Background

Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act) (15 USC 45) prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The prohibition applies to all persons engaged in commerce, including banks. Under section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the Board has the authority to take appropriate action when unfair or deceptive acts or practices are discovered.

Responsibilities for enforcing the prohibition against unfair or deceptive practices as they apply to state-chartered banks are spelled out in a joint statement issued on March 11, 2004, by the Board and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. That statement, which is included as an appendix to this chapter, describes in depth the legal standards for unfair and deceptive acts or practices, discusses the management of risks relating to unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and provides general guidance on measures that state-chartered banks can take to avoid engaging in such acts or practices, including best practices.

Legal Standards

The legal standards for unfairness and deception are independent of each other; depending on the facts, an act or practice may be unfair, deceptive, or both. The legal standards are briefly described here.

Unfair Acts or Practices

An act or practice is unfair where it

- Causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers,
- Cannot be reasonably avoided by consumers, and
- Is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition.

Public policy, as established by statute, regulation, or judicial decisions, may be considered with all other evidence in determining whether an act or practice is unfair.

Deceptive Acts or Practices

An act or practice is deceptive where

- A representation, omission, or practice misleads or is likely to mislead the consumer;
- A consumer’s interpretation of the representation, omission, or practice is considered reasonable under the circumstances; and
- The misleading representation, omission, or practice is material.

Relationship of Section 5 to Other Laws and Ratings

Some acts or practices may violate both section 5 of the FTC Act and other federal or state laws. Other acts or practices may violate only the FTC Act while fully complying with other consumer protection laws and regulations. If a possible violation of the FTC Act is found, the examiner should consider whether other statutory or regulatory violations have occurred (the joint statement identifies laws that warrant particular attention in this regard).

In addition, if an illegal credit practice is identified through a review of FTC Act compliance, the examiner should consider whether the illegal practice would adversely affect the institution’s Community Reinvestment Act rating pursuant to the regulatory requirements of 12 CFR 228.28(c).

Compliance Risk Evaluation

Violations of section 5 of the FTC Act can present significant legal, reputational, and compliance risks for banks. This possibility intensifies the need for examiners to assess compliance with section 5 in conjunction with consumer compliance examinations, related supervisory activities, and consumer complaint investigations. Consistent with the Board’s risk-focused consumer compliance supervision program, the need to assess compliance with section 5 should be considered when developing risk assessments, scoping an examination, or investigating a consumer complaint.

A determination about whether a particular act or practice is unfair or deceptive will depend on an analysis of the facts and circumstances. Although individual violations or complaints may appear isolated, they may, when considered in the context of additional information, including other violations or complaints, raise concerns about unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

Furthermore, the prohibition against unfair or deceptive acts or practices applies not only to all products and services offered by a bank, but to every stage and activity, from product develop-
ment to the creation and rollout of marketing campaigns, and to servicing and collections. Therefore, particular attention should be paid to new or modified systems or products and to third-party arrangements.
EXAMINATION OBJECTIVES

• To determine the adequacy of the bank’s internal procedures, policies, and controls to ensure consistent compliance with section 5 of the FTC Act.

• To determine if the bank complies with section 5 of the FTC Act, which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

EXAMINATION PROCEDURES

To fulfill the examination objectives, and consistent with the joint statement in the appendix to this chapter, examiners should identify the bank’s internal policies, procedures, and controls to be reviewed for compliance with section 5 of the FTC Act. In particular, the bank’s compliance management systems, advertising and promotional materials, initial and subsequent disclosures, servicing and collections, and management and monitoring of employees and third parties should be reviewed as they relate to the products and services identified as potential areas of concern.

Examiners also should use these procedures in conjunction with the guidance and best practices contained in the joint statement to determine whether an unfair or deceptive act or practice has occurred. Specifically, examiners should, as appropriate,

• Review previous examinations reports, including consumer compliance and safety-and-soundness examination reports;

• Review current and prior examination findings regarding the institution’s compliance with Regulation AA (Unfair or Deceptive Acts or Practices: Credit Practices Rules);¹

• Review the bank’s policies, procedures, and internal controls;

• Review a sample of consumer complaints, advertisements and promotional materials, disclosures, customer agreements, and third-party contracts and instructions;

• Interview management and staff about the bank’s acts and practices; and

• Discuss any examiner concerns with bank management.

