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The attached document represents the most complete and accurate version available based on original copies culled from the files of the FOMC Secretariat at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. This electronic document was created through a comprehensive digitization process which included identifying the bestpreserved paper copies, scanning those copies, ${ }^{1}$ and then making the scanned versions text-searchable. ${ }^{2}$ Though a stringent quality assurance process was employed, some imperfections may remain.
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## SUPPLEMENT

## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the Federal Open Market Comittee

## The Domestic Economy

Labor market. The labor market eased in February, with further reductions in employment and hours worked. The labor force declined even more sharply than employment and unemployment also declined. The reduction in the labor force amounted to more than 500,000 and was widespread among age and sex groups. The overall unemployment rate, at 5.8 per cent, was off 0.2 percentage point from January.

LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYNENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT
(Seasonally adjusted in thousands)

|  | 1971 |  | Change Feb. 1971 from: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Jan. 1971 | Feb. 1970 |
| Total labor force | 86,873 | 86,334 | -539 | 795 |
| Armed forces | 2,976 | 2,950 | - 26 | -391 |
| Civilian labor force | 83,897 | 83,384 | -513 | 1,186 |
| Employment | 78,864 | 78,537 | -327 | -244 |
| Unemployment | 5,033 | 4,847 | -186 | 1,430 |

SELECTED UNEMPLOYMENT RATES
(Seasonally adjusted, per cent)

|  | 1970 | 1971 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. | Ian | Reb. |
| Unemployment rates: |  |  |  |
| Total | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Men aged 20-24 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 9.7 |
| Men aged 25 and over | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Women aged 20 and over | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| Teenagers | 17.8 | 17.6 | 16.7 |

Nonfarm payroll employment declined by 95,000 in February to 70.5 million. Employment declines in the goods-producing industries, totaling about 150,000 were partly offset by moderate increases in service-type industries, primarily State and local government. After increasing in December and January, manufacturing employment declined in February by 74,000 to 18.7 million; except for the period of the GM strike, this was the lowest level since early 1966. The weakening of labor demand in manufacturing has been wide-spread and since last August, prior to the auto strike, manufacturing employment has declined more than half a million. Although the largest cuts occurred in the durable goods sector, employment has declined since August in all but 2 of 21 manufacturing industries.

NONFARM PAYROLL EMPLOYMENT (Seasonally adjusted, in thousands)

|  | August 1970 | February 1971 | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 70,414 | 70,514 | 100 |
| Manufacturing | 19,271 |  |  |
| Durable goods | 11,134 | 18,737 | -534 |
| Nondurable goods | 8,137 | 8,683 | -451 |
|  |  |  | -83 |
| Nonmanufacturing: | 619 |  |  |
| Mining | 3,305 | 621 | 2 |
| Construction | 4,520 | 3,164 | -141 |
| Transportation | 14,912 | 4,481 | -39 |
| Trade | 15,191 | 15,139 | 227 |
| Services and finance | 12,596 | 15,527 | 336 |
| Government |  | 12,845 | 249 |

The average workweek was off 0.2 hours in February for rank-and-file workers on private nonfarm payrolls and 0.4 hours for production workers in manufacturing. Workweek declines were widespread, probably reflecting in part the effect of a holiday (Lincoln's birthday) during the survey week.

Retail sales. Retail sales in February on the basis of four weeks data, have risen about $3 / 4$ per cent from January. Estimated durable goods sales are $1 / 2$ per cent and estimated nondurables goods are nearly 1 per cent. Sales in the general merchandise category were especially strong and rose nearly 2 per cent.

RETAIL SALES
(Per cent change from preceding period, seasonally adjusted)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1970 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1971 from 1970 QIV average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All stores | . 8 | 1.1 | . 75 | 2.0 |
| Durable | 2.7 | 4.5 | . 4 | 4.6 |
| Auto | 5.4 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| Furniture \& appliance | -4.0 | . 2 | . 7 | -1.7 |
| Nondurable | . 0 | -. 3 | . 9 | - 9 |
| Food | 1.5 | -. 8 | . 6 | . 7 |
| General merchandise | -1.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| GAF | -1.4 | .7 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Total less auto, bldg. material, farm equipment, hardware | -. 2 | -. 3 | . 8 | . 6 |

## The Domestic Financial Situation

Bank credit. Cormercial bank credit, adjusted for transfers of loans between banks and their affiliates (and also for System matched sale-purchase transactions temporarily outstanding at the end of February) is now estimated to have increased at a slightly lower rate during February than that indicated in the Greenbook -- 11.2 per cent compared with 12.3 per cent (III-5). While total loans and loan components are unchanged, total investments are estimated to be $\$ 400$ million lower than originally shown.

