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## SUPPIEMENT

## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCTAL CONDITIONS

## Prepared for the Federal Open Market Committee

## The Domestic Economy

Inventories. Book value of retail trade inventories declined at a $\$ 0.5$ billion annual rate in October, as stocks at automotive and GAAF outlets declined. Based on the advance retail sales estimate, the retail stock-sales ratio declined slightly, from 1.45 to 1.44 .

For total manufacturing and trade stocks, the October increase was at a $\$ 5.7$ billion rate compared with $\$ 6.1$ billion in the third quarter. The turnaround from negative to positive in manufacturing stocks almost offset the switch from positive to negative at retail. The overa all inventory-sales ratio rose from 1.55 in September to 1.56 in October.

Manufacturers' inventory and sales anticipations. When
surveyed in November, manufacturers anticipated adding to the book value of their inventories at an annual rate of $\$ 5.2$ billion in the fourth quarter; this was slightly higher than their anticipations taken three months earlier, and if realized would be the highest rate since 1969-III. For the first quarter of next year they anticipate a $\$ 2.4$ billion rate of accumulation.

However, inventory growth fell short even of the more xeliable second anticipation in the two latest quarters, with the actual third quarter rate a rundown instead of the anticipated $\$ 1.6$ billion rate buildup. Third quarter sales also declined rather than increasing as anticipated.

For sales, a slight increase is expected in the fourth quarter and a 3.2 per cent rise (quarterly rate) in the first quarter, resulting in a rise in the inventory-sales ratio in December and then a drop to 1.70 by March.

The amount and percentage of inventory reported as excess
(net) were unchanged from June to September and were below 1970 levels.

The Domestic Financial Situation
Mortgage quality. The quality of home mortgage debt outstanding deteriorated further during the third quarter, according to the Mortgage Bankers Association after-the-fact delinquency and foreclosure series, which are heavily weighted with FHA and VA loans. The average delinquency rate of 3.59 per cent was the highest for any third quarter in the history of the series, which began in 1953. The further rise partly reflected an additional spurt in delinquency rates on loans under FHA's Sec. 235 program that subsidizes interest rates down to 1 per cent for eligible lower-income homeowners. Delinquency rates on these subsidized loans continued to run more than twice the average rates indicated for other types of FHA-insured loans in the MBA series. Meanwhile, foreclosure rates edged up to a new third-quarter high of .41 per cent.

DELINQUENCY AND FORECLOSURE RATES ON HOM出 MORTGAGES
(Per cent)

|  | Third ave | arter <br> pes |  |  | Third | quart |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954-61 | 1962-71 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| Delinquent | 2.66 | 3.11 | 3.09 | 3.15 | 2.93 | 2.91 | 3.10 | 3.59 |
| In Foreclosure | n.a. | . 32 | . 36 | . 31 | . 26 | . 25 | . 31 | . 41 |
| NOTE: $\begin{array}{r}\text { Mortgage } \\ \text { mortgage } \\ \\ \text { approxin } \\ \text { VA loans }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kers Ass } \\ & \text { 1-to 4- } \\ & y 400 \text { me } \end{aligned}$ | iation mily pr er resp | vey b rties ents, | sed <br> held <br> larg |  | ral m <br> viced <br> olvin | llion <br> y <br> FHA |  |


#### Abstract

Monetary aggregates. The revisions to recent $M_{1}$ data noted in the Greenbook now have been completed and the resulting rates of change are shown in the revised Monetary Aggregates table. The annual rate of decline in $M_{1}$ for September has been reduced to -2.1 from the -3.2 per cent shown earlier, while October now shows a 0.5 per cent rate of growth as compared with the previous 1.6 per cent rate of decline. The annual rate of increase for November has been reduced to 0.5 per cent from the 1.1 per cent rise shown earlier, a somewhat smaller downward adjustment than was anticipated. This smaller November adjustment is not related to the effects of the revision but reflects final figures for the last week of the month which came in considerably stronger than the preliminary unpublished estimate.


