. In 2021, the bank did not make HMDA loans in one low-, nine moderate-, seven middle-, and two upper-income tracts in the AA. In 2022, the bank did not make HMDA loans in one low-, seven moderate-, eight middle-, two upper-, and one unknown-income tract in the AA. For both years, several of the LMI tracts with no HMDA loans were in or near downtown Duluth, which is largely industrial, or in rural areas of the AA.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending.

Small Business. The bank's geographic distribution of small business loans is good. The tables below show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Duluth MSA												
Geographic			Total										
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses						
Level	#	#%	#% \$(000)		\$%	\$%	%						
Low	20	5.0	9.4	3,627	6.8	15.6	9.6						
Moderate	83	20.7	19.8	9,922	18.5	20.9	21.2						
Middle	200	49.9	45.8	27,156	50.7	42.4	48.6						
Upper	98	24.4	24.7	12,856	24.0	21.1	20.7						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.1							
Total	401	100.0	100.0	53,561	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution	of 2022 Sma	ll Business Le	nding By Inco	ome Level of (Geography							
Assessment Area: Duluth MSA													
Geographic			Total										
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses						
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
Low	13	5.3	4.2	2,112	5.4	7.1	5.7						
Moderate	9	3.7	13.4	989	2.5	14.4	14.4						
Middle	154	63.4	47.3	23,675	60.0	43.7	48.5						
Upper	58	23.9	28.0	10,435	26.5	27.7	24.0						
Unknown	9	3.7	6.2	2,219	5.6	6.9	7.4						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.3							
Total	243	100.0	100.0	39,430	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021, the bank's small business lending in low-income tracts was below aggregate lenders and demographics, and its small business lending in moderate-income tracts is consistent with aggregate lenders and demographics. The bank made small business loans in a majority of its low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts. The low-income tracts with no loans are in or near downtown Duluth, which is largely industrial in nature, and where the bank faces competition for small business loans from other financial institutions. Similarly, some of the moderate-income tracts with no loans are in downtown

Duluth. Additionally, several moderate-income tracts with no loans are in the northern, more rural portion of the AA, which are closer to other financial institutions' offices and where less demand exists for small business loans.

In 2022, the bank's lending in low-income tracts was consistent with aggregate lenders and demographics, and its lending in moderate-income tracts was below aggregate lenders and demographics. The bank made small business loans in all its low-income tracts and most of its middle- and upper-income tracts; for the same year, the bank made small business loans in five of its 20 moderate-income tracts and one of its three unknown-income tracts. Like in 2021, many of the moderate-income tracts with no small business loans are in or near downtown Duluth or in northern, rural areas of the AA.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 small business lending was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending.

Community Development Lending

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the AA. During the evaluation period, the bank originated 86 community development loans totaling approximately \$78.0 million, as shown in the table on the right.

The bank's community development lending demonstrates excellent responsiveness to community needs. Most of the bank's community development lending dollars in this AA supported economic

Community Development Lending in the Duluth MSA AA										
Purpose # \$										
Affordable Housing	7	6,197,051								
Community Service	13	3,796,094								
Economic Development	42	53,489,805								
Revitalize/Stabilize	24	14,495,458								
Total	86	77,978,408								

development. Notably, the bank also made 31 community development loans totaling approximately \$20.7 million that supported affordable housing and revitalization and stabilization efforts in the AA. Additionally, a significant number (33) of the bank's community development loans were PPP loans, which totaled approximately \$12.4 million.

INVESTMENT TEST

Overall, the bank made an excellent level of qualified investments, including donations, in the Duluth MSA AA, showing excellent responsiveness. The bank's level of qualified investments in the form of securities is significant. The bank purchased three new securities totaling \$1.7 million. Two investments helped to revitalize or stabilize LMI geographies by supporting school facilities in rural portions of the AA. The remaining supported affordable housing initiatives through the purchase of an MBS pool. During the evaluation period, the bank also had prior period investments totaling \$850,606 that helped revitalize moderate-income geographies.

Donations. The bank made an excellent level of qualified investments in the form of donations. The donations support organizations that provide community services to LMI residents, support affordable housing and economic development initiatives, and revitalize and stabilize LMI geographies. The bank's donations to organizations that helped to revitalize and stabilize LMI areas are noteworthy, namely a \$100,000 donation to an area school district.

Qualified Investment Donations in the Duluth MSA AA										
Purpose # \$										
Affordable Housing	5	2,120								
Community Service	41	23,410								
Economic Development	2	2,000								
Revitalize/Stabilize	39	111,465								
Total	87	138,995								

SERVICE TEST

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to geographies and individuals throughout the AA, and retail services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. The bank provides a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Services

Delivery systems in the AA are accessible to the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels. The bank operates six full-service branches in the Duluth MSA AA. As of 2022, three branches are in middle-income tracts and the remaining branches are in low-, upper-, and unknown-income tracts. The Duluth branch, in the unknown-income tract, is approximately a mile or less from three moderate-income tracts and one low-income tract. In 2022, the bank opened one full-service branch in Hermantown and discontinued Saturday hours at its Cloquet branch. All branches have drive-up facilities, except for the downtown Duluth location. One branch, Ely, has a cash-dispensing-only ATM. No branches have extended or weekend hours. Prior to 2022, three of the bank's five branches were in moderate-income tracts. The bank's services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on additional delivery services the bank offers.

The following table shows the geographic distribution of branches in this AA as of December 31, 2022.

	2022 Geographic Distribution of Branches Assessment Area: Duluth MSA														
				BR.	DEMOGRAPHICS										
Tract Income Levels	Total Branches			Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru	Extended Hours	Weekend Hours	Cens	us Tracts	Households	Total Businesses			
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%			
	Total	1	16.7%	0	0	1	0	0							
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			3	3.1%	2.6%	5.6%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0							
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			20	20.6%	19.0%	14.2%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	3	50.0%	0	0	3	0	0	51 52.6%		53.9%	49.0%			
Middle	DTO	0		0	0	0				52.6%					
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	1	16.7%	1	0	1	0	0							
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			20	20.6%	22.5%	23.9%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	1	16.7%	0	0	0	0	0							
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			3	3.1%	2.1%	7.3%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	6	100.0%	1	0	5	0	0							
Totals	DTO	0		0	0	0			97	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
	LS	0		0	0							ļ			

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

*Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services in the AA. During the evaluation period, six employees provided 14 services to nine organizations. In their roles as board and committee members, these employees provided financial expertise to organizations that provide affordable housing for or community services targeted to LMI persons, promote economic development, and help revitalize or stabilize LMI geographies. The bank's services addressed needs in the AA.

Community Development Services in the Duluth MSA AA	
Purpose	#
Community Services	3
Affordable Housing	1
Economic Development	8
Revitalize or Stabilize	2
Total	14

METROPOLITAN AREA FULL-SCOPE REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE NEW ULM-MANKATO, MINNESOTA, CSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Bank Information. The bank operates two full-service branches and one limited-service office in the New Ulm-Mankato, Minnesota, CSA (New Ulm-Mankato CSA) AA. The full-service branches are in North Mankato and New Ulm. The limited-service office is in Mankato. Since the last evaluation, the bank closed three limited-service locations, one in New Ulm and two in North Mankato. The bank also relocated its North Mankato branch. All offices have drive-up facilities; one location has a cash-dispensing-only ATM. By number, the bank has 7.7% of its offices (including drive-up facilities) in this AA. Refer to the Service Test section for this AA and Institution section of the evaluation for more details on the bank's retail services.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranked second among 51 FDIC-insured institutions operating in the Mankato-New Ulm, MN CSA, with a 9.2% deposit market share and \$410.9 million in deposits. The deposits in this AA represent 9.2% of the bank's overall deposits. The bank competes with various types of financial institutions in this AA, including regional banks, large national banks, community banks, mortgage companies, and credit unions. Credit unions are not represented in the FDIC deposit market share information.

Assessment Area Information. The New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA is in south central Minnesota and consists of Nicollet and Blue Earth counties and all but one tract of Brown County. The Mankato, MN MSA includes Nicollet and Blue Earth counties. The New Ulm, MN Micropolitan Statistical Area includes Brown County. Together, these areas are defined as the Mankato-New Ulm, MN CSA. The bank has not changed the AA since the previous evaluation. However, during the evaluation period, the number of census tracts in the AA and income classifications of some tracts changed based on FFIEC adjusted census data. In 2020, this AA had four moderate-, 25 middle-, and two upper-income census tracts. In 2021, this AA had four moderate-, 24 middle-, and two upper-income tracts. In 2022, this AA had six moderate-, 21 middle-, and three upper-income tracts.

The following Combined Demographics Report provides demographic information for this AA, based on 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data and 2022 D&B data.

	Combined Demographics Report 2022 New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA												
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families by Tract Income			< Poverty as % of by Tract	Families by Family Income						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5,853	19.6					
Moderate	6	20.0	4,483	15.0	782	17.4	5,274	17.6					
Middle	21	70.0	22,002	73.5	1,009	4.6	7,261	24.3					
Upper	Upper 3 10.0				127	3.7	11,549	38.6					
Unknown	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total AA	30	100.0	29,937	100.0	1,918	6.4	29,937	100.0					

			ined Demog Iew Ulm-M						
	11			Housi	ing Type by	Tract			
	Housing Units by	Ov	vner-occupio	ed	Rer	ıtal	Vac	ant	
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	11,249	4,152	12.7	36.9	5,999	53.3	1,098	9.8	
Middle	35,789	24,778	75.6	69.2	8,375	23.4	2,636	7.4	
Upper	5,586	3,828	11.7	68.5	1,411	25.3	347	6.2	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	52,624	32,758	100.0	62.2	15,785	30.0	4,081	7.8	
				Busine	esses by Tra	ct & Revenu	ie Size		
	Total Busi	•	Less Th \$1 Mi		Over \$1	Million	Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	1,209	20.3	1,059	19.8	136	25.8	14	14.3	
Middle	4,196	70.4	3,783	70.9	338	64.1	75	76.5	
Upper	558	9.4	496	9.3	53	10.1	9	9.2	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	5,963	100.0	5,338	100.0	527	100.0	98	100.0	
Percenta	ge of Total E	Businesses:		89.5		8.8		1.6	
	T			Fari	ms by Tract	& Revenue	Size		
	Total Fa		Less Th \$1 Mi		Over \$1	Million	Revent Repo		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	16	2.0	16	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	783	96.7	770	96.6	13	100.0	0	0.0	
Upper	11	1.4	11	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	810	100.0	797	100.0	13	100.0	0	0.0	
Perce	entage of To	tal Farms:		98.4		1.6		0.0	

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

 $2016\hbox{--}2020~U.S.~Census~Bureau:~American~Community~Survey$

Income. For purposes of classifying borrower income, this evaluation uses the FFEIC's estimated median family income for the Mankato, MN MSA, as well as the estimated median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Minnesota, for the year of loan origination. The tables below show the estimated median family income for each year and the range for low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers.

	Borrower Income Levels																				
	Mankato, MN MSA																				
FI	FIEC		I	wo	Me	oder	ate]	Mid	ile	U	Upper									
Media	imated in Family come	0 - 49.99%		0 - 49.99%		0 - 49.99		0 - 49.99		0 - 49.99%		49.99%	50%	50% - 79.99		80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above
2020	\$86,200	0	-	\$43,099	\$43,100	-	\$68,959	\$68,960	-	\$103,439	\$103,440	-	& above								
2021	\$80,300	0	-	\$40,149	\$40,150	-	\$64,239	\$64,240	-	\$96,359	\$96,360	-	& above								
2022	\$94,900	0	-	\$47,449	\$47,450	-	\$75,919	\$75,920	-	\$113,879	\$113,880	-	& above								

	Borrower Income Levels												
	Nonmetropolitan Areas of Minnesota												
Fl	FIEC		I	Low	Mo	oder	ate	I	Mide	dle	U	ppe	r
Media	imated in Family come	0	-	49.99%	50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above
2020	\$70,900	0	-	\$35,449	\$35,450	-	\$56,719	\$56,720	-	\$85,079	\$85,080	-	& above
2021	\$72,400	0	-	\$36,199	\$36,200	-	\$57,919	\$57,920	-	\$86,879	\$86,880	-	& above
2022	\$83,600	0	-	\$41,799	\$41,800	-	\$66,879	\$66,880	-	\$100,319	\$100,320	-	& above

For purposes of classifying census tracts by income level, this evaluation uses FFIEC's adjusted census data median family income for the Mankato, MN MSA, which was \$71,814 for 2020 and 2021, and \$83,590 for 2022. This evaluation also uses the FFIEC's adjusted census data median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Minnesota, which was \$63,045 for 2020 and 2021, and \$74,737 for 2022.

Population. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the AA population is 126,375. Blue Earth County represents 54.7% of the AA's population. Most people in the AA (45.7%) are between the ages of 25 to 64 years, according to the adjusted census data. The remaining population is as follows: 20.2% is 17 years and younger, 16.8% is 18 to 24 years, and 15.2% is 65 years and over.

Housing Information. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, this AA has 52,624 housing units: 62.3% are owner-occupied, 30.0% are rental units, and 7.8% are vacant. The median age of the housing stock is 52 years, which is higher than the Minnesota statewide median age of 43 years. The AA's median housing value is \$185,598, which is lower than \$235,700 for the state of Minnesota. The AA's affordability ratio is 33.8, which is comparable to the affordability ratio of 31.1 for the state. The

affordability ratio is the median household income divided by the median housing value; a higher ratio indicates greater affordability.

Bank management and community contacts noted a shortage of affordable housing in the AA. The area has a limited inventory of homes for sale. Since the onset of the pandemic, home prices increased significantly and remain high in most areas. The housing market is competitive, and homes sell quickly. Bank management noted an increase in apartment construction in Mankato and demand exists for those units. A contact stated that the area has had limited construction of affordable single-family homes and a shortage of affordable apartments. According to a recent housing study discussed by a contact, the area has a high homeless population, which was noted as a top concern.

The table below shows the housing cost burden for renters and owners by geography and income level in the AA, the Mankato, MN MSA, counties in the AA, and state of Minnesota. ¹⁹ As reflected in the table, renters and homeowners in the low-income bracket greatly exceed HUD's 30% cost-burden threshold for nearly all areas. Renters and homeowners in the moderate-income bracket fare much better than low-income renters and homeowners. Overall, the cost burden for renters and homeowners in the AA is generally comparable to the cost burden for those in statewide Minnesota, with the exception of renters and homeowners in Brown County, who have lower cost burden than other areas.

	Housing Cost Burden											
	Cos	st Burden - Rente	ers	Cos	st Burden - Owne	ers						
	Low	Moderate	All	Low	Moderate	All						
Area	Income	Income	Renters	Income	Income	Owners						
New Ulm-Mankato												
CSA AA	72.3%	21.8%	41.2%	59.9%	23.7%	15.5%						
Blue Earth County, MN	76.5%	21.5%	44.2%	65.5%	27.5%	17.2%						
Brown County, MN	59.1%	18.4%	33.4%	39.8%	17.9%	10.8%						
Nicollet County, MN	69.1%	25.2%	37.8%	66.9%	23.5%	16.9%						
Mankato, MN MSA	74.7%	22.3%	42.6%	66.0%	26.0%	17.0%						
Minnesota	71.3%	25.6%	40.7%	59.4%	26.7%	16.7%						

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30 percent or more of household income

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2016-2020 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy

General Economic and Business Information. According to bank management, the local economy is generally stable with growth in some areas. The AA has a generally diverse economy with a variety of key industries, such as health care, higher education, retail distribution, manufacturing, agriculture, and government (local, county, state, and federal). Mankato is a regional center with continued growth and is a retail hub. In the time since the onset of the pandemic, wages have increased, as well as demand and competition for employees. Major employers include Minnesota State University, Bethany Lutheran College, a large health care system, as well as local and federal government. Although jobs are available, entities are finding it challenging to fill positions. According to a contact, the area has a shortage of contractors and lacks certain skilled workers as some have aged out of the job field. The health care industry, including its workforce, was directly impacted by the pandemic. Additionally, many workers want to continue to work from home. Business costs and interest rates continue to increase, which is challenging for business owners. Some businesses owners have been hesitant to invest in needs, such as equipment, as they strive to make the best use of their spending.

_

¹⁹ The New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA row in the table includes county-level data for all counties in the bank's AA.

Agriculture is also a primary sector of the area's economy. During the evaluation period, commodity prices were strong, and government programs helped support operations. Local farmers had cash on hand and sought to purchase equipment and land. However, land prices increased over the evaluation period and remain high. Certain input costs, such as crop fertilizer, started to increase during 2022. Corn and soybeans are the primary crops in the area. Dairy operations, hog operations (mostly finishing barns), and a few cattle feeder operations are also located here.

The annual average unemployment rate for the AA was slightly lower than the rate for statewide Minnesota in 2020 and was relatively consistent with the rate for statewide Minnesota from 2021 to 2022. The annual average unemployment rates in 2020 were higher than 2021 and 2022 given the onset of the pandemic. The rates declined over 2021 and 2022 as typical business operations resumed. The following table provides the annual average unemployment rates for the AA, counties in the AA, the Mankato, MN MSA, and the state of Minnesota.

Annual Average Unemployment Rates									
Area	2020	2021	2022						
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA	5.2%	3.3%	2.3%						
Blue Earth County, MN	5.4%	3.4%	2.3%						
Brown County, MN	5.2%	3.4%	2.7%						
Nicollet County, MN	4.9%	3.0%	2.0%						
Mankato, MN MSA	5.3%	3.3%	2.2%						
Minnesota	6.3%	3.8%	2.7%						
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Local Area Unemployment Statistics									

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE NEW ULM-MANKATO, MINNESOTA, CSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's Lending Test performance in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA is excellent. Lending levels show excellent responsiveness to AA credit needs. Overall, the distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent. The geographic distribution of loans is adequate. The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank uses flexible loan programs. Examiners assigned the most weight to HMDA and small business loans, followed small farm loans based on loan number volume during the evaluation period.

The Investment Test performance in this AA is adequate. The bank made an adequate level of qualified investments, including donations, which shows adequate responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

Under the Service Test, delivery systems are readily accessible to all portions of the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Further, the bank provides a relatively high level of community development services.

LENDING TEST

The bank's Lending Test performance in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA is excellent.

Lending Activity

Overall, the bank's lending activity reflects excellent responsiveness to AA credit needs. For HMDA loans, the bank ranked ninth among 231 lenders in 2021, with 3.5% market share, and sixth among 211 lenders in 2022, with 4.5% market share. For small business and small farm loans, the bank ranked second among 66 lenders reporting CRA-reportable loans in 2021, with 13.3% market share. In 2022, the bank ranked fifth among 71 lenders reporting CRA-reportable loans, with 7.1% market share. During the evaluation period, lending activity in this AA represented 10.1% by number and 10.4% by dollar amount of the bank's total lending. Within the Minnesota AAs, the lending activity in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA was 12.0% by number and 12.2% by dollar amount.

Distribution by Borrower Income Level and by Size of Businesses and Farms

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses and farms of different sizes is excellent.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers is good. The following tables show the bank's HMDA loan data by income level and product type for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribut	ion of 2021 H	ome Mortgag New Ulm-Ma	, ., .		come Level	
		Families by					
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	4	6.3	10.5	487	4.1	6.6	18.8
Moderate	9	14.3	28.1	1,200	10.1	22.3	17.8
Middle	14	22.2	22.1	2,665	22.4	22.2	23.7
Upper	26	41.3	25.3	6,129	51.4	35.0	39.7
Unknown	10	15.9	14.1	1,442	12.1	13.9	0.0
Total	63	100.0	100.0	11,923	100.0	100.0	100.0
·			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	4	3.5	7.0	500	2.5	4.1	18.8
Moderate	21	18.4	23.2	2,494	12.3	18.1	17.8
Middle	35	30.7	25.4	5,780	28.6	23.5	23.7
Upper	47	41.2	32.0	10,241	50.7	40.3	39.7
Unknown	7	6.1	12.5	1,204	6.0	14.0	0.0
Total	114	100.0	100.0	20,219	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	7.2	0	0.0	6.1	18.8
Moderate	0	0.0	8.4	0	0.0	11.6	17.8
Middle	5	62.5	22.9	206	47.2	15.4	23.7
Upper	3	37.5	39.8	230	52.8	42.3	39.7
Unknown	0	0.0	21.7	0	0.0	24.5	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	436	100.0	100.0	100.0

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA											
	Total Home Mortgage Loans											
Low	8	4.2	8.3	987	3.0	5.2	18.8					
Moderate	31	16.4	24.7	3,747	11.3	19.8	17.8					
Middle	56	29.6	23.8	9,102	27.4	22.8	23.7					
Upper	77	40.7	29.4	16,709	50.3	37.9	39.7					
Unknown	Unknown 17 9.0 13.8 2,646 8.0 14.4 0.0											
Total	189	100.0	100.0	33,191	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

ote: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribut	ion of 2021 H		ge Lending By ankato CSA A	y Borrower In AA	come Level					
n		Families by									
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Family Income				
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	70				
Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	3.7	0	0.0	3.0	18.8				
Moderate	0	0.0	14.8	0	0.0	10.3	17.8				
Middle	0	0.0	29.6	0	0.0	39.0	23.7				
Upper	0	0.0	48.1	0	0.0	44.6	39.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	3.7	0	0.0	3.1	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t						
Low	0	0.0	13.0	0	0.0	9.6	18.8				
Moderate	1	25.0	32.6	53	8.6	25.5	17.8				
Middle	2	50.0	21.7	451	73.6	19.1	23.7				
Upper	1	25.0	30.4	109	17.8	44.4	39.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	2.2	0	0.0	1.4	0.0				
Total	4	100.0	100.0	613	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose N	ot Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	18.8				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17.8				
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.7				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	39.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distributio		~ ~ ~	e Lending By I	Borrower Inco	ome Level	
			Bank And Aggi	regate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Bank		Agg	Banl	k	Agg	Family Income
Income Ec.c.	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Home Purc	chase Loans			
Low	4	6.3	12.4	384	2.5	7.3	19.6
Moderate	14	22.2	26.7	2,225	14.5	21.4	17.6
Middle	15	23.8	22.7	3,403	22.2	23.3	24.3
Upper	25	39.7	23.3	8,287	54.0	32.6	38.6
Unknown	5	7.9	14.8	1,036	6.8	15.5	0.0
Total	63	100.0	100.0	15,335	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinan	ce Loans			
Low	2	10.0	16.2	229	6.4	10.8	19.6
Moderate	6	30.0	26.3	601	16.7	21.9	17.6
Middle	4	20.0	24.7	578	16.1	24.9	24.3
Upper	6	30.0	23.5	1,820	50.6	31.8	38.6
Unknown	2	10.0	9.4	366	10.2	10.5	0.0
Total	20	100.0	100.0	3,594	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impro	vement Loans			
Low	1	4.3	6.7	350	22.4	8.5	19.6
Moderate	8	34.8	14.4	314	20.1	9.2	17.6
Middle	1	4.3	26.9	50	3.2	28.6	24.3
Upper	11	47.8	44.2	791	50.7	45.5	38.6
Unknown	2	8.7	7.7	55	3.5	8.2	0.0
Total	23	100.0	100.0	1,560	100.0	100.0	100.0
<u> </u>	•		Total Home N	Iortgage Loans	•		
Low	9	7.3	13.1	1,035	4.7	8.1	19.6
Moderate	32	26.0	25.7	3,378	15.3	21.2	17.6
Middle	26	21.1	23.4	4,354	19.7	23.6	24.3
Upper	47	38.2	24.7	11,875	53.7	32.9	38.6
Unknown	9	7.3	13.1	1,457	6.6	14.2	0.0
Total	123	100.0	100.0	22,099	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

 ${\it Multifamily\ loans\ are\ not\ included\ in\ the\ borrower\ distribution\ analysis.}$

	Distribut	tion of 2022 H Assessme		ge Lending By Ulm-Manka		come Level		
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by	
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income	
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%	
			Other Po	urpose LOC				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	19.6	
Moderate	0	0.0	19.4	0	0.0	16.0	17.6	
Middle	0	0.0	11.1	0	0.0	5.1	24.3	
Upper	0	0.0	61.1	0	0.0	75.1	38.6	
Unknown	0	0.0	8.3	0	0.0	3.7	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exemp	t			
Low	2	11.8	11.8	72	4.5	4.9	19.6	
Moderate	4	23.5	17.6	238	14.8	13.1	17.6	
Middle	6	35.3	35.3	323	20.1	32.3	24.3	
Upper	5	29.4	33.3	977	60.7	45.5	38.6	
Unknown	0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	4.2	0.0	
Total	17	100.0	100.0	1,610	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Purpose N	ot Applicable				
Low	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	6.0	19.6	
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6	
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	24.3	
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	38.6	
Unknown	0	0.0	93.8	0	0.0	94.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's HMDA lending to low-income borrowers was below aggregate lenders' performance and demographics. The bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers was comparable to demographics in 2021 and exceeded demographics in 2022. The bank's HMDA lending to moderate-income borrowers was below aggregate lenders' performance in 2021 and comparable in 2022. Based on 2021 adjusted census data, 18.8% of families in the AA are low income and 17.8% are moderate income. Data for 2022 shows that 19.6% of families in the AA are low income and 17.6% are moderate income. The bank's lending to LMI borrowers is reasonable given the competitive market for HMDA loans as well as affordability factors. The bank competes with various types of institutions for these loans in the AA, including community banks, mortgage companies, credit unions, regional banks, and large national banks.