The net reduction in investment holdings also reflects some shift in composition. Holdings of $U$. S. Treasury securities are now estimated at a level $\$ 100$ million above the Greenbook firgure -- or increasing at an annual rate of 24.7 per cent compared with 22.6 per cent. On the other hand, holdings of municipal and Federal agency issues are $\$ 500$ million below the earlier figure -- or at an annual rate of 13.6 per cent compared with 20.4 per cent.

Monetary aggregates. Estimates of the growth in monetary aggregates in February have been revised slightly from those published in the Greenbook. The aggregates are now estimated to have expanded at the following annual rates:
$M_{1}$ (narrowly defined money supply) - 14 per cent
$M_{2}$ ( $M_{1}$ plus time and savings deposits at commercial banks other than large $C D$ 's) - 21.8 per cent

Adjusted bank credit proxy - $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ per cent

Commercial Bank Time and Savings Deposits - 28.0 per cent Large $C D^{\prime \prime}$ - 11.3 per cent Other Time and Savings Deposits - 30.5 per cent

Consumer credit. Consumer instalment credit outstanding rose $\$ 1.0$ billion in January, seasonally adjusted annural rate. Moderate increases occurred in nonautomotive consumer goods credit and personal loans; auto credit contracted -- although at a reduced rate - for the fourth month in a row. On an overall basis, the rate of increase in instalment credit was considerably larger than the $\$ 0.3$ billion advance (annual rate) in December, but was far below the \$4. 6 billion increase in January 1970.

Seasonally adjusted extensions of instalment credit moved up sharply in January and were only slightly below the peak months of early last summer. The recovery in auto credit that accompanied the improvement in sales was the primary factor in the rise. Repayments rose to a new high.

CONSUMER INSTALMENT CREDIT EXTENDED AND REPAID (Seasonally adjusted annual rates, in billions of dollars)

|  |  |  | Net <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 1970: <br> QI |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | QII | 102.2 | Repaid |

INTEREST RATES


## Short-Term Rates

| Federal funds (weekly averages) | 9.39 | (2/18) | 4.82 | (12/30) | 4.09 | (2/3) | 3.41 (3/3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.93 | (1/6) | 4.74 | (12/17) | 3.82 |  | 3.34 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 8.75 | (1/13) | 5.25 | (12/31) | 4.12 |  | 3.75 |
| Euro-dollars | 10.50 | (1/9) | 6.50 | (12/31) | 5.89 |  | 5.19 |
| Federal agencies | 8.30 | (1/9) | 4.81 | (12/18) | 3.90 | (2/5) | 3.33 |
| Finance paper | 8.25 | (2/1) | 5.38 | (12/10) | 4.75 |  | 4.00 |
| $C D$ 's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Most often quoted new issue | 6.75 | (10/30) | 5.50 | (11/25) | 4.50 | (2/3) | 4.00 |
| Secondary market | 9.25 | (1/23) | 5.38 | (12/23) | 4.60 | (2/3) | 3.90 |
| 6-month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.99 | (1/5) | 4.78 | (12/17) |  |  |  |
| Bankers' acceptances | 8.88 | (1/13) | 5.50 | (12/4) | 4.25 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 3.88 ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ |
| Commercial paper ( $4-6$ months) | 9.13 | (1/8) | 5.63 | (12/4) | 4.62 |  | 4.25 |
| Federal agencies | 8.50 | (1/28) | 5.12 | (12/18) | 4.22 | (2/5) | 3.66 |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Most often quoted new issue |  | (10/7) | 5.50 | (12/23) |  | (2/3) | 4.00 |
| Secondary market | 9.38 | (1/23) | 5.50 | (12/23) | 4.75 | (2/3) | 3.70 |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 7.62 | (1/30) | 4.74 | (12/31) | 3.90 |  | 3.63 |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Most often quoted new issue | 7.50 | (9/16) | 5.50 | (12/23) | 4.50 | (2/3) | 4.38 |
| Prime municipals | 5.60 | (1/9) | 2.95 | (12/17) | 2.45 | (2/5) | 2.35 |

