Appendix 1: Materials used by Mr. Potter and Ms. Logan
Material for the Briefing on
Financial Developments and
Open Market Operations

Simon Potter and Lorie Logan
March 15, 2016
(1) Asset Price Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan. FOMC to 02/11/16</th>
<th>Since 02/11/16</th>
<th>Since Dec. FOMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500 Index</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroStoxx 50 Index</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent Crude</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>+34</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg Dollar Index</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in Basis Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intraday Trading Range (LHS)</th>
<th>Price (RHS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. HY Corp. Credit OAS</td>
<td>-95</td>
<td>-197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. IG Corp. Credit OAS</td>
<td>+22</td>
<td>-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 10-Year Nominal TSY</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>+32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barclays, Bloomberg

(2) Importance of Factors Explaining Financial Market Volatility*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>U.S. Growth and Inflation</th>
<th>Chinese FX</th>
<th>Oil Vol</th>
<th>U.S. Central Bank Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on all responses from the March Surveys of Primary Dealers and Market Participants. Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

(3) Brent Crude Realized Volatility and Price Level

Percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>01/04/16</th>
<th>01/18/16</th>
<th>02/01/16</th>
<th>02/15/16</th>
<th>02/29/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USD/Bbl.

Source: Bloomberg

(4) RMB Level and Cumulative Change in China Foreign Exchange Reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ Billions</th>
<th>09/14</th>
<th>12/14</th>
<th>03/15</th>
<th>06/15</th>
<th>09/15</th>
<th>12/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Change (LHS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onshore RMB (RHS)*</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMB/ USD</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average level over the month. Source: Bloomberg

(5) Five-Year, Five-Year Real Rates*

Percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>07/01/13</th>
<th>02/01/14</th>
<th>09/01/14</th>
<th>04/01/15</th>
<th>11/01/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro Area</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by subtracting the inflation swap rate from the nominal swap rate and can be influenced by swap spreads and inflation bases across jurisdictions. Source: Barclays

(6) Equity and Bank Index Performance

Indexed to 12/31/15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01/01/16</th>
<th>01/22/16</th>
<th>02/12/16</th>
<th>03/04/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500 Index</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroStoxx 50 Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KBW Bank Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroStoxx Bank Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg
(7) Japanese Yen and Equity Performance

- Indexed to 12/31/15
- USD-JPY (LHS) vs. TOPIX Index (RHS)
- BOJ Negative Rate Decision
- Stronger Dollar, Weaker Yen

Source: Bloomberg

(8) ECB Policy Action

Interest Rate Changes:
- 5 bps cuts to refinancing operation rate and marginal lending facility rate to 0.00% and 0.25%, respectively
- 10 bps cut to deposit facility rate to -0.40%

Asset Purchase Changes:
- €20 billion monthly expansion to €80 billion per month
- Investment grade euro-denominated bonds issued by non-banks will be eligible for purchases

TLTRO II will commence in June 2016
- Borrowing in these operations can be as low as the interest rate on the deposit facility

(9) Five-Year, Five-Year Inflation Swaps*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Euro Area</th>
<th>Japan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 Years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 Years</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 Years</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentiles of the distributions of rates over the last 10-years are shown for U.S. and euro area, and over the last 9-years for Japan (earliest data available).
Source: Barclays

(10) Share of Sovereign Bonds with Negative Yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Euro Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 Years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 Years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 Years</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 Years</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg, Staff Calculations

(11) Expected and Implied Fed Funds Rate Paths

- SEP Medians
- Survey Modal Path (Mean)*
- Survey Unconditional Path (Mean)*
- Market Implied Path: Dec. FOMC
- Market-Implied Path: Current

*Based on all responses from the March Surveys of Primary Dealers and Market Participants.
Source: Bloomberg, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Federal Reserve Board of Governors

(12) Two-Year Rate Differential and Dollar Index

- Indexed to 06/30/15
- Two-Year Rate Differential* (LHS)
- Bloomberg Dollar Index (RHS)

*Computed as U.S. two-year yield less weighted average of two-year yields of countries with weights comparable to Bloomberg dollar index.
Source: Bloomberg, Staff calculations
(13) Money Market Rates*

- FR 2420 EFFR
- GCF
- Tri-Party Ex. GCF and RRP**
- 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

*Light dashed vertical lines indicate month-ends, dark dashed line indicates quarter-end.
**Excludes intra-bank transactions.

