Appendix 1: Materials used by Mr. Kos
Current U.S. and Euro-area 3-Month Deposit Rates and Rates Implied by Traded Forward Rate Agreements
August 1, 2003 - October 24, 2003

U.S. LIBOR Fixing 3M Forward 6M Forward 9M Forward

Euro-area LIBOR Fixing 3M Forward 6M Forward 9M Forward

Percent

U.S. Treasury Yields
August 1, 2003 - October 24, 2003

2-Year Note

10-Year Note
Select Asian Currencies Against U.S. Dollar
August 1, 2003 - October 14, 2003

Index
8/1/2003 = 100

8/11 Thailand announces capital controls
9/22 G-7 Communiqué
10/2 HKMA affirms one-way link to dollar
10/14 Thailand announces new capital controls
10/14 Korean cmts. on won
10/20 Snow comments in Ldn. Times

Currency Appreciation

Chinese Yuan
Japanese Yen
Thai Baht
Korean Won
Hong Kong Dollar

Dollar-Yen Exchange Rate
August 1, 2003 - October 24, 2003

Yen per U.S. Dollar

8/12 FOMC
9/16 FOMC
9/22 G-7 Communiqué
10/20 Snow comments in Ldn. Times

Days on which Japanese Authorities Intervened

Dollar-Yen

Euro-Dollar Exchange Rate
August 1, 2003 - October 24, 2003

Dollars per Euro

1.9
1.7
1.5
1.3
1.1
0.9
0.7
Dollars per Euro

8/12 FOMC
9/16 FOMC
9/22 G-7 Communiqué
10/20 Snow comments in Ldn. Times


8/1 8/15 8/29 9/12 9/26 10/10 10/24
Japanese Net Yearly Intervention in Dollar-Yen
January 1989 - October 24, 2003

Japanese Daily Intervention In Dollar-Yen
January 1989 - October 24, 2003

Average Dollar-Yen Intraday Spread for Intervention and Non-Intervention Days
Major Intervention Episodes Since 1994
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Expected Federal Funds Rates

*Estimates from federal funds and eurodollar futures, with an allowance for term premia and other adjustments.

Policy Uncertainty

*Width of a 90 percent confidence interval computed from the term structures for the expected federal funds rate and implied volatility.

Primary Dealers' Expectation of Risk Assessment (FRBNY Survey, as of October 20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Downside</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>Upside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Stability</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominant Risk</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implied Distribution of Federal Funds Rate About 6 Months Ahead

*Based on the distribution of the three-month eurodollar rate five months ahead (adjusted for a risk premium), as implied by options on eurodollar futures contracts.

Weighted-Average Corporate Yield

Nominal Trade-Weighted Major Currency Index

Note: Vertical lines indicate September 15, 2003.
Exhibit 2
The Case for an Unchanged Target Federal Funds Rate

- Financial market conditions have eased.
- Policy is accommodative.
- Pressures on resources may emerge.

Actual Real Federal Funds Rate and Range of Estimated Equilibrium Real Rates

Note: The shaded range represents the maximum and the minimum values each quarter of four estimates of the equilibrium real federal funds rate based on a statistical filter and the FRB/US model. Real federal funds rates employ a four-quarter moving average of core PCE inflation as a proxy for inflation expectations, with the staff projection used for 2003Q4.

Real GDP

Inflation Compensation*

*Based on a comparison of the TIPS yield curve to the nominal off-the-run Treasury yield curve.
Exhibit 3
The Case for Easing 25 Basis Points

- Resource slack lingers in the outlook.
- A durable expansion is not yet assured.
- Financial flows have not yet revived.

![Unemployment Rate Graph]

![Inflation Rate Graph]

Note: PCE prices excluding food and energy.

![M2 Graph]

![Net Debt Financing of Nonfinancial Businesses Table]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Oct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;I Loans</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Bond Issuance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit 4
**As Time Goes By**

Possible Configurations of the Risks to Economic Growth, the Risks to Inflation, and the Balance of Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks to Inflation</th>
<th>Unwelcome fall</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>Unwelcome rise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted to the downside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced</td>
<td>Inflation undesirably low</td>
<td>Balanced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted to the upside</td>
<td>Inflation undesirably low -or-balanced</td>
<td>Upside risks to sustainable growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"...the Committee believes that policy accommodation can be maintained for a considerable period."

- Dropping the sentence may risk an outsized market reaction.
- Market participants may expect policy to be more aggressive than currently expected.

"...the Committee believes that policy accommodation can be maintained for the considerable period it currently assesses will be required to foster the moderation of disinflationary pressures."
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Alternative Assessments of the Outlook

1. The Balance-of-Risks Assessment

Against the background of its long-run goals of price stability and sustainable economic growth and of the information currently available, the Committee believes that the risks ARE BALANCED WITH RESPECT TO PROSPECTS FOR BOTH GOALS / ARE WEIGHTED MAINLY TOWARD CONDITIONS THAT MAY GENERATE HEIGHTENED INFLATION PRESSURES / ARE WEIGHTED MAINLY TOWARD CONDITIONS THAT MAY GENERATE ECONOMIC WEAKNESS in the foreseeable future.

2. The Current Language

The Committee perceives that the upside and downside risks to the attainment of sustainable economic growth for the next few quarters are roughly equal. In contrast, the probability, though minor, of an unwelcome fall in inflation exceeds that of a rise in inflation from its already low level. The Committee judges that, on balance, the risk of inflation becoming undesirably low remains the predominant concern for the foreseeable future.

3. Reinhart memo (October 22, 2003)

The probability of economic growth running above its long-run sustainable pace is BELOW / ABOUT EQUAL TO / ABOVE that of it falling short over the next few quarters. Over the same period, the probability of inflation rising is BELOW / ABOUT EQUAL TO / ABOVE that of it declining. In light of this assessment and against the backdrop of its long-run goals of price stability and maximum employment, the Committee judges that

EITHER

the risk(s) of

INCREASING PRESSURE ON RESOURCES / DECREASING PRESSURE ON RESOURCES / (AND) / INFLATION RUNNING UNDESIRABLY HIGH / INFLATION RUNNING UNDESIRABLY LOW is (are) the more pressing concern(s)

OR

THE RISKS TO BOTH OF ITS LONG-RUN GOALS ARE BALANCED

for the foreseeable future.
4. Gramlich memo (October 22, 2003)

The probability that economic growth will proceed over the next few quarters at a rate consistent with the attainment of maximum sustainable employment is BELOW / EQUAL TO / ABOVE the probability of it falling short. Over the same period, the probability that inflation will be undesirably high is BELOW / EQUAL TO / ABOVE the probability that it will be undesirably low. Overall, the Committee judges that the risks to the attainment of MAXIMUM SUSTAINABLE EMPLOYMENT ARE THE MORE PRESSING CONCERN / PRICE STABILITY ARE THE MORE PRESSING CONCERN / BOTH GOALS ARE ABOUT EQUAL.
Exhibit 2

Some Questions

1. Do you have a clear preference as to the basic structure of the assessment of the outlook?

2. Do you want to follow a bilateral or multilateral approach to arrive at new language?

3. How much importance do you place on wrapping up this issue quickly?

4. When do you want to talk about this next?