## Intermediate and Long-Term

Treasury coupon issues 5-years
$8.30(1 / 7) \quad 5.85(12 / 4) \quad 5.69 \quad 5.26$ 20-years
$7.73(5 / 26) \quad 6.15(12 / 16) 6.08 \quad 6.20$

Corporate Seasoned Aaa
$8.60(6 / 24) \quad 7.47(12 / 29) 7.08 \quad 7.14$
Baa
9.47 (8/28) 8.57 (3/10) $8.44 \quad 8.40$

New Issue Aaa
$9.30(6 / 19) 7.68(12 / 18) 6.91(2 / 5) 7.79$
Municipal
Bond Buyer Index $\quad 7.12$ (5/28) 5.33 (12/10) 5.27 (2/4) 5.37
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Moody's Aaa } & 6.95 \text { (6/18) } & 5.15 \text { (12/10) } & 5.10(2 / 5) & 5.15\end{array}$
Mortgage--implicit yield
in FNMA biweekly auction 1/ 9.36 (1/2) 8.36 (12/28) 7.96 (1/25) 7.43 (3/1)
1/ Yield on 6 -month forward commitment after allowavee for commitirent fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Assumes discount on 30 -year loan amortized over 15 years. e-mestimated

## International Developments

To clarify the references on pages IV-9 and IV-13 to guilder-dollar swaps by the Netherlands Bank with Dutch commercial banks, it may be noted that these swaps, unlike those of the Bank of England, involve spot purchases of dollars by the central bank with forward sale. The Netherlands Bank's swaps, which began last October, have facilitated the use of dollar borrowing abroad by commercial banks to relieve a seasonally tight liquidity position in the Netherlands. At the maturity of such a gwap, the commercial bank needs to acquire guilders to fulfill its contract. Unless the central bank decides to make a new swap, the financial market would be put under renewed strain. Some swaps were in fact rolled over in January, and in February new swaps were made as seasonal tightness due to tax payments persisted.

## CORRECTIONS:

Page II-30 Table, line 2, used cars, June to September should be minus 13.2, December 1970 to January 1971 should be minus 24.2.

Page III-9, table for March 1 change 7.48 to 7.43.
Page III-10, line 9 change 7.48 to 7.43.
Page III-10, line 10 change December to November.
Erratum: Page III-17 line 3 erroneously stated the amount of System purchases of over 5 -year coupon issues since the last meeting at $\$ 38$ million. The correct number is $\$ 381$ million.

Appendix A, Page 1, paragraph 3 last line should include ... and a similar proportion expect a modest increase.

The 125 banks participating in the February 15, 1971, Survey of Bank Lending Practices generally echoed the same responses reported in the previous survey. Ample fund availability in connection with sluggish loan demands induced banks to ease further their lending policies in nearly all areas.

## Loan Demand

About 65 per cent of the participants had experienced further slackening in loan demand and about a third foresaw little improvement over the next three months. Roughly 10 per cent of the respondents, however, had experienced an increase in loan requests and nearly a fifth predicted some future strengthening.

## Lending Terms and Conditions

As would be expected, core than four-fifths of the panel reported a reduction in interest rates charged since the November survey. However, about 15 per cent had not indicated altering their terms despite several general reductions in the prime rate during the three months covered by the survey. Banks also eased their compensating balance requirements and were more flexible in their maturity restraints on term loans. As in the previous survey, bank concern about credit quality has resulted in no relaxation of standards of credit worthiness.

The net responses of banks in reviewing credit lines, shown in Table 2A, indicate a substantial easing in lending policies relative to the type of customer. New customers in particular were experiencing a much less restrictive atmosphere, and nonlocal custcmers were reported to be subject to considerably less screening than had been the case. The dramatic change in lending policies over the past two reports is demonstrated by the fact shat therechas been no period since the initiation of the survey in 1964 in which banks reported this magnitude of easing in two consecutive surveys.

