## Office Correspondence

To Members of the FOMC
From
Chairman Volcker

Date
January 31, 1984
Subject: RE: Bush Task Group on
Regulation of Financial Services -- Bank Regulatory Responsibilities

The Bush Task Group on Regulation of Financial Services is about to have its final meeting to approve a compromise plan for revising bank regulatory responsibilities. The Federal Reserve, on balance, has been able to maintain a significant role in supervision and regulation although, as outlined below, some existing authorities would, under the proposal, be transferred away from the $F R B$ while others would be transferred to the FRB.

The Task Group scheme is, at this stage, only a proposal -- not yet drafted into legislative form and even further away from the Congressional legislative process itself. Parts or all of it are bound to be controversial among banks and others. Although the proposals will have Administration backing, changes will not take place for a considerable time, and they could well be rejected altogether. in other words, federal Reserve staffs should not take it as a foregone conclusion that this particular proposal will be enacted into law. However, given the direction of the proposal -for the System to have a potentially larger role in state supervision and regulation -- an opportunity exists for the Reserve Banks to encourage greater interaction between the System and state supervisors at this time.

The broad outlines of the Task Group report on Bank Regulation are as follows:

1. A Federal Banking Agency (FBA) will be created incorporating the Comptroller's responsibilities plus new responsibilities outlined below. The Director of the FBA would report to the secretary of the Treasury on broad policy issues, budget and staffing.
2. Bank Regulation
A. The FBA would regulate, supervise and examine national banks.
B. The Federal Reserve would regulate, supervise and examine all state banks, member and nonmember.
C. A formal mechanism would be established whereby states could seek "certification" to handle federal supervisory authority over state banks.
D. The certification program would be as flexible as possible, with a statutory directive for Federal authorities to defer responsibilities to the states to the maximum degree practicable and prudent.
(1) The FRB, FBA, and FDIC by majority would set the criteria for certification, i.e. staffing, expertise, resources, etc. for various "levels" of certification (compliance exams, safety and soundness exams for different size banks, various applications). Similar critieria would be set for bank holding companies.
(2) The FRB would act on specific state applications for certification at various levels and would retain oversight of the process.
(3) Each Reserve Bank would establish a formal "State Advisory Council" for interaction between the FRB and the state banking authorities.
3. Bank holding Companies. (Assumes passage of procedural aspects of FIDA).
A. The FBA would regulate, supervise, and examine holding companies where the lead bank is a national bank.
B. The FRB would regulate, supervise and examine holding companies where:
(l) the lead bank is a state bank, or
(2) the holding company is "international class" i.e., the holding company (i) has a bank with foreign branches or subsidiaries, (ii) has assets of more than $0.5 \%$ of aggregate BHC assets, or (iii) is a foreign owned holding company. (See attached list for specific BHCs.)
(3) As noted above, states could be certified to handle certain aspects of BHC supervision.
C. The FBA and FRB would make any changes to existing prudential standards.
D. The FBA and FRB could comment on BHC applications or notices filed with the other agency.
E. Reporting requirements would be developed by the FBA and FRB with mutual consultation.
F. For the list of permissible BHC activities and regulations implementing the list or changes to either, the following arrangements between the $F B A$ and $F R B$ would be in place:
(1) the FRB could comment on these prior to public comment and could have the comments published along with the proposed regulation.
(2) The FRB could veto (by $2 / 3$ majority) final regulations (or order) prior to publication on a determination that adoption would,
(a) impair the stability of the U. S. banking system, or
(b) have a seriously adverse effect on safe and sound financial practices, with a formal report required.
4. FDIC
A. The FDIC would remain an independent insurance corporation with a three person Board approved by the president and the Director of the $F B A$ and $F R B$ Chairman (or their designee) as nonvoting members.
B. The FDIC would be limited to insurance activities with no general supervisory authority.
C. The FDIC would examine insured banks in a manner comparable to that provided in the recent agreement between the FDIC and the OCC.
D. The FDIC would continue to handle applications for insurance by nonmember state banks. The FBA and FRB would send copies of applications for charter or membership, respectively, to the FDIC because approval of such applications will continue to convey insurance, and the FDIC would consider the financial and managerial resources of the bank, and in the normal course rely on the determination of the FBA and FRB. If the FDIC did not concur with the FBA or FRB determination, it would advise the agency that it would decline to provide insurance.
5. Other

