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Executive Summary

Section 1075 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which added section 920 to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (EFTA), requires the Federal Reserve Board to report annually to the Congress on the prevalence of use of general-use prepaid cards in federal, state, and local government-administered payment programs and on the interchange fees and cardholder fees charged with respect to the use of such cards.¹

The Board collected 2015 data from 19 bank issuers and five nonbank institutions on government programs that used prepaid cards as a method to disburse funds. Across reported programs, government offices disbursed $150 billion through prepaid cards in 2015, a 1 percent increase from 2014. This increase was driven by increases in federal programs, which were partially offset by decreases in state and local programs.

Bank issuers reported collecting more than $520 million in revenue across reported programs during 2015, with 63 percent from interchange fees, 31 percent from cardholder fees, and 5 percent from other sources.² Issuers collected 1.2 percent of the value of purchase transactions in interchange fees for these programs in 2015. Although the prepaid cards provided under government-administered programs usually offer cardholders one or more free automated teller machine (ATM) cash withdrawals per month, ATM withdrawal fees constitute the largest source of cardholder fee revenue that issuers collected in 2015. Account servicing fees and customer service fees constitute the next-largest sources of cardholder fee revenue, while overdraft fees continued to be the smallest source.

¹ A program is considered government-administered, regardless of whether a federal, state, or local government office operates the program or outsources some or all functions to third parties, so long as the program is operated on behalf of a government office. In addition, a program may be government-administered even if a federal, state, or local government office is not the source of funds for the program it administers. For example, child support programs are government-administered programs even though they are funded by individuals.

² Percentages do not sum to 100 due to rounding.
Federal, state, and local government offices use pre-paid cards to disburse funds at a lower cost than checks (or other paper-based payment instruments such as vouchers or coupons) and to provide an alternative to direct deposit for payment recipients, especially those recipients who do not have bank accounts. Government offices contract with financial institutions to issue pre-paid cards, disburse program funds, and provide customer service. Prepaid cards are a common disbursement mechanism in the government sector. Today, all states disburse Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits exclusively through electronic benefit transfer (EBT) cards, and every state offers a pre-paid card option for child support programs. In addition, nearly every state offers a pre-paid card option for unemployment insurance and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) programs. Maps 1–6 show prepaid card adoption across common state government programs.

A number of government offices now mandate that recipients receive payments electronically, through either a prepaid card or direct deposit. As of March 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department requires all-electronic disbursement of funds under federal benefit programs, such as Social Security and Veterans Affairs programs. In addition, all states are required to replace paper vouchers with an EBT card system for the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Supplemental Nutrition Program by October 1, 2020. As of June 2016, 15 state WIC agencies have completed the conversion to EBT cards, and most other states are either in the planning or implementation phase of the conversion process.

3 Occasionally, third-party program managers are involved in government prepaid card programs. Issuers often contract with program managers to provide services traditionally carried out by the issuer, for example, call center management and transaction processing. In certain cases, a program manager contracts directly with the government office and provides almost all functions that are traditionally carried out by an issuer.

4 Subsections 1075(b)–(d) of the Dodd-Frank Act amend benefits statutes such that EBT cards issued in connection with the relevant program are not subject to the interchange fee standards and exclusivity and routing restrictions in section 920 of the EFTA. The amended benefits statutes are the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966. Although EBT cards issued in connection with relevant programs are not subject to section 920 of the EFTA, the Board believes that it is appropriate to include in this report information about such EBT cards because they represent a significant portion of pre-paid cards issued pursuant to government-administered programs.

5 Map data are based on publicly available information from state agency websites and the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Food and Nutrition Service.

6 See 31 CFR 208.3–4. The U.S. Treasury’s rule provides for certain limited waivers from the all-electronic requirement. Waivers may be granted to recipients born on or before May 1, 1921, who were receiving payments by check on March 1, 2013. Waivers may also be granted in instances when the requirement would be overly burdensome for the recipient, for example, in cases where the recipient suffers from a mental impairment or resides in a remote geographic location lacking the infrastructure to support electronic financial transactions.


Maps 1–6. States offering prepaid card funding options

Map 1: SNAP (50 states + DC)  
Map 2: Child support (50 states + DC)  
Map 3: TANF (47 states + DC)  
Map 4: Unemployment insurance (44 states + DC)  
Map 5: WIC (15 states)  
Map 6: Tax refund (6 states + DC)
Survey Data and Results

The Board distributed a survey to 19 issuers to collect prevalence-of-use and fee data on federal, state, and local government-administered payment programs that used prepaid cards as a method to disburse funds in calendar year 2015. All issuers responded, reporting data for 2,251 programs. The Board also collected a limited amount of prevalence-of-use data from the U.S. Treasury, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and three nonbank payment providers that process EBT transactions. The data collected represent programs from all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

As a result of several methodological changes to the data collection process beginning with the data collection for calendar year 2014, many of the figures in this report are not directly comparable with corresponding figures in previous reports. Where possible, the Board has provided a limited number of year-over-year comparisons in this report. As the Board collects additional years of data under the revised data collection process, the Board intends to provide more information on general trends in these reports regarding the government-administered prepaid card market.