(14) Overnight Treasury Repo Volumes*

- Fed RRP**
- Private Repo***

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

*Series exclude month-end dates.
**Overnight RRP.
***Includes total triparty repo activity ex. Fed RRP.

(15) Primary Dealer Net Treasury Position

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Haver

(16) Cumulative Change in Bill Supply*

*Shown as cumulative change since the start of 2015.
Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Treasury Department

(17) Expected RRP Demand*

*Dots scaled by percent of respondents from the March Surveys of Primary Dealers and Market Participants.
Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

(18) Foreign RP Pool Volume

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York
**Class II FOMC – Restricted (FR)**

**Exhibit 4**

### (19) Recent Trends in SOMA Foreign Portfolio Income

SOMA holds around $20 billion euro- and yen-denominated investments.

Due to negative rates in euro area and Japan:
- Euro interest income expected to turn negative this month
- Yen income expected to decline to zero but not negative
- Total SOMA foreign income expected to be negative in April

Negative income could be noted in the following reports:
- Monthly ESF financial statements
- FRBNY Quarterly Report
- FRS and other RB annual reports and statements

### (20) Quarterly Realized and Projected Foreign Portfolio Income*

*Filled dots are realized, unfilled dots are projections.
Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

### (21) Projected and Realized SOMA Net Income: Annual Report*

*Figures for 2010-15 (shaded area) are realized returns. Projected figures are rounded. Rate path and reinvestment assumptions use the Dec.’15 Surveys of Primary Dealers and Market Participants.
Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

### (22) Receipts of Principal on SOMA Securities*

*Filled bars are realized, unfilled bars are projections.
**Based on all responses from the March Surveys of Primary Dealers and Market Participants.
Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

### (23) March Term RRP Announcement

Should the Committee decide to conduct term RRRPs and an aggregate cap on ON RRP is not re-introduced:
- The Desk plans to offer $250 billion in term RRRPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation Date</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Amount Offered ($ Billions)</th>
<th>Max. Rate (BPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar 28</td>
<td>Apr 04</td>
<td>7 Days</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>ON RRP + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 30</td>
<td>Apr 01</td>
<td>2 Days</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>ON RRP + 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (24) March Quarter-End Term RRP Considerations

In support of conducting term RRRPs:
- Provides continuity to market
- Supports FOMC guidance to reduce elevated ON RRP capacity
- Supports operational readiness

In support of not conducting term RRRPs:
- Current ON RRP facility should provide ample capacity
  - Term RRRPs will not add to interest rate control
  - Incremental interest cost of providing term RRP

Instruction to Desk to conduct term RRRPs would be added to implementation note, released concurrent with March statement.
Appendix: Summary of Operational Testing

Summary of Operational Tests in prior period:

- Domestic Authorization
  - February 23: Completed Treasury outright purchase for $226 million

- Foreign Authorization
  - February 9: Completed euro-denominated overnight repo for €1 million
  - February 9: Completed liquidity swap with Bank of Canada for $51 thousand

- TDF test operation
  - February 18: Conducted 7-day test with total take-up of $64 billion

Upcoming Operational Tests

- No tests under the Domestic Authorization
- Three tests scheduled under the Foreign Authorization
  - April 12: Euro-dominated overnight repo for approximately €1 million
  - April 19: Liquidity swap with the European Central Bank for approximately €51 thousand
  - April 21: Liquidity swap with the Swiss National Bank for approximately CHF 51 thousand
Appendix 2: Materials used by Mr. Wilcox
Material for the Briefing on
The U.S. Outlook

David W. Wilcox
March 15, 2016
Forecast Summary

1. Evolution of 2016:Q1 GDP Growth Nowcasts

![Graph showing GDP growth nowcasts]

Note: The shaded region is a 70 percent confidence interval around the Board staff factor model estimate.

2. Recession Probabilities at Various Horizons

![Graph showing recession probabilities]

Note: Shaded bar indicates a period of business recession as defined by the National Bureau of Economic Research.

3. Estimates of the Short-Run Real Natural Rate of Interest

![Graph showing real natural rate estimates]

4. Real GDP

![Graph showing real GDP growth]

Note: Confidence intervals for panels 4 through 7 based on FRB/US stochastic simulations.

5. Unemployment Rate

![Graph showing unemployment rate]

Percent, annual rate

-2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

3 months ahead 6 months ahead 12 months ahead Unconditional prob.