The FBA and FRB would continue to exercise their respective authorities over foreign bank branches, agencies and subsidiaries operating in the U. S. and U. S. banking organizations operating overseas. The FRB would obtain the authorities of the FDIC pertaining to overseas activities of state nonmember banks.

## U.S. BANK HOLDING COMPANIES WITH FOREIGN BRANCHES (EXCLUDING SHELLS)

| NAME | LOCATION | BANKING ASSETS | BANKING DEPOSITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Citicorp | New York | 115,487 | 77,126 |
| BankAmerica Corporation | San Francisco | 111,708 | 90,848 |
| Chase Manhattan Corporation | New York | 77,893 | 55,566 |
| *J.P. Morgan \& Co. Incorporated | New York | 57,784 | 40,826 |
| *Manufacturers Hanover Corporation | New York | 57,478 | 42,227 |
| *Chemical New York Corporation | New York | 49,667 | 32,916 |
| Continental Illinois Corporation | Chicago | 39,554 | 27,572 |
| *First Interstate Bancorp | Los Angeles | 38,741 | 30,210 |
| *Bankers Trust New York Corporation | New York | 38,728 | 23,450 |
| Security Pacific Corporation | Los Angeles | 34,030 | 26,190 |
| First Chicago Corporation | Chicago | 33,752 | 26,409 |
| Mellon National Corporation | Pittsburgh | 26,373 | 17,162 |
| InterFirst Corporation | Dallas | 23,932 | 17,086 |
| Wells Fargo \& Company | San Francisco | 22,762 | 19,484 |
| *Irving Bank Corporation | New York | 19,661 | 13,051 |
| First National Boston Corporation | Boston | 19,055 | 12,275 |
| Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc. | Houston | 18,603 | 13,148 |
| RepublicBank Corporation | Dallas | 18,452 | 13,716 |
| First Bank System, Inc. | Minneapolis | 18,343 | 12,140 |
| First City Bancorporation | Houston | 16,913 | 13,109 |
| Northwest Bancorporation | Minneapolis | 16,615 | 12,859 |
| NBD Bancorp, Inc. | Detroit | 12,444 | 8,582 |
| *The Bank of New York Company | New York | 12,134 | 9,121 |
| NCNB Corporation | Charlotte | 11,550 | 8,206 |
| Pittsburgh National Corporation | Pittsburgh | 11,549 | 7,183 |
| Philadelphia National Corporation | Philadelphia | 8,758 | 6,266 |
| Seafirst Corporation | Seattle | 8,362 | 6,325 |
| * Comerica Incorporated | Detroit | 7,857 | 6,258 |
| Southwest Bancshares, Inc. | Houston | 7,548 | 6,364 |
| * Harris Bankcorp, Inc. | Chicago | 6,850 | 4,649 |
| *Northern Trust Corporation | Chicago | 6,165 | 4,177 |
| Rainier Bancorporation | Seattle | 5,635 | 4,657 |
| * Fidelcor, Inc. | Rosemont | 5,242 | 3,985 |
| First Pennsylvania Corporation | Philadelphia | 5,123 | 3,387 |
| Centerre Bancorporation | St. Louis | 4,738 | 3,310 |
| First Wisconsin Corporation | Milwaukee | 4,594 | 3,279 |
| Fleet Financial Group, Inc. | Providence | 4,380 | 3,100 |
| Riggs National Corporation | Washington | 4,214 | 3,346 |
| Bancal Tri-State Corporation | San Francisco | 3,907 | 2,209 |
| First Maryland Bancorp | Baltimore | 3,742 | 2,493 |
| Flagship Banks, Inc. | Miami | 3,472 | 3,036 |
| American Fletcher Corporation | Indianapolis | 3,274 | 2,228 |
| Walter E. Heller International | Chicago | 3,178 | 2,319 |
| * Bancorp Hawaii, Inc. | Honolulu | 3,052 | 2,731 |
| The Central Bancorporation | Cincinnati | 2,784 | 2,204 |
| Deposit Guaranty Corp. | Jackson | 2,224 | 1,609 |
| First National Cincinnati Co. | Cincinnati | 2,147 | 1,466 |
| *Fifth Third Bancorp | Cincinnati | 1,711 | 1,194 |
| * Colonial Bancorp, Inc. | Waterbury | 1,332 | 1,169 |
| * United Bancorp of Arizona | Phoenix | 1,169 | 1,009 |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{1,014,666}}$ | 733,232 |