Lending to Noncaptive Finance Companies
Terms imposed on loans to financial institutions were not eased nearly as much as those on commercial and industrial loans. Cnly about half of the respondents reported any easing in interest rates and few banks (less than 10 per cent) had relaxed their enforcement of balance requirements. About 25 per cent of the respondents, however, were more willing to establish or enlarge existing credit lines which reflected a continuation of the pattern reported in November.

[^1]
## Willingness to Make Other Types of Loans

Due to the continued weakness in loan demands and increased fund availability, banks have become much more aggressive in seeking new loans. More than one-half are more inclined to extend term loans to businesses, although some banks report an interest in loans primarily of less than five years. Consumer installment loans continue to be quite attractive to most bankers as would be expected. Half of the respondents report continued interest in mortgage loans, especially on single-family dwellings. Twenty-five to 30 per cent of the participants are more willing to make mortgage loans for multi-family or other types of structures.

## Variation by Size of Bank

No significant differences were evident in the responses of banks with more than $\$ 1$ billion in deposits compared with banks with deposits of less than $\$ 1$ billion. But the smaller banks appeared to be somewhat less aggressive in soliciting new loans and were a little more cautious in relaxing their lending policies.

Supplemental responses to the survey underscored the qualityconsciousness prevalent at most banks and noted in previous surveys. Some banks did volunteer comments, that despite their highly liquid positions, they have maintained a "wait and see" attitude and are trying to keep most of their lending at the shorter and of the maturity spectrum.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BAAK LENDING PRACTICES
IT SELECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. I/
ISTATUS OF POLICY ON FEBRUARY 15, 1971 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIERI (NUMBER OF BANKS \& PERCENT OF TOTAL BANKS REPORTING)

|  | TOTAL |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MUCH } \\ \text { STRONGER } \end{gathered}$ |  | MODERAT ELY STRONGER |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | MODERATELY WEAKER |  | MUCH WEAKER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BANK 5 | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | bank S | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANK S | PCT |
| STRENGTH OF DEMAND FOR COMMERCIAL AND <br> INDUSTRIAL LOANS (AfTER ALLOWANCE FBR <br> BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATIONI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ago | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 12 | 9.6 | 31 | 24.8 | 72 | 57.6 | 9 | 7.2 |
| ANTICIPATED DEMAND IN NEXT 3 months | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 21 | 16.8 | 62 | 49.6 | 39 | 31.2 | 1 | 3.8 |
|  | ANSHE QUE | ERING <br> STION | MUCH FIRMER POLICY |  | MODERATELY FIRMER POLICY |  | ESSENTIALLY UNC HANGED POLICY |  | MODERATELY EASIER POLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { OOLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | BANK S | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANK S | PCT | BANKS | PC T | BANKS | PCT |

## TERMS ANC CONDITIUNS:

| Interest rates Charged | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 14.5 | 61 | 49.2 | 45 | 36.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 91 | 73.4 | 31 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| STANDARDS OF CREDIT HORTHINESS | 124 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 6 | 4.8 | 111 | 89.6 | 6 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MATURITY Of TERM LOANS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 91 | 73.4 | 30 | 24.2 | 2 | 1.6 |
| EVIEWING CREDIT LINES OR LQAN APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESTABLISHED CUSTOMERS | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 68 | 54.4 | 49 | 39.2 | 7 | 5.6 |
| NEH CUSTOMERS | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 48 | 38.4 | 64 | 51.2 | 10 | 8.0 |
| LOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 66 | 52.8 | 52 | 41.6 | 6 | 4. 8 |
| NONLOCAL SERVICE AREA CUSTOMERS | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 3.2 | 71 | 56.8 | 46 | 36. 8 | 3 | 2.4 |

I/ SURVEY OF LENOING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE OUARTFRLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS DF FEGRUARY 15, 1971.
AN SHER ING
QUE STION

| MUCH | MODERATELY |
| :---: | :---: |
| FIRMER | FIRMER |
| POLICY | PCLICY |
| BANKS PCT | BANKS PCT |

ESSENTIALLY
UNC HANGED
PGLICY
BANKS PCT


MUCH EASIER policy BANKS PCT

FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 21
VALUE AS DEPOSITOR OR
SOURCE OF COLLATERAL BUSINESS
INTENDED USE OF THE LOAN

| 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.4 |

$94 \quad 75.9$
$23 \quad 18.5$
10.8
$125 \quad 100.0$
8971.2
3124.8
$2 \quad 1.6$