Evaluating Compliance Management Programs

A bank’s compliance management program should focus on the avoidance of acts or practices that are unfair or deceptive and on the prompt correction of any such identified acts or practices. The degree of specificity with which a compliance management program should address this area will vary depending on the bank’s size, complexity, and product offerings. A small bank that offers a limited number of products through a few branches may not need the kind of specific, documented compliance program needed by a bank engaged in, for example, nationwide mortgage or credit card lending.

Items to Evaluate

1. Determine whether the bank’s policies and procedures include guidance on preventing unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

2. Ascertain whether the bank reviews its practices in the context of federal regulations, policies, and decisions on unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

3. Ascertain whether the bank’s compliance management function looks beyond the identification of individual violations to determine if its practices may be unfair or deceptive.

4. Determine whether the bank trains its employees on the provisions of the FTC Act that prohibit unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

5. Determine whether the bank reviews consumer complaints to identify potential compliance problems and negative trends that have the potential to be unfair or deceptive. Determine whether the bank reviews concentrations of complaints about the same product or about bank conduct in order to identify potential areas of concern.

6. Determine whether the bank has identified any potentially unfair or deceptive acts or practices and, if it has, verify that it corrected the identified concerns and provided restitution to affected persons when appropriate.

7. If the bank has identified potentially unfair or deceptive acts or practices, determine if it has implemented changes to prevent future recurrences.

¹ See the examination procedures for Regulation AA elsewhere in this handbook. Regulation AA applies to consumer credit contracts other than those for the purchase of real estate. It prohibits banks and their subsidiaries from using (1) certain provisions in their consumer credit contracts, (2) a late-charge accounting practice known as pyramiding, and (3) deceptive cosigner practices.
8. Determine whether the bank clearly discloses a telephone number or mailing address (and an e-mail address or website if applicable) that consumers may use to contact the bank or its third-party servicers regarding any complaints or inquiries they may have.

9. Determine whether the bank’s management is involved both in the development of new products and services and in decisions to reprice or change the terms of existing products and services.

Evaluating Advertising and Promotional Materials

Because of the increasing complexity of certain products, particularly mortgage loans and credit cards, a bank’s advertising and promotional materials should be presented in a clear, balanced, and timely manner, with special attention paid to products targeted toward the elderly, financially vulnerable, or financially unsophisticated. Advertising and promotional materials should present not only the benefits of the products and services, but also any potential risks, such as payment shock or negative amortization. When a bank’s business is driven largely by product marketing and promotion, it should exercise particular caution to avoid potentially unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

Items to Evaluate

1. Determine whether the bank reviews all advertisements, promotional materials, and marketing scripts to ensure that there is a reasonable factual basis for all representations made.

2. Determine whether the bank reviews all advertisements, promotional materials, and marketing scripts to ensure that these materials do not use fine print, separate statements, or inconspicuous disclosures to correct potentially misleading headlines.

3. Determine whether the bank tailors advertisements, promotional materials, and marketing scripts to take into account the sophistication and experience of the target audience, including the elderly and financially vulnerable.

4. Determine whether the bank (or its third-party servicer), in advertisements, promotional materials, marketing scripts, and recorded telephone conversations, makes claims, representations, or statements that may mislead members of the target audience about the cost, value, availability, cost savings, benefits, or terms of the product or service.

5. Determine whether the bank reviews all advertisements, promotional materials, and marketing scripts to ensure that they fairly and adequately describe the terms, benefits, and material limitations of the product or service being offered, including any related or optional products or services, and that they do not misrepresent such terms either affirmatively or by omission.

6. Determine whether the bank avoids advertising that a particular service or benefit will be provided in connection with an account if the bank does not intend or is not able to provide the service or benefit to account holders.