Homes in the AA may be difficult for LMI borrowers to afford based on an assumption that a borrower can obtain a loan for approximately three times the borrower's annual income. Using the 2022 FFIEC estimated median family income of \$94,900 for the Mankato, MN MSA, an individual with the highest income in the low-income bracket (\$47,449) could afford a \$142,347 home. Using the same assumption, borrowers with the highest income in the moderate-income bracket (\$75,919) could afford a \$227,757 home. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the median housing value in the AA is \$185,598; that value is slightly higher for the Mankato, MN MSA (\$198,134). Community contacts and bank management noted an affordable housing shortage in the AA. This information demonstrates that homeownership would likely be unaffordable for most low-income borrowers, as well as some moderate-income borrowers.

In this AA, the bank made use of flexible lending programs to help meet the credit needs of LMI borrowers. The bank participated in the Fannie Mae HomeReady program, USDA RD loan program, and MHFA Fix Up loan program, which help LMI borrowers achieve homeownership and improve their properties. The bank also participated in the City of New Ulm's Economic Development Authority's Homebuyer Assistance loan program. The bank also used FHA and VA loan programs in the AA. During the evaluation period, the bank's use of these programs totaled approximately \$2.4 million in loans and \$6,175 in grants. For more information on these flexible lending programs, refer to the Institution section of this evaluation.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The distribution of small business loans among businesses of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the small business loan data by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses										
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
		Total								
	Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg	Businesses			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%			
]	By Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	143	71.5	51.1	5,332	28.3	26.3	89.5			
Over \$1 Million	50	25.0		12,772	67.7		8.9			
Revenue Unknown	7	3.5		760	4.0		1.6			
Total	200	100.0		18,864	100.0		100.0			
		F	By Loan Size	•						
\$100,000 or Less	158	79.0	89.5	3,892	20.6	23.3				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	20	10.0	4.3	3,627	19.2	14.4				
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	22	11.0	6.3	11,345	60.1	62.3				
Total	200	100.0	100.0	18,864	100.0	100.0				
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less										
\$100,000 or Less	135	94.4		2,569	48.2					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	2.1		512	9.6					

Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses								
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	5	3.5		2,251	42.2			
Total	143	100.0		5,332	100.0			

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses										
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
	Bank And Aggregate Loans									
	Ba	nk	Agg	Bank Agg		Agg	Businesses %			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000) \$% \$%		\$%				
		-	By Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	50	43.5	46.0	6,462	23.9	21.3	89.5			
Over \$1 Million	57	49.6		20,135	74.5		8.8			
Revenue Unknown	8	7.0		423	1.6		1.6			
Total	115	100.0		27,020	100.0		100.0			
		I	By Loan Size	•						
\$100,000 or Less	53	46.1	89.8	2,877	10.6	25.5				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	32	27.8	4.5	5,972	22.1	15.3				
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	30	26.1	5.7	18,171	67.3	59.2				
Total	115	100.0	100.0	27,020	100.0	100.0				
	By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less									
\$100,000 or Less	32	64.0		1,587	24.6					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	13	26.0		2,451	37.9					
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	5	10.0		2,424	37.5					
Total	50	100.0		6,462	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's lending to small businesses exceeded aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and was comparable in 2022. For both years, the bank's and aggregate lenders' performance was below demographics. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 89.5% of businesses had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 94.4% and 64.0%, respectively, of its small business loans to small entities for \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small businesses that tend to request small-dollar loans. The bank competes with several creditors in this AA for small business loans, primarily large national banks; many of these banks are large credit card issuers.

The bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program in 2020 and 2021. These loans were designed to help businesses keep their workforces employed during the pandemic. The bank originated over 240 business-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$16.0 million in this AA.²⁰ Of these PPP loans, over half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small businesses. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small business credit needs and enhances the bank's performance.

The bank also made use of other SBA programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$3.5 million in loans through these programs.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 small business lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The bank's lending to small farms of different sizes is excellent. The following tables show the bank's small farm lending by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms									
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA Bank And Aggregate Loans									
	Bai		Agg				Total		
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	Agg \$%	Farms %		
]	By Revenue	, ,					
\$1 Million or Less	106	93.0	43.7	7,049	86.2	54.1	98.4		
Over \$1 Million	8	7.0		1,128	13.8		1.6		
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0		
Total	114	100.0		8,177	100.0		100.0		
		В	By Loan Size	;					
\$100,000 or Less	86	75.4	84.5	2,397	29.3	34.2			
\$100,001 - \$250,000	21	18.4	11.8	3,472	42.5	39.0			
\$250,001 - \$500,000	7	6.1	3.7	2,308	28.2	26.8			
Total	114	100.0	100.0	8,177	100.0	100.0			
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less				
\$100,000 or Less	83	78.3		2,307	32.7				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	17	16.0		2,734	38.8				
\$250,001 - \$500,000	6	5.7		2,008	28.5				
Total	106	100.0		7,049	100.0				
Source: 2021 FFIEC Consus I		100.0		/,049	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

te: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

²⁰ This PPP data excludes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small farm loan borrower distribution section.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms								
		New Ulm	n-Mankato (CSA AA				
		Ba	nk And Ag	gregate Loa	ns		7F ()	
	Bai	nk	Agg	Bank		Agg	Total Farms %	
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 442 2220 , 5	
]	By Revenue					
\$1 Million or Less	45	90.0	40.6	6,965	83.5	53.8	98.4	
Over \$1 Million	4	8.0		1,111	13.3		1.6	
Revenue Unknown	1	2.0		263	3.2		0.0	
Total	50	100.0		8,339	100.0		100.0	
		B	By Loan Size	<u> </u>				
\$100,000 or Less	19	38.0	77.6	931	11.2	23.7		
\$100,001 - \$250,000	21	42.0	14.5	3,619	43.4	35.2		
\$250,001 - \$500,000	10	20.0	7.9	3,789	45.4	41.2		
Total	50	100.0	100.0	8,339	100.0	100.0		
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less			
\$100,000 or Less	19	42.2		931	13.4			
\$100,001 - \$250,000	19	42.2		3,209	46.1			
\$250,001 - \$500,000	7	15.6		2,825	40.6			
Total	45	100.0		6,965	100.0			

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

> 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey e: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's lending to small farms exceeded aggregate lenders' activity. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 98.4% of farms in this AA had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The bank's and aggregate lenders' performance were below demographics; the bank's lending compared more favorably to demographics than aggregate lenders. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 78.3% and 42.2%, respectively, of its small farm loans to small entities for \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small farms that tend to request small-dollar loans. The bank's competition for small farm loans includes some large national banks and a regional bank, as well as an agriculture-related finance company. The bank ranked second in small farm originations by number volume in 2021 and 2022, according to CRA-reportable data.

The bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program in 2020 and 2021. The bank originated over 65 agriculture-related PPP loans in amounts of \$1 million or less, totaling over \$1.5 million in this AA.²¹ Of these PPP loans, nearly all were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's PPP loans were made to small farms. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small farm credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

_

²¹ This PPP data only includes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector; the excluded loans are discussed in the small business loan borrower distribution section.

In addition to PPP loans, the bank also made limited use of the Farmer Mac loan program and FSA loan program. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$1.1 million in loans through these programs.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Geographic Distribution

Overall, the bank's geographic distribution and dispersion of the bank's HMDA, small business, and small farm loans in the AA is adequate and does not reveal any unexplained gaps in lending. As previously mentioned, the income classification of some census tracts, as well as the number of tracts in the AA, changed during the evaluation period. In 2020, this AA had four moderate-, 25 middle-, and two upper-income census tracts. In 2021, this AA had four moderate-, 24 middle-, and two upper-income tracts. In 2022, this AA had six moderate-, 21 middle-, and three upper-income tracts. The AA did not include low-income tracts during the evaluation period.

Residential Real Estate. The geographic distribution of the bank's HMDA loans is good. The following tables show the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 HMDA loans by census tract income level. The tables also include aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography										
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Geographic	Owner Occupied									
Income Level	Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg	Units %			
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%				
Home Purchase Loans										
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	4	6.3	8.1	1,042	8.7	6.9	5.9			
Middle	49	77.8	85.9	9,572	80.3	87.3	85.6			
Upper	10	15.9	6.0	1,309	11.0	5.8	8.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	63	100.0	100.0	11,923	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Refinan	ice Loans						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	3	2.6	5.9	446	2.2	4.4	5.9			
Middle	95	83.3	86.3	17,112	84.6	87.5	85.6			
Upper	16	14.0	7.8	2,661	13.2	8.0	8.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	114	100.0	100.0	20,219	100.0	100.0	100.0			
	Home Improvement Loans									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	7.2	0	0.0	6.7	5.9			
Middle	7	87.5	83.1	391	89.7	83.4	85.6			

	Distributio	on of 2021 Hor	me Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	7				
			New Ulm-Ma	ankato CSA A	١A						
Upper	1	12.5	9.6	45	10.3	9.9	8.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	8	100.0	100.0	436	100.0	100.0	100.0				
	Multifamily Loans										
Low	0.0										
Moderate	0	0.0	21.6	0	0.0	8.9	25.5				
Middle	1	100.0	78.4	290	100.0	91.1	73.4				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	1	100.0	100.0	290	100.0	100.0	100.0				
1			Total Home M	ortgage Loans		1	Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	8	4.2	6.9	1,597	4.8	5.8	5.9				
Middle	155	81.6	85.9	27,869	83.2	87.6	85.6				
Upper	27	14.2	7.2	4,015	12.0	6.6	8.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	190	100.0	100.0	33,481	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution	of 2021 Hor	ne Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	7
			New Ulm-Ma	ankato CSA A	AA		
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			
Geographic Income Level	Ban	k	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	2 0 / 0
			Other P	urpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5.9
Middle	0	0.0	85.2	0	0.0	88.2	85.6
Upper	0	0.0	14.8	0	0.0	11.8	8.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
<u>.</u>			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	25.0	13.0	109	17.8	7.7	5.9
Middle	3	75.0	82.6	504	82.2	91.3	85.6
Upper	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	1.0	8.4

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA												
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	4	100.0	100.0	613	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	Purpose Not Applicable											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	4.0	5.9					
Middle	0	0.0	79.1	0	0.0	78.4	85.6					
Upper	0	0.0	16.3	0	0.0	17.6	8.4					
Unknown	Unknown 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0											
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution	n of 2022 Hon	0 0	Lending By I		of Geography	1
Geographic Income Level	Bar	Bank		Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %
meome Ecver	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Cints 70
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	4	6.3	15.1	773	5.0	12.9	12.7
Middle	45	71.4	73.4	10,510	68.5	73.0	75.6
Upper	14	22.2	11.5	4,052	26.4	14.1	11.7
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	63	100.0	100.0	15,335	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	5.0	14.2	263	7.3	11.4	12.7
Middle	19	95.0	74.1	3,331	92.7	75.2	75.6
Upper	0	0.0	11.7	0	0.0	13.4	11.7
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	20	100.0	100.0	3,594	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	2	8.7	11.5	210	13.5	7.6	12.7
Middle	18	78.3	75.0	1,225	78.5	81.6	75.6
Upper	3	13.0	13.5	125	8.0	10.9	11.7
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography										
	New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	23	100.0	100.0	1,560	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Multifamily Loans											
Low	0.0										
Moderate	1	100.0	36.4	60	100.0	56.3	46.8				
Middle	0	0.0	54.5	0	0.0	41.3	41.0				
Upper	0	0.0	9.1	0	0.0	2.4	12.2				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	1	100.0	100.0	60	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	8	6.5	14.5	1,306	5.9	21.2	12.7				
Middle	96	77.4	73.8	16,535	74.6	67.3	75.6				
Upper	20	16.1	11.7	4,318	19.5	11.5	11.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	124	100.0	100.0	22,159	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distributio	n of 2022 Hoi	me Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	ī					
				ankato CSA A		9 						
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans								
Geographic Income Level	Ba	Bank		Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
Other Purpose LOC												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12.7					
Middle	0	0.0	86.1	0	0.0	89.8	75.6					
Upper	0	0.0	13.9	0	0.0	10.2	11.7					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	5.9	0	0.0	5.9	12.7					
Middle	14	82.4	86.3	1,469	91.2	90.0	75.6					
Upper	3	17.6	7.8	141	8.8	4.1	11.7					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography											
	New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA											
Total	17	100.0	100.0	1,610	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	Purpose Not Applicable											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	5.0	12.7					
Middle	0	0.0	68.8	0	0.0	55.4	75.6					
Upper	0	0.0	25.0	0	0.0	39.5	11.7					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021, the bank's HMDA lending in the moderate-income tracts was comparable to demographics and aggregate lenders' activity. In 2022, the bank's HMDA lending in the moderate-income tracts was below demographics and below aggregate lenders' activity. The bank made 4.2% and 6.5% of its HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. Demographics show that 57.5% of the housing units in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 53.3% in 2022 were rental units. The moderate-income tracts are located in Mankato; those tracts include commercial, industrial, a university, and some housing. The presence of the university and significant rental housing likely limits HMDA loan demand.

Over 2021 and 2022, the bank made HMDA loans in nearly all tracts. The bank's AA is rural in many areas outside of New Ulm and Mankato. The bank also faces competition for HMDA loans in the AA. Given the performance context, the bank's HMDA lending is good.

The bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The geographic distribution of small business loans is adequate. The following tables show the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses				
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	19	9.5	16.9	2,374	12.6	21.8	15.0				
Middle	165	82.5	77.5	14,885	78.9	75.5	79.0				
Upper	16	8.0	5.0	1,605	8.5	2.6	6.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography										
	New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
Tract-Unk	Tract-Unk 0 0.0 0.5 0 0.0 0.1										
Total	Total 200 100.0 100.0 18,864 100.0 100.0 100.0										

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA											
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S		Total				
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses				
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	15	13.0	20.5	2,607	9.6	23.9	20.3				
Middle	75	65.2	69.4	15,156	56.1	60.4	70.4				
Upper	25	21.7	9.4	9,257	34.3	15.5	9.4				
Unknown	0	0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0									
Tract-Unk	0										
Total	115	100.0	100.0	27,020	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's small business lending in the moderate-income tracts was below aggregate lenders' activity and demographics in 2021 and 2022. The bank made 9.5% and 13.0% of its small business loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. Demographics show that 15.0% and 20.3% of the AA's small businesses were in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively. Over 2021 and 2022, the bank made small business loans in all tracts, with the exception of one middle-income tract in the northeast corner of the AA. Bank management noted strong competition from community banks in the area for loans.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Small Farm. The geographic distribution of small farm loans is adequate. The following tables show the bank's distribution of 2021 and 2022 small farm loans by census tract income level, as well as aggregate lending and demographic data.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	3					
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %			
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	raims 70			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.9			
Middle	110	96.5	90.3	8,092	99.0	97.2	90.8			
Upper	4	3.5	9.5	85	1.0	2.8	8.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	114	100.0	100.0	8,177	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA										
Geographic]	Bank And Ag	gregate Loans	S						
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Tarms 70				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.2	2.0				
Middle	49	98.0	97.7	8,039	96.4	98.3	96.7				
Upper	1	2.0	1.0	300	3.6	1.5	1.4				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.0									
Total	50	100.0	100.0	8,339	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank did not make small farm loans in the moderate-income tracts. Despite having no loans in these tracts, the bank's performance is considered comparable to aggregate lenders' activity and demographics. Aggregate lenders reported 0.3% and 1.0% of their loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. The bank's lack of small farm lending in these tracts is reasonable given the demographics of the moderate-income tracts. In 2021 and 2022, only 0.9% and 2.0% of the AA's small farms were located in the moderate-income tracts. Very few farms are located in these tracts as the tracts are in Mankato and are not agricultural areas. The bank did not make loans in all tracts in the AA. However, the loans were reasonably dispersed based on where small farm loan demand likely occurs in the AA and the presence of strong competition.

The bank's 2020 small farm lending performance in LMI tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small farm lending performance.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA. As shown in the table at right, the bank originated or renewed 31 community development loans totaling \$13.8 million. Approximately 74.2% of the bank's community development loans in this AA are PPP loans, which demonstrates responsiveness to community needs during the pandemic. Overall, the bank's loans primarily helped retain or create jobs for LMI individuals. The bank also made loans financing affordable housing, a stated need in the AA, as well as loans that help

Community Development Loans in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA									
Purpose # \$									
Affordable Housing	3	448,192							
Economic Development	23	12,665,807							
Community Service	1	623,155							
Revitalize or Stabilize	4	80,929							
Total	31	13,818,083							

support the provision of community services to LMI individuals and the revitalization or stabilization of qualified areas. The community development lending reflects excellent responsiveness to community needs.

INVESTMENT TEST

Overall, the bank made an adequate level of qualified investments, including donations, in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA.

The bank made an adequate level of qualified investments. During the evaluation period, the bank purchased an MBS pool, with approximately \$139,479 of the pool benefiting this AA. This MBS helps support the affordable housing needs in this AA. The bank did not hold any prior period investments in this AA during the evaluation period.

Donations. The bank made an adequate level of qualified investments in the form of donations, which shows adequate responsiveness to AA needs. As shown in the table, the bank donated \$29,980 during the evaluation period primarily to organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals. The funds primarily helped local organizations meet basic needs for LMI individuals and families, including providing food and education, and supporting healthcare needs.

Qualified Investment Donations in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA								
Purpose	#	\$						
Community Service	46	29,030						
Economic Development	1	250						
Revitalize or Stabilize	1	700						
Total	48	29,980						

SERVICE TEST

The bank's delivery systems are readily accessible to geographies and residents throughout the AA. The bank's services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Services

The bank's delivery systems are readily accessible to the geographies and individuals of different income levels in the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences LMI individuals or areas. The bank operates two full-service branches, in North Mankato and New Ulm, and one limited-service office, in Mankato, in this AA. Since the last evaluation, the bank closed three limited-service locations, one in New Ulm and two in North Mankato. These locations were in middle-income tracts. The bank also removed a stand-alone cash-dispensing-only ATM. The bank also relocated its North Mankato branch to a nearby new location. All branches have drive-up facilities, two branches have extended hours, and three branches have weekend hours. As of 2022, the Mankato and North Mankato offices are in close proximity to multiple moderate-income tracts. Prior to census tract income-level changes effective for 2022, the offices were also in close proximity to the moderate-income tracts in 2020 and 2021. While the bank made changes to its branch network, the changes did not adversely affect LMI geographies or individuals given the locations of the closures and remaining offices. Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on additional delivery services the bank offers.

The following table shows the bank's geographic distribution of branches and drive-up facilities in the AA in 2022, as well as demographic data.²²

²² The bank's relocation of the North Mankato office at 245 Belgrade Avenue to the new full-service North Mankato branch location at 240 Belgrade Avenue is shown as a branch closure and a branch opening in the table; however, for analysis purposes, this change was appropriately considered as a relocation.

	2022 Geographic Distribution of Branches New Ulm-Mankato, MN CSA AA														
				BI		DEM	IOGRAPHI	CS							
Tract Income Levels	Tot	al Bra	anches	Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru	Extended Hours	Weekend Hours	Census Tracts		Households	Total Businesses			
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%			
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0							
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0		20.0%					
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			6		20.9%	18.1%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	3	100.0%	1	3	3	2	3							
Middle	DTO	0		0	1	0			21	70.0%	68.3%	73.5%			
	LS	1		0	1										
	Total	0	0.0%	0	1	0	0	0							
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			3	10.0%	10.8%	8.4%			
	LS	0		0	1										
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0							
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	LS	0		0	0										
	Total	3	100.0%	1	4	3	2	3							
Totals	DTO	0		0	1	0			30	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
	LS	1		0	2										

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

The bank provided a relatively high level of community development services in the AA. During the evaluation period, five employees provided 14 services to seven organizations. Bank employees typically served in leadership roles, typically as board members, while providing financial expertise to organizations and also served as instructors for financial literacy courses. As shown in the table, the bank's services were to organizations that provide community services to LMI

Community Development Services in the New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA							
Purpose	#						
Community Service	7						
Economic Development	7						
Total	14						

individuals and support economic development. The bank's level and type of community development services show good responsiveness to community needs.

^{*}Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

METROPOLITAN AREA LIMITED REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates one branch and one drive-up facility in this AA, which is comprised of Benton County in east-central Minnesota. Changes to the Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA resulted in the two AAs being adjacent starting in 2021. The bank did not open or close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's lending²³ test performance and investment test performance in this AA are generally consistent with the performance in the full-scope MSA AAs of the state. The bank's service test performance in this AA is below the performance in the full-scope MSA AAs of the state primarily due to limited community development services.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN METROPOLITAN AREAS OF MINNESOTA

The facts and data examiners reviewed, including performance and demographic information, can be found in Appendix D accompanying this report. In addition, the Institution section shows the bank's community development lending and qualified investments for the AA. The Minnesota state section of the report also shows qualified investments in the form of donations and community development services for the AA. Conclusions regarding performance of the limited-scope AA, which did not affect the overall state rating, are shown in the table below.

Performance in the Limited-Scope Review Metropolitan Minnesota Assessment Area							
AA	Lending Test	Service Test					
St. Cloud MSA	Consistent	Consistent	Below				

_

²³ Examiners did not include the bank's HMDA and small business loans in the analysis because they are not major business lines for this AA.

NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREAS LIMITED REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE ADA, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates one branch in this AA that includes the entirety of Norman County in northwestern Minnesota. The AA is adjacent to the bank's Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. The bank did not open or close any branches in the AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE ADA ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's investment test performance is generally consistent with the overall state rating. The bank's lending²⁴ test performance and service test performance in this AA are below the overall state rating. The bank's lending test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on the geographic distribution of loans and the level of community development lending. The bank's service test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on limited community development services.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE BAXTER LAKES, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates two branches in this AA that includes the entirety of Crow Wing County and part of Cass County in north-central Minnesota. The AA includes a small portion of the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe tribal land. The bank closed its Nisswa location in November 2022.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE BAXTER LAKES ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's lending²⁵ test performance and service test performance in this AA are below the overall state rating. The bank's investment test performance in this AA exceeds the overall state rating due to the level of new investments. The bank's lending test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on the borrower and geographic distribution of loans and level of community development loans. The service test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on limited community development services.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE BIRD ISLAND-FAIRFAX-HECTOR, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates three branches in this AA that includes Renville County and part of Sibley County in south-central Minnesota. The AA is adjacent to the bank's New Ulm-Mankato CSA AA. The bank did not open or close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

²⁴ Examiners did not include the bank's HMDA and small business loans in the analysis because they are not major business lines for this AA.

²⁵ Examiners did not include the bank's small farm loans in the analysis because they are not a major business line for this AA.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE BIRD ISLAND-FAIRFAX-HECTOR ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's lending²⁶ test performance and service test performance in this AA are generally consistent with the overall state rating. The bank's investment test performance in this AA exceeds the overall state performance due to the new investment and donation activity.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE CLINTON, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates one branch in this AA that includes Big Stone County and a part of Traverse County in western Minnesota. The bank added a tract in Traverse County to its AA in 2021. The bank did not open or close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE CLINTON ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's lending²⁷ test performance in this AA is generally consistent with the overall state rating. The bank's investment test performance in this AA exceeds the performance in the state due to the level of donations. The bank's service test performance in this AA is below the performance in the state due to limited community development services.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE LONSDALE/LONSDALE-GOODHUE, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates five branches in this AA, which is comprised of Rice County; Goodhue County; and parts of Dodge, Olmsted, and Wabasha counties in southeastern Minnesota. The AA is adjacent to the bank's Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. Prior to 2022, the AA consisted of only part of Rice County. 28 The bank added one office in Pine Island in September 2022, and two offices in Zumbrota in October 2022, when the bank merged with Bank of Zumbrota and Pine Island Bank. The AA includes sections of Prairie Island Indian Community land.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE LONSDALE/LONSDALE-GOODHUE ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's investment test performance in this AA is generally consistent with the overall state rating. The bank's lending test performance and service test performance in this AA are below the overall state rating. The bank's lending test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on lending activity and the geographic distribution of loans. The bank's service test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on limited community development services.

²⁶ Examiners did not include the bank's HMDA loans in the analysis because they are not a major business line for

²⁷ Examiners did not include the bank's HMDA loans in the analysis because they are not a major business line for

²⁸ At the last evaluation, the AA only included a portion of Rice County. The AA expanded to include the bank's new branches. For purposes of this evaluation, Lonsdale is the name of the AA before 2022, and Lonsdale-Goodhue is the name of the AA for 2022.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE PINE CITY, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates one branch in this AA that includes Pine and Kanabec counties in east-central Minnesota. The AA is adjacent to the bank's Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA AA. The branch was located in a moderate-income tract for a portion of the evaluation period until the tract income level designation changed to middle income due to census data updates for 2022. The AA includes small sections of the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe Reservation tribal land. The bank did not open or close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE PINE CITY ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's service test performance conclusion in this AA is generally consistent with the overall state rating. The bank's lending test performance in this AA is below the overall state rating due to the lending activity and geographic distribution of loans. The bank's investment test performance in this AA exceeds the performance in the state primarily due to the level of donations.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE WARREN-OLSO, MINNESOTA, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates two branches in this AA that is part of Marshall County in northwestern Minnesota. The AA is adjacent to the bank's Grand Forks Multistate MSA AA. The bank did not open or close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE WARREN-OSLO ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined the bank's investment test performance in this AA exceeds the overall state rating. The bank's lending²⁹ test performance and service test performance in this AA are below the overall state rating. The bank's lending test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on the level of community development loans. The bank's service test performance conclusion in this AA is primarily based on limited community development services.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN NONMETROPOLITAN AREAS OF MINNESOTA

The facts and data examiners reviewed, including performance and demographic information, can be found in Appendix D accompanying this report. In addition, the Institution section shows the bank's community development lending and qualified investments for each AA. The Minnesota state section of the report also shows qualified investments in the form of donations and community development services for each AA. Conclusions regarding performance of the limited-scope AAs, which did not affect the overall state rating, are shown in the table below.

