

**Finance and Economics Discussion Series
Divisions of Research & Statistics and Monetary Affairs
Federal Reserve Board, Washington, D.C.**

**On the distribution of a discrete sample path of a square-root
diffusion**

Michael B. Gordy

2012-12

NOTE: Staff working papers in the Finance and Economics Discussion Series (FEDS) are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comment. The analysis and conclusions set forth are those of the authors and do not indicate concurrence by other members of the research staff or the Board of Governors. References in publications to the Finance and Economics Discussion Series (other than acknowledgement) should be cleared with the author(s) to protect the tentative character of these papers.

On the distribution of a discrete sample path of a square-root diffusion*

Michael B. Gordy
Federal Reserve Board

March 1, 2012

Abstract

We derive the multivariate moment generating function for the stationary distribution of a discrete sample path of n observations of a square-root diffusion (CIR) process, $X(t)$. The form of the mgf establishes that the stationary joint distribution of $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ for any fixed vector of observation times t_1, \dots, t_n is a Krishnamoorthy-Parthasarathy multivariate gamma distribution. As a corollary, we obtain the mgf for the increment $X(t + \delta) - X(t)$, and show that the increment is equivalent in distribution to a scaled difference of two independent draws from a gamma distribution. Simple closed-form solutions for the moments of the increments are given.

Keywords: square-root diffusion; CIR process; multivariate gamma distribution; difference of gamma variates; Krishnamoorthy-Parthasarathy distribution; Kibble-Moran distribution; Bell polynomials.

Let X_t follow the Feller (1951) square-root diffusion process with stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{X_t}dW_t \quad (1)$$

where W_t is a Brownian motion. We assume that $\kappa > 0$, $\theta > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. This process is widely used in economics and finance, especially in modeling interest rates and corporate credit risk, where it is usually known as the CIR process after Cox, Ingersoll, and Ross (1985). In this paper, we derive the moment generating function for the stationary multivariate distribution of a discrete sample path of this process.

Let $\mathbf{X} \equiv (X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ be a discrete sample path for a given vector of ordered observation times $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. Let \mathbf{u} denote the vector of auxiliary variables u_1, \dots, u_n and let $\text{diag}(\mathbf{u})$ be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries u_1, \dots, u_n . Let R be the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with elements $R[i, j] = \exp(-(\kappa/2)|t_i - t_j|)$. \mathcal{I}_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Define the scale parameter $\nu = \sigma^2/(2\kappa)$. The central result of this paper is

Theorem 1. *The mgf of $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ under stationarity is*

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) = \text{E}[\exp(\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X} \rangle)] = \det(\mathcal{I}_n - \nu R \text{diag}(\mathbf{u}))^{-\theta/\nu}$$

*I thank Yacine Aït-Sahalia, Luca Benzoni, Jens Christensen, Yang-Ho Park, Steven Shreve, Richard Sowers and David Zelinsky for helpful discussion. Bobak Moallemi provided excellent research assistance. The opinions expressed here are my own, and do not reflect the views of the Board of Governors or its staff. Email: (michael.gordy@frb.gov).

The proof is set out in Section 1.

The distribution of \mathbf{X} is a special case of the broader class of Krishnamoorthy and Parthasarathy (1951) multivariate gamma distributions with mgf of the form $\det(\mathcal{I}_n - R \text{diag}(\mathbf{u}))^{-\alpha}$ for nonsingular R and $\alpha > 0$ (see also Kotz et al., 2000, §48.3.3). Series solutions for the density and cumulative distribution functions are given by Royen (1994) for the case in which the inverse of R is tridiagonal (see also Kotz et al., 2000, §48.3.6), which applies for our matrix R . These series solutions are computationally practical only for low dimension n .

The stationary square-root process has exponential decay in the autocorrelation function (Cont and Tankov, 2004, §15.1.2), so for pairs (i, j) in $\{1, \dots, n\}^2$, the correlation $\text{corr}(X(t_i), X(t_j))$ is given by

$$\rho_{i,j} = \exp(-\kappa|t_i - t_j|) = R[i, j]^2.$$

From this relationship, the matrix R is known as the accompanying correlation matrix.

