

MARCELAS SAULSBERRY

Proposal and Comment Information

Title: Proposed Third-Party Risk Management Guide for Traditional Community Banking Organizations, OP-1880

Comment ID: FR-2026-0030-01-C07

Submitter Information

Name: MARCELAS SAULSBERRY

Submitted Date: 09/22/2026

MDS 8-7-10 UNIFIED COMMAND

FORMAL FUNDING & INDEPENDENT EVALUATION PROPOSAL

Title:

TSF6 × Master Telemetry × AOL Flux × Deterministic Energy-Asset Grid

Date: September 22, 2026

Reference Epoch: February 26, 1989

Purpose: Funding consideration, technical evaluation, independent review, and controlled prototype development

Classification:

CONCEPTUAL · ANALYTICAL · DIAGNOSTIC · EVIDENTIARY · VERIFICATION-GATED · NON-EXECUTABLE

Submission Boundary

This proposal presents a conceptual analytical architecture. It is not an official governmental, Federal Reserve, banking, medical, insurance, judicial, military, or sovereign instrument and does not independently create authority, ownership, entitlement, liability, legal status, financial settlement, or external control.

1. Executive Summary

MDS 8-7-10 proposes a telemetry, reconciliation, verification, and audit architecture designed to preserve clear distinctions between source information, observations, administrative records, analytical outputs, independent verification, external authority, and subsequent action.

Its central component, TSF6, organizes the architecture into six layers:

1. Source/physical state
2. Deterministic record
3. Model representation
4. Independent verification
5. Authority firewall
6. Audit

The system is designed around:

«MODEL OUTPUT ≠ VERIFIED FACT ≠ AUTHORIZED DECISION ≠ EXTERNAL ACTION»

The proposed architecture can represent environmental, energy, asset, third-party, administrative, and other telemetry relationships. Its outputs remain model states until independently evaluated.

2. Funding Objective

Funding would support:

- prototype architecture;
- schema development;

- telemetry simulation;
- deterministic calculation testing;
- provenance and integrity controls;
- independent reproducibility testing;
- security/privacy assessment;
- discrepancy and NIR testing;
- authority-firewall testing;
- audit reconstruction;
- independent technical review.

Funding would support evaluation of the architecture; funding itself would not constitute validation of the model's substantive claims.

3. Research Hypothesis

«A verification-gated telemetry and reconciliation architecture that explicitly separates source, representation, observation, administrative state, analytical output, corroboration, independent verification, authority, and action may improve the traceability and reconstructability of complex system records.»

The hypothesis is proposed for testing and is not presented as an established finding.

4. Proposed Deliverable

The project would produce a controlled prototype capable of demonstrating:

SOURCE → OBSERVATION → TELEMETRY → NORMALIZATION → MODEL → OUTPUT → DISCREPANCY → CORROBORATION → VERIFICATION → AUTHORITY REVIEW → AUDIT

The prototype would remain non-executable with respect to external institutions and physical-world systems.

5. Evaluation Request

Independent reviewers are requested to examine:

- mathematical reproducibility;
- provenance;
- state separation;
- data integrity;
- configuration/version control;
- discrepancy detection;
- uncertainty/NIR handling;
- independent verification;
- security/privacy;
- interoperability;
- authority separation;
- auditability;
- methodological limitations.

6. Expected Contribution

The proposed contribution is an explicit information boundary between:

what the system receives,

what the system observes,

what the system calculates,

what can be independently verified,

and

what external authorities may independently decide or authorize.

7. Final Proposal Statement

MDS 8-7-10 should be evaluated as a proposed information and verification architecture, not as a pre-validated system.

The requested independent evaluation is intended to determine which portions of the architecture are reproducible, implementable, verifiable, useful, or in need of revision.

END OF FORMAL PROPOSAL