_

²⁹ Examiners did not include the bank's HMDA and small business loans in the analysis because they are not major business lines for this AA.

Performance in the Limited-Scope Review Nonmetropolitan Minnesota Assessment Areas										
AA Lending Test Investment Test Service Test										
Ada	Below	Consistent	Below							
Baxter Lakes	Below	Exceeds	Below							
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector	Consistent	Exceeds	Consistent							
Clinton	Consistent	Exceeds	Below							
Lonsdale/Lonsdale-Goodhue	Below	Consistent	Below							
Pine City	Below	Exceeds	Consistent							
Warren-Oslo	Below	Exceeds	Below							

WISCONSIN

CRA RATING FOR Wisconsin: Satisfactory
The Lending Test is rated: Outstanding
The Investment Test is rated: High Satisfactory
The Service Test is rated: Low Satisfactory

Major factors supporting the rating include the following:

- The bank's lending activity in the Wisconsin AAs shows good responsiveness to local credit needs.
- Overall, the lending to businesses of different sizes and to LMI borrowers is excellent.
- Overall, the distribution of loans throughout the AAs is excellent.
- The bank is a leader in making community development loans.
- The bank makes use of flexible loan programs in its Wisconsin branches.
- Overall, by number and dollar amount, the bank has a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, which exhibit good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.
- Bank retail services are accessible to geographies and residents throughout the AAs. The bank also provides an adequate level of community development services in its Wisconsin AAs.

SCOPE OF EVALUATION

The scope of the CRA evaluation for Wisconsin is consistent with the scope for the overall institution described earlier in the Institution section. Examiners completed a full-scope review for the Luck-Hayward nonmetropolitan AA.

Examiners assessed the bank's performance using the following criteria: lending activity, lending to businesses of different sizes and to borrowers of different income levels, geographic distribution of lending, community development lending, innovative and flexible lending practices, qualified investments, retail services, and community development services.

Examiners conducted a limited-scope review for the Eau Claire MSA AA in Wisconsin. Examiners evaluated whether the bank's performance in the limited-scope metropolitan AA was consistent with its performance for the state.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN WISCONSIN

Assessment Area Information. The bank had two AAs, Luck-Hayward and Eau Claire MSA, in the state during the evaluation period. On October 17, 2020, because of the bank's acquisition of Peoples Bank Midwest, the bank expanded its existing AA to reflect the addition of the acquired Hayward branch. At that time, the bank also added the Eau Claire MSA AA given the presence of an acquired branch in Eau Claire. During the evaluation period, the number of census tracts in the AAs and income classifications of some tracts also changed based on FFIEC adjusted census data. The Luck-Hayward AA includes the Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation, as well as some tribal trust land for the St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin and the Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranks 86th among 205 FDIC-insured institutions in Wisconsin, with less than 0.2% market share of the state's deposits. As of that same date, the bank has \$343.1 million in deposits in Wisconsin, which represent 13.9% of the bank's

total deposits. Four national banks have 50.5% of the deposit market share in the state. The bank operates in a competitive environment in its Wisconsin AAs. The AAs include various types of financial institutions, such as community, regional, and national banks. Credit unions also compete for deposits and loans in the bank's AAs. Credit union deposits are not included in the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report.

According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the 2022 Wisconsin AAs included 57 census tracts: six moderate-, 44 middle-, and seven upper-income tracts.³⁰ Various nonmetropolitan middle-income tracts in the Luck-Hayward AA were classified as underserved during the evaluation period and one tract was classified as distressed for a portion of the evaluation period.

Population. The total population represented in the bank's Wisconsin AAs is 204,142, or 3.5% of the state's population (5,893,718), according to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data. Census data shows some population growth in all counties in the AAs over the evaluation period.

2022 FFIEC adjusted census data also shows that 73.6% of the households and 76.7% of the families in the Wisconsin AAs live in middle-income census tracts. Approximately 12.1% of households and 6.5% of families in the AAs are below the poverty level. Sawyer County has the highest percentages of households (14.8%) and families (11.9%) below the poverty level. In the Wisconsin AAs, 47.4% of residents are between the ages of 25 to 64 years old. The remaining age groups are as follows: 19.2% for residents 17 years and younger, 19.0% for residents 65 years and older, and 11.1% for residents aged 18 to 24 years.

General Economic and Housing Information. The bank's two Wisconsin AAs vary in economic and geographic composition. The Luck-Hayward AA is quite rural with many outdoor recreation opportunities. This AA has employment opportunities related to tourism, industrial and manufacturing, and healthcare, as well as government jobs (such as in the local school districts). Jobs are also available with the tribal government and entities through the Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin tribe and St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin tribe. The Eau Claire MSA AA includes urban areas and more diversity in industries and employers. Eau Claire, Wisconsin, is a regional hub for goods and services and has industries such as higher education, retail, government, and healthcare. During the evaluation, the AAs fared reasonably well despite the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Generally, the housing markets in the bank's Wisconsin AAs have had increased housing prices, increased building costs, and limited housing inventory, including affordable housing, over the evaluation period.

According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, in the Wisconsin AAs, 50.9% of the housing was owner occupied, 20.0% was rental, and 29.1% was vacant. The percentage of vacant units in the AAs reflects the significant number of second homes located in the bank's Luck-Hayward AA. The median housing value was \$175,680 for the Wisconsin AAs. The highest median housing value was in Eau Claire County, at \$180,000. The lowest median housing values were in Burnett and Washburn counties, at \$163,200 and \$163,300, respectively. In the Wisconsin AAs, Eau Claire County had the oldest median housing stock age at 44 years. The median age of housing stock for the remaining counties in the Wisconsin AAs ranged from 37 to 40 years.

-

³⁰ The FFIEC adjusted census data is based on decennial U.S. Census data and ACS five-year estimate data; it also reflects the OMB revised MSA delineations as applicable.

In the Wisconsin AAs, the bank operates in competitive markets. Various types of financial institutions and creditors operate in the AAs, and as a result, the competition for loans, deposits, and community development activities is generally strong. Aside from the types of financial institutions noted above, the bank may also compete with other entities for loans, such as mortgage companies.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN WISCONSIN

Examiners based the bank's Wisconsin evaluation on a full-scope review of the Luck-Hayward AA and a limited-scope review of the Eau Claire MSA AA. A detailed discussion of the bank's performance in the full-scope AA and a shorter discussion of the limited-review AA follow this section. For the limited-scope AA, Appendix D provides additional data regarding borrower and geographic distributions.

The overall CRA rating for Wisconsin is satisfactory based on the bank's performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests. The ratings below primarily reflect the bank's performance in the Luck-Hayward full-scope AA.

The Lending Test rating is outstanding. The bank's lending activity reflects good responsiveness to credit needs throughout the bank's Wisconsin AAs. The overall distribution of HMDA and small business loans by borrower income and revenue size is excellent. Overall, the geographic distribution of loans is also excellent. The bank is also a leader in making community development loans in its Wisconsin AAs. The bank used flexible loan programs to help meet credit needs.

The Investment Test rating is high satisfactory. Overall, the bank made a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, that show good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

The Service Test rating is low satisfactory. Delivery systems are accessible to the geographies and to individuals of different income levels in the Wisconsin AAs. The bank provides an adequate level of community development services.

LENDING TEST

The Lending Test rating is outstanding for Wisconsin.

Lending Activity

The bank's lending levels reflect good responsiveness to credit needs in its Wisconsin AAs. The table below shows the bank's lending in its Wisconsin AAs by product type for the three-year evaluation period.

Summary of Lending Activity in the Wisconsin AAs									
Logo Tuna		2020–2022							
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000)	%					
HMDA-reportable	373	45.4	67,869	55.1					
Small Business-reportable	414	50.4	54,043	43.9					
Small Farm-reportable	35	4.3	1,246	1.0					
Total Loans	822	100.0	123,158	100.0					

The Wisconsin AAs account for 6.2% by number and by amount of the bank's lending activity during the evaluation period. Generally, the bank's HMDA lending volume (by number) was similar in 2020 and 2021. In 2022, the bank's HMDA lending volume declined slightly likely given the increasing interest rate environment. Generally, the bank's small business lending volume (by number) increased from 2020 to 2021 likely due to the addition of the Hayward and Eau Claire branches and the continued origination of PPP loans. In 2022, small business lending volume declined likely due to the cessation of the PPP loan program in 2021 as well as the increasing interest rate environment.

In its Wisconsin AAs, the bank was not among the leading lenders for HMDA-reportable loans. The bank was among the top five (in 2021) and six (in 2022) leading lenders for CRA-reportable loans in the Luck-Hayward AA but not in the Eau Claire MSA AA. Many institutions report CRA and HMDA data in the bank's Wisconsin AAs; both AAs have competitive banking environments given the presence of and access to many types of financial institutions and creditors.

Borrower and Geographic Distributions

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes is excellent. The bank extends loans to LMI borrowers and to small businesses throughout the Wisconsin AAs. In addition, the geographic distribution of loans is excellent. The bank extends loans throughout its AAs, including in moderate-income tracts.

During the evaluation period, the bank used flexible loan programs totaling approximately \$20.6 million in its Wisconsin AAs (primarily including PPP loans); these loans were included in the overall volume of loans evaluated. Refer to the Institution and AA sections for specific information.

For a detailed discussion of the borrower and geographic distribution of lending, see the Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests section for the Luck-Hayward AA. The tables in Appendix D show the borrower and geographic distributions of the bank's loans, demographic characteristics, and aggregate lending data for the Eau Claire MSA limited-scope AA.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in its Wisconsin AAs. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the full-scope AA. As shown in the table at right, the bank originated or renewed 30 community development loans totaling approximately \$27.8 million in its AAs.

Wisconsin Community Development Loans							
Purpose	#	\$					
Affordable Housing	2	1,500,342					
Economic Development	13	5,208,798					
Revitalize or Stabilize	15	21,053,980					
Total	30	27,763,120					

The bank's community development lending benefited both Wisconsin AAs. By number, the bank had the most loans in the Luck-Hayward AA. By dollar, the bank's lending benefited the Luck-Hayward AA and the Eau Claire MSA AA almost equally. Many of the bank's community development loans were PPP loans. The bank's community development loan dollars primarily supported revitalization or stabilization in moderate-income tracts or underserved middle-income tracts. The remaining community development loan dollars supported economic development and affordable housing initiatives. Some of the bank's transactions, such as loans supporting healthcare in an underserved area and loans supporting construction or rehabilitation in areas designated for redevelopment, were particularly impactful. Overall, the bank's community development lending shows excellent responsiveness to AA needs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development loans benefiting geographies outside the bank's Wisconsin AAs. The bank originated five community development loans, totaling approximately \$5.5 million, outside the bank's Wisconsin AAs. These loans supported economic development and affordable housing initiatives. The table above does not include these loans.

INVESTMENT TEST

The bank's Investment Test rating is high satisfactory for Wisconsin. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the full-scope AA. Overall, the bank has a significant level of qualified investments in the form of securities and donations that show good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

During the evaluation period, the bank made an adequate level of qualified investments. The bank made one new MBS pool investment that impacted the Luck-Hayward and Eau Claire MSA AAs. The investment promotes affordable housing, an identified need, with \$247,398 in the Luck-Hayward AA and \$492,760 in the Eau Claire MSA AA. The bank also has one prior-period investment for \$180,000 benefiting the Luck-Hayward AA.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development investments benefiting geographies outside the bank's Wisconsin AAs. The bank made a \$521,963 investment that helps revitalize or stabilize an LMI area in a Wisconsin city outside the Wisconsin AAs. The bank also held 10 prior-period investments totaling \$2.0 million that benefit Wisconsin geographies outside of its AAs; some of these investments were redeemed, sold, or matured during the evaluation period.

Donations. The bank made an excellent level of donations during the evaluation period. Most donations, \$40,271, directly benefited the bank's Wisconsin AAs. Additional donations in the amount of \$15,000 benefited regional/statewide areas that include the bank's AAs. The following table shows the distribution of bank donations by AA, as well as at the regional/statewide level.

Wisconsin Qualified Investment Donations									
AA	Total								
AA	#	\$							
Luck-Hayward	44	29,187							
Eau Claire MSA	14	11,084							
Regional/Statewide Wisconsin	25	15,000							
Total	83	55,271							

As seen in the table, the bank made donations in both Wisconsin AAs. By number and dollar, the bank made the most donations in the Luck-Hayward AA. Overall, most of the donations were made to organizations that provide community services, such as the provision of food and shelter, to LMI individuals. The bank made donations that helped support the provision of food to individuals in need during the pandemic, which was responsive to critical needs. The bank also made donations that promoted economic development and helped revitalize or stabilize certain areas. Overall, the bank's donations show excellent responsiveness to identified community needs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development donations benefiting geographies outside the bank's Wisconsin AAs. The bank made eight donations totaling \$2,740 to organizations providing community services to LMI individuals and promoted economic development. The table above does not include these donations.

SERVICE TEST

The Service Test rating is low satisfactory for Wisconsin. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in the Luck-Hayward full-scope AA. Delivery systems are accessible to the residents of the Wisconsin AAs. The hours of operation and retail services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Finally, the bank provided an adequate level of community development services.

Retail Banking Services

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to residents and geographies throughout the bank's Wisconsin AAs. During most of the evaluation period, the bank operated three branches in the state. In October 2020, the bank acquired two new branches, in Hayward and Eau Claire, with the Peoples Bank Midwest merger. The bank did not close any branches in its Wisconsin AAs during the evaluation period.

All branches have drive-ups and two branches have ATMs. One branch offers extended weekday hours, and one branch offers weekend hours. The following table shows the bank's distribution of branches in 2022.

	Geographic Distribution of Branches State of Wisconsin 2022													
				BRA		DEMO	GRAPHI	CS						
Tract Income Levels	Total Branches			Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru	Extended Hours	Weekend Hours	Censi	us Tracts	Households	Total Businesses		
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%		
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0						
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	1	33.3%	0	0	1	1	0	6	6				
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0					6	6	6	10.5%
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	2	66.7%	0	0	2	0	1						
Middle	DTO	0		0	0	0			44	77.2%	73.6%	75.8%		
	LS	0		0	0									
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0						
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			7	12.3%	14.6%	11.9%		
	LS	0		0	0									

	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	3	100.0%	0	0	3	1	1				
Totals	DTO	0		0	0	0			57	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	LS	0		0	0							

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

*Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

As shown in the table, overall, the bank has 33.3% and 66.7% of its branches in moderate- and middle-income census tracts, respectively. The percentage of the bank's branches in moderate-income tracts exceed demographics, which show that 10.5% of census tracts in the Wisconsin AAs are moderate income. The Wisconsin branches represent 7.7% of the bank's offices (includes separate drive-up facilities).

Refer to the Institution section of this evaluation for details on additional delivery services the bank offers.

Community Development Services

The bank provides an adequate level of community development services in its Wisconsin AAs. This conclusion primarily reflects the bank's performance in its Luck-Hayward AA. The table below shows the distribution of community development services by type of activity and by AA or broader regional area including the bank's AAs.

Wisconsin Community Development Services											
AA	Community Services	Economic Development	Revitalize or Stabilize	Total							
Luck-Hayward	4	3	0	7							
Eau Claire MSA	1	0	0	1							
Regional Wisconsin	0	0	6	6							
Totals	5	3	6	14							

Bank employees primarily provided community development services in the Luck-Hayward AA and in a broader regional area including the bank's AAs. Several employees devoted time to providing financial expertise to organizations that support various community development initiatives. Employees primarily served in leadership roles as board members. One employee also assisted with grant writing and another employee served on a grant review committee. The bank's community development services benefited organizations that provide essential services to LMI individuals (such as shelter and elder care), promote economic development, and support revitalization and stabilization through the provision of healthcare in underserved areas. Employees provided services that were responsive to community needs.

Given this responsiveness, examiners can also consider any qualified community development services benefiting areas outside the bank's Wisconsin AAs. During the evaluation period, two employees served as board members for an economic development organization in an area adjacent to the Eau Claire MSA AA. The table above does not include these services.

NONMETROPOLITAN AREA FULL-SCOPE REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE LUCK-HAYWARD, WISCONSIN, ASSESSMENT AREA

Bank Information. In this AA, the bank operates two full-service branches, one in Luck in Polk County and one in Hayward in Sawyer County. Each branch has a drive-up facility and an ATM. The bank's Hayward office is new since the previous evaluation due to the bank's merger with Peoples Bank Midwest on October 17, 2020. The AA was also amended to reflect the new geographic footprint after the merger; this change is discussed below. By number, the bank has 5.1% of its offices (including drive-up facilities) in this AA. Refer to the Service Test section for this AA and Institution section of the evaluation for more details on the bank's retail services.

According to the June 30, 2022, FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, the bank ranks second among 20 FDIC-insured institutions operating in Bayfield, Burnett, Polk, Sawyer, and Washburn counties, with a 9.1% deposit market share and \$250.9 million in deposits. The deposits in these counties represent 10.2% of the bank's overall deposits. The bank competes with various types of financial institutions in this AA, including several community banks, regional banks, and large national banks. The bank also competes with credit unions not represented in the FDIC deposit market share information.

Assessment Area Information. The Luck-Hayward AA is in northwestern Wisconsin. The AA includes Burnett, Polk, Sawyer, and Washburn counties, as well as one census tract in Bayfield County.³¹ Due to the bank's merger with Peoples Bank Midwest in 2020, the bank amended the AA to include Washburn and Sawyer counties, as well as the tract in Bayfield County.

The AA is mostly rural with small communities and includes some forest land and numerous bodies of water (such as a portion of the St. Croix, Chippewa, and Flambeau rivers and many lakes). Sawyer County includes the Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation; parcels of reservation land are also located in Burnett and Washburn counties. Polk and Burnett counties include parcels of St. Croix Reservation land.

Census data changes also impacted the census tract composition of the AA during the evaluation period. In 2020, the Luck-Hayward AA included five moderate-income and 20 middle-income census tracts. In 2021, the AA included five moderate-income and 23 middle-income census tracts. In 2022, the AA included three moderate- and 31 middle-income tracts, as well as one upper-income tract. The following Combined Demographics Report table provides demographic information for this AA.³²

³¹ In 2020, the bank's two contiguous non-MSA Wisconsin AAs, Luck and Hayward, were combined. In 2021, the AA was changed to include all of Washburn County.

³² The FFIEC adjusted census data is based on decennial U.S. Census data and five-year estimate data; it also reflects the OMB revised MSA delineations as applicable.

Combined Demographics Report										
2022 Luck-Hayward, WI AA										
Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families • Level a Families	s % of	Families by Family Income			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5,441	20.0		
Moderate	3	8.6	1,968	7.2	239	12.1	5,229	19.2		
Middle	31	88.6	24,370	89.5	1,827	7.5	6,270	23.0		
Upper	1	2.9	886	3.3	60	6.8	10,284	37.8		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	35	100.0	27,224	100.0	2,126	7.8	27,224	100.0		
	Housing			Housi	ng Type by	Tract				
	Units by	O	wner-occupi		Rental		Vac			
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	6,257	2,531	7.6	40.5	600	9.6	3,126	50.0		
Middle	65,346	29,729	89.1	45.5	7,981	12.2	27,636	42.3		
Upper	2,028	1,119	3.4	55.2	106	5.2	803	39.6		
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	73,631	33,379	100.0	45.3	8,687	11.8	31,565	42.9		
	Total Busi	nesses by	Less Th		esses by Tra	ct & Revenu	ie Size Reven	. NT . 4		
	Tra	ict	\$1 M		Over \$1	Million	Repo			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	292	6.2	267	6.3	11	3.5	14	9.3		
Middle	4,296	91.3	3,865	91.1	300	96.2	131	86.8		
Upper	118	2.5	111	2.6	1	0.3	6	4.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	4,706	100.0	4,243	100.0	312	100.0	151	100.0		
Percenta	age of Total I	Businesses:		90.2		6.6		3.2		

Combined Demographics Report											
2022 Luck-Hayward, WI AA											
				Fari	ms by Tract	& Revenue	Size				
	Total Farm	s by Tract	Less Than or = \$1 Million Over \$1		Over \$1 Million		ue Not orted				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Moderate	30	6.9	29	6.9	1	11.1	0	0.0			
Middle	381	88.2	373	88.2	8	88.9	0	0.0			
Upper	21	4.9	21	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0	0.0						
Total AA	432	2 100.0 423 100.0 9 100.0 0									
Perc	centage of To	tal Farms:		97.9		2.1		0.0			

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Income. For purposes of classifying borrower income, this evaluation uses the FFIEC's estimated median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Wisconsin for the year of loan origination. The table below shows the estimated incomes for each year and the range for low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers.

	Borrower Income Levels												
	Luck-Hayward, WI AA												
F	FIEC		I	Low	Mo	odera	ate	ı	Midd	lle	Upper		
Media	imated an Family come	0	-	49.99%	50%	-	79.99%	80%	-	119.99%	120%	-	& above
2020	\$68,000	0	-	\$33,999	\$34,000	-	\$54,399	\$54,400	-	\$81,599	\$81,600	-	& above
2021	\$69,600	0	-	\$34,799	\$34,800	-	\$55,679	\$55,680	-	\$83,519	\$83,520	-	& above
2022	\$81,500	0	-	\$40,749	\$40,750	-	\$65,199	\$65,200	-	\$97,799	\$97,800	-	& above

For purposes of classifying census tracts by income level, this evaluation uses FFIEC's adjusted census data median family income for the nonmetropolitan areas of Wisconsin, which was \$60,742 for 2020 and 2021, and \$71,740 for 2022.

Population. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the total population of the AA is 98,432. Polk County is the most populous of the AA counties with 45.7% of the total population. Bank management indicated that after the start of the pandemic, some individuals with a second home in the area opted to take permanent residence in that home. A large percentage of residents in the AA are 25 to 64 years of age. The remaining age groups are as follows: 18.3% for residents 17 years and younger, 23.1% for residents 65 years and older, and 5.8% for residents aged 18 to 24 years.

Housing Information. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the AA has 73,631 housing units: 45.3% are owner occupied, 11.8% are rental units, and 42.9% are vacant. The AA includes a notable presence of seasonal homes and cabins that are reflected in the percentage of vacant homes. The median age of the housing stock is 44 years, which is comparable to the statewide median age of 46 years. The median housing value is \$172,499, which is lower than the statewide median housing value of \$189,200. The affordability ratio for the AA is 32.7, compared to 33.5 for the state. The affordability ratio is defined as the median household income divided by the median housing value; a higher ratio indicates greater affordability. This ratio suggests that, overall, housing is slightly less affordable in the AA than for statewide Wisconsin.

The table below shows the housing cost burden for renters and owners by geography and income level in the AA, counties comprising the AA, nonmetropolitan areas of Wisconsin, and the state of Wisconsin.³³ As reflected in the table, renters and homeowners in the low-income bracket and some owners in the moderate-income bracket exceed HUD's 30.0% cost-burden threshold. The cost burden is more significant for low-income individuals. Overall, the cost burden for renters and homeowners in the AA is generally comparable to the state, except for the state rental cost burden being higher than the cost burden in the AA.

	Housing Cost Burden										
	Cost	Burden - Re	enters	Cost Burden - Owners							
	Low	Moderate	All	Low	Moderate	All					
Area	Income	Income	Renters	Income	Income	Owners					
Luck-Hayward, WI AA	57.0%	16.5%	31.2%	61.4%	29.1%	20.3%					
Bayfield County, WI	49.6%	15.1%	29.6%	60.6%	23.0%	19.7%					
Burnett County, WI	55.3%	17.2%	29.7%	65.2%	25.1%	21.4%					
Polk County, WI	64.8%	18.6%	34.6%	66.4%	33.8%	19.9%					
Sawyer County, WI	47.8%	20.0%	27.1%	59.3%	25.9%	20.7%					
Washburn County, WI	60.0%	9.4%	30.8%	51.4%	32.1%	20.4%					
NonMSA Wisconsin	66.4%	19.1%	34.0%	60.0%	26.0%	18.2%					
Wisconsin	74.5%	21.7%	39.0%	63.9%	28.2%	17.6%					

Cost Burden is housing cost that equals 30 percent or more of household income

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 2016-2020 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy

According to bank management, the area has a housing shortage. A community contact also noted the shortage of affordable rental housing, affordable homes for sale, and senior housing. The contact indicated that property values increased during the pandemic, which has impacted housing affordability for residents. During 2020 and 2021, new residents often bought homes for cash at inflated prices as they sought out a more rural living location. The contact stated that homeowners remodeling second homes in the area into permanent residences accelerated during the pandemic as people were able to work from home. Local contractors also faced increased prices for, and a shortage of, building supplies. The area also experienced an increase in vacation rentals and continues to have a significant number of residential properties that people use as second homes or recreational cabins.