In the bivariate case, the mgf has a simple form

Corollary 1. *The mgf of $(X(t), X(t + \delta))$ under stationarity is*

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(u_1, u_2) = \text{E}[\exp(u_1 X(t) + u_2 X(t + \delta))] = ((1 - \nu u_1)(1 - \nu u_2) - \rho u_1 u_2)^{-\theta/\nu}$$

where $\rho = \exp(-\kappa\delta)$.

This is the Kibble-Moran bivariate gamma distribution (see Kotz et al., 2000, §48.2.3). In Section 2, we use this corollary to study the stationary distribution of the increment $X(t + \delta) - X(t)$ for fixed time-step δ . We show that this increment is equivalent in distribution to a scaled difference between two independent gamma variates, and provide a simple closed-form solution for the moments of this distribution. Applications are discussed in the concluding section.

1 Moment generating function

It is well known that the transition distribution for $X(t + \delta)$ given $X(t)$ is noncentral chi-squared.¹ Letting M_c denote the conditional mgf for $X(t + \delta)$ given $X(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_c(u; \delta, x) &= \text{E}[\exp(uX(t + \delta)) | X(t) = x] \\ &= \left(1 - \nu \left(1 - e^{-\kappa\delta}\right) u\right)^{-\theta/\nu} \exp\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa\delta} u}{1 - \nu(1 - e^{-\kappa\delta})} x\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As the square-root diffusion is a Markov process, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{E}[\exp(u_n X(t_n)) | X(t_{n-1}), X(t_{n-2}), \dots, X(t_1)] \\ = \text{E}[\exp(u_n X(t_n)) | X(t_{n-1})] = M_c(u_n; t_n - t_{n-1}, X(t_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

¹See Alfonsi (2010) for a summary of basic properties of the square-root diffusion.

To exploit the conditional mgf, we write $M_{\mathbf{X}}$ in nested form:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(u_1 X(t_1) + \dots + u_{n-1} X(t_{n-1})) M_c(u_n; t_n - t_{n-1}, X(t_{n-1}))] \\
&= (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{n-1,n})u_n)^{-\theta/\nu}. \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(u_1 X(t_1) + \dots + u_{n-2} X(t_{n-2})) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(u_{n-1} X(t_{n-1})) \exp\left(\frac{\rho_{n-1,n} u_n}{1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{n-1,n})u_n} X(t_{n-1})\right) \middle| X(t_{n-2})\right]\right] \\
&= (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{n-1,n})u_n)^{-\theta/\nu}. \\
&\mathbb{E}[\exp(u_1 X(t_1) + \dots + u_{n-2} X(t_{n-2})) M_c(\tilde{u}_{n-1}; t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}, X(t_{n-2}))] \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{u}_{n-1} = u_{n-1} + \frac{\rho_{n-1,n} u_n}{1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{n-1,n})u_n}$$

Repeating this process $n - 1$ times in total, we get

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) = \left[\prod_{k=2}^n (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{k-1,k})\tilde{u}_k) \right]^{-\theta/\nu} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\tilde{u}_1 X(t_1))]$$

where the modified auxiliary variables have the forward recursive relationship

$$\tilde{u}_k = u_k + \frac{\rho_{k,k+1}\tilde{u}_{k+1}}{1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{k,k+1})\tilde{u}_{k+1}} \quad (4)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and where we fix $\tilde{u}_{n+1} = 0$ (so $\tilde{u}_n = u_n$). The stationary distribution of $X(t_1)$ is gamma with shape parameter θ/ν and scale parameter ν , which has mgf

$$M_{\Gamma}(u) = (1 - \nu u)^{-\theta/\nu} \quad (5)$$

so we arrive at

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) = \left[(1 - \nu\tilde{u}_1) \prod_{k=2}^n (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{k-1,k})\tilde{u}_k) \right]^{-\theta/\nu} \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) is computationally convenient but analytically cumbersome. Let $Q(\mathbf{u})$ be the expression inside the brackets, so that $M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) = Q(\mathbf{u})^{-\theta/\nu}$. We now simplify $Q(\mathbf{u})$ by writing it as a finite series in powers of ν .