7. Determine whether the bank draws the attention of customers to key terms, including limitations and conditions that are important in enabling customers to make informed decisions about whether the product or service meets their needs.

8. Determine whether the bank, when using such terms as “pre-approved,” “guaranteed,” or “fixed rates,” clearly discloses any limitations, conditions, or restrictions on the offer.

9. Determine whether the bank ensures that the costs and benefits of related or optional products and services, such as overdraft protection, are clearly explained and are not misrepresented or presented in an incomplete or overly complex manner.

10. Determine whether the bank avoids advertising terms that are not available to most customers and avoids using unrepresentative examples in advertising, marketing, and promotional materials.

11. Determine whether the bank reviews its website content and navigational process to ensure that consumers are able to readily obtain the necessary disclosures for its products.

12. Determine whether the bank reviews its advertising and promotional materials to avoid raising concerns about unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

Evaluating Initial and Subsequent Disclosures

A bank’s disclosures with respect to initial terms and conditions, repricing, and changes in terms should be clear and accurate. The terms and conditions of many credit and deposit products are variable and may change periodically on the basis of external variables, such as changes in the prime rate. Many credit card products have terms that
may change or increase automatically following a specific event, such as an interest rate increase triggered by a consumer’s delinquency with the creditor or another creditor. The disclosures for products such as these—products having variable terms and conditions—should be clearly presented.

**Items to Evaluate**

1. Determine whether the bank reviews all customer agreements and disclosures to ensure that there is a reasonable factual basis for all representations made.

2. Determine whether the bank’s customer agreements and disclosures fairly and adequately describe the terms, benefits, and material limitations or conditions of the product or service being offered. Limitations may take the form of, for example, limited applicability (for instance, a special interest rate that applies only to balance transfers), limited duration (for instance, an expiration date for terms that apply only during an introductory period), or a prerequisite for obtaining particular terms (for instance, minimum transaction amounts or introductory or other fees). Conditions may include, for example, the consumer’s ability to cancel a service without a charge.

3. Determine whether the bank’s disclosures make claims, representations, or statements that may mislead members of the target audience about the cost, value, availability, cost savings, benefits, or terms of the product or service.

4. Determine whether the bank informs consumers in a clear and timely manner about any fees, penalties, or other charges that have been imposed (including charges for any force-placed products), and the reasons for their imposition.

5. Determine whether the bank clearly discloses that optional or related products and services that are offered simultaneously with credit—such as insurance, travel services, credit protection, and consumer report update services—are not required as a prerequisite to obtaining credit or are not considered in decisions to grant credit.

6. Determine whether the bank, when making claims about amounts of credit available to consumers, accurately and completely represents the amount of potential, approved, or usable credit that the consumer will receive.

7. Determine whether the bank clearly informs a consumer when the account terms approved for the consumer are less favorable than the terms advertised or previously disclosed.

8. If the bank reserves the right to change the terms of an account or product, determine whether the bank’s customer agreements clearly disclose that the bank may make future changes to the rate, terms, and conditions otherwise specified in any agreement signed by or given to the consumer. Determine whether the circumstances under which such changes may be made are clearly explained.

**Evaluating Servicing and Collections**

Servicing and collection activities present a greater risk of potential violations of section 5 of the FTC Act when conducted by affiliates or third-party vendors and servicers. Thus, a bank should ensure that the disclosures provided for these servicing and collection activities are accurate and not misleading. The bank should also ensure that the activities are conducted fairly and in consonance with any disclosures or agreements. For example, statements should clearly indicate when payments are due if penalties are to be avoided.

**Items to Evaluate**

1. Determine whether the bank ensures that its employees and third-party servicers have, and follow, procedures to credit consumer payments in a timely manner.

2. Determine whether consumers are clearly told when and if monthly payments are applied to fees, penalties, or other charges before being applied to regular principal and interest.

3. Determine whether account statements clearly disclose how fees, penalties, other charges, and interest and principal payments affect the account balance and whether these charges and payments have been calculated in accordance with any written agreements with the borrower.