General Economic and Business Information. According to bank management and a community contact, the overall economy in the area fared relatively well during the evaluation period despite the onset of the pandemic. According to the contact, economic growth in the area has typically been moderate and comes

³³ The Luck-Hayward, WI AA row in the table includes county-level data for all counties in the bank's AA.

from organic local business growth. Sales tax revenue climbed during the evaluation period as retail businesses did well during the pandemic. There were no significant business closures during the evaluation period. However, some businesses did face challenges, such as finding employees or changes in revenue. The contact indicated that the presence of many government-related jobs helped some counties' economies perform quite well during the pandemic.

The area economy is based on the livelihood of various small businesses and industries. Local industries include outdoor recreation-related tourism, industrial and manufacturing, government (including tribal), and healthcare. People in the area work at small businesses (including tourism-related businesses); some major employers such as the state, local, and tribal government (including the school districts and tribeowned enterprises); and health care facilities. Both the St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin and the Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Ojibwe tribes operate casinos and other entities (such as a university, health clinics, and a credit union) in the area, which employ a significant number of people. The AA is in a more rural portion of the state; however, many residents also commute to jobs in the following nearby areas: Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota; Duluth, Minnesota; Superior, Wisconsin; and in counties adjacent to the AA. Generally, people can find employment; however, even with area wage increases in recent years, people can struggle to make a comfortable living wage.

The annual average unemployment rate for the AA was slightly higher than the rate for the state during the evaluation period. The higher annual average unemployment rates during 2020 were a result of the pandemic. The following table provides the annual average unemployment rates for the AA, counties in the AA, nonmetropolitan areas of the state, and the state of Wisconsin.³⁴

Annual Average Unemployment Rates										
Area	2020	2021	2022							
Luck-Hayward, WI AA	7.8%	4.8%	4.1%							
Bayfield County, WI	9.3%	5.8%	4.8%							
Burnett County, WI	9.1%	5.4%	4.6%							
Polk County, WI	7.2%	4.3%	3.8%							
Sawyer County, WI	7.9%	5.1%	4.0%							
Washburn County, WI	6.9%	4.6%	3.7%							
NonMSA Wisconsin	6.4%	3.9%	3.1%							
Wisconsin	6.4%	3.8%	2.9%							
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Local Area Unemployment Statistics										

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE LUCK-HAYWARD, WISCONSIN, ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Luck-Hayward AA is excellent. Lending levels show good responsiveness to AA credit needs. Overall, the distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes. The geographic distribution of loans is excellent. The bank is a leader in making community development loans. The bank uses flexible loan programs for borrowers. Examiners assigned equal weight to HMDA and small business loans given similar loan number volume during the evaluation period. Examiners did not include the bank's small farm lending in the analysis because agricultural loans are not a major product for this AA, based on volume.

-

³⁴ The Luck-Hayward, WI AA row in the table includes county-level data for all counties in the bank's AA.

The Investment Test performance in the Luck-Hayward AA is significant. Overall, the bank made a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, which shows good responsiveness to credit and community development needs.

Finally, under the Service Test, the bank's delivery systems are accessible to all portions of the AA and do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. Further, the bank provides an adequate level of community development services in the AA.

LENDING TEST

The bank's Lending Test performance in the Luck-Hayward AA is excellent.

Lending Activity

Overall, the bank's lending activity reflects good responsiveness to AA credit needs. The bank's market share for HMDA was similar in 2021 and 2022; 1.7% and 2.2%, respectively. For HMDA loans, the bank ranked 15th among 355 lenders reporting HMDA loans in 2021 and 11th among 287 lenders in 2022. The bank ranked fifth, with 7.2% market share among 77 lenders reporting CRA data in 2021, and sixth, with 3.6% market share among 63 lenders in 2022. During the evaluation period, lending activity in this AA represented 4.7% by number and 4.0% by dollar amount of the bank's total lending. For the Wisconsin AAs, the lending activity in the Luck-Hayward AA represented 75.8% by number and 63.6% by dollar amount.

Distribution by Borrower Income Level and by Size of Businesses

Overall, the bank's distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among borrowers of different income levels and businesses of different sizes.

Residential Real Estate. The bank's HMDA lending to LMI borrowers is good. The following tables show the bank's HMDA loan data by income level and product type for 2021 and 2022. The tables also include aggregate lending data for each year and demographics for families at various income levels.

	Distributio	n of 2021 Hor	me Mortgage Luck-Haywa	Lending By Bord, WI AA	orrower Incor	ne Level	
			Bank And Aggr	egate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Bank		Agg	Bank	K	Family	
Income Ecter	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Income %
·			Home Purch	ase Loans		<u></u>	
Low	3	11.5	4.7	379	7.9	2.2	21.1
Moderate	5	19.2	17.3	653	13.7	11.9	21.1
Middle	4	15.4	18.9	561	11.7	16.2	22.9
Upper	12	46.2	47.3	2,622	54.9	57.5	34.9
Unknown	2	7.7	11.8	561	11.7	12.2	0.0
Total	26	100.0	100.0	4,776	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refinance	Loans			
Low	3	3.9	5.0	265	2.0	2.9	21.1
Moderate	13	17.1	15.2	1,635	12.6	10.2	21.1
Middle	21	27.6	21.2	3,102	23.9	17.4	22.9
Upper	37	48.7	47.3	7,493	57.8	57.3	34.9
Unknown	2	2.6	11.3	463	3.6	12.1	0.0
Total	76	100.0	100.0	12,958	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Improve	ement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	6.6	0	0.0	3.2	21.1
Moderate	0	0.0	15.7	0	0.0	11.4	21.1
Middle	0	0.0	18.6	0	0.0	16.0	22.9
Upper	0	0.0	54.5	0	0.0	65.7	34.9
Unknown	0	0.0	4.5	0	0.0	3.6	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home Mo	rtgage Loans			
Low	6	5.8	5.0	644	3.6	2.7	21.1
Moderate	19	18.3	15.8	2,576	14.2	10.8	21.1
Middle	26	25.0	20.3	3,753	20.7	16.8	22.9
Upper	49	47.1	47.7	10,115	55.8	57.6	34.9
Unknown	4	3.8	11.2	1,024	5.7	12.1	0.0
Total	104	100.0	100.0	18,112	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

 ${\it Multifamily\ loans\ are\ not\ included\ in\ the\ borrower\ distribution\ analysis.}$

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level Luck-Hayward, WI AA											
_	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Families by Family					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Income %					
Other Purpose LOC												
Low	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	2.1	21.1					
Moderate	0	0.0	12.9	0	0.0	6.2	21.1					
Middle	0	0.0	23.0	0	0.0	18.0	22.9					
Upper	0	0.0	53.5	0	0.0	67.6	34.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	5.9	0	0.0	6.1	0.0					
Total 0 0.0 100.0 0 0.0 100.0												
			Other Purpose	Closed/Exempt								
Low	0	0.0	9.8	0	0.0	8.1	21.1					
Moderate	1	50.0	17.6	288	76.2	10.2	21.1					
Middle	1	50.0	19.6	90	23.8	12.2	22.9					
Upper	0	0.0	51.0	0	0.0	61.2	34.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	8.3	0.0					
Total	2	100.0	100.0	378	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Purpose No	t Applicable								
Low	0	0.0	3.7	0	0.0	1.6	21.1					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21.1					
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.9					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	34.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	96.3	0	0.0	98.4	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distributi	ion of 2022 H	0 0	e Lending By ward, WI AA	Borrower In	come Level		
			Bank And Agg	gregate Loans			Families by	
Borrower Income Level	Bar	ık	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income	
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%	
			Home Pu	chase Loans				
Low	1	3.2	5.6	155	2.7	2.7	20.0	
Moderate	6	19.4	17.7	1,047	18.2	11.5	19.2	
Middle	8	25.8	18.5	1,245	21.6	15.8	23.0	
Upper	13	41.9	43.3	2,776	48.2	55.1	37.8	
Unknown	3	9.7	14.9	539	9.4	15.0	0.0	
Total	31	100.0	100.0	5,762	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	•	•	Refina	nce Loans				
Low	1	8.3	10.3	86	6.8	4.8	20.0	
Moderate	4	33.3	23.0	298	23.4	16.2	19.2	
Middle	4	33.3	22.9	309	24.3	19.5	23.0	
Upper	3	25.0	36.2	580	45.6	49.4	37.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	7.7	0	0.0	10.1	0.0	
Total	12	100.0	100.0	1,273	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	•	•	Home Impre	ovement Loans	•	•		
Low	2	10.0	6.7	80	4.4	3.7	20.0	
Moderate	4	20.0	15.9	170	9.3	10.1	19.2	
Middle	6	30.0	21.6	771	42.3	18.9	23.0	
Upper	8	40.0	53.7	800	43.9	61.2	37.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	2.1	0	0.0	6.1	0.0	
Total	20	100.0	100.0	1,821	100.0	100.0	100.0	
<u>.</u>			Total Home !	Mortgage Loans				
Low	6	7.9	7.7	431	4.3	3.5	20.0	
Moderate	15	19.7	19.3	1,565	15.7	12.8	19.2	
Middle	20	26.3	20.0	2,435	24.4	16.8	23.0	
Upper	30	39.5	42.3	4,888	49.0	54.0	37.8	
Unknown	5	6.6	10.8	658	6.6	12.9	0.0	
Total	76	100.0	100.0	9,977	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

	Distribution	on of 2022 Ho		e Lending By vard, WI AA	Borrower In	come Level						
			Bank And Agg	gregate Loans			Families by					
Borrower Income Level	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income					
Income Devel	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Other Purpose LOC												
Low	0	0.0	10.7	0	0.0	6.4	20.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	21.9	0	0.0	16.3	19.2					
Middle	0	0.0	19.6	0	0.0	16.2	23.0					
Upper	0	0.0	45.2	0	0.0	59.0	37.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	2.6	0	0.0	2.1	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
·			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exempt	t							
Low	2	15.4	13.5	110	9.8	7.0	20.0					
Moderate	1	7.7	15.7	50	4.5	12.1	19.2					
Middle	2	15.4	15.7	110	9.8	8.7	23.0					
Upper	6	46.2	49.4	732	65.3	67.5	37.8					
Unknown	2	15.4	5.6	119	10.6	4.7	0.0					
Total	13	100.0	100.0	1,121	100.0	100.0	100.0					
·			Purpose N	ot Applicable								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	19.2					
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	37.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

In 2021 and 2022, the bank's overall lending to low-income borrowers was below demographics and comparable to aggregate lenders. Based on FFIEC adjusted census data, 21.1% and 20.0% of families in the AA were low income in 2021 and 2022, respectively. For those same years, the bank's overall lending to moderate-income borrowers was comparable to demographics and aggregate lenders. Based on FFIEC adjusted census data, 21.1% and 19.2% of families in the AA were moderate income in 2021 and 2022, respectively. The bank's lending to LMI borrowers is reasonable given the competitive market for HMDA loans as well as affordability factors. The bank ranked 15th in 2021 and 11th in 2022 among HMDA reporters in the AA. The bank's lending represents only 1.7% and 2.2% of HMDA loans reported in the AA for those years, respectively. The bank competes with various types of institutions for these loans in the AA, including mortgage companies, credit unions, and large national banks.

Homes in the AA may be difficult for LMI borrowers to afford based on an assumption that a borrower can obtain a loan for approximately three times the borrower's annual income. Using the 2022 FFIEC estimated median family income of \$81,500 for the nonmetropolitan areas of Wisconsin, an individual with the highest income in the low-income bracket (\$40,749) could afford a \$122,247 home. Using the same assumption, borrowers with the highest income in the moderate-income bracket (\$65,199) could afford a \$195,597 home. According to 2022 FFIEC adjusted census data, the median housing value in the

AA is \$172,499. This data suggests that homeownership would not be affordable for many low-income borrowers, as well as some moderate-income borrowers. As mentioned earlier, bank management noted a need for additional housing, including affordable housing, in the AA. Bank management and a community contact stated that housing prices have increased over the last few years.

In this AA, the bank made use of flexible lending programs to assist meeting the credit needs of LMI borrowers. The bank participated in the Freddie Mac Home Possible and Fannie Mae HomeReady programs, as well as the FHLB's Home\$tart grant program, which all help LMI borrowers achieve homeownership. The Home\$tart program funds can also be used for property rehabilitation. The bank also made an FHA loan in the AA. During the evaluation period, the bank made a total of approximately \$385,382 in loans and facilitated \$15,000 in grants through these programs. For more information on these flexible lending programs, refer to the Institution section of this evaluation.

Lastly, the bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. The distribution of small business loans among businesses of different sizes is excellent. The tables below show the distribution of small business loans by revenue and loan size for 2021 and 2022, as well as aggregate lending data.

Distribu	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
Luck-Hayward, WI AA												
		В	ank And Ag	gregate Loa	ins		Total					
	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg										
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	98	73.1	49.4	5,190	42.6	38.3	90.1					
Over \$1 Million	20	14.9		5,307	43.6		6.7					
Revenue Unknown	16	11.9		1,673	13.7		3.3					
Total	134	100.0		12,170	100.0		100.0					
	By Loan Size											
\$100,000 or Less	101	75.4	90.6	3,116	25.6	35.5						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	20	14.9	5.6	3,042	25.0	23.1						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	13	9.7	3.9	6,012	49.4	41.4						
Total	134	100.0	100.0	12,170	100.0	100.0						
	By L	oan Size and	d Revenues \$	1 Million or	r Less							
\$100,000 or Less	83	84.7		2,355	45.4							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	12.2		1,714	33.0							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	3	3.1		1,121	21.6							
Total	98	100.0		5,190	100.0							

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribu	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
Luck-Hayward, WI AA												
		Ba	ank And Ag	gregate Loa	ns		Total					
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	43	64.2	55.1	3,463	35.9	42.9	90.2					
Over \$1 Million	23	34.3		5,939	61.6		6.6					
Revenue Unknown	1	1.5		246	2.5		3.2					
Total	67	100.0		9,648	100.0		100.0					
		I	By Loan Size	e								
\$100,000 or Less	42	62.7	91.5	2,074	21.5	37.2						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	19	28.4	5.6	3,494	36.2	25.3						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	6	9.0	2.9	4,080	42.3	37.5						
Total	67	100.0	100.0	9,648	100.0	100.0						
	By Lo	an Size and	Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	32	74.4		1,279	36.9							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	10	23.3		1,659	47.9							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	2.3		525	15.2							
Total	43	100.0		3,463	100.0							

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank's lending to small businesses exceeded aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and 2022. According to 2021 and 2022 D&B data, 90.1% and 90.2% of businesses had gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less, respectively. For both years, the bank's and aggregate lenders' lending activities were below demographic data. The bank was below aggregate lenders when extending small business loans for amounts of \$100,000 or less but still made most of its loans in those smaller amounts. In 2021 and 2022, the bank made 84.7% and 74.4%, respectively, of its small business loans to small entities for amounts of \$100,000 or less. This indicates a willingness to meet the credit needs of small businesses that tend to request small-dollar loans. The bank competes with numerous financial institutions for small business loans, such as regional banks and large national banks, including national credit card issuers. In this AA, the bank's small business lending represented 7.2% and 3.6% of aggregate lenders' activity in 2021 and 2022, respectively.

In 2020 and 2021, the bank made extensive use of the PPP loan program; these loans are designed to help businesses keep their workforces employed during the pandemic. The bank originated over 200 PPP loans in amounts of \$1.0 million or less, totaling approximately \$9.0 million in this AA.³⁵ Of those PPP loans, more than half were for amounts of \$50,000 or less. These loan amounts suggest that many of the bank's

_

³⁵ This PPP data excludes loans with a North American Classification System code indicating the business is in the agricultural sector.

PPP loans were made to small businesses. The bank's PPP lending is highly responsive to small business credit needs and contributes to the bank's performance.

The bank also made use of other SBA programs. During the evaluation period, the bank originated approximately \$2.3 million in loans through those programs.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance was generally consistent with the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Geographic Distribution

The bank's geographic distribution of loans reflects excellent dispersion among census tracts of difference income levels and does not reflect any unexplained gaps in lending. As previously mentioned, the income classification of some census tracts, as well as the number of tracts in the AA, changed during the evaluation period. In 2020, the AA included five moderate- and 20 middle-income census tracts. In 2021, the AA included five moderate- and 23 middle-income tracts. In 2022, the AA included three moderate- and 31 middle-income census tracts, as well as one upper-income tract. Middle-income tracts collectively represent 80.0%, 82.1%, and 88.6% of tracts in the AA in 2020, 2021, and 2022, respectively.

Residential Real Estate. Overall, the geographic distribution of HMDA loans is excellent, given performance context. The tables below display the distribution of the bank's 2021 and 2022 HMDA loans by census tract income level. The tables also include aggregate lending data for each year and demographics.

	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography													
	Luck-Hayward, WI AA													
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %							
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%								
	Home Purchase Loans													
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Moderate	1	3.8	9.8	272	5.7	8.3	11.7							
Middle	25	96.2	90.2	4,504	94.3	91.7	88.3							
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
Total	26	100.0	100.0	4,776	100.0	100.0	100.0							
			Refina	nce Loans										
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Moderate	7	9.2	9.9	1,043	8.0	8.9	11.7							
Middle	69	90.8	90.1	11,915	92.0	91.1	88.3							
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
Total	76	100.0	100.0	12,958	100.0	100.0	100.0							

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Luck-Hayward, WI AA							
Home Improvement Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	7.9	0	0.0	5.7	11.7
Middle	0	0.0	92.1	0	0.0	94.3	88.3
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
	Multifamily Loans						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	Units % 0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	8.3	0	0.0	1.3	14.0
Middle	1	100.0	91.7	383	100.0	98.7	86.0
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	1	100.0	100.0	383	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Home Mortgage Loans							Owner Occupied Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	8	7.6	9.6	1,315	7.1	8.3	11.7
Middle	97	92.4	90.4	17,180	92.9	91.7	88.3
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	105	100.0	100.0	18,495	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography								
Luck-Hayward, WI AA								
Geographic Income Level	Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg	Owner Occupied Units %	
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	0 =====	
Other Purpose LOC								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	5.5	0	0.0	5.4	11.7	
Middle	0	0.0	94.5	0	0.0	94.6	88.3	
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	

Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography								
Luck-Hayward, WI AA								
Other Purpose Closed/Exempt								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	9.8	0	0.0	4.3	11.7	
Middle	2	100.0	90.2	378	100.0	95.7	88.3	
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	100.0	378	100.0	100.0	100.0	
			Purpose N	ot Applicable				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	22.2	0	0.0	23.0	11.7	
Middle	0	0.0	77.8	0	0.0	77.0	88.3	
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution	n of 2022 Hor	ne Mortgage	Lending By I	ncome Level	of Geography	7
			Luck-Hay	ward, WI AA			
Geographic		Owner Occupied					
Income Level	Bank		Agg Ban		nk	Agg	Units %
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	3	9.7	9.0	524	9.1	7.8	7.6
Middle	28	90.3	87.5	5,238	90.9	87.2	89.1
Upper	0	0.0	3.5	0	0.0	5.0	3.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	31	100.0	100.0	5,762	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	3	25.0	8.3	254	20.0	7.9	7.6
Middle	9	75.0	88.3	1,019	80.0	88.3	89.1
Upper	0	0.0	3.4	0	0.0	3.8	3.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	12	100.0	100.0	1,273	100.0	100.0	100.0

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography									
	Luck-Hayward, WI AA Home Improvement Loans									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	4	20.0	7.4	125	6.9	8.6	7.6			
Middle	16	80.0	88.0	1,696	93.1	87.7	89.1			
Upper	0	0.0	4.2	0	0.0	3.6	3.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	20	100.0	100.0	1,821	100.0	100.0	100.0			
	Multi-family Units %									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	11.1	0	0.0	1.6	9.4			
Middle	0	0.0	83.3	0	0.0	93.1	89.9			
Upper	0	0.0	5.6	0	0.0	5.3	0.8			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	12	15.8	8.5	1,022	10.2	7.5	7.6			
Middle	64	84.2	87.9	8,955	89.8	87.9	89.1			
Upper	0	0.0	3.6	0	0.0	4.6	3.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	76	100.0	100.0	9,977	100.0	100.0	100.0			

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography Luck-Hayward, WI AA								
Bank And Aggregate Loans									
Geographic Income Level	Ba	ınk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %		
	#		#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%			
	Other Purpose LOC								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0.0	7.4	0	0.0	4.2	7.6		
Middle	0	0.0	87.4	0	0.0	89.3	89.1		
Upper	0	0.0	5.2	0	0.0	6.5	3.4		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0		

	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography									
	Luck-Hayward, WI AA									
	Other Purpose Closed/Exempt									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	2	15.4	6.7	119	10.6	4.3	7.6			
Middle	11	84.6	92.1	1,002	89.4	95.2	89.1			
Upper	0	0.0	1.1	0	0.0	0.5	3.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	13	100.0	100.0	1,121	100.0	100.0	100.0			
	•		Purpose N	ot Applicable						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	10.0	0	0.0	9.3	7.6			
Middle	0	0.0	90.0	0	0.0	90.7	89.1			
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3.4			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

The bank made 7.6% and 15.8% of its HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022, respectively, in the moderate-income tracts. In 2021, the bank's lending in the moderate-income tracts is below demographics, which show that 11.7% of the AA's owner-occupied units and 12.0% of the AA families are in the moderate-income tracts. In 2022, the bank's lending in the moderate-income tracts exceeds demographics, which show that 7.6% of the AA's owner-occupied units and 7.2% of AA families are in the moderate-income tracts. According to FFIEC adjusted census data, 15.7% and 9.6% of housing units are rentals in 2021 and 2022, respectively, and 45.8% and 50.0% of housing units are vacant in the moderate-income tracts in 2021 and 2022, respectively. The bank's 2021 performance is comparable to aggregate lenders' performance; aggregate lenders reported 9.6% of HMDA loans in the moderate-income tracts. The bank's 2022 performance is nearly double that of aggregate lenders' performance; aggregate lenders reported 8.5% of HMDA loans in the moderate-income tracts. In 2022, the bank's performance in the moderate-income tracts is strongest in comparison to aggregate lender's activity and demographics despite overall lower loan volume than in 2021.

In 2021, the bank made HMDA loans in four of the five moderate-income census tracts in the AA. The bank did not lend in one moderate-income tract in Burnett County in 2021, nor in 2022 when the classification changed to middle income. This is reasonable because the bank does not have a branch in Burnett County. The bank made HMDA loans in all three moderate-income tracts in the AA in 2022. Over 2021 and 2022, the bank made HMDA loans in most middle-income tracts in this AA. The bank's loan dispersion is reasonable given tract demographics noted above and performance context. Over 2021 and 2022, the bank's loans were concentrated in Polk and Sawyer counties where the bank's branches are located. In addition, as previously mentioned, the banking environment is competitive in the AA; consumers have various creditors to choose from for their credit needs. Despite the bank's minimal market share of HMDA loans in 2021 and 2022 in this AA, the bank performed well compared to aggregate, particularly in 2022, as well as to demographics in 2022.

The bank's 2020 HMDA lending performance in moderate-income tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 HMDA lending performance.

Small Business. Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent. The following tables show the bank's 2021 and 2022 small business loans by income level of census tract, as well as aggregate lending data.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography								
Luck-Hayward, WI AA									
Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans									
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses		
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	16	11.9	9.8	1,711	14.1	7.5	11.6		
Middle	118	88.1	88.9	10,459	85.9	92.2	88.4		
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	0.2			
Total	134	100.0	100.0	12,170	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography Luck-Hayward, WI AA								
Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans									
Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses %		
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	70		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Moderate	9	13.4	5.6	2,541	26.3	7.0	6.2		
Middle	58	86.6	90.3	7,107	73.7	91.2	91.3		
Upper	0	0.0	2.5	0	0.0	1.2	2.5		
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	1.7	0	0.0	0.5			
Total	67	100.0	100.0	9,648	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

The bank's 2021 small business lending was comparable to aggregate lenders' activity and demographics in the moderate-income tracts. The bank's 2022 small business lending exceeded aggregate lenders' activity and demographics in the moderate-income tracts. According to D&B data, 11.6% of businesses in 2021 and 6.2% of businesses in 2022 were in the moderate-income tracts. Over 2021 and 2022, the bank made loans in all moderate-income tracts except for the one Burnett County moderate-income tract in 2022; however, the bank made a small business loan in this tract in 2021 when the tract was classified as middle-income. The bank made loans in most of the remaining tracts in its AA except for the upper-income tract in 2022 and some middle-income tracts. Like HMDA loans, in 2021 and 2022, the bank's loans were concentrated in the counties where the bank's branches are located. With the competitive banking environment, small businesses have various creditors to choose from, including national credit card lenders. Despite the bank's small market share of small business loans in 2021 and 2022 in this AA, the bank performed well compared to aggregate, particularly in 2022.

The bank's 2020 small business lending performance in moderate-income tracts was generally consistent with its 2021 and 2022 small business lending performance.

