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Plan of Talk

- Simple framework for understanding spillovers from monetary policy.
- Estimate of the effects of U.S. monetary policy on foreign economic activity.
- Are monetary policy spillovers stabilizing or destabilizing for the global economy?
- Challenges posed by monetary policy spillovers.
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Key Spillover Channels from Monetary Policy

- Exchange rates (expenditure shifting)
- Domestic demand (expenditure increasing)
- Financial spillovers abroad (expenditure increasing)
Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

Exchange rate channel:
  - Lowers dollar about 1 percent
Empirical Relationships: U.S. 10 Year and Broad Dollar


\[ \Delta S = -0.07 + 0.02 \Delta Y \]

\( (0.083) \ (0.006) \)

* 29 announcements between 2008 and 2015.
Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

Exchange rate channel:
- Lowers dollar about 1 percent
- Boosts U.S. net exports by .15 percent of GDP
- Lowers foreign GDP about .05 percent
Back-of-the-Envelope Estimates of U.S. Monetary Policy Spillovers

- Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

- Domestic demand channel:
  - Raises domestic demand by .5 percent
  - Raises U.S. imports by .15 percent of GDP
  - Raises foreign GDP about .05 percent
Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

Financial spillovers channel:
- Lowers foreign yields by 10 basis points

\[ \Delta Y^* = -1.51 + 0.43 \Delta Y \]

(1.022) (.072)

* 29 announcements between 2008 and 2015.
# Response of EME Yields to U.S. Yields

## Average Observed Response of EME Sovereign Yields to U.S. Treasury Yields*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Response (Basis points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**For two-day windows around 23 QE announcements, scaled to a -25 bp change in 10-year U.S. Treasury yield.

Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

Financial spillovers channel:
- Lowers foreign yields by 10 basis points
- Raises foreign GDP about .25 percent
Back-of-the-Envelope Estimates of U.S. Monetary Policy Spillovers

- Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

- Exchange rate channel:
  - Lowers foreign GDP about .05 percent

- Domestic demand channel:
  - Raises foreign GDP about .05 percent

- Financial spillovers channel:
  - Raises foreign GDP about .25 percent

First two channels offset, leaving financial spillovers to dominate. But overall effect not very large.
Assume monetary easing sufficient to lower U.S. Treasury yields by 25 basis points.

Exchange rate channel:
  - Lowers foreign GDP about 0.05 percent

Domestic demand channel:
  - Raises foreign GDP about 0.05 percent

Financial spillovers channel:
  - Raises foreign GDP about 0.25 percent

First two channels offset, leaving financial spillovers to dominate.

But overall effect not very large.
SIGMA Results: 25 basis point reduction in 10-Year U.S. Treasury Yields

1. U.S. GDP

2. Broad Real Dollar

3. U.S. Real Imports

4. Foreign GDP
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Size and Direction of Monetary Policy Spillovers Cannot Be Boiled Down to A Single Coefficient

- Depends on relative strength of the three channels.
- May differ by country receiving spillovers.
- May differ over time - especially financial spillovers.
- May differ depending on whether conventional or unconventional monetary policy.
Unconventional versus Conventional Policy Effects on Asset Prices

- Rogers, Scotti, and Wright (2014) – similar QE announcement effects on AFE asset prices (for given impact on U.S. Treasury yields) as in prior event studies on policy rates.

- Glick and Leduc – report similar effects on AFE dollar exchange rates (2013) but acting through different parts of the term structure (2015).


Unconventional versus Conventional Policy: U.S. 10 Year and Broad Dollar


\[ \Delta S = -0.07 + 0.02\Delta Y \]

\[ (.083) \quad (.006) \]

Conventional Monetary Policy*

\[ \Delta S = -0.04 + 0.019\Delta Y \]

\[ (.023) \quad (.004) \]

* 29 announcements between 2008 and 2015.