Let $\mathfrak{S}(n, k)$ be the set of subsequences of length k from the sequence $1, \dots, n$, so that if $s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)$, then

$$1 \leq s(1) < s(2) < \dots < s(k) \leq n.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, n$, define the functions

$$f_k(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i), s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k u_{s(i)} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In Appendix A, we prove

Proposition 1.

$$Q(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-\nu)^k f_k(\mathbf{u})$$

To prove Theorem 1, we need to prove that $\det(\mathcal{I}_n - \nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}))$ has the same expansion as in Proposition 1. Recall that the characteristic polynomial of a square $n \times n$ matrix A is defined as $\det(\lambda \mathcal{I}_n - A)$. For a subsequence $s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)$, let A_s denote the k^{th} order diagonal minor of A with elements $A_s[i, j] = A[s(i), s(j)]$ and let $\Omega_k(A)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ be defined as

$$\Omega_k(A) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \det(A_s).$$

For notional convenience, we define $\Omega_0(A) = 1 = f_0(\mathbf{u})$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A has the expansion (Gantmacher, 1959, §III.7)

$$\det(\lambda \mathcal{I}_n - A) = \lambda^n - \Omega_1(A) \lambda^{n-1} + \Omega_2(A) \lambda^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n \Omega_n(A) \quad (8)$$

Substituting $\lambda = 1$ and $A = \nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})$ in (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{I}_n - \nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) &= 1 - \Omega_1(\nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) + \Omega_2(\nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) - \dots + (-1)^n \Omega_n(\nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \Omega_k(\nu R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-\nu)^k \Omega_k(R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})$ is a diagonal matrix, the diagonal minor $(R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}))_s$ is equal to the product $R_s \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}_s)$. Thus, we have

$$\Omega_k(R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \det((R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}))_s) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \det(R_s) \det(\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u}_s)) \quad (10)$$

For the case of $k = 1$, $\det(R_s) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, 1)$, so

$$\Omega_1(R \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{u})) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = f_1(\mathbf{u}).$$

For the case of $2 \leq k \leq n$, we make use of this lemma:²

Lemma 1. *Let A be an $m \times m$ matrix with elements $A[i, j] = \exp(-(c/2)|t_i - t_j|)$ for some constant $c \geq 0$ and vector of nonnegative t_1, \dots, t_m . Then $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (1 - \exp(-c|t_{i+1} - t_i|))$.*

Proof. Let B be an $m \times m$ matrix with diagonal elements $B[i, i] = 1/A[i, i+1]$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $B[m, m] = 1$, $B[i, i+1] = -1$ on each element of the superdiagonal, and zero elsewhere. It is easily verified that the matrix $C = BA$ is lower triangular with diagonal entries

$$C[i, i] = 1/A[i, i+1] - A[i, i+1] = (1/A[i, i+1]) \cdot (1 - A[i, i+1]^2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $C[m, m] = 1$. Thus,

$$\det(A) = \frac{\det(C)}{\det(B)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m C[i, i]}{\prod_{i=1}^m B[i, i]} = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (1 - A[i, i+1]^2)$$

□

²I thank David Zelinsky for suggesting the proof of this lemma.

The diagonal minor R_s takes on the same form as R , i.e., there is a vector $t' = (t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(k)})$ such that R_s has elements $R_s[i, j] = \exp(-(\kappa/2)|t'_i - t'_j|)$. Applying Lemma 1, for any $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)$ we have

$$\det(R_s) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \exp(-\kappa(t_{s(i+1)} - t_{s(i)}))) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i), s(i+1)})$$

Since $\det(\text{diag}(\mathbf{u}_s)) = \prod_{i=1}^k u_{s(i)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_k(R \text{diag}(\mathbf{u})) &= \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \det(R_s) \det(\text{diag}(\mathbf{u}_s)) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(n, k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i), s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k u_{s(i)} \right) = f_k(\mathbf{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We substitute equation (11) into equation (9) and arrive at the same expansion as in Proposition 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Moments of the increments