Community Development Loans

The bank is a leader in making community development loans in the Luck-Hayward AA. As shown in the table, the bank originated 24 community development loans, including many community development purpose PPP loans, totaling approximately \$14.3 million during the evaluation period. Most of the bank's community development lending dollars supported the revitalization or stabilization of underserved

Community Development Lending in the Luck-Hayward AA						
Purpose	#	\$				
Affordable Housing	1	382,500				
Economic Development	11	3,210,798				
Revitalize or Stabilize	12	10,732,086				
Total	24	14,325,384				

middle-income areas in the AA; a significant portion of those dollars funded essential healthcare operations (including operations in this midst of the pandemic). As shown, the bank also made loans supporting economic development and affordable housing in the AA. The bank's community development lending shows excellent responsiveness to AA needs.

INVESTMENT TEST

Overall, the bank has a significant level of qualified investments, including donations, in the Luck-Hayward AA. During the evaluation period, the bank purchased one MBS pool which helps support the affordable housing need in this AA. The amount of the pool benefiting this AA is \$247,398. The bank continues to hold one prior-period bond for \$180,000. This level of investment activity is adequate given the competitive banking environment.

The bank made an excellent level of qualified investments in the form of donations as shown in the table at right. Of the \$29,187 in donations during the evaluation period, most of the donations benefited organizations that provide community services to LMI individuals. The funds helped local organizations support basic needs such as food and housing, as well as education. Of the bank's donations, \$9,578, or 32.8%, helped address food insecurity in the AA,

Qualified Investment Donations in the Luck-Hayward AA						
Purpose	#	\$				
Community Service	34	20,237				
Economic Development	5	7,250				
Revitalize or Stabilize	5	1,700				
Total	44	29,187				

including during the height of the pandemic. Other donations during the evaluation period supported economic development and revitalization or stabilization of moderate-income and underserved middle-income areas. The bank's donations show excellent responsiveness to the needs in the AA.

SERVICE TEST

The bank's delivery systems are accessible to geographies and individuals throughout the AA, and retail services do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain individuals or areas. The bank provides an adequate level of community development services.

Retail Services

Delivery systems in the Luck-Hayward AA are accessible to the bank's geographies and to individuals of different income levels. During the evaluation period, the bank operated two full-service offices in the AA: one in Luck and one in Hayward, both in middle-income census tracts. The Hayward branch is a new office for the bank since the October 2020 merger with Peoples Bank Midwest. The bank did not close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

Both branches have a drive-up facility and a cash-dispensing-only ATM. The Hayward branch offers weekend hours. During the evaluation period, both branches were in middle-income census tracts; however, the Luck branch was approximately 1.5 miles from one of the moderate-income tracts in 2022.³⁶

The following table shows the geographic distribution of branches in this AA as of December 31, 2022.

	2022 Geographic Distribution of Branches Luck-Hayward, WI AA											
				BR	ANCHES	S				DEMO	GRAPHIC	CS
Tract Income Levels	Tot	al Bra	nches	Opened	Closed*	Drive Thru	Extended Hours	Weekend Hours	Censi	Cencus I racts Households		Total Businesses
		#	%	#	#	#	#	#	#	%	%	%
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Low	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Moderate	DTO	0		0	0	0			3	8.6%	7.4%	6.3%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	2	100.0%	0	0	2	0	1				
Middle	DTO	0		0	0	0			31	88.6%	89.6%	91.0%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Upper	DTO	0		0	0	0			1	2.9%	2.9%	2.7%
	LS	0		0	0							

³⁶ Census data changes impacted the income-level designation of some tracts from 2021 to 2022, which altered the branches' proximity to certain tracts.

	Total	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	DTO	0		0	0	0			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	LS	0		0	0							
	Total	2	100.0%	0	0	2	0	1				
Totals	DTO	0		0	0	0			35	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	LS	0		0	0							

DTO - Drive Thru Only

LS - Limited Service

Shaded rows indicate totals; unshaded rows are a subset of shaded rows

*Closed branches are only included in the "Closed" columns and are not included in any other totals

LPOs not included in totals.

Community Development Services

The bank provided an adequate level of community development services in this AA. The table to the right shows the service activity and purpose category during the evaluation period. Three bank employees provided seven community development services for three organizations. The bank's community development services benefited organizations that provide essential services to LMI individuals, such as

Community Development Services in the Luck-Hayward AA					
Purpose #					
Community Services	4				
Economic Development	3				
Total	7				

shelter, vocational rehabilitation, and elder care, and an organization that promotes economic development. Bank employees served as board members for two organizations and one employee assisted the remaining organization with grant writing. Over the evaluation period, the bank's services addressed community needs such as essential basic needs, as well as business development.

METROPOLITAN AREA LIMITED REVIEW

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE EAU CLAIRE, WISCONSIN, MSA ASSESSMENT AREA

The bank operates one branch in this AA that includes the entirety of Eau Claire County, in the west-central portion of the state. Eau Claire County is part of the Eau Claire, WI MSA. The bank added this AA since the previous evaluation because of a bank merger in October 2020. As of 2022, the branch was in a moderate-income tract (previously a middle-income tract) due to census tract classification changes. The bank did not close any branches in this AA during the evaluation period.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE EAU CLAIRE ASSESSMENT AREA

Examiners determined that the bank's lending test,³⁷ investment test, and service test performance in this AA is consistent with the performance in the state.

The bank made \$13.4 million in community development loans benefiting this AA, which is a noteworthy level and includes SBA 504 loans. The loans helped to revitalize or stabilize qualified areas, as well as supported economic development and affordable housing.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE METROPOLITAN AREAS OF WISCONSIN

The facts and data examiners reviewed, including performance and demographic information, are in Appendix D accompanying this report. In addition, the Institution section at the beginning of this evaluation shows the bank's community development activity for each AA. Conclusions regarding performance of the limited-scope AA, which did not affect the state rating, are shown in the following table.

Performance in the Limited-Scope Review Wisconsin MSA AA						
AA	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test			
Eau Claire MSA Consistent Consistent Consistent						

-

³⁷ Examiners did not include the bank's small farm loans in the analysis because they are not a major business line for this AA. For HMDA and small business loans, examiners reviewed the bank's 2021 and 2022 loan data only due to minimal lending activity in 2020.

APPENDIX A

Scope of Evaluation

SCOPE OF EVALUATION FOR LENDING ACTIVITY January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2022					
FINANCIAL INSTITUTION	PRODUCTS REVIEWED				
Frandsen Bank & Trust	HMDA loans Small business loans Small farm loans				
AFFILIATES	PRODUCTS REVIEWED				
Not applicable	Not applicable				
SCOPE OF EVALUATION FOR COMMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2022					

LIST OF ASSESSMENT	AREAS AND TYP	E OF EVALUATION	7
ASSESSMENT AREA	TYPE OF EVALUATION	BRANCHES VISITED ³⁸	OTHER INFORMATION
Grand Forks Multistate MSA	Full scope	None	
Minneapolis-St. Paul MSA	Full scope	None	
Duluth MSA	Full scope	None	
New Ulm-Mankato CSA	Full scope	None	
Ada, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Baxter Lakes, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Clinton, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Lonsdale/Lonsdale-Goodhue, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Pine City, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
St. Cloud MSA	Limited scope	None	
Warren-Oslo, Minnesota	Limited scope	None	
Luck-Hayward	Full scope	None	
Eau Claire MSA	Limited scope	None	

Note: "Branches visited" indicates where technical compliance with the CRA (signs, public file, etc.) was confirmed. The evaluation of the institution's CRA performance takes into consideration activity from all branch locations, as described in the Scope of Evaluation section of the report.

-

³⁸ There is a statutory requirement that the written evaluation of a multistate institution's performance must list the individual branches examined in each state.

APPENDIX B

Summary of Multistate MSA and State Ratings

State Name	Lending Test Rating	Investment Test Rating	Service Test Rating	Overall State Rating
Grand Forks Multistate MSA	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Minnesota	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Wisconsin	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory

APPENDIX C

Glossary of Common CRA Terms

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan statistical area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending on population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community development: An activity associated with one of the following five descriptions: (1) affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; (2) community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; (3) activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 C.F.R. 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; (4) activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income census tracts, designated disaster areas, or distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income census tracts; or (5) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)-eligible activities in areas with HUD-approved NSP plans, which are conducted within two years after the date when NSP program funds are required to be spent and benefit low-, moderate-, and middle-income individuals and census tracts.

Consumer loan: A loan to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. It does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Distressed nonmetropolitan middle-income census tract: A middle-income, nonmetropolitan census tract will be designated as distressed if it is in a county that meets one or more of the following triggers: (1) an unemployment rate of at least 1.5 times the national average, (2) a poverty rate of 20.0% or more, or (3) a population loss of 10.0% or more between the previous and most recent decennial census or a net migration loss of 5.0% or more over the 5-year period preceding the most recent census.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include nonrelatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into "male householder" (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or "female householder" (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full-scope review: Performance is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (e.g., responsiveness).

Geography: A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include data such as the race, gender, and income of applicants; the amount of loan requested; and the disposition of the application (i.e., approved, denied, or withdrawn).

Home mortgage loans: Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes, and refinancing of home improvement and home purchase loans.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited-scope review: Performance is analyzed using only quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments).

Low income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan statistical area/assessment area.

Metropolitan statistical area (MSA): An area, defined by the Office of Management and Budget, based on the concept of a core area with at least one urbanized area that has a population of at least 50,000. The MSA comprises the central county or counties containing the core, plus adjacent outlying counties having a high degree of social and economic integration with the central county as measured through commuting.

Middle income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Moderate income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multistate MSA. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multistate MSA, the institution will receive a rating for the multistate metropolitan area.

Small loan to business: A loan included in "loans to small businesses" as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or classified as commercial and industrial loans.

Small loan to farm: A loan included in "loans to small farms" as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland or classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Underserved middle-income census tract: A middle-income, nonmetropolitan census tract will be designated as underserved if it meets criteria for population size, density, and dispersion that indicate the area's population is sufficiently small, thin, and distant from a population center that the tract is likely to have difficulty financing the fixed costs of meeting essential community needs.

Upper income: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of geography.

(For additional information, please see the Definitions sections of Regulation BB at 12 C.F.R. 28.12.)

APPENDIX D

Lending and Demographic Tables for Limited-Scope Reviews

]	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
		Assessn	nent Area: Ao	la, MN								
		I	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	s							
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	66	93.0	66.0	6,081	91.9	76.8	97.2					
Over \$1 Million	5	7.0		539	8.1		2.8					
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0					
Total	71	100.0		6,620	100.0		100.0					
]	By Loan Size									
\$100,000 or Less	51	71.8	74.4	1,337	20.2	18.7						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	16.9	16.0	2,261	34.2	37.0						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	8	11.3	9.6	3,022	45.6	44.3						
Total	71	100.0	100.0	6,620	100.0	100.0						
	By l	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$1	Million or L	ess							
\$100,000 or Less	47	71.2		1,198	19.7							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	18.2		2,261	37.2							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	7	10.6		2,622	43.1							
Total	66	100.0		6,081	100.0							

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution (of 2022 Small	Farm Lendir	ng By Revenu	e Size of Fari	ms						
		Assess	ment Area: A	da, MN								
		I	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	S							
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$(000) \$%							
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	32	88.9	55.8	4,410	87.2	72.4	97.1					
Over \$1 Million	4	11.1		649	12.8		2.9					
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0					
Total	36	100.0		5,059	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Siz	e								
\$100,000 or Less	17	47.2	72.5	858	17.0	20.4						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	14	38.9	17.5	2,593	51.3	38.0						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	5	13.9	10.0	1,608	31.8	41.6						
Total	36	100.0	100.0	5,059	100.0	100.0						
	Ву	Loan Size aı	nd Revenues S	§1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	16	50.0		822	18.6							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	37.5		2,305	52.3							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	4	12.5		1,283	29.1							
Total	32	100.0		4,410	100.0							

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Ada, MN											
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Geographic Income Level	Bank Agg Bank Agg											
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	71	100.0	100.0	6,620	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	71	100.0	100.0	6,620	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Ada, MN											
Geographic												
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	, 0					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	36	100.0	100.0	5,059	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	36	100.0	100.0	5,059	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

		2022 Ad	a, MN, Ass	essment Aı	rea Demogr	aphic Data				
Income Categories	Tract Distribu	ıtion	Families Inco	•		< Poverty Level as amilies by Tract	Families by Family Income			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	313	18.3		
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	337	19.7		
Middle	3	100.0	1,707	100.0	89	5.2	386	22.6		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	671	39.3		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	3	100.0	1,707	100.0	89	89 5.2		100.0		
					Housing T	Гуре by Tract				
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occu	pied		Rental	Vacant			
	by Hact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Middle	3,434	2,232	100.0	65.0	539	15.7	663	19.3		
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	3,434	2,232	100.0	65.0	539	15.7	663	19.3		

		2022 Ad	a, MN, Ass	essment Aı	rea Demogr	aphic Data		
]	Businesses b	y Tract & Revenue S	Size	
	Total Business Tract	es by	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Ove	er \$1 Million	Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	# %		#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	413	100.0	359	100.0	29	100.0	25	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	413	100.0	359	100.0	29 100.0		25	100.0
Percen	tage of Total Busi	nesses:		86.9		7.0		6.1
					Farms by	Tract & Revenue Siz	ze	
	Total Farms by	Tract	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Ove	er \$1 Million	Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	171	100.0	166	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	171	100.0	166	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0
Pe	rcentage of Total	Farms:		97.1		2.9		0.0

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Dist	ribution of 2	021 Home M Asso	0 0	ing By Borro : Baxter Lak		Level – Table	1 of 2				
			Bank And Ag								
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Families by Family Income %				
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	- a				
			Home Pu	rchase Loans							
Low	1	4.0	6.6	70	1.2	3.0	20.5				
Moderate	6	24.0	15.2	821	13.6	9.6	19.8				
Middle	8	32.0	18.5	1,443	24.0	14.6	23.2				
Upper	8	32.0	41.1	3,133	52.1	54.6	36.5				
Unknown	2	8.0	18.7	552	9.2	18.2	0.0				
Total	25	100.0	100.0	6,019	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Refinance Loans											
Low	8	9.4	5.8	856	4.6	2.8	20.5				
Moderate	21	24.7	13.8	3,139	17.0	8.7	19.8				
Middle	19	22.4	19.6	3,187	17.3	14.8	23.2				
Upper	35	41.2	44.6	10,702	58.1	56.1	36.5				
Unknown	2	2.4	16.3	543	2.9	17.6	0.0				
Total	85	100.0	100.0	18,427	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Home Impr	ovement Loans							
Low	0	0.0	7.8	0	0.0	2.3	20.5				
Moderate	0	0.0	16.7	0	0.0	9.8	19.8				
Middle	1	50.0	17.8	85	53.1	13.9	23.2				
Upper	0	0.0	48.9	0	0.0	68.0	36.5				
Unknown	1	50.0	8.9	75	46.9	6.1	0.0				
Total	2	100.0	100.0	160	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home	Mortgage Loans							
Low	9	7.8	6.0	926	3.7	2.8	20.5				
Moderate	27	23.5	14.2	3,960	15.7	9.0	19.8				
Middle	28	24.3	19.0	4,715	18.7	14.5	23.2				
Upper	46	40.0	43.6	14,457	57.3	55.7	36.5				
Unknown	5	4.3	17.2	1,170	4.6	18.0	0.0				
Total	115	100.0	100.0	25,228	100.0	100.0	100.0				

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Dis	tribution of 2	021 Home M Asso		ling By Borro : Baxter Lak		Level – Table	2 of 2					
_		Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Families by Family Income %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
			Other P	urpose LOC								
Low	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	0.5	20.5					
Moderate	0	0.0	7.5	0	0.0	3.5	19.8					
Middle	0	0.0	16.3	0	0.0	8.3	23.2					
Upper	0	0.0	67.5	0	0.0	80.6	36.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	7.5	0	0.0	7.1	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t							
Low	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	1.6	20.5					
Moderate	0	0.0	11.4	0	0.0	4.6	19.8					
Middle	0	0.0	18.6	0	0.0	6.7	23.2					
Upper	3	100.0	60.0	622	100.0	65.1	36.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	5.7	0	0.0	22.1	0.0					
Total	3	100.0	100.0	622	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Purpose N	ot Applicable								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.5					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	19.8					
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.2					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	36.5					
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dis	tribution of 2		lortgage Lend essment Area	U .		Level – Tabl	le 1 of 2
Borrower			Bank And Agg	regate Loans			
Income	Banl	k	Agg	Bar	ık	Agg	Families by Family Income %
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	income /v
			Home Pu	ırchase Loans			
Low	2	4.9	5.5	233	1.6	2.8	20.3
Moderate	4	9.8	17.9	658	4.4	10.7	20.2
Middle	8	19.5	17.6	1,989	13.3	13.4	21.8
Upper	26	63.4	41.2	11,755	78.7	55.8	37.7
Unknown	1	2.4	17.7	297	2.0	17.4	0.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	14,932	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	ance Loans			
Low	0	0.0	9.5	0	0.0	4.1	20.3
Moderate	3	23.1	21.5	385	12.4	13.0	20.2
Middle	3	23.1	21.8	396	12.7	17.2	21.8
Upper	7	53.8	37.3	2,325	74.9	51.5	37.7
Unknown	0	0.0	9.9	0	0.0	14.1	0.0
Total	13	100.0	100.0	3,106	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Imp	rovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	4.1	0	0.0	1.4	20.3
Moderate	1	14.3	17.1	20	2.2	8.2	20.2
Middle	3	42.9	21.8	250	27.5	15.8	21.8
Upper	3	42.9	52.4	640	70.3	72.5	37.7
Unknown	0	0.0	4.7	0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Total	7	100.0	100.0	910	100.0	100.0	100.0
	•		Total Home	Mortgage Loan	s		
Low	2	3.0	6.8	233	1.2	3.1	20.3
Moderate	9	13.6	18.5	1,387	7.1	11.0	20.2
Middle	17	25.8	19.3	2,825	14.4	14.4	21.8
Upper	37	56.1	41.6	14,910	75.9	56.1	37.7
Unknown	1	1.5	13.8	297	1.5	15.4	0.0
Total	66	100.0	100.0	19,652	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Dis	stribution of 1		Aortgage Len sessment Are			Level – Tabl	le 2 of 2				
Borrower		Bank And Aggregate Loans									
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Families by Family Income %				
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
			Other 1	Purpose LOC							
Low	0	0.0	5.5	0	0.0	3.2	20.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	13.6	0	0.0	5.0	20.2				
Middle	0	0.0	17.3	0	0.0	12.2	21.8				
Upper	0	0.0	60.0	0	0.0	76.8	37.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	3.6	0	0.0	2.8	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Other Purpo	ose Closed/Exem	pt						
Low	0	0.0	6.5	0	0.0	1.4	20.3				
Moderate	1	20.0	9.8	324	46.0	3.3	20.2				
Middle	3	60.0	23.9	190	27.0	7.8	21.8				
Upper	1	20.0	55.4	190	27.0	83.5	37.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Total	5	100.0	100.0	704	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose	Not Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.3				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.2				
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21.8				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	37.7				
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses													
Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN													
		F	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	S		Total						
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank Agg								
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
By Revenue													
\$1 Million or Less	40	60.6	50.3	2,626	36.4	36.6	92.6						
Over \$1 Million	21	31.8		4,496	62.3		5.7						
Revenue Unknown	5	7.6		94	1.3		1.6						
Total	66	100.0		7,216	100.0		100.0						
		В	y Loan Size										
\$100,000 or Less	51	77.3	90.0	1,751	24.3	28.8							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	12.1	5.2	1,519	21.1	19.7							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	7	10.6	4.8	3,946	54.7	51.6							
Total	66	100.0	100.0	7,216	100.0	100.0							
	By L	oan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or Lo	ess								
\$100,000 or Less	33	82.5		790	30.1								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	10.0		638	24.3								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	3	7.5		1,198	45.6								
Total	40	100.0		2,626	100.0								

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses														
	Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN													
		F	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	ıs		Total							
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	Bank Agg									
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%							
By Revenue														
\$1 Million or Less	24	55.8	52.6	2,354	44.3	44.6	92.4							
Over \$1 Million	16	37.2		2,898	54.5		5.7							
Revenue Unknown	3	7.0		63	1.2		1.8							
Total	43	100.0		5,315	100.0		100.0							
			By Loan Size											
\$100,000 or Less	29	67.4	90.1	1,362	25.6	31.6								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	10	23.3	5.4	1,678	31.6	19.3								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	9.3	4.5	2,275	42.8	49.1								
Total	43	100.0	100.0	5,315	100.0	100.0								
	By I	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$	1 Million or 1	Less									
\$100,000 or Less	16	66.7		831	35.3									
\$100,001 - \$250,000	6	25.0		843	35.8									
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	2	8.3		680	28.9									
Total	24	100.0		2,354	100.0									

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distril	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2											
Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN												
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans								
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Cints 70					
Home Purchase Loans												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	3	12.0	8.8	521	8.7	5.6	8.3					
Middle	20	80.0	84.1	5,166	85.8	86.9	84.9					
Upper	2	8.0	7.1	332	5.5	7.5	6.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0										
Total	25	100.0	100.0	6,019	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Distr	ibution of 202					ography – Ta	ble 1 of 2					
		ASSO	essment Area Refina	nce Loans	es, MIN							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	2	2.4	5.4	310	1.7	3.7	8.3					
Middle	76	89.4	86.5	16,476	89.4	87.7	84.9					
Upper	7	8.2	8.1	1,641	8.9	8.6	6.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	85	100.0	100.0	18,427	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	Home Improvement Loans											
Low	0.0											
Moderate	0	0.0	5.6	0	0.0	3.5	8.3					
Middle	2	100.0	87.8	160	100.0	88.2	84.9					
Upper	0	0.0	6.7	0	0.0	8.2	6.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	2	100.0	100.0	160	100.0	100.0	100.0					
			Multifam	nily Loans			Multi-family Units					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	30.0	0	0.0	44.9	12.9					
Middle	0	0.0	70.0	0	0.0	55.1	83.2					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	5	4.3	6.7	831	3.3	4.8	8.3					
Middle	101	87.8	85.6	22,424	88.9	87.2	84.9					
Upper	9	7.8	7.7	1,973	7.8	8.0	6.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	115	100.0	100.0	25,228	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	·											

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distrib	ution of 202	1 Home Mor	tgage Lendir	ng By Income	Level of Ge	ography – Ta	ble 2 of 2	
		Asse	essment Area	: Baxter Lak	es, MN			
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans				
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %	
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	2 10	
			Other P	urpose LOC				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	1.3	0	0.0	0.9	8.3	
Middle	0	0.0	88.8	0	0.0	90.1	84.9	
Upper	0	0.0	10.0	0	0.0	9.0	6.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	
·			Other Purpo	se Closed/Exem	pt			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	2.9	0	0.0	1.1	8.3	
Middle	3	100.0	92.9	622	100.0	98.3	84.9	
Upper	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	0.6	6.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	3	100.0	100.0	622	100.0	100.0	100.0	
·			Purpose N	Not Applicable				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	22.2	0	0.0	13.0	8.3	
Middle	0	0.0	63.0	0	0.0	72.3	84.9	
Upper	0	0.0	14.8	0	0.0	14.7	6.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0	

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2 Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN												
Geographic													
Income													
Level													
	Home Purchase Loans												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	9	22.0	28.9	1,623	10.9	18.6	27.5						
Middle	31	75.6	63.8	12,883	86.3	74.3	66.2						
Upper	pper 1 2.4 7.3 426 2.9 7.1												
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						