MONETARY AGGREGATES
(Seasonally adjusted changes)


## INTEREST RATES

|  | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hig |  | Low |  | Cug | , 13 | Nov. 15 | Dec. 9 |  |
| Short-Term Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds (wkly. avg.) | 5.59 | (9/15) | 3.29 | (3/10) | 5.59 | (8/11) | $4.93(11 / 10)$ | 4.59 |  |
| 3-month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.53 | (7/19) | 3.22 | (3/11) | 5.15 |  | 4.16 | 4.11 |  |
| Bankers' acceptances | 5.62 | $(8 / 23)$ | 3.88 | (3/10) | 5.62 |  | 4.75 | 4.50 |  |
| Euro-dollars | 10.00 | (8/17) | 4.94 | (3/17) | 7.89 |  | 5.90 | 6.64 |  |
| Federal agencies | 5.70 | (7/30) | 3.27 | (2/24) | 5.58 |  | 4.33(11/10) | 4.17 |  |
| Comm. paper (90-119 day) | 5.88 | (8/18) | 4.25 | (4/12) | 5.88 |  | 4.88 | 4.75 |  |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Most often quoted new | 5.75 | (8/11) | 3.62 | (3/24) | 5.75 |  | 4.75(11/10) | 4.75 |  |
| Secondary market | 6.05 | (8/18) | 3.80 | (3/17) | 5.88 | (8/11) | 4.88(11/10) | 4.80 |  |
| 6-month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.84 | (7/24) | 3.35 | (3/11) | 5.51 |  | 4.31 | 4.30 |  |
| Bankers' acceptances | 5.75 | (8/23) | 4.00 | (3/10) | 5.75 | (e) | 4.88 (e) | 4.62 (e) |  |
| Comm. paper (4-6 mo.) | 5.88 | $(8 / 18)$ | 4.00 | (3/29) | 5.88 |  | 5.00 | 4.88 |  |
| Federal agencies | 6.02 | (7/30) | 3.53 | (3/10) | 5.83 |  | 4.43(11/10) | 4.55 |  |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Most often quoted new | 6.00 | (8/11) | 4.00 | (3/24) | 6.00 |  | 5.00(11/10) | 4.88 |  |
| Secondary market | 6.40 | (8/18) | 3.70 | (3/3) | 6.25 | (8/11) | 5.00(11/10) | 5.02 |  |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) CD's (prime NYC) | 6.01 | (7/28) | 3.45 | (3/11) | 5.85 |  | 4.40 | 4.54 |  |
| Most often quoted new | 6.25 | (8/11) | 4.38 | (3/3) | 6.25 |  | 5.12 (11/10) | 5.13 |  |
| Prime municipals | 3.60 | (8/12) | 2.15 | (3/24) | 3.60 |  | 2.70(11/10) | 2.90 |  |
| Intermediate and Long-Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury coupon issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-years | 7.03 | (8/10) | 4.74 | (3/22) | 6.78 |  | 5.71 | 5.79 |  |
| 20-years | 6.56 | (6/15) | 5.69 | (3/23) | 6.32 |  | 5.84 | 6.00 |  |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasoned Aaa | 7.71 | (8/13) | 7.05 | (2/16) | 7.71 |  | 7.26 | 7.26 |  |
| Baa | 8.93 | (1/5) | 8.33 | (2/25) | 8.87 |  | 8.37 | 8.42 |  |
| New Issue Aaa | 8.23 | (5/20) | 6.76 | (1/29) | 7.97 |  | 7.12(11/12) | 7.08 |  |
| Municipal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Buyer Index | 6.23 | (6/24) | 5.00 | (3/18) | 6.03 |  | 5.19(11/10) | 5.23 |  |
| Moody 's Aaa | 5.90 | (6/30) | 4.65 | (10/21) | $) 5.80$ |  | 4.90(11/11) | 5.00 |  |
| Mortgage--implicit yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## International Developments

Direct investment control. The Office of Foreign Direct
Investment issued an amendment to its regulations on December 9 which had the effect of giving direct investors a grace period of the first two months in 1972 for complying with the OFDI regulations as they would have affected 1971. Direct investors are allowed to credit towards their 1971 compliance requirements long-term borrowings abroad or net reductions in amounts due from foreign affiliates effected during the first two months of 1972. In addition, the requirement that they repatriate unused proceeds of foreign borrowings at year-end was suspended. The likely effect of these amendments will be to eliminate any abnormal return flow of funds that would have resulted from speculative outflows during 1971, and it is also likely that corporations will take advantage of the grace period to delay their ordinary year-end windowdressing until some time early in 1972.


## CORRECTIONS :

Section I, page 4, line 4 of paragraph 1 , insert "in" after however and before the tax.