**Monitoring the Conduct of Employees and Third Parties**

A bank should have effective controls in place for hiring personnel and for contracting and maintaining relationships with third parties. The controls should, for example, establish responsibilities vis-a-vis third parties for training and monitoring staff. The controls should also foster the bank’s ability to monitor the actual practices of its employees and third-party contractors and ensure that these practices are consistent with the bank’s policies and procedures, applicable laws and regulations, and third-party agreements. In addition, the bank’s monitoring should include a review of training and promotional materials used by its employees and by third parties, to ensure that any concerns about
unfair or deceptive acts or practices are identified early.

Items to Evaluate

1. Determine whether, through its third-party agreements and internal policies, the bank has effective controls for monitoring risks associated with selecting and managing third-party contractors. Such agreements and policies should outline the degree of monitoring, acceptable error rates, and corrective action provisions in case of noncompliance. They also should identify issues that would need to be brought to the attention of bank management.

2. Determine whether the bank’s compensation programs for employees and third-party contractors provide incentives for acts or practices that could raise potential concerns, such as compensation programs that steer consumers to particular products to the exclusion of other, potentially beneficial products.

3. Determine whether the bank monitors the training of employees and third parties who market or promote bank products or service loans, to ensure that they are adequately trained to avoid making statements or taking actions that might be unfair or deceptive. Monitoring should include a review of training and promotional materials, including telemarketing scripts.

4. Determine whether the bank monitors a third party’s primary interface with consumers by, for example, reviewing recorded telephone calls or transcripts of online communications.
The following statement was issued jointly by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on March 11, 2004.

Purpose

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the Board and the FDIC, or, collectively, the agencies) are issuing this statement to outline the standards that will be considered by the agencies as they carry out their responsibility to enforce the prohibitions against unfair or deceptive trade practices found in section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act) as they apply to acts and practices of state-chartered banks. The agencies will apply these standards when weighing the need to take supervisory and enforcement actions and when seeking to ensure that unfair or deceptive practices do not recur.

This statement also contains a section on managing risks relating to unfair or deceptive acts or practices that includes best practices, as well as general guidance on measures that state-chartered banks can take to avoid engaging in such acts or practices.

Although the majority of insured banks adhere to a high level of professional conduct, banks must remain vigilant against possible unfair or deceptive acts or practices both to protect consumers and to minimize their own risks.

Standards for Determining What Is Unfair or Deceptive

The FTC Act prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices. Congress drafted this provision broadly in order to provide sufficient flexibility in the law to address changes in the market and unfair or deceptive practices that may emerge.

An act or practice may be found to be unfair where it “causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers which is not reasonably avoidable by consumers themselves and not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition.” A representation, omission, or practice is deceptive if it is likely to mislead a consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances and is likely to affect a consumer’s conduct or decision regarding a product or service.

The standards for unfairness and deception are independent of each other. While a specific act or practice may be both unfair and deceptive, an act or practice is prohibited by the FTC Act if it is either unfair or deceptive. Whether an act or practice is unfair or deceptive will in each instance depend upon a careful analysis of the facts and circumstances. In analyzing a particular act or practice, the agencies will be guided by the body of law and official interpretations for defining unfair or deceptive acts or practices developed by the courts and the FTC. The agencies will also consider factually similar cases brought by the

---

3. 15 USC 45.
4. 15 USC 45(a).
6. 15 USC 45(a) and Gramm–Leach–Bliley Act, section 133, published in notes to 15 USC 41.
7. See FTC Policy Statement on Unfairness (December 17, 1980) and FTC Policy Statement on Deception (October 14, 1983).
8. This standard was first issued as a policy by the FTC and later codified into the FTC Act as 15 USC 45(n).
Unfair Acts or Practices

Assessing Whether an Act or Practice Is Unfair

An act or practice is unfair where it (1) causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers, (2) cannot be reasonably avoided by consumers, and (3) is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition. Public policy may also be considered in the analysis of whether a particular act or practice is unfair. Each of these elements is discussed further below.