Prevalence of Use

Calendar Year 2015: Prepaid Card Disbursements

For calendar year 2015, government agencies disbursed $150 billion through prepaid cards across reported programs. These prepaid disbursements represent approximately 2.5 percent of total government expenditures in 2015.

Total funds disbursed through prepaid cards varied widely by program type, as shown in figure 1. State agencies administering SNAP disbursed $69 billion to EBT cards in 2015, accounting for just under half of prepaid funding across all reported programs. The Social Security Administration distributed approximately $33 billion through prepaid cards, representing about one-fifth of all reported funds disbursed through prepaid cards. Unemployment insurance, child support, and cash assistance programs largely...
accounted for the remaining one-third. In contrast, WIC, Veterans Affairs, tax refund, and payroll programs each accounted for less than 1 percent of total prepaid disbursements.

Across the largest program types that provide a prepaid card disbursement option, state and local programs tend to have higher rates of prepaid card use than federal programs. Funds disbursed under state SNAP programs, for example, are distributed exclusively through prepaid cards. Similarly, state agencies disbursed a relatively high proportion (65.9 percent) of unemployment insurance payments through prepaid cards. In contrast, prepaid card disbursements represent only 3.8 percent of the $879 billion in benefit payments made under federal Social Security programs.

### Year-over-Year Comparisons

Across reported programs, disbursements to prepaid cards increased 1 percent between 2014 and 2015, from $148 billion to $150 billion. As shown in table 1, this increase is driven by a 12 percent rise in prepaid disbursements across reported federal programs. The increase in federal card funding is largely due to a $3 billion increase in prepaid card disbursements under Social Security programs. As table 1 shows, the increase in card funding across federal programs was partially offset by a decrease across state and local programs. This reduction in state and local card funding is, in part, attributable to a $2 billion drop in unemployment insurance benefits disbursed by prepaid card from 2014 to 2015, consistent with continued declines in the number of individuals collecting unemployment insurance benefits. Indeed, according to data obtained from the U.S. Department of Labor, the number of unemployment insurance beneficiaries dropped 11 percent from 2014 to 2015. The decline in state and local card funding is also partially attributable to a 1 percent decrease in SNAP disbursements from 2014 to 2015.

---

16 Cash assistance includes TANF, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, child care, refugee assistance, and general assistance programs.


18 Information about total payments made under Social Security programs was provided by the U.S. Treasury.

19 “Unemployment Insurance Data,” DOL, last modified June 10, 2016, www.oui.doleta.gov/unemploy/DataDashboard.asp. For this comparison, the number of beneficiaries includes beneficiaries of regular state unemployment compensation as well as beneficiaries of extended benefit programs.

### Table 1. Prepaid card funding by jurisdiction, 2014–15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal programs</td>
<td>$ 31</td>
<td>$ 35</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local programs</td>
<td>$117</td>
<td>$115</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fees Collected by Issuers

Issuers receive revenue from two main sources: interchange fees and cardholder fees. Less commonly, issuers also receive incentive payments from payment card networks and fees from government offices for providing prepaid services. In 2015, issuers reported collecting $331 million in interchange fees, $165 million in cardholder fees, $20 million in payment card network incentive fees, and $9 million in fees assessed to government offices. Figure 2 illustrates the various sources of revenue collected by issuers in 2015. Revenue from these sources increased 7 percent across reported programs from 2014 to 2015. This growth in revenue—which is driven by a $21 million increase in interchange fee revenue and a $6 million increase in cardholder fee revenue—is consistent with the increase in card funding across reported programs.

Interchange Fees

As shown in table 2, the interchange fees from prepaid card purchase transactions have been relatively stable for the last five years. Across all programs from 2014 to 2015, the average interchange fee per purchase transaction increased by 1 cent, and the average purchase transaction value increased by 34 cents. The average interchange fee as a percent of purchase

---

20 12 CFR 235.2(j). An interchange fee is any fee established, charged, or received by a payment card network and paid by a merchant or a merchant acquirer for the purpose of compensating an issuer for its involvement in an electronic debit transaction. Merchant acquirers typically pass the cost of these fees on to merchants. Interchange fees, in effect, are a cost to merchants and a source of revenue to issuers.

21 Incentive payments are payments received by an issuer from a payment card network with respect to debit card transactions or debit-card-related activity. Issuer incentives may be based on reaching specified volume levels, promoting the network’s brand through marketing activities, converting the issuer’s debit card base to a different signature network, or undertaking other activities. Incentive payments do not include payments from a network to an issuer for traditional banking services the issuer provides to the network (for example, transaction account services to the network). Issuers may also receive revenue from interest on program funds held in pooled bank accounts before the beneficiaries use them; however, the Board does not gather data on this source of revenue.