Note: The shaded region is a 70 percent confidence interval around the Board staff factor model estimate.
6. PCE Prices

Percent change, annual rate

Mar. TB
Jan. TB
70% confidence interval

2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

7. PCE Prices Excluding Food and Energy

Percent change, annual rate

Mar. TB
Jan. TB
70% confidence interval

2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

8. Inflation Revisions Since December: Total PCE

Source of Revision:
- Food
- Energy
- Core

Percentage points

2015 2016 2017 2018

9. Inflation Revisions Since December: Core PCE

Source of Revision:
- Resource utilization
- Energy price passthrough
- Import prices
- Underlying inflation
- Other

Percentage points

2015 2016 2017 2018

10. Longer-Term Inflation Expectations

Percent

Michigan, next five to ten years
Twelve-month moving average
SPF for PCE, next ten years


Feb.

Q1

Note: Median responses. Shaded area denotes 70 percent of the historical range since 1998.
Appendix 3: Materials used by Ms. Wilson
Material for the Briefing on
The International Outlook

Beth Anne Wilson
March 15, 2016
Exhibit 1

The International Outlook

1. Foreign GDP

Percent change, annual rate

- Dec. TB
- Jan. TB

Emerging market economies (EME)
Advanced foreign economies (AFE)

2. Chinese Exchange Rate

December 31, 2014 = 100
RMB/USD (inverted scale)

- Multilateral Index*
- RMB appreciation

3. Real Dollar Indexes

2013:Q1 = 100

- Dec. TB
- Jan. TB

Dollar appreciation

4. Brent Oil Prices

USD per barrel

- Dec. TB
- Jan. TB

Spot
Far Futures* * December 2018 contract.

5. Foreign Inflation

Percent change, annual rate

- Dec. TB
- Jan. TB

EME
AFE*

6. AFE Policy Rates

Percent

- Dec. TB
- Post-ECB meeting

United Kingdom
Canada

7. ECB Assets

% of GDP

- Dec. TB
- Post-ECB meeting

* Excludes effects of the April 2014 and April 2017 Japanese tax hikes.

Source: CFETS, FRB, and staff calculations.
8. Recession Probability Model

Monthly probit model, including:
- Index of macro indicators: IP, retail sales, new export orders, and GDP*
- Index of financial stress: excess bond premium**

World recession defined as countries comprising 2/3 of world GDP in recession

Model attributes current probability:
- 2/3 to macro factors
- 1/3 to financial factors

* Aruoba, Diebold, Scotti Index (JBES, 2009).
** Gilchrist and Zakrajsek Index (AER, 2012).

10. Foreign Recession Scenarios

Tightening financial conditions, falling confidence reduce foreign GDP

Effective Foreign Monetary Policy (FMP)
- Foreign central bank actions depress bond yields, support domestic demand. Foreign GDP growth falls below 1%.
- U.S. GDP growth down to 1.5%, real dollar up 4%.

Less Effective Foreign Monetary Policy
- Low pass-through of monetary policy to private yields and household demand, GDP boost comes from exchange rate.
- Foreign GDP growth falls below -1%.
- U.S. GDP growth below 1% and real dollar up 9%.

12. Real Broad Dollar

2015:Q1 = 100

13. U.S. GDP

4-quarter percent change

14. Federal Funds Rate

Percent
Appendix 4: Materials used by Mr. Tetlow
Material for Briefing on the
Summary of Economic Projections

Robert J. Tetlow
March 15, 2016
Exhibit 1. Medians, central tendencies, and ranges of economic projections, 2016–18 and over the longer run

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in real GDP</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer run</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unemployment rate</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer run</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCE inflation</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer run</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core PCE inflation</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer run</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data for the actual values of the variables are annual. The percent changes in real GDP and inflation are measured Q4/Q4. Projections for the unemployment rate are for the average civilian unemployment rate in the fourth quarter of the year indicated.
### Change in real GDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Longer run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>1.9 – 2.5</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.3</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.3</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>2.0 – 2.7</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.5</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.4</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memo: Tealbook</strong></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unemployment rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Longer run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>4.5 – 4.9</td>
<td>4.3 – 4.9</td>
<td>4.3 – 5.0</td>
<td>4.7 – 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>4.3 – 4.9</td>
<td>4.5 – 5.0</td>
<td>4.5 – 5.3</td>
<td>4.7 – 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memo: Tealbook</strong></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PCE inflation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Longer run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>1.0 – 1.6</td>
<td>1.6 – 2.0</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.2 – 2.1</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.0</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memo: Tealbook</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Core PCE inflation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>1.4 – 2.1</td>
<td>1.6 – 2.0</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.4 – 2.1</td>
<td>1.6 – 2.0</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memo: Tealbook</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>December projection</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The percent changes in real GDP and inflation are measured Q4/Q4. Projections for the unemployment rate are for the average civilian unemployment rate in the fourth quarter of the year indicated.*
### Exhibit 3. Overview of FOMC participants’ assessments of appropriate monetary policy