Under stationarity, $X_{t+\delta} - X_t \stackrel{d}{=} X_\delta - X_0$ for all t , so without loss of generality we examine the stationary distribution of $\Delta_\delta = X_\delta - X_0$. From Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Delta(u; \delta) &= M_{\mathbf{X}}(-u, u) = (1 - \nu^2(1 - \rho)u^2)^{-\theta/\nu} \\ &= \left((1 - \nu\sqrt{1 - \rho}u)(1 + \nu\sqrt{1 - \rho}u) \right)^{-\theta/\nu} = M_\Gamma(u\sqrt{1 - \rho}) \cdot M_\Gamma(-u\sqrt{1 - \rho}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\rho = \exp(-\kappa\delta)$ and M_Γ is the univariate mgf for $X(t)$. An immediate implication of (12) is that Δ_δ is equivalent in distribution to $(1 - \rho)^{1/2}$ times Δ_∞ . Furthermore, Δ_∞ is equivalent in distribution to the difference between two *independent* draws from the stationary distribution of $X(t)$. This gives a very simple method for sampling from the stationary distribution of Δ_δ .

Consider the general problem of the moments of the difference between two independent and identically distributed (iid) gamma variates. Let $Z_1, Z_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Ga(\alpha, \nu)$ for shape parameter $\alpha > 0$ and scale parameter $\nu > 0$, and define $Y = Z_1 - Z_2$. The n^{th} cumulant of Y is

$$\psi_n = (1 + (-1)^n) (n - 1)! \alpha \nu^n.$$

Central moments are obtained from the cumulants via the complete Bell polynomials, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^n] = B_n(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n).$$

For any sequence c_1, c_2, \dots , the Bell polynomials satisfy

$$B_n(\nu c_1, \nu^2 c_2, \dots, \nu^n c_n) = \nu^n B_n(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^n] = \nu^n B_n(0, 2\alpha 1!, 0, 2\alpha 3!, 0, 2\alpha 5!, \dots). \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, since the distribution is symmetric around zero, we know that the odd moments $\mathbb{E}[Y^{2n+1}]$ are zero.

In Appendix B, we prove a general identity on the complete Bell polynomials:

Lemma 2. Let k be a positive integer and let $\xi_{k,1}, \xi_{k,2}, \dots$ be the sequence of integers defined by

$$\xi_{k,j} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } j = 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^+$,

$$B_{kn}(\xi_{k,1}\alpha 0!, \xi_{k,2}\alpha 1!, \dots, \xi_{k,kn}\alpha (kn - 1)!) = \frac{(kn)! \Gamma(\alpha + n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. For any positive integer m not divisible by k ,

$$B_m(\xi_{k,1}\alpha 0!, \xi_{k,2}\alpha 1!, \dots, \xi_{k,m}\alpha (m - 1)!) = 0.$$

It follows immediately that the even central moments of Y are

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^{2n}] = \nu^{2n} B_{2n}(0, 2\alpha 1!, 0, 2\alpha 3!, 0, 2\alpha 5!, \dots) = \nu^{2n} \frac{(2n)! \Gamma(\alpha + n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (14)$$

and the odd central moments are zero. As kurtosis is often of particular interest, we note

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y^4]}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]^2} = 3(1 + 1/\alpha).$$

Application to the moments of Δ_δ is direct. We substitute $\alpha = \theta/\nu$ and get even moments

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_\delta^{2n}] = (1 - \exp(-\kappa\delta))^n \nu^{2n} \frac{(2n)! \Gamma(\theta/\nu + n)}{n! \Gamma(\theta/\nu)} \quad (15)$$

The kurtosis of Δ_δ is $3(1 + \nu/\theta)$, which is invariant with respect to the time increment δ .

3 Conclusion

Our main result is a simple closed-form expression for the moment generating function of the stationary multivariate distribution of a discrete sample path of a square-root diffusion process. We establish that the distribution is within the Krishnamoorthy-Parthasarathy class, and thereby draw a connection between a stochastic process and a multivariate distribution that each first appeared in the literature in 1951.

Our result has application to estimation of parameters of the continuous-time square-root process from a discrete sample. It gives a simple and computationally efficient way to generate moment conditions for the generalized method of moments estimator of Chan et al. (1992). The empirical characteristic function approach of Jiang and Knight (2002) can also be easily implemented. Indeed, Jiang and Knight consider the example of a square-root diffusion, but their solution to the characteristic function corresponds roughly to our intermediate equation (6), rather than to the simple form in our Theorem 1.