Section I, page 5, line 8 of Outlook change foreign "inventories" to foreign currencies.

Section II, page 20, caption in lower part of the table should be Per cent change in "November" from a year earlier (not August).

Section III - page 3, line 1 of last paragraph should read offsetting some of the expansion in "Government deposits" (not interbank balances).

Section III, page 16, line 5 , last word should be "eased" (not evened).

## SUPPLEMENEAL APPENDIX A:

LOAN COMMITMENIS*

The Federal Reserve survey of loan commitment activity at 48 large banks in the three months ending October 31, 1971, confirms the general weakness in business loan demand. Although outstanding unused commitments rose to the highest level in the three years of the survey, the increase in unused commitments was the smallest in one and a half years. At the same time, the quarter-to-quarter declines in the flow of new commitments and in takedowns were the largest in the history of the survey. (See Table 1.) As indicated in siable 2, although one fourth anticipated some pickup, two thirds of the respondents expected their rate of takedowns to remain modest (unchanged) in the three months ending January, 1972. About one fourth of those surveyed have adopted a less restrictive commitment policy than existed in the previous three months; no respondent has adopted a more restrictive policy. As indicated in Table 3, those adopting less restrictive policies explain their action by weak loan demand and the availability of funds.

The weakness in new commitments and takedowns, expirations and cancellations centered mainly in the business loan category and in loans to finance companies. However, the continued policy of business firms to extend the maturity structure of their liabilities is indicated by the increase in both new commitments and takedowns for term loans over the three month period.

[^2]quarterly survey of bank loan commitents at selected large u.s. banks $1 /$
October 31, 1971
Table 1: NEW AND UNUSED COMMTTMENTS
(Billions of dollars, not seasonally adjusted)


[^3]Table 2: VIEWS ON COMMTTMENT POLICY

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 31 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 30 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 31 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 31 \\ 1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 31 \\ 1971 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Apr. 30 1971 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 31 \\ 1971 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 31 \\ 1971 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of banks responding: | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Unused commitments in the past three months have: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Risen rapidly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Risen moderately | 7 | 12 | 19 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 25 |
| Remained unchanged | 18 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 15 |
| Declined moderately | 21 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 |
| Deciined rapidly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Takedowns in the next three months should: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rise rapidly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rise moderately | 9 | 13 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 13 |
| Remain unchanged | 30 | 30 | 33 | 24 | 29 | 33 | 31 | 31 |
| Decline moderately | 9 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Decline rapidly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commitment policy compared to three months ago is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Much more restrictive | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Somewhat more restrictive | 15 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Unchanged | 29 | 40 | 37 | 19 | 8 | 25 | 37 | 37 |
| Less restrictive | 1 | 1 | 6 | 26 | 34 | 21 | 9 | 11 |
| Much less restrictive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3: EXPLANATION OF RECENT CHANGE IN NEW COMMITMENT POLICIES AS INDICATED IN THE CURRENT SURVEY

| Indicated Change | Number of Banks Indicating$\qquad$ | Increased Loan Demand | Reasons for Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Reduced Availability of Funds |  |
| More restrictive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Decreased Loan Demand | Increased Availability of Funds | Both Demand And Funds |
| Less restrictive | 11 | 5 | 0 | 6 |

# B - 1 <br> SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX B: <br> QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN <br> BANK LENDING PRACTICES* 

Consistent with other information on conditions in loan markets, the Survey of Bank Lending Practices for the three months ending November 15, indicated relatively weaker loan demands and a greater availability of funds. (See Table 1.) Reflecting declines in the prime rate from 6 per cent at the time of the previous survey to split rates of $5-1 / 2$ per cent to $5-5 / 8$ per cent in effect when this survey was taken, the majority of respondents participating in the survey noted easier policies regarding interest rates. Respondents, in addition, indicated some easing of nonprice terms and a greater aggressiveness in seeking business from new and existing customers, including those outside of their bank's local service area. Somewhat more widespread availability of credit also was shown as bankers reported they were more willing to offer funds for purposes such as consumer installment loans, mortgage loans for single family housing, term loans, and participations.

Weaker loan demands, which were noted at about 40 per cent of the banks in the sample, were indicated to be the major reasons for easier conditions in loan markets. A few respondents commented that businesses have obtained a more comfortable liquidity position from nonbank sources, thus reducing their requirements for bank loans. Nearly 30 per cent of the respondents, nonetheless, expected stronger loan demands in the next quarter.