#### March projections of the appropriate pace of monetary policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Target federal funds rate or midpoint of target range at year-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### December projections of the appropriate pace of monetary policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Target federal funds rate or midpoint of target range at year-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** In the two panels above, each circle indicates the value (rounded to the nearest 1/8 percentage point) of an individual participant’s judgment of the midpoint of the appropriate target range for the federal funds rate or the appropriate target level for the federal funds rate at the end of the specified calendar year or over the longer run. The red diamonds for each year represent the median of the federal funds rate prescriptions that were derived by taking each participant’s projections for the unemployment gap, core PCE inflation and longer-run nominal federal funds rate for that year and inserting them into the non-inertial Taylor (1999) rule. The whiskers represent the central tendency of the prescriptions of the non-inertial Taylor (1999) rule using participants’ projections.
Exhibit 4. Uncertainty and risks in economic projections
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- March projections
- December projections

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Risks to GDP growth

- March projections
- December projections

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Uncertainty about the unemployment rate

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Risks to the unemployment rate

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Uncertainty about PCE inflation

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Risks to PCE inflation

Lower  Broader  Similar  Higher

Number of participants

- 2
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18

Uncertainty about core PCE inflation
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Exhibit 5. History of assessments of uncertainty in economic projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEP meeting date</th>
<th>Diffusion index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change in real GDP**

**Unemployment rate**

**PCE inflation**

**Core PCE inflation**

**Note:** The diffusion index for uncertainty about a variable equals the fraction of participants who reported that uncertainty about that variable is higher than normal minus the fraction who reported that uncertainty is lower than normal.
Exhibit 6. History of assessments of risk in economic projections

### Diffusion index

#### Change in real GDP

- 2012
- 2013
- 2014
- 2015
- 2016

#### Unemployment rate

#### PCE inflation

#### Core PCE inflation

---

**Note:** The diffusion index for assessment of risks about a variable equals the fraction of participants who reported that risks are weighted to the upside minus the fraction who reported that risks are weighted to the downside.
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**Exhibit 1: Monetary Policy Alternatives**

### Medium Term Outlook:

**2017 Q4/Q4 Survey Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dec./Jan.</th>
<th>March</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real GDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Survey</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Chip</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Survey (PCE)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Chip (CPI)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The inflation measures are headline PCE and headline CPI inflation, respectively. The Primary Dealer Survey was taken before Dec 8, 2015; the Blue Chip survey was taken January 4–5, 2016. Source: FRBNY Primary Dealer Survey and Blue Chip Economic Indicators Survey.

### Distribution of Federal Funds Rate: End-of-2017

* Based on the median dealer estimate of 25% probability of the funds rate returning to the ZLB. Source: FRBNY Primary Dealer Survey.

### Intraday Crude Oil and S&P 500 Futures

4:00 PM on January 26, 2016 = 100


### Risk-Neutral Probability of Average Inflation Over Next Ten Years Falling Below 1%

Note: Implied by inflation caps and floors. Source: BGC Partners and Staff calculations.

---

*Fed models reflect the average composition from term structure models of the Board, FRBNY, and FRB Chicago.*
JANUARY 2016 FOMC STATEMENT

1. Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in December suggests that labor market conditions improved further even as economic growth slowed late last year. Household spending and business fixed investment have been increasing at moderate rates in recent months, and the housing sector has improved further; however, net exports have been soft and inventory investment slowed. A range of recent labor market indicators, including strong job gains, points to some additional decline in underutilization of labor resources. Inflation has continued to run below the Committee’s 2 percent longer-run objective, partly reflecting declines in energy prices and in prices of non-energy imports. Market-based measures of inflation compensation declined further; survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations are little changed, on balance, in recent months.

2. Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The Committee currently expects that, with gradual adjustments in the stance of monetary policy, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace and labor market indicators will continue to strengthen. Inflation is expected to remain low in the near term, in part because of the further declines in energy prices, but to rise to 2 percent over the medium term as the transitory effects of declines in energy and import prices dissipate and the labor market strengthens further. The Committee is closely monitoring global economic and financial developments and is assessing their implications for the labor market and inflation, and for the balance of risks to the outlook.

3. Given the economic outlook, the Committee decided to maintain the target range for the federal funds rate at ¼ to ½ percent. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting further improvement in labor market conditions and a return to 2 percent inflation.

4. In determining the timing and size of future adjustments to the target range for the federal funds rate, the Committee will assess realized and expected economic conditions relative to its objectives of maximum employment and 2 percent inflation. This assessment will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial and international developments. In light of the current shortfall of inflation from 2 percent, the Committee will carefully monitor actual and expected progress toward its inflation goal. The Committee expects that economic conditions will evolve in a manner that will warrant only gradual increases in the federal funds rate; the federal funds rate is likely to remain, for some time, below levels that are expected to prevail in the longer run. However, the actual path of the federal funds rate will depend on the economic outlook as informed by incoming data.

5. The Committee is maintaining its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency
mortgage-backed securities and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction, and it anticipates doing so until normalization of the level of the federal funds rate is well under way. This policy, by keeping the Committee’s holdings of longer-term securities at sizable levels, should help maintain accommodative financial conditions.
MARCH 2016 ALTERNATIVE A

1. Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in December January suggests that labor market conditions improved further even as economic growth slowed late last year. Economic activity has been expanding at a moderate pace. Household spending and business fixed investment have been increasing at a solid moderate rate in recent months, and the housing sector has improved further; however, business fixed investment and net exports have been soft and inventory investment slowed. A range of recent labor market indicators, including strong job gains, points to some additional decline in underutilization of labor resources. Strengthening of the labor market. Inflation has picked up in recent months; however, it continued to run below the Committee’s 2 percent longer-run objective, partly reflecting declines in energy prices and in prices of non-energy imports. Market-based measures of inflation compensation declined further and some survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations are little changed, on balance, in recent months declined further.

2. Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The Committee currently expects that, with gradual adjustments in the stance of appropriately accommodative monetary policy, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace and labor market indicators will continue to strengthen. However, global economic and financial developments in recent months pose downside risks to the outlook for economic activity and the labor market. Inflation is expected to remain low in the near term, in part because of the further earlier declines in energy prices, but to rise to 2 percent over the medium term as the transitory effects of declines in energy and import prices dissipate and the labor market strengthens further. The Committee is closely monitoring global economic and financial developments and is assessing their implications for the labor market and inflation, and for the balance of risks to the outlook. In light of continued low readings from measures of longer-term inflation compensation and expectations, the Committee judges that the risks that inflation will fail to rise to 2 percent over the medium term have increased.

3. Given the economic outlook and associated risks, the Committee decided to maintain the target range for the federal funds rate at ¼ to ½ percent. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting further improvement in labor market conditions and a return to 2 percent inflation. The Committee anticipates that it will not increase this target range until inflation moves closer to 2 percent on a sustained basis and the risks to the economic outlook are more closely balanced.

4. In determining the timing and size of future adjustments to the target range for the federal funds rate, the Committee will assess will depend on the Committee’s assessment of realized and expected economic conditions relative to its objectives of maximum
employment and 2 percent inflation. This assessment will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial and international developments. In light of the current shortfall of inflation from 2 percent, the Committee will carefully monitor actual and expected progress toward its inflation goal. The Committee expects that economic conditions will evolve in a manner that will warrant only gradual increases in the federal funds rate; the federal funds rate is likely to remain, for some time, below levels that are expected to prevail in the longer run. However, the actual path of the federal funds rate will depend on the economic outlook as informed by incoming data.

5. The Committee is maintaining its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction, and it anticipates doing so until normalization of the level of the federal funds rate is well under way. This policy, by keeping the Committee’s holdings of longer-term securities at sizable levels, should help maintain accommodative financial conditions.
MARCH 2016 ALTERNATIVE B

1. Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in December suggests that labor market conditions improved further even as economic growth slowed late last year. Economic activity has been expanding at a moderate pace despite the global economic and financial developments of recent months. Household spending and business fixed investment have been increasing at moderate rates in recent months; a solid moderate rate, and the housing sector has improved further; however, business fixed investment and net exports have been soft and inventory investment slowed. A range of recent labor market indicators, including strong job gains, points to some additional decline in underutilization of labor resources strengthening of the labor market. Inflation has picked up in recent months; however, it continued to run below the Committee’s 2 percent longer-run objective, partly reflecting declines in energy prices and in prices of non-energy imports. Market-based measures of inflation compensation declined further remain low; survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations are little changed, on balance, in recent months.

2. Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The Committee currently expects that, with gradual adjustments in the stance of monetary policy, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace and labor market indicators will continue to strengthen. However, global economic and financial developments continue to pose risks. Inflation is expected to remain low in the near term, in part because of the further earlier declines in energy prices, but to rise to 2 percent over the medium term as the transitory effects of declines in energy and import prices dissipate and the labor market strengthens further. The Committee is closely monitoring global economic and financial developments and is assessing their implications for the labor market and inflation, and for the balance of risks to the outlook. The Committee continues to monitor inflation developments closely.

3. Given the economic outlook Against this backdrop, the Committee decided to maintain the target range for the federal funds rate at ¼ to ½ percent. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting further improvement in labor market conditions and a return to 2 percent inflation.

4. In determining the timing and size of future adjustments to the target range for the federal funds rate, the Committee will assess realized and expected economic conditions relative to its objectives of maximum employment and 2 percent inflation. This assessment will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial and international developments. In light of the current shortfall of inflation from 2 percent, the Committee will carefully monitor actual and expected progress toward its inflation goal. The Committee expects that economic conditions will evolve in a manner that will warrant only gradual increases in the federal funds rate; the federal funds rate is likely to remain, for some time,
below levels that are expected to prevail in the longer run. However, the actual path of the federal funds rate will depend on the economic outlook as informed by incoming data.

5. The Committee is maintaining its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction, and it anticipates doing so until normalization of the level of the federal funds rate is well under way. This policy, by keeping the Committee’s holdings of longer-term securities at sizable levels, should help maintain accommodative financial conditions.
1. Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in December suggests that labor market conditions improved further even as economic growth slowed late last year. Economic activity has been expanding at a moderate pace despite the global economic and financial developments of recent months. Household spending and business fixed investment have been increasing at moderate rates in recent months, a solid moderate rate, and the housing sector has improved further; however, business fixed investment and net exports have been soft and inventory investment slowed. A range of recent labor market indicators, including strong job gains, points to some additional decline in underutilization of labor resources strengthening of the labor market. Inflation has continued to run below the Committee’s 2 percent longer-run objective, partly reflecting earlier declines in energy prices and in prices of non-energy imports, but it has risen in recent months. Market-based measures of inflation compensation declined further, and survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations are little changed, on balance, in recent months.

2. Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The Committee currently expects that, with gradual adjustments in the stance of monetary policy, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace and labor market indicators will continue to strengthen. Overall, taking into account domestic and international developments, the Committee sees the risks to the outlook for both economic activity and the labor market as balanced. Inflation is expected to remain low in the near term, in part because of the further declines in energy prices, but to rise to 2 percent over the medium term as the transitory effects of declines in energy and import prices dissipate and the labor market strengthens further. The Committee is closely monitoring global economic and financial developments and is assessing their implications for the labor market and inflation, and for the balance of risks to the outlook continues to monitor inflation developments closely.

3. Given the economic outlook Against this backdrop, the Committee decided to maintain increase the target range for the federal funds rate at ¼ to ½ to ¾ percent. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting further improvement in labor market conditions and a return to 2 percent inflation.

4. In determining the timing and size of future adjustments to the target range for the federal funds rate, the Committee will assess realized and expected economic conditions relative to its objectives of maximum employment and 2 percent inflation. This assessment will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial and international developments. In light of the current shortfall of inflation from 2 percent, the Committee will carefully monitor actual and expected progress toward its inflation goal. The Committee expects that economic conditions will evolve in a manner that will warrant only gradual increases
in the federal funds rate; the federal funds rate is likely to remain, for some time, below levels that are expected to prevail in the longer run. However, the actual path of the federal funds rate will depend on the economic outlook as informed by incoming data.