- **The act or practice must cause or be likely to cause substantial injury to consumers**—To be unfair, an act or practice must cause or be likely to cause substantial injury to consumers. Substantial injury usually involves monetary harm. An act or practice that causes a small amount of harm to a large number of people may be deemed to cause substantial injury. An injury may be substantial if it raises a significant risk of concrete harm. Trivial or merely speculative harms are typically insufficient for a finding of substantial injury. Emotional impact and other more subjective types of harm will not ordinarily make a practice unfair.

- **Consumers must not reasonably be able to avoid the injury**—A practice is not considered unfair if consumers may reasonably avoid injury. Consumers cannot reasonably avoid injury from an act or practice if it interferes with their ability to effectively make decisions. Withholding material price information until after the consumer has committed to purchase the product or service would be an example of preventing a consumer from making an informed decision. A practice may also be unfair where consumers are subject to undue influence or are coerced into purchasing unwanted products or services.

  The agencies will not second-guess the wisdom of particular consumer decisions. Instead, the agencies will consider whether a bank’s behavior unreasonably creates or takes advantage of an obstacle to the free exercise of consumer decision making.

- **The injury must not be outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition**—To be unfair, the act or practice must be injurious in its net effects—that is, the injury must not be outweighed by any offsetting consumer or competitive benefits that are also produced by the act or practice. Offsetting benefits may include lower prices or a wider availability of products and services.

Costs that would be incurred for remedies or measures to prevent the injury are also taken into account in determining whether an act or practice is unfair. These costs may include the costs to the bank in taking preventive measures and the costs to society as a whole of any increased burden and similar matters.

- **Public policy may be considered**—Public policy, as established by statute, regulation, or judicial decisions, may be considered with all other evidence in determining whether an act or practice is unfair. For example, the fact that a particular lending practice violates a state law or a banking regulation may be considered as evidence in determining whether the act or practice is unfair. Conversely, the fact that a particular practice is affirmatively allowed by statute may be considered as evidence that the practice is not unfair. Public policy considerations by themselves, however, will not serve as the primary basis for determining that an act or practice is unfair.

Deceptive Acts and Practices

Assessing Whether an Act or Practice Is Deceptive

A three-part test is used to determine whether a representation, omission, or practice is “deceptive.” First, the representation, omission, or practice must mislead or be likely to mislead the consumer. Second, the consumer’s interpretation of the representation, omission, or practice must be reasonable under the circumstances. Lastly, the misleading representation, omission, or practice must be material. Each of these elements is discussed below in greater detail.

- **There must be a representation, omission, or practice that misleads or is likely to mislead the consumer**—An act or practice may be found to be deceptive if there is a representation, omission, or practice that misleads or is likely to mislead the consumer. Deception is not limited to situations in which a consumer has already been misled. Instead, an act or practice may be found to be deceptive if it is likely to mislead consumers. A representation may be in the form of express or implied claims or promises and may be written or oral. Omission of information may be deceptive if disclosure of the omitted information is necessary to prevent a consumer from being misled.

  In determining whether an individual statement, representation, or omission is misleading, the statement, representation, or omission will not be evaluated in isolation. The agencies will evaluate it in the context of the entire adver-
The act or practice must be considered from the perspective of the reasonable consumer—In determining whether an act or practice is misleading, the consumer's interpretation of or reaction to the representation, omission, or practice must be reasonable under the circumstances. The test is whether the consumer's expectations or interpretation are reasonable in light of the claims made. When representations or marketing practices are targeted to a specific audience, such as the elderly or the financially unsophisticated, the standard is based upon the effects of the act or practice on a reasonable member of that group.

If a representation conveys two or more meanings to reasonable consumers and one meaning is misleading, the representation may be deceptive. Moreover, a consumer's interpretation or reaction may indicate that an act or practice is deceptive under the circumstances, even if the consumer's interpretation is not shared by a majority of the consumers in the relevant class, so long as a significant minority of such consumers is misled.