Examination of the responses to the survey by size of bank, as seen in Table 2, shows small differences between banks with deposits of $\$ 1$ billion or more and those banks with deposits less than $\$ 1$ billion. Policies at larger banks were somewhat more liberal in extending credit to established customers. Relatively more of the smaller banks moved toward an easier policy regarding interest rates charged on loans to finance companies.

Regional differences among banks, shown in Table 3, in general, did not seem striking.

[^4]

1/ SLRVEY OF LENCING PRACTICES AT 125 LARGE BANKS REPORTIAG IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE QUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SURVEY AS UF NOVEMBER 15. 1971.

| ANSWERING QUESTION | MUCH FIRMER POLICY | MJDERATELY FIRMER POLICY | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLICY | MODERATELY EASIER POLICY | MUCH EASIER POLICY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BANKS PCT | BANKS PCT | BANK S PCT | BANKS PCT | BANKS PCT | BANKS PCT |


| value as cepcsitcr or SOURCE OF COLLATERAL RUSINESS | 123 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 7 | 5.7 | 102 | 82.9 | 13 | 10.6 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTENDED USE Of The lcan | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 3 | 2.4 | 116 | 92.8 | 5 | 4.0 | 0 |

LENDING TO "NCNCAPTIVE" FINANCE COMPANIES
TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

| INTEREST RATES CHARGED | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 74 | 59.2 | 47 | 37.6 | 3 | 2.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPENSATING OR SUPPPRTING BALANCES | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 119 | 95.2 | 4 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| ENFGRCEMENT OF BALANCE REOUIREMENTS | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 120 | 96.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| ESTABLISHING NEW OR LARGER CREDIT LINES | 125 | 100.C | 1 | 0.8 | 3 | 2.4 | 96 | 76.8 | 25 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | $\pm$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANSW } \\ & \text { QUE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERING } \\ & \text { STION } \end{aligned}$ |  | rably <br> ING | MODERA LES WILL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESSEN } \\ & \text { UNCH } \end{aligned}$ | IIALly ANGED | MODER MOR WIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TELY } \\ & \text { ENG } \end{aligned}$ | CONSID MO WIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RABLY } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | $\omega$ |
| LLINGNESS TO MAKE OIHER TYPES CF LCANS | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT | BANK S | PCT | BANKS | PCI | BANKS | PCT | BANKS | PCT |  |
| TERM LOANS TO EUSINESSES | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 100 | 80.3 | 22 | 17.6 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 86 | 69.4 | 33 | 26.6 | 4 | 3.2 |  |
| Single family morigage lcans | 122 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 4 | 3.3 | 88 | 72.2 | 27 | 22.1 | 1 | 0.8 |  |
| MULTI-family mortgage lcans | 121 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 5 | 4.1 | 110 | 91.5 | 5 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| ALL OTHER MORTGAGE LCANS | 123 | 100.0 | 0 | c. 0 | 3 | 2.4 | 104 | 84.6 | 16 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| PARTICIPATICN LUANS hITH CORRESPCNLENT BANKS | 125 | 100.0 | 0 | C.O | 2 | 1.6 | 104 | 83.2 | 19 | 15.2 | C | 0.0 |  |
| LCANS TO RROKERS | 123 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 110 | 89.4 | 12 | 9.9 | 1 | 0.8 |  |

[^5]COMPARISON OF QUARTERLY CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT BANKS GROUPEO BY SIZE OF TOTAL DEPOSITS I/
ISTATUS OF POLICY ON NOVEMBER $15, ~ 1971$ CCNPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIERI (NUMBER OF bANKS IN EACH CCLUMN AS PER CENT OF TOTAL bANKS ANSWERING QUESTION)

$1 /$ SURVEY of LENGING PRACTICES AT 54 LARGE BANKS IDEPOSITS DF $\$ 1$ EILLION ('R MORE) AND 71 SMALL BANKS IDEPOSITS TF LESS THAN SI BILLICKI REPCRTING IN JHE FECERAL FESERVE OUARTERLY INTEREST RATE SUFVEY AS CF NOVEMBER 15 , IGTI.