Distrib	ution of 2022		rtgage Lendin essment Area	~ •		ography – T	Table 1 of 2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	41	100.0	100.0	14,932	100.0	100.0	100.0
	<u> </u>		Refina	nce Loans		U	
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	3	23.1	21.9	383	12.3	14.0	27.5
Middle	9	69.2	71.6	2,373	76.4	80.3	66.2
Upper	1	7.7	6.5	350	11.3	5.7	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	13	100.0	100.0	3,106	100.0	100.0	100.0
•	•	<u>'</u>	Home Impr	ovement Loans	•		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	17.1	0	0.0	10.6	27.5
Middle	7	100.0	77.6	910	100.0	84.6	66.2
Upper	0	0.0	5.3	0	0.0	4.8	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	7	100.0	100.0	910	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifamily	Loans			Multi-family Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	54.5	0	0.0	25.0	62.1
Middle	0	0.0	27.3	0	0.0	7.6	31.3
Upper	0	0.0	18.2	0	0.0	67.5	6.7
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		,	Total Home Mor	tgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	13	19.7	25.0	2,031	10.3	16.6	27.5
Middle	51	77.3	68.1	16,845	85.7	74.6	66.2
Upper	2	3.0	7.0	776	3.9	8.8	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	66	100.0	100.0	19,652	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distri	ibution of 20	022 Home M	ortgage Lend	ling By Incor	ne Level of G	eography – T	Table 2 of 2
		As	ssessment Ar	ea: Baxter L	akes, MN		
Geographic							
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
			Other	Purpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	9.1	0	0.0	4.5	27.5
Middle	0	0.0	80.9	0	0.0	87.5	66.2
Upper	0	0.0	10.0	0	0.0	8.1	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purp	pose Closed/Exe	mpt		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	20.0	15.2	25	3.6	3.5	27.5
Middle	4	80.0	81.5	679	96.4	94.0	66.2
Upper	0	0.0	3.3	0	0.0	2.5	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	5	100.0	100.0	704	100.0	100.0	100.0
<u> </u>			Purpos	e Not Applicable	2		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	40.0	0	0.0	27.0	27.5
Middle	0	0.0	50.0	0	0.0	72.9	66.2
Upper	0	0.0	10.0	0	0.0	0.1	6.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography												
Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN													
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans									
Geographic Income Level	Ba	ınk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Total Businesses %						
meome Bever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Businesses 70						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	1	1.5	9.0	221	3.1	10.3	10.3						
Middle	57	86.4	81.6	6,301	87.3	85.2	83.4						
Upper	8	12.1	7.3	694	9.6	4.2	6.3						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0 0.0 2.1 0 0.0 0.											
Total	66	100.0	100.0	7,216	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography												
	Assessment Area: Baxter Lakes, MN												
Geographic													
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Businesses						
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	70						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	7	16.3	23.4	809	15.2	25.9	30.2						
Middle	29	67.4	65.4	4,083	76.8	57.0	62.7						
Upper	7	16.3	9.8	423	8.0	16.7	7.1						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0												
Total	43	100.0	100.0	5,315	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	202	2 Baxter	Lakes, MN,	Assessmer	nt Area Demo	graphic Data				
Income Categories	Tract Distrib	ution	Families I	_		Poverty Level as nilies by Tract		by Family ome		
g	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4,517	20.3		
Moderate	7	26.9	6,721	30.2	749	11.1	4,483	20.2		
Middle	17	65.4	14,211	63.9	750	5.3	4,845	21.8		
Upper	2	7.7	1,298	5.8	0	0.0	8,385	37.7		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	26	100.0	22,230	100.0	1,499	6.7	22,230	100.0		
					Housing Typ	oe by Tract				
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occup	r-occupied Rental Vaca						
	by ITact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	14,282	7,348	27.5	51.4	4,534	31.7	2,400	16.8		
Middle	35,970	17,673	66.2	49.1	2,733	7.6	15,564	43.3		
Upper	2,359	1,667	6.2	70.7	387	16.4	305	12.9		
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	52,611	26,688	100.0	50.7	7,654	14.5	18,269	34.7		
	Total Busines	ses by			Businesses by	Tract & Revenue	Size			
	Tract		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over	\$1 Million		ue Not orted		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	1,456	30.2	1,320	29.6	108	39.0	28	32.2		
Middle	3,021	62.7	2,823	63.4	142	51.3	56	64.4		
Upper	342	7.1	312	7.0	27	9.7	3	3.4		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	4,819	100.0	4,455	100.0	277	100.0	87	100.0		
Perce	ntage of Total Bus	sinesses:		92.4		5.7		1.8		
					Farms by Ti	ract & Revenue Si	ze			
	Total Farms by	y Tract	Less Tha		Over	\$1 Million		ue Not orted		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	49	30.8	48	30.8	1	50.0	0	0.0		
Middle	108	67.9	106	67.9	1	50.0	1	100.0		
Upper	2	1.3	2	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

2022 Baxter Lakes, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data												
Total AA	Total AA 159 100.0 156 100.0 2 100.0 1 100.0											
Pe	Percentage of Total Farms: 98.1 1.3 0.6											

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distr	ibution of 202	21 Small Busi	iness Lending	g By Revenue	Size of Busi	nesses						
	Assess	sment Area:	Bird Island-I	airfax-Hecto	or, MN							
		В	ank And Ag	gregate Loan	s		Total					
	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg				Agg	Businesses					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	85	88.5	63.3	2,211	49.1	41.3	85.8					
Over \$1 Million	10	10.4		2,080	46.2		9.3					
Revenue Unknown	1	1.0		208	4.6		4.9					
Total	96	100.0		4,499	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Size									
\$100,000 or Less	84	87.5	91.7	1,806	40.1	39.9						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	10	10.4	5.4	1,543	34.3	22.2						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	2	2.1	2.9	1,150	25.6	38.0						
Total	96	100.0	100.0	4,499	100.0	100.0						
	By l	Loan Size an	d Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	80	94.1		1,551	70.1							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	5.9		660	29.9							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0							
Total	85	100.0		2,211	100.0							

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Dist	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
	Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN											
		В	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	18		T					
	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Businesses %					
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	24	75.0	49.8	1,047	29.2	22.8	85.5					
Over \$1 Million	8	25.0		2,537	70.8		9.0					
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		5.5					
Total	32	100.0		3,584	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Siz	ze								
\$100,000 or Less	23	71.9	92.7	633	17.7	35.2						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	6	18.8	4.8	901	25.1	19.9						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	3	9.4	2.4	2,050	57.2	44.9						
Total	32	100.0	100.0	3,584	100.0	100.0						
	Ву	Loan Size a	nd Revenues	\$1 Million or	r Less							
\$100,000 or Less	21	87.5		569	54.3							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	12.5		478	45.7							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0							
Total	24	100.0		1,047	100.0							

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

D	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN										
Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Bank Agg Bank Agg										
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
			By Revenue								
\$1 Million or Less	245	89.7	74.0	17,307	81.3	72.6	98.2				
Over \$1 Million	28	10.3		3,968	18.7		1.8				
Revenue Unknown 0 0.0 0 0.0											
Total	273	100.0		21,275	100.0		100.0				

D	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
	Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN											
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	207	75.8	78.5	5,959	28.0	26.7						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	45	16.5	14.0	7,565	35.6	33.5						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	21	7.7	7.5	7,751	36.4	39.8						
Total	273	100.0	100.0	21,275	100.0	100.0						
	By l	Loan Size an	d Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	192	78.4		5,579	32.2							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	40	16.3		6,701	38.7							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	13	5.3		5,027	29.0							
Total	245	100.0		17,307	100.0							

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

1	Distribution o			-		rms					
	Asses		Bird Island- Bank And Ag								
	Ba		Agg		nk	Agg	Total Farms				
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	88	83.0	54.2	13,223	69.4	53.5	98.4				
Over \$1 Million	18	17.0		5,835	30.6		1.6				
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0				
Total	106	100.0		19,058	100.0		100.0				
			By Loan Siz	ze							
\$100,000 or Less	42	39.6	60.1	2,335	12.3	14.7					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	40	37.7	24.0	7,208	37.8	34.6					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	24	22.6	15.9	9,515	49.9	50.7					
Total	106	100.0	100.0	19,058	100.0	100.0					
	Ву	Loan Size a	nd Revenues	\$1 Million or	r Less						
\$100,000 or Less	41	46.6		2,235	16.9						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	34	38.6		5,915	44.7						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	13	14.8		5,073	38.4						
Total	88	100.0		13,223	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography												
	Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans												
Geographic Income Level	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg											
meome Bever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$(000) \$%		Businesses %						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Middle	96	100.0	99.7	4,499	100.0	100.0	100.0						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.0											
Total	96	100.0	100.0	4,499	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distrib	ution of 2022 S	mall Business	Lending By In	come Level of	Geography							
	Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN												
Geographic	Total Businesses												
Income	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg											
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Middle	32	100.0	96.5	3,584	100.0	98.5	100.0						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	3.5	0	0.0	1.5							
Total	32	100.0	100.0	3,584	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN												
Geographic													
Income	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg											
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Middle	273	100.0	100.0	21,275	100.0	100.0	100.0						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	273	100.0	100.0	21,275	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN												
Geographic													
Income	Ba	Total Farms %											
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Middle	106	100.0	99.7	19,058	100.0	99.9	100.0						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0 0.0 0.3 0 0.0 0.1											
Total	106	100.0	100.0	19,058	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	2022 Bird I	sland-Fa	nirfax-Hect	or, MN, As	sessment Area	a Demographic Dat	a		
Income Categories	Tract Distribu	ıtion	Families Inco			Poverty Level as nilies by Tract	Families by Family Income		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	793	17.0	
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	966	20.7	
Middle	7	100.0	4,672	100.0	248	5.3	1,192	25.5	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		1,721	36.8	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0 0.0		0	0.0	
Total AA	7	100.0	4,672	100.0	248	5.3	4,672	100.0	
			Housing Type by Tract						
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occu	•	F	Rental	Vac		
	by ITact	#	% by tract	% by unit	# % by unit		#	% by unit	
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Middle	9,042	5,900	100.0	65.3	1,668	18.4	1,474	16.3	
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	9,042	5,900	100.0	65.3	1,668	18.4	1,474	16.3	
	Tatal Darings]	Businesses by	Tract & Revenue S	ize		
	Total Business	es by	T 701	an or =			Daviani	NT 4	
	Tract		Less I h \$1 M		Over	\$1 Million	Repo	ie Not rted	
	Tract #	%			Over	\$1 Million			
Low		% 0.0	\$1 M	illion			Repo	rted	
Low Moderate	#		\$1 M	illion %	#	%	Repo	rted %	
	# 0	0.0	\$1 M #	% 0.0	# 0	% 0.0	# 0	rted % 0.0	
Moderate	# 0 0	0.0	# 0 0	% 0.0 0.0	# 0 0	% 0.0 0.0	# 0 0	0.0 0.0	
Moderate Middle	# 0 0 834	0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 713	0.0 0.0 0.0	# 0 0 75	% 0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 46	0.0 0.0 0.0	
Moderate Middle Upper	# 0 0 834 0	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0	# 0 0 713 0	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0	# 0 0 75 0	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0	# 0 0 46 0 0	764 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA	# 0 0 0 834 0	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 713 0 0	### 100.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	# 0 0 0 75 0	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0	# 0 0 46 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA	# 0 0 834 0 0	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 713 0 0	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 75 0 0 75	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	# 0 0 46 0 0 46	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA	# 0 0 834 0 0	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	# 0 0 713 0 0	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 85.5	# 0 0 75 0 0 75 Farms by T	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 9.0	# 0 0 46 0 0 46	76 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 5.5	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA	# 0 0 834 0 0 834 ntage of Total Busi	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	# 0 0 713 0 0 713 Less Th	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 85.5	# 0 0 75 0 0 75 Farms by T	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 9.0 ract & Revenue Siz	# 0 0 46 0 0 46 e	76 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 5.5	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA	# 0 0 834 0 0 834 tage of Total Busi	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	\$1 M # 0 0 713 0 0 713 Less Th \$1 M	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 85.5 an or = illion	# 0 0 75 0 0 75 0 75 Over	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 9.0 ract & Revenue Siz	# 0 0 46 0 0 46 e Revenue	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 5.5	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Percen	# 0 0 834 0 0 834 Total Farms by #	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 Tract	\$1 M # 0 0 713 0 713 Less Th \$1 M	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 85.5	# 0 0 75 0 0 75 Our Farms by Tour #	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 9.0 ract & Revenue Siz \$1 Million %	# 0 0 46 0 46 e Revenue Repo	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 5.5	
Moderate Middle Upper Unknown Total AA Percen Low	# 0 0 834 0 834 ttage of Total Busi Total Farms by #	0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 resses:	\$1 M # 0 0 713 0 713 Less Th \$1 M	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 85.5	# 0 0 75 0 0 75 Over # 0	% 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 9.0 ract & Revenue Siz \$1 Million % 0.0	# 0 0 46 0 46 e Revenue Repo	76 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 5.5 1e Not rted % 0.0	

	2022 Bird Island-Fairfax-Hector, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data											
Unknown	Unknown 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0											
Total AA	321	100.0	316	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0				
Per	Percentage of Total Farms: 98.4 1.6 0.0											

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distr	ibution of 202	2 Small Busi	ness Lending	g By Revenue	Size of Busin	nesses	
		Assessme	ent Area: Cli	nton, MN			
		В	ank And Agg	gregate Loan	s		
	Bank Agg Bank		Agg	Total Businesses %			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Businesses , v
			By Revenue				
\$1 Million or Less	27	87.1	60.4	1,057	69.9	52.4	87.2
Over \$1 Million	4	12.9		456	30.1		6.7
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		6.1
Total	31	100.0		1,513	100.0		100.0
]	By Loan Size	,			
\$100,000 or Less	29	93.5	89.6	1,055	69.7	36.3	
\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	6.5	6.5	458	30.3	24.2	
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0	3.9	0	0.0	39.5	
Total	31	100.0	100.0	1,513	100.0	100.0	
	By I	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less		
\$100,000 or Less	26	96.3		809	76.5		
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	3.7		248	23.5		
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0		
Total	27	100.0		1,057	100.0		

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

 $2016\hbox{-}2020~U.S.~Census~Bureau:~American~Community~Survey$

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms							
Assessment Area: Clinton, MN							
	Bank And Aggregate Loans						
	Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg	Total Farms
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
By Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	192	85.0	76.2	13,290	75.8	76.6	98.7
Over \$1 Million	27	11.9		3,845	21.9		1.3
Revenue Unknown	7	3.1		403	2.3		0.0
Total	226	100.0		17,538	100.0		100.0
By Loan Size							
\$100,000 or Less	174	77.0	81.0	4,308	24.6	29.6	
\$100,001 - \$250,000	31	13.7	12.7	5,668	32.3	34.9	
\$250,001 - \$500,000	21	9.3	6.2	7,562	43.1	35.5	
Total	226	100.0	100.0	17,538	100.0	100.0	
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less							
\$100,000 or Less	150	78.1		3,377	25.4		
\$100,001 - \$250,000	27	14.1		4,964	37.4		
\$250,001 - \$500,000	15	7.8		4,949	37.2		
Total	192	100.0		13,290	100.0		

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

I	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: Clinton, MN												
Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	79	68.7	59.3	11,907	56.9	60.1	98.6					
Over \$1 Million	27	23.5		8,556	40.9		1.4					
Revenue Unknown	9	7.8		445	2.1		0.0					
Total	115	100.0		20,908	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Siz	e								
\$100,000 or Less	55	47.8	61.4	2,153	10.3	14.1						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	26	22.6	18.0	5,110	24.4	25.2						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	34	29.6	20.6	13,645	65.3	60.7						
Total	115	100.0	100.0	20,908	100.0	100.0						
	By	Loan Size ar	d Revenues S	§1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	43	54.4		1,735	14.6							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	18	22.8		3,418	28.7							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	18	22.8		6,754	56.7							
Total	79	100.0		11,907	100.0							

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribu	tion of 2021 Sn	nall Business L	ending By Inc	ome Level of C	Geography						
Assessment Area: Clinton, MN												
	Total											
Geographic Income Level	Geographic Bank Agg Bank Agg											
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Businesses %					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	69	100.0	99.5	2,748	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	69	100.0	100.0	2,748	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
	Assessment Area: Clinton, MN											
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Income												
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	31	100.0	99.4	1,513	100.0	99.9	100.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.1						
Total	31	100.0	100.0	1,513	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Clinton, MN										
Geographic											
Income	Total Farms %										
Level	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	226	100.0	100.0	17,538	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	226	100.0	100.0	17,538	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography											
	Assessment Area: Clinton, MN											
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Income	Total Farms %											
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	115	100.0	100.0	20,908	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0										
Total	115	100.0	100.0	20,908	100.0	100.0	100.0					

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	2022 Clinton, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data												
Income Categories	Tract Distribu	ıtion	Families by Tract Income			< Poverty Level as amilies by Tract	Families by Family Income						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	332	18.8					
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	353	20.0					
Middle	4	100.0	1,766	100.0	136	7.7	377	21.3					
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	704	39.9					
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total AA	4	100.0	1,766	100.0	136	7.7	1,766	100.0					
					Housing 7	Гуре by Tract							
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occu	pied		Rental	Vacant						
	by Hact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit					
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Middle	3,973	1,999	100.0	50.3	819	20.6	1,155	29.1					
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					
Total AA	3,973	1,999	100.0	50.3	819	20.6	1,155	29.1					

	20)22 Clint	ton, MN, A	ssessment A	Area Demog	graphic Data		
]	Businesses b	y Tract & Revenue S	Size	
	Total Business Tract	es by	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Ove	er \$1 Million	Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	313	100.0	273	100.0	21	100.0	19	100.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	313	100.0	273	100.0	21	100.0	19	100.0
Percen	tage of Total Busi	nesses:		87.2		6.7		6.1
					Farms by	Tract & Revenue Siz	ze	
	Total Farms by	Tract		Less Than or = \$1 Million Over \$1 Million				ue Not orted
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	147	100.0	145	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	147	100.0	145	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0
Do	Farms:		98.6		1.4		0.0	

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	ribution of 2			ing By Borro ea: Lonsdale,	wer Income L MN	evel – Table	1 of 2
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Baı	Bank		Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	1	3.8	0.8	40	0.6	0.3	12.4
Moderate	4	15.4	13.4	840	12.3	9.2	13.0
Middle	6	23.1	27.0	1,826	26.7	24.8	22.0
Upper	14	53.8	42.6	3,865	56.6	49.0	52.5
Unknown	1	3.8	16.2	259	3.8	16.7	0.0
Total	26	100.0	100.0	6,830	100.0	100.0	100.0
·			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	2	3.6	2.0	197	1.6	1.0	12.4
Moderate	7	12.5	12.4	1,050	8.4	8.9	13.0
Middle	17	30.4	24.9	3,892	31.1	22.1	22.0
Upper	28	50.0	45.7	6,898	55.1	51.7	52.5
Unknown	2	3.6	15.0	490	3.9	16.3	0.0
Total	56	100.0	100.0	12,527	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	1.6	0	0.0	0.5	12.4
Moderate	0	0.0	11.3	0	0.0	9.7	13.0
Middle	0	0.0	19.4	0	0.0	21.9	22.0
Upper	3	100.0	64.5	148	100.0	66.3	52.5
Unknown	0	0.0	3.2	0	0.0	1.6	0.0
Total	3	100.0	100.0	148	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home	Mortgage Loans			
Low	3	3.3	1.7	237	1.2	0.7	12.4
Moderate	12	13.3	12.7	1,980	9.8	9.1	13.0
Middle	27	30.0	25.5	6,244	31.0	23.2	22.0
Upper	45	50.0	45.1	10,911	54.2	50.5	52.5
Unknown	3	3.3	15.0	749	3.7	16.5	0.0
Total	90	100.0	100.0	20,121	100.0	100.0	100.0

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Distr	ibution of 20		ortgage Lendi sessment Are	., .	wer Income L MN	evel – Table 2	2 of 2
		Families by					
Borrower Income Level	Bank	4	Agg	Bai	nk	Agg	Family Income
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Other Pu	rpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	4.0	0	0.0	1.2	12.4
Moderate	0	0.0	16.0	0	0.0	10.2	13.0
Middle	0	0.0	24.0	0	0.0	10.5	22.0
Upper	0	0.0	56.0	0	0.0	78.1	52.5
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpose	Closed/Exempt			
Low	0	0.0	9.1	0	0.0	5.4	12.4
Moderate	1	20.0	9.1	90	14.6	12.9	13.0
Middle	4	80.0	33.3	526	85.4	36.7	22.0
Upper	0	0.0	45.5	0	0.0	41.0	52.5
Unknown	0	0.0	3.0	0	0.0	4.0	0.0
Total	5	100.0	100.0	616	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Purpose No	ot Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12.4
Moderate	0	0.0	12.5	0	0.0	8.0	13.0
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.0
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	52.5
Unknown	0	0.0	87.5	0	0.0	92.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dis	tribution of 2			ing By Borrov onsdale-Good		evel – Table	e 1 of 2
Borrower			Bank And Aggr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Income	Bank		Agg	Bank	K .	Agg	Families by Family Income %
Level	#	Tuning Income 70					
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	2	9.5	4.7	780	12.2	2.5	13.7
Moderate	2	9.5	21.5	547	8.5	15.2	15.6
Middle	2	9.5	25.4	456	7.1	23.5	21.9
Upper	10	47.6	30.7	3,740	58.4	41.1	48.9
Unknown	5	23.8	17.7	880	13.7	17.7	0.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	6,403	100.0	100.0	100.0
		•	Refina	nce Loans			
Low	0	0.0	9.0	0	0.0	5.4	13.7
Moderate	4	25.0	21.4	704	20.0	16.6	15.6
Middle	4	25.0	27.0	672	19.0	24.5	21.9
Upper	8	50.0	35.4	2,152	61.0	40.1	48.9
Unknown	0	0.0	7.3	0	0.0	13.5	0.0
Total	16	100.0	100.0	3,528	100.0	100.0	100.0
		•	Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	2.3	0	0.0	1.4	13.7
Moderate	1	8.3	12.2	50	4.1	10.5	15.6
Middle	5	41.7	26.4	297	24.2	23.6	21.9
Upper	6	50.0	57.1	878	71.7	62.7	48.9
Unknown	0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	1.8	0.0
Total	12	100.0	100.0	1,225	100.0	100.0	100.0
•	•	•	Total Home	Mortgage Loans	•	•	
Low	6	10.5	5.9	1,080	9.1	3.3	13.7
Moderate	8	14.0	20.2	1,351	11.4	15.3	15.6
Middle	11	19.3	25.8	1,425	12.0	23.7	21.9
Upper	27	47.4	35.0	7,100	60.0	41.6	48.9
Unknown	5	8.8	13.1	880	7.4	16.1	0.0
Total	57	100.0	100.0	11,836	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Dis	stribution of		Mortgage Lensment Area:	., .	ower Income odhue, MN	Level – Table	e 2 of 2
Borrower			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Families by Family Income %
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
			Other	Purpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	6.7	0	0.0	2.4	13.7
Moderate	0	0.0	11.2	0	0.0	7.9	15.6
Middle	0	0.0	22.5	0	0.0	19.9	21.9
Upper	0	0.0	55.1	0	0.0	64.1	48.9
Unknown	0	0.0	4.5	0	0.0	5.8	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
<u> </u>			Other Purp	ose Closed/Exem	pt		
Low	4	50.0	14.5	300	44.1	12.8	13.7
Moderate	1	12.5	14.5	50	7.4	9.4	15.6
Middle	0	0.0	27.5	0	0.0	26.7	21.9
Upper	3	37.5	42.0	330	48.5	49.4	48.9
Unknown	0	0.0	1.4	0	0.0	1.7	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	680	100.0	100.0	100.0
'	-		Purpose	Not Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13.7
Moderate	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	4.6	15.6
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21.9
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	48.9
Unknown	0	0.0	93.8	0	0.0	95.4	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN											
Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	97	82.2	50.9	4,605	52.9	30.7	93.1				
Over \$1 Million	18	15.3		4,016	46.2		6.1				
Revenue Unknown 3 2.5 80 0.9											
Total	118	100.0		8,701	100.0		100.0				

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses											
	Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN											
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	99	83.9	91.0	2,735	31.4	37.7						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	7.6	5.5	1,440	16.5	22.8						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	10	8.5	3.5	4,526	52.0	39.5						
Total	118	100.0	100.0	8,701	100.0	100.0						
	By	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$1	Million or I	ess							
\$100,000 or Less	89	91.8		2,289	49.7							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	4.1		688	14.9							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	4.1		1,628	35.4							
Total	97	100.0		4,605	100.0							

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
	Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN											
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Businesses					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less 44 77.2 49.4 4,344 56.3 40.0												
Over \$1 Million	13	22.8		3,372	43.7		6.6					
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		1.4					
Total	57	100.0		7,716	100.0		100.0					
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less	39	68.4	92.4	1,719	22.3	34.8						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	15.8	3.9	1,629	21.1	16.4						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	9	15.8	3.6	4,368	56.6	48.7						
Total	57	100.0	100.0	7,716	100.0	100.0						
	Ву	Loan Size aı	nd Revenues S	\$1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	35	79.5		1,451	33.4							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	9.1		675	15.5							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	5	11.4		2,218	51.1							
Total	44	100.0		4,344	100.0							

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

]	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
		Assessmer	nt Area: Lons	dale, MN								
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	63	95.5	72.4	4,128	91.9	86.7	99.4					
Over \$1 Million	2	3.0		352	7.8		0.6					
Revenue Unknown	1	1.5		13	0.3		0.0					
Total	66	100.0		4,493	100.0		100.0					
	By Loan Size											
\$100,000 or Less	53	80.3	84.3	1,374	30.6	29.4						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	13.6	11.0	1,553	34.6	36.3						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	4	6.1	4.7	1,566	34.9	34.3						
Total	66	100.0	100.0	4,493	100.0	100.0						
	By 1	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$1	l Million or L	ess							
\$100,000 or Less	52	82.5		1,361	33.0							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	11.1		1,201	29.1							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	4	6.3		1,566	37.9							
Total	63	100.0		4,128	100.0							

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of	of 2022 Small	Farm Lendin	ng By Revenu	e Size of Farn	ns						
Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	23	85.2	56.6	2,862	82.1	71.1	98.6					
Over \$1 Million	2	7.4		550	15.8		1.4					
Revenue Unknown	2	7.4		73	2.1		0.0					
Total	27	100.0		3,485	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Siz	e								
\$100,000 or Less	17	63.0	81.4	862	24.7	30.1						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	18.5	10.5	943	27.1	26.5						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	5	18.5	8.2	1,680	48.2	43.4						
Total	27	100.0	100.0	3,485	100.0	100.0						

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN												
By Loan Size and Revenues \$1 Million or Less												
\$100,000 or Less	\$100,000 or Less 15 65.2 789 27.6											
\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	17.4		743	26.0							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	\$250,001 - \$500,000 4 17.4 1,330 46.5											
Total	23	100.0		2,862	100.0							