In evaluating whether a representation, omission, or practice is deceptive, the agencies will look at the entire advertisement, transaction, or course of dealing to determine how a reasonable consumer would respond. Written disclosures may be insufficient to correct a misleading statement or representation, particularly where the consumer is directed away from qualifying limitations in the text or is counseled that reading the disclosures is unnecessary. Likewise, oral disclosures or fine print may be insufficient to cure a misleading headline or prominent written representation.

The representation, omission, or practice must be material—A representation, omission, or practice is material if it is likely to affect a consumer's decision regarding a product or service. In general, information about costs, benefits, or restrictions on the use or availability of a product or service is material. When express claims are made with respect to a financial product or service, the claims will be presumed to be material. Similarly, the materiality of an implied claim will be presumed when it is demonstrated that the institution intended that the consumer draw certain conclusions based upon the claim.

Claims made with the knowledge that they are false will also be presumed to be material. Omissions will be presumed to be material when the financial institution knew or should have known that the consumer needed the omitted information to evaluate the product or service.

Relationship to Other Laws

Acts or practices that are unfair or deceptive within the meaning of section 5 of the FTC Act may also violate other federal or state statutes. On the other hand, there may be circumstances in which an act or practice violates section 5 of the FTC Act even though the institution is in technical compliance with other applicable laws, such as consumer protection and fair lending laws. Banks should be mindful of both possibilities. The following laws warrant particular attention in this regard.

Truth in Lending and Truth in Savings Acts

Pursuant to the Truth in Lending Act (TILA), creditors must "clearly and conspicuously" disclose the costs and terms of credit. The Truth in Savings Act (TISA) requires depository institutions to provide interest and fee disclosures for deposit accounts so that consumers can compare deposit products. TISA also provides that advertisements must not be misleading or inaccurate and must not misrepresent an institution's deposit contract. An act or practice that does not comply with these provisions of TILA or TISA may also violate the FTC Act. On the other hand, a transaction that is in technical compliance with TILA or TISA may nevertheless violate the FTC Act. For example, consumers could be misled by advertisements of "guaranteed" or "lifetime" interest rates when the creditor or depository institution intends to change the rates, whether or not the disclosures satisfy the technical requirements of TILA or TISA.

Equal Credit Opportunity and Fair Housing Acts

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA) prohibits discrimination against persons in any aspect of a credit transaction on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (provided the applicant has the capacity to contract), the fact that an applicant's income derives from any public assistance program, and the fact

9. 15 USC 1632(a).
10. 12 USC 4301 et seq.
that the applicant has in good faith exercised any right under the Consumer Credit Protection Act. Similarly, the Fair Housing Act (FHA) prohibits creditors involved in residential real estate transactions from discriminating against any person on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin. Unfair or deceptive practices that target or have a disparate impact on consumers who are members of these protected classes may violate the ECOA or the FHA, as well as the FTC Act.

**Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act prohibits unfair, deceptive, and abusive practices related to the collection of consumer debts. Although this statute does not by its terms apply to banks that collect their own debts, failure to adhere to the standards set by this act may support a claim of unfair or deceptive practices in violation of the FTC Act. Moreover, banks that either affirmatively or through lack of oversight permit a third-party debt collector acting on their behalf to engage in deception, harassment, or threats in the collection of monies due may be exposed to liability for approving or assisting in an unfair or deceptive act or practice.

**Managing Risks Related to Unfair or Deceptive Acts or Practices**

Since the release of the FDIC’s statement and the Board’s letter on unfair and deceptive practices in May 2002, bankers have asked for guidance on strategies for managing risk in this area. This section outlines guidance on best practices to address some areas with the greatest potential for unfair or deceptive acts and practices, including advertising and solicitation, servicing and collections, and the management and monitoring of employees and third-party service providers. Banks should also monitor compliance with their own policies in these areas and should have procedures for receiving and addressing consumer complaints and monitoring activities performed by third parties on behalf of the bank.

To avoid engaging in unfair or deceptive activity, the agencies encourage use of the following practices, which have already been adopted by many institutions:

- Review all promotional materials, marketing scripts, and customer agreements and disclosures to ensure that they fairly and adequately describe the terms, benefits, and material limitations of the product or service being offered, including any related or optional products or services, and that they do not misrepresent such terms either affirmatively or by omission. Ensure that these materials do not use fine print, separate statements, or inconspicuous disclosures to correct potentially misleading headlines, and ensure that there is a reasonable factual basis for all representations made.