|  | NUMBER ANSWERING QUESTION |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE OF BA } \\ \text { MUCH } \\ \text { FIRMER } \\ \text { POLICY } \end{gathered}$ |  | -- tDTAL MODERATELY <br> FIRMER pGLICY |  | ITS IN BILL ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED POLIGY |  | MODERATELY EASIER PDLICY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUCH } \\ & \text { EASIER } \\ & \text { POLICY } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 16$ GIVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \& \\ & O V E R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$1 E } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1 \varepsilon \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNDER } \\ \$ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| facters relating to appligant 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VALUE AS DEFCSITCR OR source of collateral business | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 87 | 80 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Intended use of the lcan | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 90 | 95 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

lembing tc "ncncaftive" finance genpanies
TERMS $A N C$ CONOITIONS:

| INTEREST RATES CHARGEO | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPENSATING GR SUPPORTING BALANCES | 100 | 100 |
| ENFCRGEMENT OF BALANCE REQUIREMENTS | 100 | 100 |


| NUMBER |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANSWERING |  |
| GUESTION |  |
| ILE | UNOER |
| QVER | SI |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |

TABLE 2 (CGATINUED)

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 55 | 33 | 41 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 96 | 95 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 96 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 72 | 81 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 |


| GONSIDERABLY LESS WILLING | MOOERATELY <br> LESS <br> WILLING |  | ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED |  | moderately MORE WILLING |  | CONSIDERABLY MORE WILLING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \$ 1 & \varepsilon & \text { UNDER } \\ \text { OVER } & \$ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 1 \\ \text { OVER } \end{array}$ | UNDER $\$ 1$ | $\$ 16$ OVER | UNOER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 18$ OVER | UNDER <br> $\$ 1$ | $\$ 1 \varepsilon$ OVER | UNDER $\$ 1$ |

WILLINGNESS TC MAKE OTRER TYPES OF lOANS
term loans to businesses

CONSUMER INSTALMENT LGANS
single farily mortgage lcans
multi-family mortgace lcans
ale cther nertgage loans
PARTICIPATICN LOANS WITH PARTICIPATICN LOANS
CORRESPONCENT BANKS

LQANS TG EROKERS
$\begin{array}{lr}\$ 1 & \varepsilon \\ \text { OVER } & \text { UND } \\ & \$ 8\end{array}$
\$1 $G \quad$ UA
OVER
$\$ 16$
$0 V E R$
83

| 83 | 77 | 15 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$77 \quad 15 \quad 20$

| 66 | 72 | 30 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6] CREDIT REGUESTS. ANC EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT.

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES AT SELECTEO LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. I/ STATUS GF POLICY CN NCVEMBER 15, 1971 CCMPARED TO THREE MCNTHS EARLIER (NUMBER OF BANKS)

| ALL | BOS- |  | NEW | YDRK | PHIL- | CLEVE- | RICH- | ATLAN- | CHIC- | ST. | MINNE- | KANS. | DAL- | SAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OSTS | TON | total | CITY | y cutside | ADEL. | LANO | MOND | ta | $A G C$ | Louis | APOLIS | CITY | LAS | FRAN |

Strengit of denand fer cemmercial and
INCUSTRIAL LCANS (AFTER ALLOWANCE FOR
BANK'S USUAL SEASONAL VARIATIONI
GONPARED TC 3 MONTHS $A$ GO 125

NUCH STRCNGER
MOSENTIALLY UNCHANGEO
ESSENTIGLEY UNCHAN
MOOERATELY
MUCH WEAKER

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 52 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 48 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

anticipatec cemand next THREE MONTHS

MUCH STRCNGER
MGDERATELY STRONGER
ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED
mooerately weaker
MUCH WEAKER

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 75 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LENDING TO MGNFINANCIAL BUSINESSES

TERMS ANC CONEITIONS
INTEREST RATES GFARGEG 125
MUCH FIRMEF POLICY
NCDERATELY FIRMER POLICY
ESSENTIALLY UNGHANGEL PGLICY
MOCERATELY tASIER PCLIEY
MUCH EASIER PCLICY
GGMPENSATIAG BALANGES

| 0 | C | C | 0 | $c$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | c | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 81 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| 5 | 1 | c | c | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | c | $c$ | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 107 | 8 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 7 | * | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| 16 | c | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $c$ | 0 | 0 |

1/ SURVEy of lending practices at 125 large banks reportinc in the federal reserve quarterly interest rate supvey AS EF NCVEMEER 15. 1971.