LENDING TO "NONCAPTIVEN F MANCE COMPANIES
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0. 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 57 | 45.9 | 41 | 33.1 | 26 | 21.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 117 | 94.4 | 6 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ENFGRCEMENT OF BAL ANCE REQUIREMENTS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.0 | 109 | 87.9 | 10 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 124 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 70 | 56.5 | 49 | 39.5 | 2 | 1.6 |
|  | ANSWE QUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERING } \\ & \text { STION } \end{aligned}$ | CONSIDER LES WIC | RABLY NG | MODERA LES WILL |  | ESSEN UNCH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITIALL Y } \\ & \text { ANGED } \end{aligned}$ | MODER <br> MO <br> WIL | tely E ING |  | RABIY E ING |
| LLINGNESS TO MAXE OTHER TYPES OF LOA NS | BANK S | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BAVKS | PCT | BA NK S | PCT | BANK S | PC T |
| TERP lcans to businesses | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 55 | 44.0 | 66 | 52.8 | 3 | 2.4 |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 57 | 45.9 | 56 | 45.2 | 11 | 8. 9 |
| SINGLE faMily mortgage loans | 121 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 52 | 43.0 | 53 | 43.8 | 15 | 12.4 |
| MLU TI-FAMILY MORTGAGE LOANS | 120 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 89 | 74.2 | 27 | 22.5 | 3 | 2.5 |
| all other mortgage laans | 122 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 82 | 67.2 | 35 | 28.7 | 4 | 3.3 |
| PARTICIPATION LOANS WITH CORRESPONOENT BANKS | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 74 | 59.2 | 47 | 37.6 | 3 | 2.4 |
| LOANS TO GROKERS | 122 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 88 | 72.1 | 31 | 25.4 | 3 | 2.5 |