- Draw the attention of customers to key terms, including limitations and conditions, that are important in enabling the customer to make an informed decision regarding whether the product or service meets the customer’s needs.

- Clearly disclose all material limitations or conditions on the terms or availability of products or services, such as a limitation that applies a special interest rate only to balance transfers; the expiration date for terms that apply only during an introductory period; material prerequisites for obtaining particular products, services, or terms (for example, minimum transaction amounts, introductory or other fees, or other qualifications); or conditions for canceling a service without charge when the service is offered on a free trial basis.

- Inform consumers in a clear and timely manner about any fees, penalties, or other charges (including charges for any force-placed products) that have been imposed, and the reasons for their imposition.

- Clearly inform customers of contract provisions that permit a change in the terms and conditions of an agreement.

- When using terms such as “preapproved” or “guaranteed,” clearly disclose any limitations, conditions, or restrictions on the offer.

- Clearly inform consumers when the account terms approved by the bank for the consumer are less favorable than the advertised terms or terms previously disclosed.

- Tailor advertisements, promotional materials, disclosures, and scripts to take account of the sophistication and experience of the target audience. Do not make claims, representations, or statements that mislead members of the target audience about the cost, value, availability, cost savings, benefits, or terms of the product or service.

- Avoid advertising that a particular service will be provided in connection with an account if the bank does not intend, or is not able, to provide the service to account holders.

- Clearly disclose when optional products and services—such as insurance, travel services, credit protection, and consumer report update services that are offered simultaneously with credit—are not required to obtain credit or considered in decisions to grant credit.
• Ensure that the costs and benefits of optional or related products and services are not misrepresented or presented in an incomplete manner.

• When making claims about amounts of credit available to consumers, accurately and completely represent the amount of potential, approved, or usable credit that the consumer will receive.

• Avoid advertising terms that are not available to most customers and using unrepresentative examples in advertising, marketing, and promotional materials.

• Avoid making representations to consumers that they may pay less than the minimum amount required by the account terms without adequately disclosing any late fees, over-limit fees, or other account fees that will result from the consumer’s paying such a reduced amount.

• Clearly disclose a telephone number or mailing address (and, as an addition, an e-mail or web site address if available) that consumers may use to contact the bank or its third-party servicers regarding any complaints they may have, and maintain appropriate procedures for resolving complaints. Consumer complaints should also be reviewed by banks to identify practices that have the potential to be misleading to customers.

• Implement and maintain effective risk and supervisory controls to select and manage third-party servicers.

• Ensure that employees and third parties who market or promote bank products, or service loans, are adequately trained to avoid making statements or taking actions that might be unfair or deceptive.

• Review compensation arrangements for bank employees as well as third-party vendors and servicers to ensure that they do not create unintended incentives to engage in unfair or deceptive practices.

• Ensure that the institution and its third-party servicers have and follow procedures to credit consumer payments in a timely manner. Consumers should be clearly told when and if monthly payments are applied to fees, penalties, or other charges before being applied to regular principal and interest.

The need for clear and accurate disclosures that are sensitive to the sophistication of the target audience is heightened for products and services that have been associated with abusive practices. Accordingly, banks should take particular care in marketing credit and other products and services to the elderly, the financially vulnerable, and customers who are not financially sophisticated. In addition, creditors should pay particular attention to ensure that disclosures are clear and accurate with respect to the points and other charges that will be financed as part of home-secured loans; the terms and conditions related to insurance offered in connection with loans; loans covered by the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act; reverse mortgages; credit cards designed to rehabilitate the credit position of the cardholder; and loans with prepayment penalties, temporary introductory terms, or terms that are not available as advertised to all consumers.

Conclusion

The development and implementation of policies and procedures in these areas and the other steps outlined above will help banks ensure that products and services are provided in a manner that is fair, allows informed customer choice, and is consistent with the FTC Act.