FACTCRS RELATINC TO APPLIGANT $2 \%$

| VALUE AS CEPCSITCR OR SCURCE of cellateral rusiness | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUCH FIRMER POLICY | 1 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MODERATELY firmer pclicy | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGED PCLICY | 102 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 11 |
| MODERATELY EASIER PGLICY | 13 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INTENDEC USE CF LOAN | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUCH FIRNER PGLICY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moderately firmer policy | 3 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ESSENTIALLY UNCHANGEC PGLICY | 116 | 8 | 2 C | 9 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 12 |
| MODERATELY EASIER POLICY | 5 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LENDING TC "NCRCAPTIVE"
finance conpanies

## TERMS ANC CONEITICNS

125

| NUCH FIRMER POLICY | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MODERATELY firmer policy | 1 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| essentially unemangel pleicy | 74 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| moceratelir easier pclicy | 47 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| MUCH EASIER POLICy | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 |

$2 /$ FOR THESE FACTOKS. FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSICERED NCRE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR APPROVINT CREDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIIR MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPORTANT



## SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX C

## SURVEY OF STATE AND LOCAL LONG-TERM BORROWING REALIZATIONS: THIRD QUARTER, 1971*

State and local governments, on balance, realized all but 6 per cent of the $\$ 6.2$ billion of long-term borrowing planned for the third quarter of 1971 (Table 1). Universe estimates projected from the FRB-Census Survey of State and Local Long-term Borrowing Realizationsl/ indicate that some governments borrowed $\$ 1.3$ billion above original planned levels while other units--in most reported instances only temporarily-abandoned about the same amount of long-term tax-exempt offerings. Due to some nonresponse, no information is available concerning the results of an additional $\$ .3$ billion of previously reported borrowing anticipations. In all, State and local governments borrowed $\$ 5.9$ billion in long-term markets during the July through September period of which $\$ 5.8$ billion was accounted for by the survey. Results compiled from survey returns indicate that the net effect of downward interest rate movements (borrowing above originally scheduled amounts offset by shortfalls from borrowing plans) was to stimulate almost $\$ 550$ million in long-term borrowing above previously planned levels; this of course contrasts with the strong negative impact on borrowing that had been caused by high interest rates in previous years. Most of the delayed or cancelled borrowing could be accounted for by units which were faced with administrative or legal delays.

Future borrowing plans, as adjusted to universe levels from survey results, ${ }^{2} /$ show an estimated $\$ 6.2$ billion of long-term offerings for the fourth quarter, about the same as had been planned for the third quarter. Although long-term borrowing anticipations for the first half of 1972 amount to $\$ 6.6$ billion, they are expected to increase as the time horizon shortens, assuming that this sector continues to evaluate market conditions favorably.

[^7]Table 1

## LONG-TERM BORROWING ANTICIPATIONS AND REALIZATIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS <br> 3rd Quarter, 1971

|  |  | Billions | dollars |  | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anticipa- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ | Borrowed as planned | Borrowed above plans | Shortfalls from borrowing plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borrowing/ } \\ \text { Anticipations } \end{gathered}$ |
| States and state colleges | 2.1 | 1.7 | . 4 | . 3 | 100 |
| Counties | . 5 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 80 |
| Cities and towns | 2.1 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 4 | 95 |
| Special districts | . 6 | . 5 | - */ | . 1 | 83 |
| School districts | $\underline{.9}$ | . 5 | . 1 | $\underline{.4}$ | 67 |
| Totals | $6.2{ }^{1 /}$ | $4.5^{2 /}$ | $1.3{ }^{2 /}$ | 1.3 | 94 |

NOTE: Totals may not add due to rounding.
*/ Less than $\$ 100$ million.
1/ Of this total, the behavior of units having $\$ .3$ billion in anticipations could not be accounted for by this survey because of non-response.
2/ The survey accounted for $\$ 5.8$ billion of the $\$ 5.9$ billion of known long-term borrowing that was undertaken during June-September 1971.

# CONFIDENTIAL (FR) 
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## Shortralls from Planned Borroving

State and local governments elected to postpone to future periods a little moce than $\$ 900$ million and cancel another $\$ 400$ million of planned long-term borrowing during the third quarter. Total shortfalls were one-half as large as those for the previous quarter.