[^2]```
COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPED BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS I/
    ISTATUS OF POLICY ON FEBRUARY 15, 1971, COMPARED TD THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
    (NUMBER OF BANKS IN EACH COLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL BANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)
```

|  | TOTAL |  | SIZE OF BA MUCH Stronger |  | -- totm ceposits in eillions |  |  |  |  |  | MUCH WE AK ER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MODE RAT ELY <br> STRONGER | ESSENTIALLY UN CHAN GED |  | mONERA TELY WEAKER |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1 \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & S 1 \varepsilon \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \$ 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S 1 \varepsilon \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\$ 1}{U N D E R}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UN DER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \$ \$ 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} s 1 \varepsilon \varepsilon \\ \text { OVER }^{6} \end{gathered}$ | UNDER $51$ |
| Strengit of oemmo for commercial and <br> industrial loans fafter allowance for <br> bank's usual seasonal variationi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPARED to three months ago | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 28 | 23 | 56 | 59 | 9 |  |
| anticipated demand in next 3 months | 100 | 100 | 03 |  | 19 | 15 | 46 | 52 | 33 | 30 | 20 |  |
|  | total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { FI RMER } \end{aligned}$ |  | moderately FIRMER |  | ESSENTIALLV UNCHANGED |  | MODERATELY WEAK ER |  | MUCH WEA KER |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVE R } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \$ 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNDER } \\ & \text { Si } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS MNC CONDIT IONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| interest rates charged | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 45 | 52 | 38 | 35 |
| COMP ENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 76 | 72 | 22 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| Standards of crédit worthiness | 100 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 90 | 88 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| maturity of term loans | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 74 | 73 | 26 | 23 | 0 | 3 |
| reviewing credit lines or loan applications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESTABLISHED CUSTDM R S | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 58 | 44 | 35 | 6 | 6 |
| NEM CUSTOMERS | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 42 | 56 | 48 | 9 | 7 |
| local service area customers | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 57 | 44 | 39 | 7 | 3 |
| nonlocal service area customers | 100 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 53 | 60 | 39 | 35 | 4 | 1 |

$1 /$ SURVEY OF LENOING PRACTICES AT 54 LARGE BANKS (DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLIDN OR MORE) AND 71 SMALL BANKS (OEPOSITS OF LESS THAN \$I BILLIONI REPORTING IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS OF FEBRUARY IS, IGTL.

|  | NUH BER ANSWERING QUE STIION |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF BANK } \\ & \text { UCH } \\ & \text { RMER } \\ & \text { IICY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL DEPOSITS IN BILLI ONSMODERATELY ESSENTIALLYFIRMERPCLICY |  |  |  | MODERATELY <br> EASIER <br> POLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIFR } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 16$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ DVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 16$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 316 \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UN DER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \underset{8}{8} \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ |
| FACTORS RELATING TO APPLICANT 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| value as depositor or source of collateral business | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 73 | 78 | 23 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| Intended use of the loan | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 70 | 72 | 26 | 24 | 0 | 3 |
| LeNDING TO mNONCAP TIVEN FINANCE COMPANIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMS ANO CONOITIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 48 | 34 | 32 | 23 | 20 |
| COMP ENSATING OR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 92 | 96 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ENFORCEMENT Of BALANCE REQUIRE MENTS | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 84 | 91 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 100 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 66 | 49 | 32 | 4 | 0 |
|  | NUMBER ANSWERING QUESTION |  | CONSI DERABLY LESS WILLIG |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MODERATELY } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { WILLING } \end{gathered}$ |  | ESSENTIALLV UNC HANGED |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODERATELY } \\ & \text { MORE } \\ & \text { WILLING } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONSIDERABLY } \\ \text { MORE } \\ \text { WILLING } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNOER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 16$ OVER | UNOER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & 0 V E R \end{aligned}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1$ E OVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| WILLINGNESS to make other types df loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERM LOANS TO BUSINESSES | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 43 | 50 | 55 | 4 | 1 |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 45 | 42 | 48 | 11 | 7 |
| SINGLE family mortgage loans | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 42 | 41 | 46 | 14 | 11 |
| mULI I-FAMILY mortgage loans | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $n$ | 71 | 78 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 4 |
| MLL OTHER MORTGAGE LOANS | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 73 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 6 |
| participat Ion loans with CORRESPONDENT BANKS | 100 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 59 | 39 | 37 | 0 | 4 |
| LOANS TO EROKERS | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 84 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 1 |

[^3]TABLE 2A
NET RESPONSES OF BANKS IN LENDING PRACTICES SURVEYS
(In per cent)
Strength of loan demand ${ }^{1 / /}$
(compared to 3 months ago)
Anticipated demand in next 3 months
LENDING TO NONFINANCIAL BUSINESSES ${ }^{2} /$

Terms and Conditions
Interest rates charged
Compensating or supporting balances Standards of credit worthiness Maturity of term loans

Reviewing Credit Lines
Established customers
New customers
Local service area customers
Nonlocal service area customers
Factors Relating to Applicant (Net percentage indicating more important)

Value of depositor as source of business
Int:ended use of Ioan
LENDING TO NONCAPTIVE FINANCE COMPANIES-2/
Terms and Conditions
Interest rates charged
Compensating or supporting balances
Enforcement of balance requirements
Establishing new or larger credit lines
WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER LOANS ${ }^{3 /}$
Term loans to businesses
Consumer instalment loans
Single-family mortgage loans
Multi-family mortgage loans
All other mortgage loans
Participation loans with correspondent banks
Loans to brokers
1/ Per cent of banks reporting stronger loan demand minus per cent of banks reporting weaker loan demand. Positive number indicates net stronger loan demand, negative number indicates net weaker loan demand.
2/ Per cent of banks reporting firmer lending policies minus per cent of banks reporting weaker lending policies. Positive number indicates net firmer lending policies, negative indicates net easier lending policies.
3/ Per cent of banks reporting less willingness to make loans minus per cent of banks more willing to make loans. Positive number indicates less willingness, negative number indicates more willingness.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    *Prepared by Marilyn Barron, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

[^2]:    $2 /$ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPDRT ANT IN MAK ING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, ANO EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

[^3]:    $2 /$ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED MORE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS, AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