Three of our auxilliary results may have application elsewhere. First, Lemma 1 provides a simple solution to the determinant of the autocorrelation matrix for a discrete sample of any process with exponential decay in autocorrelation. This decay rate holds in a large class of stationary

Markov processes, including Gaussian and non-Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes as well as the square-root process (Cont and Tankov, 2004, §15.1.2, §15.3.1). Second, our Bell polynomial identity in Lemma 2 generalizes a known relationship between Bell polynomials and the Gamma function (i.e., for the case of $k = 1$ in our lemma). Finally, we provide a simple formula for the moments of the difference of two iid gamma variates. It complements existing results that allow the variates to differ in scale parameter (Johnson et al., 1994, §12.4.4), but which lead to more complicated expressions for the moments.

A Proof of Proposition 1

Let us define $\tilde{Q}_1 = 1 - \nu\tilde{u}_1$ and, for $2 \leq m \leq n$, recursively define

$$\tilde{Q}_m = (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{m-1,m})\tilde{u}_m)\tilde{Q}_{m-1}$$

Since $\tilde{u}_{n+1} = 0$, we have $\tilde{Q}_n = Q(\mathbf{u})$. We similarly generalize the f_k functions as

$$\tilde{f}_{m,k}(w_1, \dots, w_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m w_i & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k w_{s(i)} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that the set $\mathfrak{S}(m, k)$ can be expressed as the union of two disjoint subsets

$$\mathfrak{S}(m, k) = \mathfrak{S}(m-1, k) \cup \{(s, m) | s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1, k-1)\}.$$

The latter set is equivalent to the subset of $\mathfrak{S}(m, k)$ for which $s(k) = m$. This implies that the \tilde{f} functions have the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{m,k}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) &= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{m-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k w_{s(i)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We now demonstrate

$$\tilde{Q}_m = \sum_{k=0}^m (-\nu)^k \tilde{f}_{m,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-1}, \tilde{u}_m) \quad (17)$$

by induction. For the case $m = 1$,

$$\tilde{Q}_1 = 1 - \nu\tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{f}_{1,0}(\tilde{u}_1) - \nu\tilde{f}_{1,1}(\tilde{u}_1)$$

which satisfies equation (17). For $2 \leq m \leq n$, let us assume that (17) is satisfied for $\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2, \dots, \tilde{Q}_{m-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_m &= (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{m-1,m})\tilde{u}_m)\tilde{Q}_{m-1} \\ &= (1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{m-1,m})\tilde{u}_m) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-\nu)^k \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-2}, \tilde{u}_{m-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since $\tilde{f}_{m-1,k}$ is linear in each argument,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-2}, \tilde{u}_{m-1}) &= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-2}, u_{m-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \frac{\rho_{m-1,m} \tilde{u}_m}{1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_m &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-\nu)^k \left[(1 - \nu(1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m) \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-2}, u_{m-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_{m-1,m} \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \right] \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms on $(-\nu)^k$, we can write

$$\tilde{Q}_m = \sum_{k=0}^m (-\nu)^k \tilde{g}_{m,k}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_{m,0} = \tilde{f}_{m-1,0}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) = 1 = \tilde{f}_{m,0}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, \tilde{u}_m),$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{m,m} = (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m \tilde{f}_{m-1,m-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) = \tilde{f}_{m,m}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, \tilde{u}_m)$$

and, for $1 \leq k < m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{m,k} &= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) + (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m \tilde{f}_{m-1,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) \\ &\quad + \rho_{m-1,m} \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

The last term is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{m-1,m} \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&= \rho_{m-1,m} \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) (1 - \rho_{s(k-1),m-1}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&= \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) (1 - \rho_{s(k-1),m}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&\quad - \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&= \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k-1) < m-1\}} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&\quad - (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m \tilde{f}_{m-2,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-2})
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{m,k} &= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) + (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m \left(\tilde{f}_{m-1,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) - \tilde{f}_{m-2,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}) \right) \\
&\quad + \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k-1) < m-1\}} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (16),