[^0]FOREIGN BANKS WITH U.S. BANK SUBSIDIARIES


1/ These are trust companies and do not file bank call reports.

## Foreign Banks

Fuji BankIndustrial Bank of JapanMitsubishi BankMitsui BankSanwa Bank
Sumitomo Bank
Tokai Bank
Kyowa Bank
Korea Exchange- BankHanil Bank
Metropolitan Bank \& TrustPhilippine Comm \& IndAllied Eanking CorpU.S. Subsidiary
Assets (millions)
Fuji Bank \& Trust Co. ..... 1,818
Industrial Bank of Japan Tr. Co. (NY) ..... 1,432
Mitsubishi Bank of California ..... 564
Mitsui Manufacturers Bank ..... 1,697
Golden State Sanwa Bank ..... 1,194
Sumitomo Bank of California ..... 2,341
Tokai Bank of California ..... 411
Kyowa Bank of California ..... 65
California Korea Bank ..... 139
First State Bank of Southern Ca ..... 62
International Bank of Ca ..... 23
Philippine Comml Ind Bk Agency ..... 18

## Foreign Owned Shell Bank Holding Companies *

| Bank holding company | U.S. Bank | -Assets (\$millions $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Arabian Corporation | Bank of the Commonwealth | \$880 |
| Grandville Financial Holdings | Independence Bank | 178 |
| First Pacific Investments Ltd. | Hibernia Bank | 954 |
| Trade Development Holdings SA | Republic National Bank of NY | 8,927 |
| Holdings N.v. | Valley Fidelity Bank and Tr Co. | 240 |
|  | First American Bank N.A. | 831 |
|  | First American Bank of Va. | 1,108 |
|  | Shenandoah Vailey National Bank | 84 |
|  | Valley National Bank | 69 |
|  | Peoples NB of Leesburg | 51 |
|  | Round Hill National Bank | 24 |
|  | First $N B$ of Lexington | 13 |
|  | First American Bank of Md. | 328 |
|  | Eastern Shore National Bank | 47 |
|  | Bank of Commerce | 395 |
|  | First American Bank of NY | 80 |
| The International Bank-Holding Company of Florida | International Bank of Miami NA | 68 |
| I.C.B. Holdings N.V. | Intercontinental Bank | 509 |
| Milford, N.v. | Totalbank (Miami) | 130 |
| G.V.B. Company | Great Western Bank and Trust | 523 |
| Marsh Investments, B.V. | Consolidated Bank NA | 466 |
| EM Kay Financing Corp. | Village Bank of New Jersey | 61 |
| First Coolidge Corp. | Coolidge Bank and Trust Co. | 151 |
| Empire Holdings Ltd. | Redwood Bank | 194 |
|  |  | 16,311 |

* These bank holding companies are not owned by foreign banks.


[^0]:    * Holding companies with majority of assets in State chartered banks.

