

ANONYMOUS

Proposal and Comment Information

Title: Prohibition on Use of Reputation Risk or Other Supervisory Tools to Encourage or Compel Banking Organizations to Engage in Politicized or Unlawful Discrimination, R-1884

Comment ID: FR-2026-0002-01-C1651

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous

Submitted Date: 03/11/2026

There's going to be a lot of discussion based upon the right for different kinds of art to exist or what is and isn't too taboo to depict, but that's all besides the point. At the end of the day, what payment processors are doing is extrajudicially using their long reach to decide what speech does and does not allow to exist, which shouldn't happen. It's like a water company saying they'll take water away from people who buy "too many guns", despite the second amendment, or an apartment company saying they can simply overrule their tenant's third amendment rights because they technically own the house. No company able to entangle itself so thoroughly into the life of an American should be able to overrule what the Constitution has labeled unalienable rights, no matter how much profit incentive there may be to do so. It's not right morally, and allowing it also just means that big businesses have permission to override the most basic aspects, laws, and rules of American life and liberty whenever it suits them,