* 95 FOMC rate change surprises between July 1995 and December 2006.
Unconventional versus Conventional Policy: U.S. and German Yields

  - \[ \Delta Y^* = -1.51 + 0.43 \Delta Y \]
  - \[ (1.022) \quad (.072) \]
  - Basis Points, 1-day change

- **Conventional Monetary Policy**
  - \[ \Delta Y^* = .37 + 0.37 \Delta Y \]
  - \[ (.513) \quad (.099) \]
  - Basis Points, 1-day change
  - *95 FOMC rate change surprises between July 1995 and December 2006.
Based on estimates for United States, monetary policy spillovers do not seem very large.

But still worth asking: do they move economic conditions in ROW toward or away from their equilibrium levels?
Are Monetary Policy Spillovers Stabilizing or Destabilizing for the Global Economy?

- Based on estimates for United States, monetary policy spillovers do not seem very large.

- But still worth asking: do they move economic conditions in ROW toward or away from their equilibrium levels?

- It depends...
  - Direction of monetary policy spillovers: positive or negative?
  - Nature of the shock to which monetary policy is responding?
U.S. Recession, Foreign Monetary Policy on Hold:
With and without U.S. Monetary Expansion

1. U.S. GDP

2. U.S. Real Policy Rate

3. U.S. Real Imports

4. Foreign GDP
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World GDP Growth

GDP Growth

Emerging Market Economies

Percent change, annual rate

United States

Advanced Foreign Economies


-12  -9  -6  -3  0  3  6  9
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U.S. Recession, Foreign Strength, Foreign Monetary Policy on Hold:
With and without U.S. Monetary Expansion

1. U.S. GDP

2. U.S. Real Policy Rate

3. Foreign Inflation

4. Foreign GDP
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Even if monetary policy spillovers push an economy away from equilibrium,

independent monetary policy in a floating exchange rate regime can push the economy back toward equilibrium.

Applies to all shocks, not just monetary policy spillovers.
U.S. Recession, Foreign Strength Offset by Policy Tightening

1. U.S. GDP

- Foreign Monetary Policy Tightens
- With U.S. Monetary Expansion
- No U.S. Monetary Expansion

2. Foreign Real Policy Rate

3. Foreign Inflation

4. Foreign GDP
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Concerns have been expressed about spillovers from a future normalization of U.S. monetary policy. But considerations discussed before still apply:

- Estimated effects of spillovers not particularly large.
- Foreign central banks already loosening.
- Normalization of U.S. policy predicated on continued strength in U.S. economy, which supports foreign activity.
  - U.S. net exports already subtracting more than 1/2 percentage point from U.S. GDP growth in 2015.
Monetary Policy As An Equilibrating Mechanism

- Limits to ability of monetary policy to offset external shocks.
  - Lags
  - Zero lower bound
  - With high inflation, may be difficult to pursue countercyclical policy
Limits to ability of monetary policy to offset external shocks.

- Lags
- Zero lower bound
- With high inflation, may be difficult to pursue countercyclical policy
- Multiple objectives: e.g., export-led development strategy, financial stability
U.S. Recession, Foreign Strength Offset by Policy Tightening

1. U.S. GDP
   - Percent deviation from baseline
   - Foreign Monetary Policy Tightens
   - With U.S. Monetary Expansion
   - No U.S. Monetary Expansion

2. Foreign Real Policy Rate
   - Percentage point deviation from baseline

3. Foreign Exchange Rate
   - Percent deviation from baseline
   - Appreciation

4. Foreign GDP
   - Percent deviation from baseline
Policy easing in advanced economies not the only factor contributing to loose financial conditions in EMEs.
Real GDP Growth and Net Private Capital Inflows to EMEs

Net Private Capital Inflows to EMEs

Net Private Capital Flows to EMEs

Federal Funds Target Rate

Percent of total EME GDP

Percent

Source: IMF. Includes FDI, portfolio, banking, and other flows to 52 EMEs.
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