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \tilde{u}_m \left(\tilde{f}_{m-1,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) - \tilde{f}_{m-2,k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}) \right) \\
&= \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m-1,k-1)} 1_{\{s(k-1)=m-1\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) (1 - \rho_{m-1,m}) \\
&= \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k-1)=m-1\}} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{m,k} &= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) + \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k-1)=m-1\}} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&\quad + \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k-1) < m-1\}} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&= \tilde{f}_{m-1,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) + \tilde{u}_m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}(m,k)} 1_{\{s(k)=m\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \rho_{s(i),s(i+1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{s(i)} \right) \\
&= \tilde{f}_{m,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, \tilde{u}_m)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by equation (16). Thus, $\tilde{g}_{m,k} = \tilde{f}_{m,k}(u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}, \tilde{u}_m)$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$, so equation 17 is proved. Substituting $m = n$ and $\tilde{u}_n = u_n$, we arrive at Proposition 1.

B Proof of the Bell polynomial identity

For any sequence of scalars c_1, c_2, \dots , the generating function of the complete Bell polynomials is

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (21)$$

where we fix $B_0 = 1$. When $c_j = \xi_{k,j} \alpha (j-1)!$, we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k \alpha \frac{x^{kn}}{kn}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha \frac{y^n}{n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\alpha 0!, \alpha 1!, \dots, \alpha (n-1)!) \frac{y^n}{n!}$$

where we introduce the change of variable $y = x^k$.

Using identities from Comtet (1974, pp. 135, 136) and DLMF (2010, §26.8.7), we have

$$B_n(\alpha 0!, \alpha 1!, \dots, \alpha (n-1)!) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\mathfrak{s}(n, k)| \alpha^k = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

where $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)$ denotes the Stirling number of the first kind. Restoring the original variable x , we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{y^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(kn)!}{n!} \frac{x^{kn}}{(kn)!} \quad (22)$$

Matching terms to the right hand side of (21) with the same power of x , we have

$$B_{kn}(\xi_{k,1} \alpha 0!, \xi_{k,2} \alpha 1!, \dots, \xi_{k,kn} \alpha (kn-1)!) = \frac{(kn)!}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

Whenever m is not a multiple of k , the coefficient on x^m in the right hand side of (22) is zero, so

$$B_m(\xi_{k,1} \alpha 0!, \xi_{k,2} \alpha 1!, \dots, \xi_{k,m} \alpha (m-1)!) = 0.$$

References

- Aurélien Alfonsi. Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model. In Rama Cont, editor, *Encyclopedia of Quantitative Finance*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- K. C. Chan, G. Andrew Karolyi, Francis A. Longstaff, and Anthony B. Sanders. An empirical comparison of alternative models of short-term interest rate. *Journal of Finance*, 47(3):1209–1227, July 1992.
- Louis Comtet. *Advanced Combinatorics: The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1974.
- Rama Cont and Peter Tankov. *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, 2004.
- John C. Cox, Jonathan E. Ingersoll, Jr., and Stephen A. Ross. A theory of the term structure of interest rates. *Econometrica*, 53(2):385–407, March 1985.
- DLMF. Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, 7 May 2010. URL <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- William Feller. Two singular diffusion problems. *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series*, 54(1):173–182, July 1951.
- F.R. Gantmacher. *Matrix Theory*, volume I. Chelsea, New York, 1959.
- George J. Jiang and John L. Knight. Estimation of continuous-time processes via the empirical characteristic function. *Journal of Business and Economics Statistics*, 20(2):198–212, April 2002.
- Norman L. Johnson, Samuel Kotz, and N. Balakrishnan. *Continuous Univariate Distributions*, volume 1. John Wiley & Sons, New York, second edition, 1994.
- Samuel Kotz, N. Balakrishnan, and Norman L. Johnson. *Continuous Multivariate Distributions*, volume 1: Models and Applications. John Wiley & Sons, New York, second edition, 2000.
- A.S. Krishnamoorthy and M. Parthasarathy. A multivariate gamma-type distribution. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 22:549–557, 1951. Correction (1960), **31**, 229.
- Thomas Royen. On some multivariate gamma-distributions connected with spanning trees. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 46(2):361–371, 1994.