

Discussion of “FCI-plot: Central Bank Communication through Financial Conditions” by Caballero-Simsek

Markus K. Brunnermeier

2nd Thomas Laubach Conference
Federal Reserve Review 2025

Washington, DC 2025-05-16

The FCI-Plot Proposal

- “Communicate” FCI_t (Financial Condition Index) to **enlist** financial arbitrageurs to lean more strongly against financial noise traders
 - future FCI_t s - a whole path (scenario-contingent) i.e. “**FCI-forward guidance**”
 - unlike interest rate rule (reaction function), FCI_t is **not** a policy **instrument** – pure communication
- Expand the SEP projections
- Pure communication (“**Delphian**”) CS25
- Communication and commitment (“**Odyssean**”) CS24 (previous paper)
(to overcome time-inconsistency problem)

Halls of Mirror Effect – A Warning

Bernanke 2004

- A situation in which a CB's **reaction function** and **financial market prices** interact in economically suboptimal and potentially destabilizing ways
- Central bank policy choices and private sector beliefs about the economy are intertwined and lead to **unintended consequences**, like **self-reinforcing effects** that undermine the effectiveness of monetary policy.
- The central bank's expectations excessively reflect the private sector's expectations and vice-versa.
 - For example, the central bank cuts interest rates sharply in response to a recession.
 - Private agents mistakenly attribute it to Fed's views about the long-run real interest rate in the economy.
 - In response, the private sector lowers their own estimate of r^* , prompting output and inflation to fall.

“Whispering Effect”

Jeremy Stein

- Central bank does not want to scare and erupt financial markets
- Speaks only “softly”
- Financial markets listen more carefully and interpret any micro-announcement
- Central bank speaks even more softly
- Financial markets interpret every nano-announcement
-

FCI – Which One? How to Design?

- One-dimensional or multi-dimensional index
- Big decision:
 - **Price of risk** / risk premium / spreads (portfolio choice/investment)
 - For which risk/market (if financial markets are segmented)
 - Tail risks (or normal risk)
 - Market **capitalization** to capture **wealth effects** (of consumption) CS24/25
 - In model p_t = market capitalization of all wealth (including government bonds)
 - Indirectly the price of risk through $Var(p_{t+1})$ to reduce arb's risk exposure

CS24/25: FCI vs. Output Gap Communication

- $\text{Consumption}_t = \text{time preference rate} * \text{Net worth}_t \text{ (wealth)} = \rho * p_t$ (log-utility)
- Hence, **FCI**-communication of $\{\mathbb{E}_t p_s\}_{s \geq t}$ = communication of **consumption**
= **output**-communication (since no investment)
- In CS25:
Communication about output is as good as FCI-communication
 - Q: Why to add FCI-communication?
 - Q: What should one communicate if FCI and output are not 1:1-connected?
- In CS24: FCI and output are not 1:1-connected due to noise term δ_t
 - **actual** objective function has output gap (and inflation gap) as argument (not FCI gap)
 - **operational** objective function includes FCI gap (process) $\{p_s\}_{s \geq t}$ (with weight ψ)
- **Odyssean Commitment (forward guidance)...**
committing to “operational objective function” (with small ψ -weight) allows superior implementation than actual objective function ($\psi=0$) due to partial commitment.

Communication & Rule: Policy Instrument vs. Input

- Single instrument: Only Interest rate

- Taylor Rule + Communication

Caballero-Simsek (2025)

- $$i_t = r_t^* + \pi_t + \underbrace{f(\pi_t - \pi^*, output_t - natural\ output_t^*)}_{output\ gap\ (FCI_t - FCI_t^*)}$$

- FCI gap \Leftrightarrow output gap (1:1-function)

- Multiple Instruments + price of risk focus

- “Generalized/multi-dimensional Taylor Rule”

Alexandrov-Brunnermeier (2025)

- Interest rates (excess, required reserves), price of risk, ...

- $$\begin{pmatrix} i_t, \underline{i}_t \\ QE, QT, \text{price of risk} \end{pmatrix} = F(\pi_t - \pi^*, output\ gap, Financial\ Condition)$$

- FCI gap \nLeftrightarrow output gap

Tantrums and FCI-Communication

- “Tantrum theory”:
 - Abrupt believe changes about future monetary policy **PATH**
 - Tipping point – jump in equilibrium/incl. higher order beliefs
 - In CS25: financial market arbitrageurs’ beliefs about Fed’s “pstar” differs from Fed’s suddenly released!
 - Q: Does discontinuous jump with FCI communication just occur earlier – when announcement occurs
- Gradual communication
 - Is FCI release sufficiently subtle?
 - Can FCI-communication be smoothed?
What’s the optimal frequency of revisions?

Financial Dominance

- Excessive volatility of price of risk
due to imbalances in financial system
- **Financial Dominance**
limits monetary policy space because it ruins financial stability
(impairment monetary transmission mechanism)
- Q: Does FCI-communication limit or enhance financial dominance?

Political Economy: Fed is Seen as giving Investment Advice

- Predicting the value of all asset, market cap of S&P plus bond portfolio, p_t^* can be interpreted as **investment advice** from the FED
- SEP projections of GDP growth, unemployment, and inflation is not
(despite hair diagram)
- Investors might make Fed responsible for losses from this “advice”
- E.g. Greenspan’s “Irrational exuberance” statement is still controversial
- It might damage Fed’s independence.

Wall Street vs. Main Street Communications

- Does FCI-communication simplify or complexify communication?
- Who will benefit primarily?
 - Wall Street or Main Street
 - Does it create asymmetric information between them?
- CS argue that Main street doesn't need to know.

FCI communication, in sum

- Generally, I am sympathetic to more communication on Financial Conditions, but ...
 - Halls of Mirror
 - Whispering Effect
- Communication (Delphian signaling only) vs. Commitment (Odyssean)
- What is a good FC Index?
 - Can it be reduced to a one-dimensional index?
 - What should it reflect? Market cap (incl. bonds) or price of risk/risk premia
 - SEP: Why not communicate in addition to GDP growth rate, if
Market cap = consumption = output
- Multi-dimensional policy instruments: balance sheet measures (price of risk)
 - Communicating “Generalized Taylor Rule”
- Tamper Tantrum
- Investment advice problem: Threatens Fed Independence