The bulk of the long-term borrowing suspensions during the third quarter-- $\$ 350$ million-were accounted for by units which indicated administrative or legal problems were an inhibiting factor at the time (Table 2). This amount is about in line with the average effect such delays have had upon borrowing plans in each of the last four quarters. Delays in the scheduling of the projects themselves--and not delays in the arranging of financing--appear to be the cause for more than one-half of all such shortfalls. Actually, problems associated with the inancing of the projects directly caused only slightly more than $\$ 30$ million of capital outlay cutbacks, with financial substitutes supporting projects not postponed or cancelled. Respondents indicated plans to reinitiate over 72 per cent of the long-term borrowing that was suspended during the quarter because of legal or administrative delays in projects or their financing.

Actual or anticipated interest rate movements were responsible for only a small part of the failure to realize borrowing plans during the third quarter. At $\$ 130$ million, postponements and cancellations induced by interest rate considerations were well belor the $\$ 480$ million average of the last iour quarters. The nearly one percentage point drop in interest costs over the third quarter induced some governmental units to carry out borroving as planned and to accelerate other plans when practical. Of the units that did report borroving setbacks induced by interest rates, interest rate ceilings, yields evaluated as too high, and expectations that yields would decline further accounted for 7,4 , and 2.5 per cent of all shortialls, respectively. Reports of postponements or delays in capital projects because of borrowing shortfalls so induced were insignificant, as the bulk of long-term borrowing deficits was absorbed by financing out oz̈ liquid assets or by short-term borrowing. Over 30 per cent oí such alternative financing is scheduled to be replaced by long-term funding during the next year.

The survey indicated a small amount of long-term borrowing suspended because authorization for such issues was not forthcoming. A continuation of authorization difficulties is expected to be reported in future quarters given the high rate of rejections of bond proposals included on the November election ballots.

Table 2
SHORTFALLS FROM BORROWING PLANS
3rd Quarter, 1971
(In millions of dollars)

|  | ```Authorization not obtained``` | ```Administrative and legal delays``` | ```Interest rate ceiling``` | ```Interest rates too high``` | ```Interest rates expected to fall``` | Other | Total | Memo: <br> Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States and state colleges | 10 | 195 | -- | 10 | 20 | 45 | 280 | 21 |
| Counties | 43 | 135 | -- | - | 5 | -- | 183 | 14 |
| Cities and towns | 17 | 249 | -- | 31 | 5 | 69 | 371 | 28 |
| Special districts | 16 | 29 | 41 | -- | 2 | 6 | 94 | 7 |
| School districts | 4 | $\underline{243}$ | 53 | 14 | -- | 104 | 418 | 31 |
| Total | 90 | 8511/ | 94 | 55 | 32 | 224 | 1,346 | 100 |
| Per cent | 7 | 63 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 100 |  |
| NOTE: Totals may not add due to rounding. <br> 1/ Of this total, $\$ 404$ million represents delays not necessarily related to financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

School districts, among types of units, fared poorly in their realization of long-term borrowing plans, even under favorable market conditions. This probably occurred because of their dependence on the property tax--voters have been reluctant to approve bonds, elected ofificials hesitant about proposing issues, and units may have reached their bonding limits. While the State and local sector experienced total shortfalls equal to roughly one-fifth of anticipations, the rate for school districts was twice as high. School districts accounted for only 15 per cent of reported anticipations, but experienced 37 per cent of interest rate induced shortialls. Additionally, the impact of suspended long-term borrowing plans was more severe for school districts; these units absorbed more than 70 per cent or all capital outlay cutbacks while at the same time experienced 30 per cent of total State and local long-term borrowing shortialls. Such impacts may result from these districts relatively small borrouings, irregular use of the capital markets, relative lack of liquidity and overall tight operating budgets.

## Borroring Above Originally Planned Levels

Errly authorizations, zirming oíproject plans, and favorable interest rate movements accounted for the bulk of the $\$ 1.3$ billion in long-term borrowing that exceeded previously scheduled levels for the July through September period (Table 3). According to survey responses, the sizable decline in municipal yields, most of which occurred following announcement of the administration's nev economic policy, accounted for over one-halif of the long-term borrowing accelerations. The favorable interest rate movements may also have had some influence on the speeding up of authorizations and project plans.