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distr	ribution of 20		~ ~	~ .	Level of Geo	graphy – Tabl	le 1 of 2
		P	Assessment Ar Bank And Ag		, IVIN		
Geographic _ Income	Ba	Bank			ınk	Agg	Owner Occupied
Level	#	#%	Agg #%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Units %
l			Home Pu	rchase Loans	l		l
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	5	19.2	35.6	1,075	15.7	31.6	41.6
Upper	21	80.8	64.4	5,755	84.3	68.4	58.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	26	100.0	100.0	6,830	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	ance Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	12	21.4	32.0	2,843	22.7	29.7	41.6
Upper	44	78.6	68.0	9,684	77.3	70.3	58.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	56	100.0	100.0	12,527	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Imp	rovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle	1	33.3	29.0	50	33.8	15.6	41.6
Upper	2	66.7	71.0	98	66.2	84.4	58.4
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	3	100.0	100.0	148	100.0	100.0	100.0

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2 Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN										
	Multi-family Units										
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	79.8				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.2				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	19	21.1	33.1	4,108	20.4	36.7	41.6				
Upper	Upper 71 78.9 66.9 16,013 79.6 63.3										
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	90	100.0	100.0	20,121	100.0	100.0	100.0				

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	ribution of 20		0 0	•	Level of Geog	graphy – Tab	le 2 of 2					
		A	Assessment An		, MN		II					
Geographic			Bank And Ag	 			Owner Occupied					
Income Level	Ba		Agg		nk	Agg	Units %					
20,01	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
Other Purpose LOC												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	0	0.0	16.0	0	0.0	8.1	41.6					
Upper	0	0.0	84.0	0	0.0	91.9	58.4					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpo	se Closed/Exemp	t							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Middle	1	20.0	27.3	140	22.7	28.5	41.6					
Upper	4	80.0	72.7	476	77.3	71.5	58.4					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	5	100.0	100.0	616	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Dis	tribution of 20	021 Home Mo	rtgage Lendii	ng By Income	Level of Geog	graphy – Tabl	le 2 of 2						
	Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN												
Purpose Not Applicable													
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Middle	0	0.0	12.5	0	0.0	12.1	41.6						
Upper	0	0.0	87.5	0	0.0	87.9	58.4						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	Tract-Unk 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0												
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distri	bution of 202		~ ~	ng By Income	Level of Geog	graphy – Tab	le 1 of 2
G 11		11336331	Bank And Ag		unite, mi		
Geographic — Income	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Omts 76
,	"	<u>'</u>	Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	10.8	0	0.0	7.7	7.6
Middle	5	23.8	40.0	1,222	19.1	37.0	36.4
Upper	16	76.2	49.2	5,181	80.9	55.2	56.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	21	100.0	100.0	6,403	100.0	100.0	100.0
	•		Refina	ance Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	6.7	0	0.0	4.1	7.6
Middle	0	0.0	32.9	0	0.0	32.1	36.4
Upper	16	100.0	60.4	3,528	100.0	63.8	56.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	16	100.0	100.0	3,528	100.0	100.0	100.0
	•		Home Impi	rovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	1.7	0	0.0	1.3	7.6
Middle	1	8.3	30.4	423	34.5	30.5	36.4
Upper	11	91.7	68.0	802	65.5	68.2	56.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	12	100.0	100.0	1,225	100.0	100.0	100.0

Distr	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2 Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN										
	Multi-family Units										
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	15.2	0	0.0	13.4	11.2				
Middle	0	0.0	33.3	0	0.0	43.9	58.7				
Upper	0	0.0	51.5	0	0.0	42.7	30.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home M	lortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	8.7	0	0.0	7.2	7.6				
Middle	7	12.3	36.8	1,745	14.7	36.3	36.4				
Upper	50	87.7	54.5	10,091	85.3	56.4	56.1				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	57	100.0	100.0	11,836	100.0	100.0	100.0				

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	ribution of 20	22 Home Mo	rtgage Lendin	g By Income	Level of Geo	graphy – Tab	le 2 of 2					
		Assess	ment Area: L	onsdale-Good	lhue, MN							
Geographic			Bank And Agg	regate Loans								
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Bai	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
Level	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%						
	Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	5.6	0	0.0	2.5	7.6					
Middle	0	0.0	33.7	0	0.0	31.5	36.4					
Upper	0	0.0	60.7	0	0.0	66.1	56.1					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					
			Other Purpos	e Closed/Exempt	,							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	5.8	0	0.0	1.4	7.6					
Middle	1	12.5	34.8	100	14.7	36.1	36.4					
Upper	7	87.5	59.4	580	85.3	62.5	56.1					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	8	100.0	100.0	680	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Dist	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 2 of 2											
	Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN											
Purpose Not Applicable												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	18.8	0	0.0	17.2	7.6					
Middle	0	0.0	31.3	0	0.0	32.2	36.4					
Upper	0	0.0	50.0	0	0.0	50.6	56.1					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0					

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography										
Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN											
Geographic	Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Income	Ba	Bank Agg Bank Agg									
Level	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	33	28.0	33.8	2,584	29.7	38.1	40.0				
Upper	85	72.0	66.2	6,117	70.3	61.9	60.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	118	100.0	100.0	8,701	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
	Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN											
Geographic	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Businesses					
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	2	3.5	11.9	828	10.7	16.1	12.3					
Middle	6	10.5	28.5	891	11.5	19.9	30.7					
Upper	49	86.0	59.0	5,997	77.7	63.7	57.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.2						
Total	57	100.0	100.0	7,716	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Lonsdale, MN										
	Bank And Aggregate Loans										
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	- 11				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	15	22.7	25.2	640	14.2	13.0	29.2				
Upper	51	77.3	74.8	3,853	85.8	87.0	70.8				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	66	100.0	100.0	4,493	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN											
Geographic -			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans								
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
Level	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	/0					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.1	1.3					
Middle	1	3.7	23.2	280	8.0	19.0	21.9					
Upper	26	96.3	76.5	3,205	92.0	80.9	76.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	27	100.0	100.0	3,485	100.0	100.0	100.0					

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey lote: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	2022 Lonsdale-Goodhue, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data											
Income Categories	Tract Distrib	ution	Families by Tract Income			overty Level as ilies by Tract	Families by Family Income					
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4,541	13.7				
Moderate	3	9.7	2,762	8.3	475	17.2	5,157	15.6				
Middle	12	38.7	12,182	36.8	682	5.6	7,254	21.9				
Upper	16	51.6	18,202	54.9	569	3.1	16,194	48.9				
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total AA	31	100.0	33,146	100.0	1,726	5.2	33,146	100.0				
			Housing Type by Tract									
	Housing Units by Tract	0	wner-occup	ied	Re	ental	Vacant					
	by ITact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Moderate	5,069	2,844	7.6	56.1	1,876	37.0	349	6.9				
Middle	20,255	13,666	36.4	67.5	5,590	27.6	999	4.9				
Upper	27,204	21,064	56.1	77.4	4,005	14.7	2,135	7.8				
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total AA	52,528	37,574	100.0	71.5	11,471	21.8	3,483	6.6				

	2022 I	onsdale-	Goodhue, M	IN, Assessi	nent Area Den	nographic Data			
]	Businesses by T	Tract & Revenue	Size		
	Total Busines Tract	ses by		Less Than or = \$1 Million Over \$1 Million			ue Not orted		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	740	12.3	650	11.7	85	21.6	5	5.9	
Middle	1,849	30.7	1,730	31.3	97	24.6	22	25.9	
Upper	3,426	57.0	3,156	57.0	212	53.8	58	68.2	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	6,015 100.0		5,536	100.0	394	100.0	85	100.0	
Percei	ntage of Total Bus	sinesses:		92.0		6.6		1.4	
					Farms by Tra	act & Revenue Siz	ze		
	Total Farms by	y Tract	Less The		Over \$	31 Million	Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Moderate	10	1.3	9	1.2	1	9.1	0	0.0	
Middle	170	21.9	167	21.9	3	27.3	0	0.0	
Upper	595	76.8	588	77.0	7	63.6	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	775	100.0	764	100.0	11	100.0	0	0.0	
Pe	ercentage of Total	Farms:		98.6		1.4		0.0	

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	tribution of 2	2021 Home M		ing By Borrov ea: Pine City,		evel – Table 1	of 2		
				gregate Loans			Families by		
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg Ba		nk	Agg	Family Income		
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%		
			Home Pur	chase Loans					
Low	2	10.5	7.5	123	4.1	4.1	23.3		
Moderate	3	15.8	21.9	348	11.5	16.9	22.2		
Middle	5	26.3	23.4	600	19.8	22.5	22.9		
Upper	8	42.1	29.3	1,758	58.1	38.1	31.6		
Unknown	1	5.3	18.0	196	6.5	18.4	0.0		
Total	19	100.0	100.0	3,025	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Refinance Loans									
Low	4	10.5	7.6	436	6.9	4.8	23.3		
Moderate	9	23.7	20.3	1,188	18.9	16.2	22.2		
Middle	9	23.7	25.1	1,256	20.0	24.2	22.9		
Upper	16	42.1	31.2	3,414	54.2	37.1	31.6		
Unknown	0	0.0	15.8	0	0.0	17.7	0.0		
Total	38	100.0	100.0	6,294	100.0	100.0	100.0		
			Home Impro	ovement Loans					
Low	1	50.0	7.4	15	11.1	3.1	23.3		
Moderate	0	0.0	11.1	0	0.0	9.5	22.2		
Middle	0	0.0	27.8	0	0.0	32.0	22.9		
Upper	1	50.0	50.0	120	88.9	50.8	31.6		
Unknown	0	0.0	3.7	0	0.0	4.6	0.0		
Total	2	100.0	100.0	135	100.0	100.0	100.0		
·			Total Home I	Mortgage Loans					
Low	10	14.3	7.6	764	7.4	4.5	23.3		
Moderate	14	20.0	20.4	1,771	17.2	16.3	22.2		
Middle	16	22.9	24.6	2,042	19.8	23.6	22.9		
Upper	27	38.6	30.8	5,342	51.8	37.6	31.6		
Unknown	3	4.3	16.6	391	3.8	18.0	0.0		
Total	70	100.0	100.0	10,310	100.0	100.0	100.0		

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Dis	tribution of 2	2021 Home M A	lortgage Lend ssessment Ar			Level – Table	2 of 2
		Families by					
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
_			Other P	urpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.3
Moderate	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	4.6	22.2
Middle	0	0.0	47.8	0	0.0	44.5	22.9
Upper	0	0.0	43.5	0	0.0	47.2	31.6
Unknown	0	0.0	4.3	0	0.0	3.8	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t		
Low	3	27.3	14.3	190	22.2	7.8	23.3
Moderate	2	18.2	18.4	235	27.5	16.9	22.2
Middle	2	18.2	30.6	186	21.7	32.0	22.9
Upper	2	18.2	32.7	50	5.8	39.8	31.6
Unknown	2	18.2	4.1	195	22.8	3.5	0.0
Total	11	100.0	100.0	856	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Purpose N	ot Applicable			,
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.3
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.2
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22.9
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	31.6
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

D	istribution of		Mortgage Len Assessment A	~ •		Level – Table	e 1 of 2
Borrower			Bank And Agg	gregate Loans			
Income	Ba	Bank		Agg Bank		Agg	Families by Family Income %
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Tunning Income 70
			Home P	urchase Loans			
Low	1	4.3	8.1	125	2.5	4.2	21.2
Moderate	1	4.3	21.6	218	4.3	16.5	20.1
Middle	6	26.1	24.1	660	13.1	23.5	23.4
Upper	13	56.5	27.6	3,642	72.5	37.2	35.2
Unknown	2	8.7	18.5	376	7.5	18.5	0.0
Total	23	100.0	100.0	5,021	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refin	ance Loans			
Low	0	0.0	13.1	0	0.0	7.9	21.2
Moderate	1	12.5	27.6	174	10.0	23.2	20.1
Middle	2	25.0	26.7	367	21.2	29.1	23.4
Upper	5	62.5	24.2	1,192	68.8	28.2	35.2
Unknown	0	0.0	8.4	0	0.0	11.7	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	1,733	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Imp	rovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	8.2	0	0.0	6.0	21.2
Moderate	2	25.0	27.8	115	25.4	24.5	20.1
Middle	1	12.5	24.7	30	6.6	19.8	23.4
Upper	5	62.5	36.1	308	68.0	43.6	35.2
Unknown	0	0.0	3.1	0	0.0	6.1	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	453	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Homo	e Mortgage Loan	s		
Low	1	2.1	9.7	125	1.6	5.3	21.2
Moderate	6	12.8	24.1	535	6.8	18.5	20.1
Middle	11	23.4	25.0	1,167	14.7	24.9	23.4
Upper	27	57.4	27.3	5,712	72.2	35.1	35.2
Unknown	2	4.3	13.8	376	4.8	16.2	0.0
Total	47	100.0	100.0	7,915	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Dis	tribution of	2022 Home M	Iortgage Lend Assessment Ai			Level – Table	e 2 of 2
D.			Bank And Ag	<u> </u>	, 1,111		
Borrower Income	Ba	Bank		Ba	nk	Agg	Families by Family Income %
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Tuning Theome 70
			Other P	Purpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	2.9	0	0.0	5.1	21.2
Moderate	0	0.0	29.4	0	0.0	27.8	20.1
Middle	0	0.0	20.6	0	0.0	27.7	23.4
Upper	0	0.0	38.2	0	0.0	33.8	35.2
Unknown	0	0.0	8.8	0	0.0	5.5	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpo	se Closed/Exemp	ot		
Low	0	0.0	12.2	0	0.0	5.2	21.2
Moderate	2	25.0	26.7	28	4.0	17.2	20.1
Middle	2	25.0	30.0	110	15.5	27.3	23.4
Upper	4	50.0	31.1	570	80.5	50.3	35.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	708	100.0	100.0	100.0
1			Purpose 1	Not Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20.1
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.4
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	35.2
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distr	ibution of 2021	1 Small Busin	ess Lending	By Revenue S	ize of Busines	sses				
		Assessment	t Area: Pine (City, MN						
		Bank And Aggregate Loans								
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses			
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%			
		I	By Revenue							
\$1 Million or Less	80	72.7	51.5	2,623	27.4	25.3	91.9			
Over \$1 Million	29	26.4		6,915	72.3		5.4			
Revenue Unknown	1	0.9		29	0.3		2.7			
Total	110	100.0		9,567	100.0		100.0			
		В	y Loan Size				•			
\$100,000 or Less	89	80.9	91.6	2,409	25.2	32.1				
\$100,001 - \$250,000	10	9.1	4.1	1,538	16.1	14.8				
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	11	10.0	4.3	5,620	58.7	53.1				
Total	110	100.0	100.0	9,567	100.0	100.0				
	By L	oan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or Le	SS		<u> </u>			
\$100,000 or Less	77	96.3		1,850	70.5					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	2.5		273	10.4					
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	1.3		500	19.1					
Total	80	100.0		2,623	100.0					

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN												
Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses					
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	23	67.6	56.5	1,283	26.8	40.9	91.9					
Over \$1 Million	11	32.4		3,511	73.2		5.5					
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		2.6					
Total	34	100.0		4,794	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Size									
\$100,000 or Less	21	61.8	92.7	880	18.4	41.1						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	20.6	4.5	1,400	29.2	22.7						
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	6	17.6	2.8	2,514	52.4	36.3						
Total	34	100.0	100.0	4,794	100.0	100.0						
	By	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$	1 Million or I	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	20	87.0		833	64.9							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	13.0		450	35.1							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0							
Total	23	100.0		1,283	100.0							

 $2016\hbox{--}2020~U.S.~Census~Bureau:~American~Community~Survey$

Di	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms												
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN													
		I	Bank And Ag	gregate Loan	S		m						
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %						
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
By Revenue													
\$1 Million or Less	77	100.0	80.3	1,510	100.0	70.3	98.2						
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0		1.8						
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0						
Total	77	100.0		1,510	100.0		100.0						
		I	By Loan Size										
\$100,000 or Less	76	98.7	94.4	1,345	89.1	61.3							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	1.3	4.9	165	10.9	29.1							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0.7	0	0.0	9.6							
Total	77	100.0	100.0	1,510	100.0	100.0							
	By I	oan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or L	ess								
\$100,000 or Less	76	98.7		1,345	89.1								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	1.3		165	10.9								
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0		0	0.0								
Total	77	100.0		1,510	100.0								

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms												
		Assessme	nt Area: Pine	City, MN								
Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	, ,					
By Revenue												
\$1 Million or Less	18	90.0	76.0	1,244	83.0	71.5	98.2					
Over \$1 Million	1	5.0		55	3.7		1.8					
Revenue Unknown	1	5.0		200	13.3		0.0					
Total	20	100.0		1,499	100.0		100.0					
			By Loan Size)								
\$100,000 or Less	14	70.0	89.0	695	46.4	58.5						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	6	30.0	11.0	804	53.6	41.5						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	20	100.0	100.0	1,499	100.0	100.0						
	Ву	Loan Size an	d Revenues \$	1 Million or	Less							
\$100,000 or Less	13	72.2		640	51.4							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	27.8		604	48.6							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0		0	0.0							
Total	18	100.0		1,244	100.0							

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2											
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	0.3332 7.0					
	Home Purchase Loans											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	8	42.1	41.2	902	29.8	35.5	31.9					
Middle	11	57.9	58.8	2,123	70.2	64.5	68.1					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
Total	19	100.0	100.0	3,025	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Disti	ribution of 202		tgage Lendin			graphy – Tab	ole 1 of 2				
		P L		nce Loans	, 17117						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	7	18.4	27.3	1,277	20.3	24.8	31.9				
Middle	31	81.6	72.7	5,017	79.7	75.2	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	38	100.0	100.0	6,294	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Home Impr	ovement Loans							
Low											
Moderate	1	50.0	31.5	120	88.9	30.7	31.9				
Middle	1	50.0	68.5	15	11.1	69.3	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	2	100.0	100.0	135	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Multifam	ily Loans			Multi-family Units				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	33.3	0	0.0	77.7	89.9				
Middle	1	100.0	66.7	203	100.0	22.3	10.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	1	100.0	100.0	203	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	19	26.8	32.8	2,701	25.7	30.2	31.9				
Middle	52	73.2	67.2	7,812	74.3	69.8	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	71	100.0	100.0	10,513	100.0	100.0	100.0				

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distri	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 2 of 2										
		A		ea: Pine City	, MN		II				
Geographic			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Owner Occupied				
Income Level	Ba	ınk	Agg		ınk	Agg	Units %				
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	13.0	0	0.0	9.5	31.9				
Middle	0	0.0	87.0	0	0.0	90.5	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	3	27.3	24.5	402	47.0	30.6	31.9				
Middle	8	72.7	75.5	454	53.0	69.4	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	11	100.0	100.0	856	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose N	ot Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	31.3	0	0.0	21.5	31.9				
Middle	0	0.0	68.8	0	0.0	78.5	68.1				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distr	ribution of 20		ortgage Lend Assessment A			eography – T	able 1 of 2						
Geographic		Owner Occupied											
Income Level	Ban	N.	Agg	Ba	ml.	Agg	Units %						
<u> </u>	#	#%	Agg #%	\$(000)	s%	Agg \$%							
	"	Home Purchase Loans											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	6	26.1	31.7	917	18.3	27.5	25.9						
Middle	17	73.9	68.3	4,104	81.7	72.5	74.1						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	23	100.0	100.0	5,021	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Refi	nance Loans									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	1	12.5	24.2	117	6.8	24.5	25.9						
Middle	7	87.5	75.8	1,616	93.2	75.5	74.1						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	8	100.0	100.0	1,733	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Home Im	provement Loai	18								
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	24.7	0	0.0	28.3	25.9						
Middle	8	100.0	75.3	453	100.0	71.7	74.1						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	8	100.0	100.0	453	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Multifami	ily Loans			Multi-family Units %						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	14.3	0	0.0	38.6	48.5						
Middle	1	100.0	85.7	250	100.0	61.4	51.5						
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	1	100.0	100.0	250	100.0	100.0	100.0						
	Owner Occupied Units %												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	8	16.7	28.9	1,050	12.9	27.1	25.9						
Middle	40	83.3	71.1	7,115	87.1	72.9	74.1						

Dist	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2											
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN												
Upper	0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0											
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	Tract-Unk 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0											
Total	48	100.0	100.0	8,165	100.0	100.0	100.0					

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distri	bution of 202		0 0	ing By Incom rea: Pine Cit	e Level of Ge y, MN	eography – T	able 2 of 2
Geographic Income			Bank And Agg	regate Loans			Owner Occupied
Level	Banl	k	Agg	Bar	nk	Agg	Units %
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	
			Other	Purpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	20.6	0	0.0	18.7	25.9
Middle	0	0.0	79.4	0	0.0	81.3	74.1
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
·			Other Purp	ose Closed/Exen	npt		
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	1	12.5	37.8	16	2.3	33.3	25.9
Middle	7	87.5	62.2	692	97.7	66.7	74.1
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	8	100.0	100.0	708	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Purpose	Not Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	16.7	0	0.0	20.6	25.9
Middle	0	0.0	83.3	0	0.0	79.4	74.1
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN												
~	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Businesses %					
meome Lever	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	Dusinesses 70					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	46	41.8	38.5	5,490	57.4	53.5	42.0					
Middle	64	58.2	59.2	4,077	42.6	46.1	58.0					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0											
Total	110	100.0	100.0	9,567	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography											
Assessment Area: Pine City, MN												
Geographic												
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Total Businesses					
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	70					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	1	2.9	23.7	160	3.3	14.5	29.6					
Middle	33	97.1	75.6	4,634	96.7	85.2	70.4					
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Tract-Unk	0											
Total	34	100.0	100.0	4,794	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Pine City, MN											
Geographic Income Level	Geographic Bank Agg Bank Agg										
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	5	6.5	16.9	55	3.6	26.0	33.5				
Middle	72	93.5	83.1	1,455	96.4	74.0	66.5				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0									
Total	77	100.0	100.0	1,510	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Pine City, MN										
Geographic										
Income Level	Ba	Bank		Ba	ınk	Agg	Total Farms %			
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	1	5.0	16.9	20	1.3	20.4	28.2			
Middle	19	95.0	83.1	1,479	98.7	79.6	71.8			
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	20	100.0	100.0	1,499	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	2022 Pi	ne City, MN	, Assessment	Area Demog	graphic Data	ı				
Income Categories	Tract Dis	tribution	Families Inco		Level a	< Poverty as % of by Tract	Families by Family Income			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,418	21.2		
Moderate	4	30.8	3,098	27.2	226	7.3	2,287	20.1		
Middle	9	69.2	8,290	72.8	447	5.4	2,669	23.4		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4,014	35.2		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	13	100.0	11,388	100.0	673	5.9	11,388	100.0		
	11		Housing Type by Tract							
	Housing Units by	O	wner-occupie	ed	Rei	ntal	Vaca	nt		
	Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit		
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	7,923	3,783	25.9	47.7	1,334	16.8	2,806	35.4		
Middle	17,734	10,843	74.1	61.1	1,803	10.2	5,088	28.7		
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Total AA	25,657	14,626	100.0	57.0	3,137	12.2	7,894	30.8		
	Total Busi	inossos by	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size							
	Tra	•	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1	Million	Revenu Repor			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Moderate	516	29.6	456	28.5	40	42.1	20	43.5		
Middle	1,226	70.4	1,145	71.5	55	57.9	26	56.5		
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
			V	0.0	Ů	0.0				
Total AA	1,742	100.0	1,601	100.0	95	100.0	46	100.0		
	1,742 tage of Total	100.0						100.0		
		100.0		100.0 91.9	95	100.0	46			
		100.0 Businesses:		100.0 91.9 Farms an or =	95 s by Tract &	100.0	46	2.6 e Not		
	tage of Total	100.0 Businesses:	1,601 Less Th	100.0 91.9 Farms an or =	95 s by Tract &	100.0 5.5 Revenue Siz	46 ze Revenu	2.6 e Not		
	tage of Total	100.0 Businesses:	1,601 Less Th \$1 M	100.0 91.9 Farms an or =	95 s by Tract & Over \$1	100.0 5.5 Revenue Siz Million	46 Revenu Repor	2.6 e Not		

2022 Pine City, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data									
Middle	117	71.8	117	73.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total AA	163	100.0	160	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	
Percentage of Total Farms:				98.2		1.8		0.0	

2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: St. Cloud MSA											
Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	79	95.2	74.1	1,874	89.8	63.6	98.6				
Over \$1 Million	3	3.6		154	7.4		1.4				
Revenue Unknown	1	1.2		60	2.9		0.0				
Total	83	100.0		2,088	100.0		100.0				
		I	By Loan Size								
\$100,000 or Less	81	97.6	89.2	1,638	78.4	44.9					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	2.4	7.9	450	21.6	31.3					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0	2.9	0	0.0	23.7					
Total	83	100.0	100.0	2,088	100.0	100.0					
	By I	oan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or L	ess						
\$100,000 or Less	77	97.5		1,424	76.0						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	2.5		450	24.0						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0		0	0.0						
Total	79	100.0		1,874	100.0						

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data 2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