22 Consistent with previous reports, the Board calculated all revenue figures in this report using data collected through the issuer survey on the population of network-branded government-administered, general-use prepaid cards (excluding EBT cards). For EBT card programs, states generally pay an issuer or processor based on the number of beneficiaries enrolled in a program per month, in part because there are no interchange fees associated with these card programs. Certain cardholder fees, such as a fee for card replacement, may also apply to EBT programs.

23 An increase in card funding generally leads to higher transaction volume, which, in turn, generates additional interchange and cardholder fee revenue for issuers.
transaction value remained unchanged at 1.2 percent from 2014 to 2015.

The average interchange fee as a percentage of purchase transaction value remained at 1.1 percent for federal programs in 2015. It increased slightly for state and local programs, from 1.2 percent in 2014 to 1.3 percent in 2015. Like other year-over-year comparisons in this report, the calculations of average purchase transaction value and the average interchange fee per purchase transaction are sensitive to changes in the pool of reported programs, which differs from year to year.24

Transactions made using government prepaid cards are generally exempt from the interchange fee standards of Regulation II. The average interchange fee as a percent of purchase transaction value for government prepaid card transactions is similar to that for all debit card transactions exempt from the interchange fee caps of Regulation II.25

Cardholder Fees

The data provided by issuers show that cardholder fee revenue as a percentage of program funds disbursed by prepaid card was unchanged: 0.23 percent between 2014 and 2015. In addition to negotiating cardholder fee rates, government offices often restrict the number and type of cardholder fees that an issuer can charge. Most government offices require issuers to offer cardholders a certain number of free ATM or over-the-counter (OTC) cash withdrawals and prohibit issuers from charging cardholders certain types of fees, such as monthly maintenance fees.26 Occasionally, issuers provide cardholders with more-favorable terms than those mandated by government offices, such as unlimited in-network ATM and OTC withdrawals.

Figure 3 illustrates the total revenue issuers collected and the average charge per occurrence by cardholder fee type in 2015.27 In 2015, issuers collected $88.7 million on ATM cash withdrawals, accounting for 54 percent of revenue issuers received from cardholder fees. The average fee charged by an issuer for an ATM cash withdrawal was $1.01 per transaction. Account servicing fees represent roughly 26 percent of total cardholder fee revenue, while customer service inquiry, penalty, purchase transaction, and OTC fees largely account for the remaining 20 percent of total cardholder fee revenue. Account servicing fees are the highest type of cardholder fee, at an average of $2.13 per occurrence. Overdraft fees continued to be the smallest source of cardholder fee revenue, accounting for less than 0.1 percent of total cardholder fee revenue in 2015.28

---

24 The pool of reported programs changes over time because government agencies may add or eliminate programs and may alter disbursement methods for existing programs.
27 The Board excluded transactions for which no fee was assessed from the average fee calculations.
28 As of July 21, 2012, prepaid cards that may incur overdraft fees are ineligible for the exemption from the interchange fee stan-
Although figures 2 and 3 illustrate the distribution of cardholder fee revenue on an aggregate level, this distribution is not representative of any particular program. Government offices and issuers negotiate a cardholder fee schedule for each program. Furthermore, the proportion of transactions resulting in the assessment of a cardholder fee depends heavily on the type of program. As a result, there is significant heterogeneity in cardholder fees across programs that use prepaid cards to disburse funds.

**Fees Paid by Issuers**

Issuers pay fees to third parties when a cardholder withdraws cash from an out-of-network ATM or bank. In 2015, issuers reported paying approximately $59 million in fees to third parties for ATM withdrawals and $26 million in fees to third parties for OTC cash withdrawals.

---

29 Issuers pay fees to ATM operators for each ATM cash withdrawal to compensate the operator for the costs of deploying and maintaining the ATMs and of providing cash services to the issuers’ cardholders. Issuers pay fees to banks for each OTC cash withdrawal to compensate the bank for the costs of staffing the teller window and providing cash services to the issuers’ cardholders. In addition to ATM and OTC fees, issuers pay fees to payment card networks (such as switch, license, and connectivity fees). The Board does not survey issuers of government-administered prepaid cards regarding network fees. Across all debit cards, issuers paid networks approximately 4.2 cents per transaction in 2015. For more information, see “Regulation II (Debit Card Interchange Fees and Routing), Reports and Data Collections,” Board, www.federalreserve.gov/paymentsystems/regii-data-collections.htm.

30 On average, issuers paid approximately 59 cents per ATM cash withdrawal and $2.45 per OTC cash withdrawal in 2015. Because of limited data, the Board approximated the number of ATM and OTC withdrawals resulting in a fee. Therefore, the calculations of average ATM and OTC fees paid by issuers are estimates. New information provided by survey respondents this year indicates that the value of fees paid by issuers to third parties in calendar year 2014 was underestimated in last year’s report. The 2014 values in that report, therefore, should be considered lower-bound approximations.