5. The Committee is maintaining its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction, and it anticipates doing so until normalization of the level of the federal funds rate is well under way. This policy, by keeping the Committee’s holdings of longer-term securities at sizable levels, should help maintain accommodative financial conditions.
Implementation Note for March 2016 Alternative A and Alternative B

Release Date: January 27, March 16, 2016

Decisions Regarding Monetary Policy Implementation

The Federal Reserve has made the following decisions to implement the monetary policy stance announced by the Federal Open Market Committee in its statement on January 27, March 16, 2016:

- The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System left unchanged the interest rate paid on required and excess reserve balances at 0.50 percent.

- As part of its policy decision, the Federal Open Market Committee voted to authorize and direct the Open Market Desk at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, until instructed otherwise, to execute transactions in the System Open Market Account in accordance with the following domestic policy directive:

  “Effective January 28, March 17, 2016, the Federal Open Market Committee directs the Desk to undertake open market operations as necessary to maintain the federal funds rate in a target range of 1/4 to 1/2 percent, including overnight reverse repurchase operations (and reverse repurchase operations with maturities of more than one day when necessary to accommodate weekend, holiday, or similar trading conventions) at an offering rate of 0.25 percent, in amounts limited only by the value of Treasury securities held outright in the System Open Market Account that are available for such operations and by a per-counterparty limit of $30 billion per day.

  The Committee directs the Desk to continue rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction and to continue reinvesting principal payments on all agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities. The Committee also directs the Desk to engage in dollar roll and coupon swap transactions as necessary to facilitate settlement of the Federal Reserve’s agency mortgage-backed securities transactions.”

More information regarding open market operations may be found on the Federal Reserve Bank of New York’s website.

- The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System took no action to change the discount rate (the primary credit rate), which remains at 1.00 percent.

This information will be updated as appropriate to reflect decisions of the Federal Open Market Committee or the Board of Governors regarding details of the Federal Reserve’s operational tools and approach used to implement monetary policy.
Implementation Note for March 2016 Alternative C

Release Date: January 27, March 16, 2016

Decisions Regarding Monetary Policy Implementation

The Federal Reserve has made the following decisions to implement the monetary policy stance announced by the Federal Open Market Committee in its statement on January 27, March 16, 2016:

- The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System left unchanged the interest rate paid on required and excess reserve balances at 0.50 percent voted unanimously to raise the interest rate paid on required and excess reserve balances to 0.75 percent, effective March 17, 2016.

- As part of its policy decision, the Federal Open Market Committee voted to authorize and direct the Open Market Desk at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, until instructed otherwise, to execute transactions in the System Open Market Account in accordance with the following domestic policy directive:

  “Effective January 28, March 17, 2016, the Federal Open Market Committee directs the Desk to undertake open market operations as necessary to maintain the federal funds rate in a target range of 1/4 to 1/2 to 3/4 percent, including overnight reverse repurchase operations (and reverse repurchase operations with maturities of more than one day when necessary to accommodate weekend, holiday, or similar trading conventions) at an offering rate of 0.25 0.50 percent, in amounts limited only by the value of Treasury securities held outright in the System Open Market Account that are available for such operations and by a per-counterparty limit of $30 billion per day.

The Committee directs the Desk to continue rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction and to continue reinvesting principal payments on all agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities. The Committee also directs the Desk to engage in dollar roll and coupon swap transactions as necessary to facilitate settlement of the Federal Reserve's agency mortgage-backed securities transactions.”

More information regarding open market operations may be found on the Federal Reserve Bank of New York’s website.

- In a related action, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System took no action to change the discount rate (the primary credit rate), which remains at 1.00 voted unanimously to approve a 1/4 percentage point increase in the discount rate (the primary credit rate) to 1.25 percent, effective March 17, 2016. In taking this action, the Board approved requests submitted by the Boards of Directors of the Federal Reserve Banks of ….

This information will be updated as appropriate to reflect decisions of the Federal Open Market Committee or the Board of Governors regarding details of the Federal Reserve’s operational tools and approach used to implement monetary policy.
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### Recent Changes in Consumer Price Indexes

(Percent changes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monthly change</th>
<th>Feb./Feb. change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CPI</strong></td>
<td>$-0.1$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>March TB</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food</strong></td>
<td>$-0.2$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>March TB</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td>$-2.8$</td>
<td>$-2.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>March TB</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core CPI</strong></td>
<td>$0.2$</td>
<td>$0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>March TB</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** February 2016 CPI data released at 8:30 a.m. on March 16, 2016.