I	Distribution of	2022 Small F	arm Lending	By Revenue	Size of Farm	ıs					
		Assessmen	t Area: St. Cl	loud MSA							
	Bank And Aggregate Loans										
	Bai	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	21	100.0	56.5	1,476	100.0	56.4	98.7				
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0		0	0.0		1.3				
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0				
Total	21	100.0		1,476	100.0		100.0				
]	By Loan Size								
\$100,000 or Less	19	90.5	83.5	809	54.8	36.2					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	4.8	9.4	200	13.6	23.2					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	4.8	7.1	467	31.6	40.6					
Total	21	100.0	100.0	1,476	100.0	100.0					
	Ву І	Loan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or L	ess						
\$100,000 or Less	19	90.5		809	54.8						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	4.8		200	13.6						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	4.8		467	31.6						
Total	21	100.0		1,476	100.0						

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: St. Cloud MSA										
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %			
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	,,			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.7			
Middle	83	100.0	100.0	2,088	100.0	100.0	99.3			
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	83	100.0	100.0	2,088	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: St. Cloud MN MSA										
Geographic										
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Agg Bank		Agg	Total Farms %			
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	, 0			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	3.5	0	0.0	11.1	4.6			
Middle	19	90.5	87.1	1,238	83.9	80.0	86.1			
Upper	2	9.5	9.4	238	16.1	8.9	9.3			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	21	100.0	100.0	1,476	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	20	22 St. Clo	oud MSA As	ssessment A	Area Demogra	aphic Data						
Income Categories	Tract Distrib	Families by Tract Income			Poverty Level as nilies by Tract	Families by Family Income						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,850	18.3				
Moderate	3	30.0	2,933	29.1	205	7.0	2,248	22.3				
Middle	6	60.0	6,210	61.6	146	2.4	2,436	24.1				
Upper	1	10.0	944	9.4	26	2.8	3,553	35.2				
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total AA	10	100.0	10,087	100.0	377	3.7	10,087	100.0				
			Housing Type by Tract									
	Housing Units by Tract	Owner-occupied			F	Rental	Vacant					
	by ITact	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit				
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Moderate	6,456	2,594	23.9	40.2	3,591	55.6	271	4.2				
Middle	9,521	7,182	66.2	75.4	1,872	19.7	467	4.9				
Upper	1,309	1,065	9.8	81.4	178	13.6	66	5.0				
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Total AA	17,286	10,841	100.0	62.7	5,641	32.6	804	4.7				

	20	22 St. Clo	oud MSA As	ssessment A	Area Demogra	aphic Data		
				В	susinesses by	Tract & Revenue S	Size	
	Total Busines Tract	ses by	Less The		Over	\$1 Million	Revenu Repor	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	469	27.6	409	27.1	58	36.5	2	7.4
Middle	1,047	61.7	945	62.5	81	50.9	21	77.8
Upper	181	10.7	157	10.4	20	12.6	4	14.8
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	1,697	100.0	1,511	100.0	159	100.0	27	100.0
Perce	ntage of Total Bus	sinesses:		89.0		9.4		1.6
					Farms by Tr	act & Revenue Siz	e	
	Total Farms by	y Tract	Less The		Over	\$1 Million	Revenu Repor	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	7	4.6	7	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	130	86.1	129	86.6	1	50.0	0	0.0
Upper	14	9.3	13	8.7	1	50.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	151	100.0	149	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0
P	ercentage of Total	Farms:		98.7		1.3		0.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Di	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: Warren-Oslo, MN												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Bank Agg Bank Agg To											
# #% #% \$(000) \$% \$%												
		I	By Revenue									
\$1 Million or Less	82	93.2	69.7	6,992	89.7	65.8	99.2					
Over \$1 Million	4	4.5		558	7.2		0.8					
Revenue Unknown 2 2.3 244 3.1 0.												
Total	88	100.0		7,794	100.0		100.0					

Dis	Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Revenue Size of Farms											
Assessment Area: Warren-Oslo, MN												
By Loan Size												
\$100,000 or Less 66 75.0 75.7 1,970 25.3 22.1												
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	10.2	12.3	1,438	18.5	24.9						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	13	14.8	12.0	4,386	56.3	53.0						
Total	88	100.0	100.0	7,794	100.0	100.0						
	By L	oan Size and	Revenues \$1	Million or Le	ess							
\$100,000 or Less	62	75.6		1,834	26.2							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	9.8		1,197	17.1							
\$250,001 - \$500,000	\$250,001 - \$500,000 12 14.6 3,961 56.7											
Total	82	100.0		6,992	100.0							

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

D	istribution of	2022 Small F	Farm Lending	g By Revenue	Size of Farm	ıs					
Assessment Area: Warren-Oslo, MN											
Bank And Aggregate Loans											
	Bank		Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%					
By Revenue											
\$1 Million or Less	22	91.7	49.6	4,092	87.3	53.3	99.2				
Over \$1 Million	2	8.3		595	12.7		0.8				
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		0.0				
Total	24	100.0		4,687	100.0		100.0				
]	By Loan Size								
\$100,000 or Less	7	29.2	63.8	443	9.5	17.9					
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	37.5	19.1	1,488	31.7	28.0					
\$250,001 - \$500,000	8	33.3	17.1	2,756	58.8	54.1					
Total	24	100.0	100.0	4,687	100.0	100.0					
	By I	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$1	l Million or L	ess						
\$100,000 or Less	7	31.8		443	10.8						
\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	36.4		1,318	32.2						
\$250,001 - \$500,000	7	31.8		2,331	57.0						
Total	22	100.0		4,092	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2021 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Warren-Oslo, MN											
Bank And Aggregate Loans											
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Total Farms %				
	#	# %	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	, •				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Middle	88	100.0	100.0	7,794	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	88	100.0	100.0	7,794	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distribution of 2022 Small Farm Lending By Income Level of Geography Assessment Area: Warren-Oslo, MN										
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans						
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Total Farms %			
Income Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	7.0			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Middle	24	100.0	100.0	4,687	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	24	100.0	100.0	4,687	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	2022	Warren	-Oslo, MN	Assessmen	nt Area Dem	nographic Data		
Income Categories	Tract Distribu	ıtion	Families by Tract Income			Poverty Level as amilies by Tract	Families l	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	299	15.2
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	409	20.8
Middle	3	100.0	1,964	100.0	97	4.9	457	23.3
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	799	40.7
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	3	100.0	1,964	100.0	97	4.9	1,964	100.0
					Housing T	Type by Tract		
	Housing Units	О	wner-occu	pied		Rental	Vac	ant
	by Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	3,513	2,419	100.0	68.9	571	16.3	523	14.9
Upper	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	3,513	2,419	100.0	68.9	571	16.3	523	14.9
	T (I D)	,]	Businesses b	y Tract & Revenue S	Size	
	Total Business Tract	es by	Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Reven Repo	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	0	0.0		2.2	0	0.0
			U	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	343	100.0	278	100.0	38	100.0	27	100.0
Middle Upper	343							
		100.0	278	100.0	38	100.0	27	100.0
Upper	0	100.0	278	100.0	38	100.0	27	100.0
Upper Unknown Total AA	0	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	278 0 0	100.0 0.0 0.0	38 0 0	100.0 0.0 0.0	27 0 0	100.0 0.0 0.0
Upper Unknown Total AA	0 0 343	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	278 0 0	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	38 0 0 38	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0	27 0 0 27	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0
Upper Unknown Total AA	0 0 343	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	278 0 0	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 81.0	38 0 0 38 Farms by	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 11.1	27 0 0 27	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 7.9
Upper Unknown Total AA	0 0 343 tage of Total Busi	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	278 0 0 278	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 81.0	38 0 0 38 Farms by	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 11.1 Tract & Revenue Siz	27 0 0 27 27	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 7.9 ue Not
Upper Unknown Total AA	0 0 343 tage of Total Busi Total Farms by	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 nesses:	278 0 0 278 Less Th \$1 M	100.0 0.0 100.0 81.0 an or =	38 0 0 38 Farms by '	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 11.1 Tract & Revenue Sizer \$1 Million	27 0 0 27 27 ee	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 7.9 ue Not
Upper Unknown Total AA Percen	0 0 343 tage of Total Busi Total Farms by	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 resses:	278 0 0 278 Less Th \$1 M	100.0 0.0 100.0 81.0 an or = illion	38 0 0 38 Farms by Ove	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 11.1 Tract & Revenue Sizer \$1 Million %	27 0 0 27 27 Reven Repo	100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 7.9 ue Not orted

	2022 Warren-Oslo, MN, Assessment Area Demographic Data											
Upper	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Unknown	0	0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0										
Total AA	Total AA 126 100.0 125 100.0 1 100.0 0 0.0											
Pe	Percentage of Total Farms: 99.2 0.8 0.											

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey
Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Dis	tribution of 2		ortgage Lend sessment Area	~ .	wer Income I MSA	Level – Table	1 of 2			
		Bank And Aggregate Loans								
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Agg Bank		Agg	Families by Family Income			
Income Bever	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%			
			Home Pu	rchase Loans						
Low	2	16.7	9.2	246	8.4	5.5	18.1			
Moderate	2	16.7	24.7	368	12.6	18.9	17.6			
Middle	2	16.7	22.9	487	16.6	22.0	23.9			
Upper	4	33.3	30.8	1,355	46.3	42.1	40.3			
Unknown	2	16.7	12.4	470	16.1	11.5	0.0			
Total	12	100.0	100.0	2,926	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Refina	nce Loans						
Low	2	8.7	9.0	272	5.2	5.4	18.1			
Moderate	4	17.4	20.7	504	9.5	15.8	17.6			
Middle	2	8.7	25.8	434	8.2	24.1	23.9			
Upper	14	60.9	32.2	3,998	75.7	41.6	40.3			
Unknown	1	4.3	12.3	73	1.4	13.1	0.0			
Total	23	100.0	100.0	5,281	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Home Impr	ovement Loans						
Low	0	0.0	6.8	0	0.0	3.4	18.1			
Moderate	0	0.0	18.0	0	0.0	13.2	17.6			
Middle	0	0.0	27.8	0	0.0	24.4	23.9			
Upper	0	0.0	45.4	0	0.0	56.5	40.3			
Unknown	0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	2.6	0.0			
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			
Total Home Mortgage Loans										
Low	5	13.9	8.8	618	7.4	5.4	18.1			
Moderate	6	16.7	21.7	872	10.5	17.1	17.6			
Middle	4	11.1	24.8	921	11.1	23.2	23.9			

Di	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level – Table 1 of 2											
Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA												
Upper	18 50.0 33.2 5,353 64.4 42.2 40.3											
Unknown	Unknown 3 8.3 11.6 543 6.5 12.1 0.											
Total	36	100.0	100.0	8,307	100.0	100.0	100.0					

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

 ${\it Multifamily\ loans\ are\ not\ included\ in\ the\ borrower\ distribution\ analysis.}$

Dis	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Borrower Income Level – Table 2 of 2 Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA										
		Families by									
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Family Income				
	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%0				
Other Purpose LOC											
Low	0	0.0	6.9	0	0.0	5.3	18.1				
Moderate	0	0.0	15.8	0	0.0	11.7	17.6				
Middle	0	0.0	24.0	0	0.0	19.3	23.9				
Upper	0	0.0	48.4	0	0.0	59.6	40.3				
Unknown	0	0.0	4.9	0	0.0	4.1	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				
Other Purpose Closed/Exempt											
Low	1	100.0	8.4	100	100.0	5.4	18.1				
Moderate	0	0.0	22.7	0	0.0	20.6	17.6				
Middle	0	0.0	27.9	0	0.0	31.4	23.9				
Upper	0	0.0	29.2	0	0.0	33.9	40.3				
Unknown	0	0.0	11.7	0	0.0	8.6	0.0				
Total	1	100.0	100.0	100	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Purpose N	ot Applicable							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	18.1				
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17.6				
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.9				
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	40.3				
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0				

Source: 2021 FFIEC Census Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dis	tribution of 2		~ ~	ing By Borro a: Eau Claire	wer Income L MSA	evel – Table	1 of 2
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Bar	ık	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Family Income
Income 20.00	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%
			Home Pu	rchase Loans			
Low	2	15.4	8.0	168	3.6	4.6	17.5
Moderate	3	23.1	25.5	502	10.7	19.0	17.7
Middle	0	0.0	27.1	0	0.0	26.6	24.9
Upper	5	38.5	26.8	3,621	76.9	37.2	39.9
Unknown	3	23.1	12.6	420	8.9	12.6	0.0
Total	13	100.0	100.0	4,711	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Refina	nce Loans			
Low	1	12.5	14.2	47	1.6	8.7	17.5
Moderate	1	12.5	26.6	290	9.6	22.0	17.7
Middle	1	12.5	23.1	554	18.4	23.2	24.9
Upper	3	37.5	25.8	1,290	42.9	35.3	39.9
Unknown	2	25.0	10.3	825	27.4	10.8	0.0
Total	8	100.0	100.0	3,006	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	7.6	0	0.0	5.7	17.5
Moderate	1	16.7	19.5	15	2.0	13.1	17.7
Middle	1	16.7	26.8	100	13.1	22.3	24.9
Upper	3	50.0	42.4	270	35.3	52.9	39.9
Unknown	1	16.7	3.7	380	49.7	6.1	0.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	765	100.0	100.0	100.0
_			Total Home	Mortgage Loans			
Low	4	13.8	10.2	382	4.4	5.9	17.5
Moderate	5	17.2	24.1	807	9.3	19.3	17.7
Middle	2	6.9	25.6	654	7.5	25.5	24.9
Upper	12	41.4	30.0	5,216	60.1	37.8	39.9
Unknown	6	20.7	10.1	1,625	18.7	11.5	0.0
Total	29	100.0	100.0	8,684	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Multifamily loans are not included in the borrower distribution analysis.

Di	stribution of 2	2022 Home M As	lortgage Lend sessment Are			Level – Table	2 of 2
			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans			Families by
Borrower Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Agg Ban		Agg	Family Income
	#	%					
			Other P	urpose LOC			
Low	0	0.0	12.6	0	0.0	7.6	17.5
Moderate	0	0.0	16.8	0	0.0	13.8	17.7
Middle	0	0.0	25.0	0	0.0	26.0	24.9
Upper	0	0.0	41.8	0	0.0	47.4	39.9
Unknown	0	0.0	3.9	0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Other Purpos	se Closed/Exemp	t		
Low	1	50.0	13.3	167	82.7	17.2	17.5
Moderate	0	0.0	26.7	0	0.0	19.7	17.7
Middle	0	0.0	20.0	0	0.0	23.6	24.9
Upper	1	50.0	25.0	35	17.3	28.9	39.9
Unknown	0	0.0	15.0	0	0.0	10.6	0.0
Total	2	100.0	100.0	202	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Purpose N	ot Applicable			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17.5
Moderate	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17.7
Middle	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	24.9
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	39.9
Unknown	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA													
	Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses						
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
By Revenue													
\$1 Million or Less	40	54.8	47.1	6,863	52.8	36.8	91.0						
Over \$1 Million	26	35.6		5,566	42.8		8.1						
Revenue Unknown	7	9.6		578	4.4		1.0						
Total	73	100.0		13,007	100.0		100.0						
]	By Loan Size										
\$100,000 or Less	38	52.1	92.7	1,872	14.4	29.7							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	22	30.1	3.4	3,705	28.5	13.2							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	13	17.8	3.9	7,430	57.1	57.1							
Total	73	100.0	100.0	13,007	100.0	100.0							
	By l	Loan Size and	l Revenues \$1	Million or L	ess								
\$100,000 or Less	24	60.0		1,025	14.9								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	22.5		1,475	21.5								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	7	17.5		4,363	63.6								
Total	40	100.0		6,863	100.0								

2021 Dun & Bradstreet Data

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distr	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Revenue Size of Businesses												
	Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA												
	Bank And Aggregate Loans												
	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses						
	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
By Revenue													
\$1 Million or Less	21	63.6	47.4	3,218	49.4	32.1	91.1						
Over \$1 Million	12	36.4		3,299	50.6		7.9						
Revenue Unknown	0	0.0		0	0.0		1.0						
Total	33	100.0		6,517	100.0		100.0						
			By Loan Size										
\$100,000 or Less	13	39.4	91.8	742	11.4	33.3							
\$100,001 - \$250,000	13	39.4	3.8	2,528	38.8	15.5							
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	7	21.2	4.5	3,247	49.8	51.1							
Total	33	100.0	100.0	6,517	100.0	100.0							
	By 1	Loan Size and	d Revenues \$1	Million or I	Less								
\$100,000 or Less	12	57.1		642	20.0								
\$100,001 - \$250,000	6	28.6		1,075	33.4								
\$250,001 - \$1 Million	3	14.3		1,501	46.6								
Total	21	100.0		3,218	100.0								

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

Distr	ibution of 20	21 Home Mo	rtgage Lendi	ng By Income	Level of Geo	graphy – Tak	ole 1 of 2				
		As	sessment Are	ea: Eau Claire	e MSA						
Geographic		Bank And Aggregate Loans									
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %				
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	0 1,0				
			Home Pu	ırchase Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	1	8.3	13.2	140	4.8	8.9	10.1				
Middle	11	91.7	78.0	2,786	95.2	78.9	79.8				
Upper	0	0.0	8.9	0	0.0	12.2	10.1				
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					
Total	12	100.0	100.0	2,926	100.0	100.0	100.0				
			Refin	ance Loans							
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Moderate	4	17.4	9.2	389	7.4	6.9	10.1				
Middle	19	82.6	80.6	4,892	92.6	79.6	79.8				

Dist	ribution of 20	21 Home Mo	rtgage Lendiı	ng By Income	Level of Geo	graphy – Tak	ole 1 of 2
		As	ssessment Are	a: Eau Claire	e MSA		
Upper	0	0.0	10.1	0	0.0	13.6	10.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	23	100.0	100.0	5,281	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Home Imp	rovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	8.1	0	0.0	6.7	10.1
Middle	0	0.0	78.0	0	0.0	79.9	79.8
Upper	0	0.0	13.9	0	0.0	13.4	10.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifam	ily Loans			Multi-family Units
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	18.2	0	0.0	23.5	25.8
Middle	0	0.0	65.2	0	0.0	55.6	61.9
Upper	0	0.0	16.7	0	0.0	20.9	12.3
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
			Total Home M	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	5	13.9	10.6	529	6.4	9.6	10.1
Middle	31	86.1	79.3	7,778	93.6	76.4	79.8
Upper	0	0.0	10.1	0	0.0	14.0	10.1
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	36	100.0	100.0	8,307	100.0	100.0	100.0

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Distr	Distribution of 2021 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 2 of 2												
		As	sessment Are	a: Eau Claire	e MSA								
Geographic			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans									
Income	Ba	Bank		Agg Bank		Agg	Owner Occupied Units %						
Level	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%							
			Other P	urpose LOC									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	3.6	10.1						
Middle	0	0.0	81.9	0	0.0	78.0	79.8						
Upper	0	0.0	11.8	0	0.0	18.4	10.1						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						
	Other Purpose Closed/Exempt												
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	12.3	0	0.0	6.1	10.1						
Middle	1	100.0	76.6	100	100.0	79.1	79.8						
Upper	0	0.0	11.0	0	0.0	14.8	10.1						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	1	100.0	100.0	100	100.0	100.0	100.0						
			Purpose I	Not Applicable									
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	0	0.0	18.8	0	0.0	18.1	10.1						
Middle	0	0.0	75.0	0	0.0	77.1	79.8						
Upper	0	0.0	6.3	0	0.0	4.8	10.1						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0						

2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Disti	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 1 of 2 Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA											
Geographic Bank And Aggregate Loans												
Income	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Owner Occupied Units %					
Level	Level # #% #% \$(000) \$% \$%											
	Home Purchase Loans											
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Moderate	3	23.1	16.2	824	17.5	13.0	12.0					
Middle	8	61.5	62.7	3,617	76.8	62.5	64.2					
Upper	2	15.4	21.1	270	5.7	24.6	23.8					
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

Distribu	ution of 2022			g By Income a: Eau Claire	Level of Geo	graphy – Tab	ole 1 of 2
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	13	100.0	100.0	4,711	100.0	100.0	100.0
	l		Refina	nce Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	2	25.0	16.4	395	13.1	11.7	12.0
Middle	4	50.0	60.4	1,610	53.6	63.0	64.2
Upper	2	25.0	23.2	1,001	33.3	25.4	23.8
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	8	100.0	100.0	3,006	100.0	100.0	100.0
•	•	•	Home Impr	ovement Loans			
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	10.7	0	0.0	8.7	12.0
Middle	3	50.0	64.3	495	64.7	67.4	64.2
Upper	3	50.0	25.0	270	35.3	23.9	23.8
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	6	100.0	100.0	765	100.0	100.0	100.0
			Multifami	ly Loans			Multi-family Units
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	0	0.0	15.8	0	0.0	24.5	22.6
Middle	0	0.0	45.6	0	0.0	47.9	46.4
Upper	0	0.0	38.6	0	0.0	27.6	30.9
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		<u>.</u>	Total Home Mo	ortgage Loans			Owner Occupied Units %
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Moderate	5	17.2	15.2	1,219	14.0	14.7	12.0
Middle	17	58.6	61.4	5,924	68.2	59.7	64.2
Upper	7	24.1	23.4	1,541	17.7	25.6	23.8
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	29	100.0	100.0	8,684	100.0	100.0	100.0

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Dist	Distribution of 2022 Home Mortgage Lending By Income Level of Geography – Table 2 of 2									
		As	ssessment Are		e MSA					
Geographic			Bank And Ag				Owner Occupied			
Income Level	-	ınk	Agg	-	nk	Agg	Units %			
Level	#	#%	#%	\$(000)	\$%	\$%				
		1	Other P	urpose LOC	Ī	I				
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	13.1	0	0.0	9.8	12.0			
Middle	0	0.0	57.2	0	0.0	58.0	64.2			
Upper	0	0.0	29.6	0	0.0	32.2	23.8			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			
			Other Purpo	se Closed/Exemp	ot					
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	11.7	0	0.0	13.2	12.0			
Middle	2	100.0	66.7	202	100.0	63.0	64.2			
Upper	0	0.0	21.7	0	0.0	23.8	23.8			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	2	100.0	100.0	202	100.0	100.0	100.0			
			Purpose I	Not Applicable						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Moderate	0	0.0	50.0	0	0.0	43.0	12.0			
Middle	0	0.0	50.0	0	0.0	57.0	64.2			
Upper	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23.8			
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				
Total	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	100.0			

2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

	Distribution of 2021 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography												
	Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA												
G 1.	Bank And Aggregate Loans												
Geographic Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	ınk	Agg	Businesses						
Income Bever	#	#%	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	13	17.8	14.8	1,519	11.7	13.2	20.1						
Middle	50	68.5	68.4	10,385	79.8	70.5	68.4						
Upper	10	13.7	16.2	1,103	8.5	16.2	11.5						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.1							
Total	73	100.0	100.0	13,007	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Note: 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	Distribution of 2022 Small Business Lending By Income Level of Geography												
Assessment Area: Eau Claire MSA													
Geographic			Bank And Ag	gregate Loans		T	Total						
Income Level	Ba	nk	Agg	Ba	nk	Agg	Businesses						
	#	# %	# %	\$(000)	\$%	\$%	%						
Low	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Moderate	9	27.3	17.6	1,277	19.6	24.8	19.9						
Middle	16	48.5	57.0	2,834	43.5	50.1	57.8						
Upper	8	24.2	25.1	2,406	36.9	25.0	22.4						
Unknown	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Tract-Unk	0	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.1							
Total	33	100.0	100.0	6,517	100.0	100.0	100.0						

Source: 2022 FFIEC Census Data 2022 Dun & Bradstreet Data

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.

	2022 Eau Claire MSA Assessment Area Demographic Data												
Income Categories	Tract Distrib	ution	Families by Tract Income			overty Level as ilies by Tract	Families by Family Income						
outegories	#	%	#	# %		%	#	%					
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4,175	17.5					
Moderate	3	13.6	3,131	13.1	234	7.5	4,226	17.7					
Middle	13	59.1	14,825	62.1	723	4.9	5,935	24.9					
Upper	6	27.3	5,916	24.8	241	4.1	9,536	39.9					

	20	22 Eau C	laire MSA A	Assessmen	t Area Demogr	aphic Data		
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	22	100.0	23,872	100.0	1,198	5.0	23,872	100.0
		Housing Type by Tract						
	Housing Units	Owner-occupied			Rental		Vacant	
	by Tract	#	% by tract	% by unit	#	% by unit	#	% by unit
Low	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	7,130	3,198	12.0	44.9	3,514	49.3	418	5.9
Middle	25,383	17,132	64.2	67.5	6,756	26.6	1,495	5.9
Upper	11,906	6,358	23.8	53.4	4,644	39.0	904	7.6
Unknown	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	44,419	26,688	100.0	60.1	14,914	33.6	2,817	6.3
	Total Businesses by Tract		Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size					
			Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	847	19.9	764	19.7	81	24.0	2	4.7
Middle	2,463	57.8	2,260	58.2	171	50.6	32	74.4
Upper	953	22.4	858	22.1	86	25.4	9	20.9
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	4,263	100.0	3,882	100.0	338	100.0	43	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:				91.1		7.9		1.0
	Total Farms by Tract		Farms by Tract & Revenue Size					
			Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moderate	5	2.7	5	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Middle	128	68.8	127	68.6	1	100.0	0	0.0
Upper	53	28.5	53	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total AA	186	100.0	185	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
Pe		99.5		0.5		0.0		
Source: 2022 F		·		I				

Note: 2016-2020 U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Note: Percentages may not total 100.0 percent due to rounding.