## Alternative ifeans of Finance

Where borroving shortíalls did occur, many governments, desiring to maintain their planned capital expenditures, relied upon other financing means (Table 4). As has typically been the case, short-term borrowing was resorted to most often, and, although slightly below the average use of this expedient over the last four quarters, the $\$ 309$ million of short-term borrowing could perhaps account for a substantial amount of the net change in State and local short-term debt outstanding in the recent quarter. The magnitudes or use of existing liquid assets and reduction of other cash outlays do not differ markedly irom those in previous periods. Their relatively limited role as an alternative source of funds for capital projects reflects the generally tight budget positions of the governments involved.

## Long-term Borrowing Anticipations

State and local governments reported long-term borrowing anticipations of $\$ 6.2$ billion for the fourth quarter. It now appears

Table 3
BORROWING ABOVE PREVIOUSLY PLANNED LEVELS
3rd Quarter, 1971
(In millions of dollars)

|  | Authorized sooner than expected | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { plans } \\ \text { ready early } \end{gathered}$ | Interest Rates expected to rise | Interest <br> rates declined | Other | Tota1 | Memo: Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States and state colleges | 47 | 92 | 2 | 239 | 61 | 441 | 34 |
| Counties | 36 | 100 | 1 | 70 | 31 | 238 | 19 |
| Cities and towhs | 41 | 31 | $170{ }^{1 /}$ | 1731/ | 54 | 469 | 37 |
| Special districts | -- | -- | 25 | -- | 3 | 44 | 3 |
| School stricts | 26 | 3 | -- | 47 | 8 | 84 | 7 |
| Total | 150 | 242 | 198 | 529 | 157 | 1,276 | 100 |
| Per cent | 11.8 | 19.0 | 15.5 | 41.5 | 12.3 | 100.0 |  |

1/ The bulk of this amount, $\$ 167$ million under each category, is accounted for by the report of one large metropolitan city.
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unlikely that these plans will be completely realized as staff estimates for long-term municipal issues through December now total only $\$ 5.5$ billion. Preliminary anticipations for the first half of 1972 indicate $\$ 4.9$ billion in the authorized category and another $\$ 3.7$ billion of borrowings already scheduled though not yet authorized. More accurate estimates for the first and second quarters of 1972 will be available after the semi-annual anticipations survey to be conducted as of the end of December.

Table 4

ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF FINANCING LONG-TERM BORRONING SHORTEALLS 3rd Quarter, 1971

Millions of dollars
Per cent
Short-term borrowing 30941
Use oĩ liquid assets $131 \quad 16$
Postpone other cash outlays 14519
Money not needed and other ${ }^{\text {1/ }} 16922$
Total 754100

MLUO: Capital outlay cutbaeks 106
I/ Does not include $\$ 457$ miliion reported as delay because oí problems inherent in the projects themselves, but does reilect long-term borroring units hoped to accomplish before the inception or the project.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    1/ Yield on short-term forward commitment after allowance for commitment fee and required purchase and holding of FNMA stock. Lssumes discount on 30-year loan amortized over 15 years.
    e - estimated.

[^2]:    * Prepared by Marilyn Barron, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

[^3]:    $\frac{1 /}{2}$ Participants in Quarterly Interest Rate Survey with total deppsits of wore than $\$ 1$ billion (42 banks).
    $\frac{2}{3} /$ This item may exceed sum of previous two items because some banks report combined total only.
    3/ Less than $\$ 50$ million.
    NOTE: Figures may not add to total due to rounding.

[^4]:    * Prepared by Marilyn Barron, Research Assistant, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

[^5]:    $2 /$ FOR THESE FACTORS, FIRMER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CCNSICERED MCRE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FDR APPROVING CREDIT REQUESTS. AND EASIER MEANS THEY WERE LESS IMPGRTANT.

[^6]:    $2 /$ FOR THESE FACTORS. FIRNER MEANS THE FACTORS WERE CONSIEERED MGRE IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS FOR ADPPTVING

[^7]:    1/ The Governments Division of the U.S. Bureau of the Census is responsible for the polling of respondents. Their expertise has consistently produced fine reporting results with a response rate of 82 per cent for this quarter. The survey accounted for all but $\$ 300$ million of previously reported anticipations and for 98 per cent of actual long-term borrowing during the quarter.

    2/ These anticipations data were collected in the survey of borrowing plans as of June 30,1971 and were modified by results from the third quarter realizations survey.

    * Prepared by Paul Schneiderman, Economist, Capital Markets Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

